

 cc

COCHLEAR SYNAPTOPATHY

Unravelling hidden hearing loss

Damage to the synapses connecting hair cells to the auditory nerve leads to undetected hearing impairments.

EMMANUEL PONSOT

Related research article [Zink ME, Zhen](http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.102823) [L, McHaney JR, Klara J, Yurasits K, Cancel](http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.102823) [V, Flemm O, Mitchell C, Datta J, Chan](http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.102823)[drasekaran B, Parthasarathy A. 2024.](http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.102823) [Increased listening effort and cochlear](http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.102823) [neural degeneration underlie behavioral](http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.102823) [deficits in speech perception in noise in](http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.102823) [normal hearing middle-aged adults.](http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.102823) *eLife* 13:RP102823. doi: [10.7554/eLife.102823](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.102823)

ge-related neural changes start to occur in the brain during middle age. For example, hearing loss can begin at around 40 years of age. However, many middle-aged adults who struggle to understand speech in noisy environments have clinically normal hearing tests. This 'hidden hearing loss' could be due to faults in the transmission of sound signals to the brain that are not detectable with conventional tests (*[Liberman, 2024](#page-2-0)*).

Loud noises, typical aging processes or ototoxic drugs can cause irreversible damage to the synapses between the inner hair cells of the cochlea – the sensory receptors in the inner ear – and the auditory nerve fibers that transmit sound information from the cochlea to the upper auditory processing stages in the brain (*[Kujawa](#page-2-1) [and Liberman, 2009](#page-2-1)*). This damage, known as cochlear synaptopathy, is usually permanent and can lead to delayed degeneration of the cochlear nerve. However, research in mice has shown that sound detection thresholds can return to normal a few days after injury. The precise impact of cochlear synaptopathy on human hearing and

how it might impact our ability to understand sounds, such as speech in noisy environments, is still under debate (for a recent review, see *[Liu](#page-2-2) [et al., 2024](#page-2-2)*).

One of the reasons for this is that cochlear synaptopathy can only be studied indirectly in humans, using non-invasive techniques (*[Bramhall](#page-2-3) [et al., 2019](#page-2-3)*). Since these measures only detect correlation, efforts have been made to verify findings using cross-species studies and computational models (*[Bharadwaj et al., 2022](#page-2-4)*; *[Encina-](#page-2-5)[Llamas et al., 2019](#page-2-5)*).

It is also challenging to evaluate the impact of cochlear synaptopathy on real-world speech perception. Some studies using simplified tasks, such as distinguishing digits or vowels, suggest a link between loss or dysfunction of auditory nerve fibers and individuals experiencing hearing difficulties. However, such tasks may oversimplify the complex nature of natural speech perception, potentially underestimating the role of the brain. Meanwhile, in more complex speech-in-noise tasks, commonly used indicators often cannot predict speech intelligibility scores as effectively as cognitive or attentional measures do (*[Kamerer](#page-2-6) [et al., 2019](#page-2-6)*; *[DiNino et al., 2022](#page-2-7)*; *[Gómez-Ál](#page-2-8)[varez et al., 2023](#page-2-8)*). Now, in eLife, Aravindakshan Parthasarathy and colleagues – including Maggie Zink and Leslie Zhen as joint first authors – report new ways to overcome these obstacles (*[Zink](#page-2-9) [et al., 2024](#page-2-9)*).

To tackle the first challenge, the team – which is based at the University of Pittsburgh and Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University – employed a cross-species approach, studying young and middle-aged humans as well as gerbils, which have the same hearing frequency

 Copyright Ponsot. This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Figure 1. Assessing cochlear synaptopathy in humans and rodents. (A) Zink et al. used a cross-species approach to assess hidden hearing loss in humans and gerbils. Immunohistology in gerbils confirmed these animals suffered from cochlear synaptopathy. (B) A reduction in envelope following response (EFR) strength was found between middle-aged (red) and younger (blue) humans, and gerbils. (C) Larger pupil size was found in middle-aged humans decoding speech in noise. The magnitude of the ectroencephalographic-based assessment of sound envelope encoding (EEG) and pupil size were both significant predictors of speech intelligibility differences beyond hearing thresholds (D), and as such constitute the hidden dimensions of hearing deficits.

range as humans (*[Figure 1A](#page-1-0)*). Using electrodes placed on the earlobe and on the top of the forehead, Zink et al. measured the envelope following response (EFR), reflecting the ability of neurons to track rapid changes in stimulus envelope. This measurement, which can act as a proxy to detect cochlear synaptopathy, revealed a comparable decline in neuronal activity in both species from younger to middle-aged groups (*[Figure 1B](#page-1-0)*).

Further histology studies in gerbils counting the average number of remaining auditory nerve fibers per inner hair cell confirmed that these animals did indeed suffer from cochlear synaptopathy, highlighting a potential association between lower EFR magnitude and cochlear synaptopathy in humans. A computational auditory model showed that the size of the EFR decrease with age observed by Zink et al. is consistent with the proportional loss of synapses for these groups measured in previous histological and analytical studies (*[Wu et al., 2019](#page-2-10)*; *[Zilany et al., 2014](#page-2-11)*).

To address the second challenge, Zink et al. assessed speech-in-noise perception using a custom-designed task of intermediate complexity, while simultaneously using pupillometry to monitor pupil size, which is thought to be a proxy of listening effort (i.e., for the level of cognitive resources required by the brain to process the incoming neural signal; *[Figure 1C](#page-1-0) [and D](#page-1-0)*). Their results revealed an increased listening effort in middle-aged test subjects, even though intelligibility scores remained the same throughout the test. Crucially, a statistical model including predictors for both cochlear synaptopathy and listening effort showed that each factor contributed significantly to the speech-in-noise difficulties in the human cohort (*[Figure 1E](#page-1-0)*). **ID:**
 IC:
 IC:

In conclusion, while Zink et al. do not establish causation, their work represents a valuable step in understanding how early cochlear synaptopathy in middle-aged adults may contribute to speech-

Cochlear Synaptopathy | Unravelling hidden hearing loss

and attentional factors may play an even larger role than previously expected.

Yet, our understanding of how cochlear synaptopathy distorts neural coding and perception of sound in humans is still overly simplistic, and many questions remain unanswered. For example, little is known regarding how central processing stages of the brain are impacted and potentially compensate impaired peripheral sound transduction (*[Resnik and Polley, 2021](#page-2-12)*). Moreover, it remains unclear which specific sound features' encoding are distorted by cochlear synaptopathy and finally lead to degraded perceptual capacities, as many behavioral studies in animals with drug-induced cochlear synaptopathy show no evidence of impairment (*[Henry et al., 2024](#page-2-13)*).

Middle age is a significant period during which age-related changes start to occur in the brain that could shed light on potential neurodegenerative conditions later in life, hearing loss being one of them. Further research is needed before a behavioral marker of cochlear synaptopathy becomes available at the clinic: a first step will be to refine current test proxies and to develop sensitive neural markers that could help identify the early onset of conditions such as dementia.

Emmanuel Ponsot is in the Science & Technology of Music and Sound laboratory, IRCAM/CNRS/Sorbonne Université, Paris, France

emmanuel.ponsot@ircam.fr

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8748-505X>

Competing interests: The author declares that no competing interests exist.

Published 05 December 2024

References

Bharadwaj HM, Hustedt-Mai AR, Ginsberg HM, Dougherty KM, Muthaiah VPK, Hagedorn A, Simpson JM, Heinz MG. 2022. Cross-species experiments reveal widespread cochlear neural damage in normal hearing. *Communications Biology* 5:733. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-03691-4) [03691-4,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-03691-4) PMID: [35869142](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35869142)

Bramhall N, Beach EF, Epp B, Le Prell CG, Lopez-Poveda EA, Plack CJ, Schaette R, Verhulst S, Canlon B. 2019. The search for noise-induced cochlear synaptopathy in humans: Mission impossible? *Hearing Research* 377:88–103. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2019.02.016) [heares.2019.02.016,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2019.02.016) PMID: [30921644](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30921644)

DiNino M, Holt LL, Shinn-Cunningham BG. 2022. Cutting through the noise: noise-induced cochlear synaptopathy and individual differences in speech understanding among listeners with normal audiograms. *Ear and Hearing* 43:9–22. DOI: [https://](https://doi.org/10.1097/AUD.0000000000001147) doi.org/10.1097/AUD.0000000000001147, PMID: [34751676](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34751676)

Encina-Llamas G, Harte JM, Dau T, Shinn-Cunningham B, Epp B. 2019. Investigating the

effect of cochlear synaptopathy on envelope following responses using a model of the auditory nerve. *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology* 20:363–382. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10162-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10162-019-00721-7) [019-00721-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10162-019-00721-7), PMID: [31102010](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31102010)

Gómez-Álvarez M, Johannesen PT, Coelho-de-Sousa SL, Klump GM, Lopez-Poveda EA. 2023. The relative contribution of cochlear synaptopathy and reduced inhibition to age-related hearing impairment for people with normal audiograms. *Trends in Hearing*

27:23312165231213191. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1177/23312165231213191) [1177/23312165231213191](https://doi.org/10.1177/23312165231213191), PMID: [37956654](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37956654) Henry KS, Guo AA, Abrams KS. 2024. Normal behavioral discrimination of envelope statistics in budgerigars with kainate-induced cochlear synaptopathy. *Hearing Research* 441:108927. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2023.108927,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2023.108927) PMID: [38096707](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38096707)

Kamerer AM, AuBuchon A, Fultz SE, Kopun JG, Neely ST, Rasetshwane DM. 2019. The role of cognition in common measures of peripheral synaptopathy and hidden hearing loss. *American Journal of Audiology* 28:843–856. DOI: [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1044/2019_AJA-19-0063) [org/10.1044/2019_AJA-19-0063,](https://doi.org/10.1044/2019_AJA-19-0063) PMID: [31647880](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31647880) Kujawa SG, Liberman MC. 2009. Adding insult to injury: cochlear nerve degeneration after "temporary" noise-induced hearing loss. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 29:14077–14085. DOI: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2845-09.2009) [10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2845-09.2009](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2845-09.2009), PMID: [19906956](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19906956) Liberman MC. 2024. Noise damage and hidden hearing loss: cochlear synaptopathy in animals and humans. Liberman MC (Ed). *Occupational Hearing Loss, Fourth Edition*. CRC Press. p. 248–270. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1201/b23379-11>

Liu J, Stohl J, Overath T. 2024. Hidden hearing loss: Fifteen years at a glance. *Hearing Research* 443:108967. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2024.108967)

[2024.108967](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2024.108967), PMID: [38335624](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38335624)

Resnik J, Polley DB. 2021. Cochlear neural degeneration disrupts hearing in background noise by increasing auditory cortex internal noise. *Neuron* 109:984–996.. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2021.01.015) [2021.01.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2021.01.015), PMID: [33561398](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33561398)

Wu PZ, Liberman LD, Bennett K, de Gruttola V, O'Malley JT, Liberman MC. 2019. Primary neural degeneration in the human cochlea: Evidence for hidden hearing loss in the aging ear. *Neuroscience* 407:8–20. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2018.07.053) [neuroscience.2018.07.053,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2018.07.053) PMID: [30099118](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30099118)

Zilany MSA, Bruce IC, Carney LH. 2014. Updated parameters and expanded simulation options for a model of the auditory periphery. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 135:283–286. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1121/1.4837815>, PMID: [24437768](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24437768) Zink ME, Zhen L, McHaney JR, Klara J, Yurasits K,

Cancel V, Flemm O, Mitchell C, Datta J, Chandrasekaran B, Parthasarathy A. 2024. Increased listening effort and cochlear neural degeneration underlie behavioral deficits in speech perception in noise in normal hearing middle-aged adults. *eLife* 13:RP102823. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.102823) [102823](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.102823)