

SUV39 SET domains mediate crosstalk of heterochromatic histone marks

Alessandro Stirpe^{1†}, Nora Guidotti^{2‡}, Sarah J Northall^{3,4}, Sinan Kilic^{2§}, Alexandre Hainard⁵, Oscar Vadas^{6#}, Beat Fierz², Thomas Schalch^{1,3,4}*

¹Department of Molecular Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland; ²Institute of Chemical Sciences and Engineering (ISIC), Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland; ³Leicester Institute for Structural and Chemical Biology, University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom; ⁴Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom; ⁵University Medical Center, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland; ⁶School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

*For correspondence: thomas.schalch@le.ac.uk

Present address: [†]Wellcome Trust Centre for Cell Biology, Institute of Cell Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom; [‡]Athebio AG, Zurich, Switzerland; [§]Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; [#]Protein Platform, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

Competing interest: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 22

Preprinted: 02 July 2020 Received: 01 September 2020 Accepted: 31 August 2021 Published: 15 September 2021

Reviewing Editor: Roberto Bonasio, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, United States

© Copyright Stirpe *et al.* This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which

permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. **Abstract** The SUV39 class of methyltransferase enzymes deposits histone H3 lysine 9 di- and trimethylation (H3K9me2/3), the hallmark of constitutive heterochromatin. How these enzymes are regulated to mark specific genomic regions as heterochromatic is poorly understood. Clr4 is the sole H3K9me2/3 methyltransferase in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, and recent evidence suggests that ubiquitination of lysine 14 on histone H3 (H3K14ub) plays a key role in H3K9 methylation. However, the molecular mechanism of this regulation and its role in heterochromatin formation remain to be determined. Our structure-function approach shows that the H3K14ub substrate binds specifically and tightly to the catalytic domain of Clr4, and thereby stimulates the enzyme by over 250-fold. Mutations that disrupt this mechanism lead to a loss of H3K9me2/3 and abolish heterochromatin silencing similar to *clr4* deletion. Comparison with mammalian SET domain proteins suggests that the Clr4 SET domain harbors a conserved sensor for H3K14ub, which mediates licensing of heterochromatin formation.

Introduction

Histone methylation plays a critical role in regulating and organizing genomes to maintain genome integrity and establish appropriate gene regulation programs (*Greer and Shi, 2012*; *Janssen et al., 2018*). Histone H3 lysine 9 di- and trimethylation (H3K9me2/3) are highly conserved hallmarks of heterochromatin, where they provide a platform for the recruitment of chromatin effector complexes. H3K9me2/3 marks are dysregulated in various cancers, in neurological diseases and viral latency, and are targeted for therapeutic approaches (*Kaniskan et al., 2018*).

The SUV39 clade of the SET-domain family of protein lysine methyltransferases represents the enzyme class depositing H3K9me2/3 (*Dillon et al., 2005*). They share a SET domain as a catalytic core, which builds on a series of curved β -sheets that are sandwiched between distinct pre- and post-SET domains, providing structural elements based on zinc-finger motifs. The SUV39 enzymatic activity facilitates the transfer of a methyl group from S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) to the ϵ -amino group of lysine 9 on histone H3 with high specificity, thereby converting the cofactor to S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine (SAH).

The mechanisms regulating SUV39 proteins to specifically deposit H3K9me2/3 in heterochromatic regions are not well understood. The fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* heterochromatin system, closely related to the metazoan systems, with its sole SUV39 protein Clr4, serves as a

CC

eLife Research article



Figure 1. The catalytic domain of Clr4 senses the H3K14ub mark. (A) Domain organization of the Clr4 protein. CD: chromodomain; NT: N-terminal domain; SET: Su-var39/enhancer of zeste/trithorax domain; KMT: lysine methyltransferase domain. (B) Methyltransferase time course on H3K14ub versus unmodified H3 peptides shows strong stimulation of full-length Clr4 and the isolated KMT domain by H3K14ub. Peptide concentration was held constant at 20 µM, ³H-SAM was used as methyl donor with 20 nM enzyme. CBB: Coomassie Brilliant Blue. (C) Stimulation of methyltransferase activity of Clr4 (20 nM) comparing indicated substrates with unmodified H3 peptide by radiometric filter binding assay with ³H-SAM as methyl donor. (D) Michaelis–Menten kinetics of SAH production of the Clr4 KMT domain on unmodified versus H3K14ub peptides. Measured using TR-FRET competition assay (Cisbio EPIgeneous Methyltransferase Assay kit). (E) Ubiquitin competition assay demonstrates the specificity of Clr4 for ubiquitin and shows that covalent linkage in cis is required for activation. (C–E) Error bars indicate standard error of the mean, N = 3 unless indicated.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. H3K14ub activates Clr4 enzymatic activity.

paradigm for studying the role of this enzyme class in heterochromatin establishment and maintenance. Ectopic tethering experiments with Clr4 have established that H3K9me2/3 can support stable epigenetic transmission of transcriptional states (*Audergon et al., 2015*; *Ragunathan et al., 2015*). Clr4 has further served as a valuable tool to understand its animal orthologs, which share a very similar domain architecture consisting of an N-terminal chromodomain and a C-terminal catalytic SET domain (*Figure 1A*). The chromodomain binds the H3K9me2/3 mark and is critical for spreading the H3K9me2/3 mark across genomic domains (*Melcher et al., 2000*; *Müller et al., 2016*; *Zhang et al., 2008*). Recent evidence also suggests that an autoinhibitory loop in the post-SET domain regulates spreading of H3K9me2/3 by Clr4 (*Iglesias et al., 2018*).

Clr4 is a key part of *S. pombe* heterochromatin, which is found at pericentromeres, telomeres, and the mating type locus and depends on the tightly interconnected pathways of the nuclear RNA interference machinery and an array of chromatin modifiers (*Grewal, 2010*). Small RNAs are generated from a limited set of repeat sequences in heterochromatic regions and guide the RNAi machinery in the form of the RNA-induced initiation of transcriptional gene silencing complex (RITS) to nascent heterochromatic transcripts (*Verdel et al., 2004; Bühler et al., 2007; Schalch et al., 2011; Shimada et al., 2016*). RITS recruits Clr4 and its associated Cullin4-RING ubiquitin ligase complex, the CLRC complex (*Zhang et al., 2008; Bayne et al., 2010*), thereby driving the deposition of H3K9me2/3 marks, which provides a platform for binding of HP1 proteins that in turn recruit further transcriptional gene silencing complexes (*Motamedi et al., 2008; Fischer et al., 2009; Leopold et al., 2019*). Heterochromatin formation is further strongly dependent on deacetylation of H3K14 (*Alper et al., 2013;* **Bjerling et al., 2002; Buscaino et al., 2013; Yamada et al., 2005**), with H3K14 acetylation being strongly associated with active gene expression in euchromatin (**Wang et al., 2012**).

Even though it has long been recognized that *rik1* plays an essential role in the *S. pombe* heterochromatin system (*Ekwall and Ruusala, 1994; Allshire et al., 1995; Nakayama et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2008*), its molecular mechanism has remained elusive. Rik1 is a paralog of the DNA repair protein DDB1 and forms the central scaffold of the CLRC complex that includes the cullin protein Cul4, the WD-40 β-propeller proteins Dos1/Raf1, the RING finger protein Pip1, as well as the replication focus targeting sequence (RFTS) protein Dos2/Raf2 and Clr4 (*Hong et al., 2005; Horn et al., 2005; Jia et al., 2005; Li et al., 2005; Thon et al., 2005*). CLRC features the hallmarks of an intact CRL4-type E3 ligase, which uses the subunit Dos1/Raf1 as a substrate adapter (*Buscaino et al., 2012; Horn et al., 2005; Jia et al., 2005; Kuscu et al., 2014*). Recent work has revealed that the preferred substrate for ubiquitylation by CLRC is lysine 14 on histone H3, yielding H3K14ub (*Oya et al., 2019*), and that H3K14ub controls the activity of Clr4. However, how H3K14ub regulates Clr4 and its role in heterochromatin formation remain to be determined.

We combined biochemical and structural methods to decipher the molecular mechanism governing the stimulation of Clr4 by H3K14ub. These experiments identified a ubiquitin binding region (UBR) in the catalytic domain of Clr4, which mediates H3K14ub binding, and mutations that disrupt this interaction interface lose heterochromatin function. This reveals a critical regulatory mechanism that uses the SET domain of Clr4 for control of heterochromatin formation by an epigenetic crosstalk.

Results

The catalytic domain of Clr4 senses the presence of H3K14-linked ubiquitin

To understand the enzymatic reaction underlying the stimulation of Clr4 by H3K14ub, we set out to determine the domains of Clr4 that mediate this effect. Clr4's domain architecture comprises an N-terminal chromodomain, which is connected by a linker region to the catalytic lysine methyltransferase (KMT) domain (*Figure 1A*). The KMT domain contains the N-terminal, pre-SET, SET, and post-SET regions and its structure has been determined (*Min et al., 2002; Iglesias et al., 2018*). To determine the role of the chromodomain and linker region, we recombinantly expressed both the full-length Clr4 and the isolated KMT domain and quantified their methyltransferase activity using two different assays: (1) incorporation of tritium-labeled methyl groups from a ³H-SAM donor into histone proteins and (2) fluorescence-based measurement of SAH generation (Cisbio EPIgeneous Methyltransferase Assay kit). As substrates we used unmodified H3 peptide (1–21) and H3 peptide ubiquitinated on K14 (H3K14ub) or K18 (H3K18ub) generated by native chemical ligation procedures. These branched peptides are identical to a native ubiquitin linkage except for a glycine to alanine change in the C-terminal residue of ubiquitin that is covalently linked to K14 (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1A*).

Figure 1B shows fluorographs of methyltransferase assays for Clr4 full-length and the KMT domain, which both manifest a similar degree of strong stimulation by H3K14ub (**Figure 1C**, **Figure 1—figure supplement 1E–H**). To get a sense for the steric requirements involved in stimulation by H3K14ub, we tested H3K18ub, the closest downstream lysine on the H3 tail. H3K18ub is a significantly poorer substrate than H3K14ub, demonstrating that the position of the ubiquitin modification on the H3 tail is critical for optimal Clr4 stimulation and that covalent linkage generating high local ubiquitin concentration is not sufficient. Quantification of the stimulation by the different substrates further showed that H3K14ub elicits a 40–50-fold boost in activity at a substrate concentration of 20 µM (**Figure 1C**). These experiments confirm the observation by **Oya et al., 2019** that the H3K14ub substrate triggers a dramatic and specific increase in the methyltransferase activity of Clr4. However, in contrast to the previous study, we observe that the KMT domain is sufficient to mediate this regulatory mechanism. Since the primary effect of H3K14ub traces to the catalytic domain, we decided to further investigate the stimulation mechanism using the isolated KMT domain.

To fully characterize the H3K14ub-mediated stimulation, we measured enzyme kinetics of Clr4KMT on H3K14ub vs. the unmodified peptide (*Figure 1D*). An approximately hundred-fold difference for the Michaelis–Menten (K_{M}) constants was measured with 0.33 ± 0.06 μ M for H3K14ub and 28.1 ± 8.0 μ M for H3. The turnover number (k_{cat}) was about three times higher in the presence of H3K14ub (0.81 ± 0.03 min⁻¹) compared with unmodified H3 (0.28 ± 0.02 min⁻¹). This leads to an increase in

Clr4KMT	Substrate	K _M (µM)	$k_{cat}(min^{-1})$	$k_{cat}/K_{M}(mM^{-1} min^{-1})$	Figure
WT	H3(1–21)	28.1 ± 8.0	0.277 ± 0.024	9.86 ± 2.18	Figure 1D
WT	H3K14ub	0.329 ± 0.060	0.809 ± 0.029	2456 ± 405	Figure 1D
WT	H3(1–19)	124 ± 26	0.713 ± 0.085	5.75 ± 0.59	Figure 3G
WT	H3K14ub	0.234 ± .0.068	3.85 ± 0.29	16459 ± 3,796	Figure 3G
3FA	H3(1–19)	76.8 ± 17.5	0.406 ± 0.045	5.29 ± 0.68	Figure 3G
3FA	H3K14ub	4.00 ± 1.60	2.49 ± 0.32	623 ± 180	Figure 3G
GS253	H3(1–19)	121 ± 29	0.548 ± 0.071	4.53 ± 0.52	Figure 3G
GS253	H3K14ub	10.4 ± 1.2	3.83 ± 0.21	370 ± 25	Figure 3G

Table 1. Enzyme kinetics.

Values represent fitting estimates and corresponding standard error.

overall enzymatic efficiency measured by the specificity constant $k_{sp} = k_{cat}/K_M$ of 250-fold (**Table 1**). Comparison of the kinetic parameters between H3K14ub and H3 substrate indicates that the presence of ubiquitin on lysine 14 leads to a tighter enzyme-substrate complex and to conformational changes in the active site that increase the rate of the methyltransferase reaction.

To determine whether H3K14ub uses an allosteric site for ubiquitin on Clr4, we challenged the methyltransferase reaction with increasing amounts of free ubiquitin. While we observed no significant increase in activity for unmodified H3, we observed a drop in the activity for H3K14ub at high concentrations of free ubiquitin (*Figure 1E*). This experiment failed to produce evidence of an allosteric site for free ubiquitin on Clr4, and we conclude that the stimulation of k_{cat} is likely to depend on an induced-fit mechanism triggered by binding of H3K14ub to the Clr4KMT domain.

H3K14 ubiquitin mark affects the structural dynamics of Clr4

To understand the structural basis for the regulation of Clr4 by H3K14ub, we performed hydrogen/ deuterium exchange coupled to mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) analysis on the free Clr4KMT domain and on Clr4KMT in complex with H3- and H3K14ub peptides. HDX-MS measures protein dynamics based on the rate of exchange of protein amide protons with the solvent (Kochert et al., 2018). Changes in HDX rates upon complex formation identify regions of the protein that are affected by the formation of the complex. Comparing the dynamics for Clr4KMT alone and in the presence of excess H3K14ub or unmodified H3 peptides, we observed a strong and unique reduction of the HDX rate for residues 243-261 of Clr4 in the presence of H3K14ub (Figure 2A and B, Figure 2figure supplement 1, Supplementary files 2-4). A further region between residues 291–305 showed significant amide protection by both H3 and H3K14ub substrates, the latter peptide showing a more intense protection (Figure 2B, bottom graph). These results suggest that the H3 peptide interacts with residues 291-305, while the ubiquitin moiety binds to a region involving residues 243-261. The H3K14ub binding region identified by HDX-MS maps to the NT domain just before it transitions into the pre-SET domain, and we will refer to this region as UBR (Figure 2A). The UBR forms a ridge along the 'back' of Clr4, opposite to the active site pockets where cofactor and the substrate peptide bind (Figure 2C).

We also compared HDX-MS rates of isolated H3K14ub peptide with the H3K14ub-Clr4 complex and found that amino acids 25–43 on ubiquitin were protected from exchange upon interaction with Clr4 (*Figure 2D and E, Figure 2—figure supplement 1, Supplementary file 3*). These residues map to the α -helix of ubiquitin, indicating that this surface interacts with Clr4.

UBR mutants lose affinity for H3K14ub

To determine the functional importance of the UBR, we designed mutations that specifically disrupt the Clr4-H3K14ub interaction. While substitutions of residues 243–251 yielded unstable protein, substitution of residues 253–256 (sequence DPNF to GGSG, referred to as Clr4-GS253) resulted in a stable protein. Based on the Clr4 structure and sequence conservation, we further chose to mutate



Figure 2. H3K14ub binding stabilizes residues 243–262 on Clr4. (**A**) Differences in Clr4 hydrogen/deuterium exchange (HDX) rates upon binding of H3 and H3K14ub peptides are shown for each analyzed peptide. Values are plotted as the product of [deuteration percentage] * [number of deuterons] to minimize the influence of peptide length on the results. Domain diagram indicates the regions showing stabilization upon interaction with H3 peptides in general (cyan) and more specifically with H3K14ub (blue). (**B**) Uptake plot for two peptides representative of regions showing differences in HDX rate of Clr4 upon peptide binding. (**C**) Surface representation of Clr4 structure (PDBID:6BOX) and cartoon representation of ubiquitin (PDBID:1UBQ). UBR: ubiquitin binding region. (**D**) Differences in ubiquitin HDX rates for the H3-K14ub peptide in the absence and presence of Clr4. Results are shown as in (**A**). (**E**) Uptake plot for peptide to H3K14 showing a marked reduction in HDX rate at 30 s and 300 s incubation time in deuterated buffer.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. H3K14ub binding stabilizes residues 243–262 on Clr4.

three phenylalanines that intersect orthogonally with the GS253 mutations on the surface of Clr4 (F256A, F310A, and F427A, referred to as Clr4-3FA).

We used isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) to determine the effect of the mutations on the affinity of the Clr4-H3K14ub interaction. Consistent with the low K_M observed previously, Clr4 binds to the H3K14ub peptide with a dissociation constant (K_d) of 80 ± 6 nM in a reaction that is dominated by enthalpy (*Figure 3A*). In contrast, Clr4-GS253 and Clr4-3FA showed complete loss of binding to

eLife Research article



Figure 3. Mutants in the Clr4-H3K14ub interface are defective for substrate binding. (**A–C**) Thermodynamic parameters of H3K14ub substrate binding to wild-type and mutant Clr4KMT proteins were measured using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). Heat rates (top panel) were integrated and plotted as a binding isotherm (bottom panel). Fit to a one-site model is shown as a solid line where fitting was possible. (**D**) Overview and detail view of superimposition of Clr4-3FA crystal structure (shades of teal) to wild-type Clr4 (yellow) (PDBID:6BOX) indicate absence of major differences in global

Figure 3 continued on next page



Figure 3 continued

folding. Residues 243–262 are colored in blue. Mutated residues (orange) and SAH co-factor are shown in stick representation. (**E**) Superposition of mutated region in stick representation for Clr4-3FA (orange) and Clr4 (gray) (PDBID:6BOX). (**F**) Methyltransferase kinetics of wild-type and Clr4KMT mutants measured by detection of ³H incorporation on SDS-PAGE gels shows loss of stimulation by H3K14ub for GS253 and 3FA mutants. To observe sufficient signal, differing enzyme concentrations of 20 and 200 nM were used for H3K14ub and H3 peptides, respectively. (**G**) Michaelis–Menten kinetics for Clr4 wild-type, 3FA, and GS253 mutants measured using the Promega MTase-Glo methyltransferase assay. Error bars correspond to standard error of mean based on three or four measurements.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1. Clr4F3A folds similarly to Clr4.

H3K14ub under the same conditions (*Figure 3B and C*). We also attempted to determine the K_d for unmodified H3 peptides, but were unable to observe binding. The ITC data confirm that H3K14ub binds with high affinity to the KMT domain of Clr4 and that the UBR mutants disrupt the Clr4-H3K14ub enzyme-substrate complex.

The Clr4-3FA mutant showed very stable biochemical behavior and crystallized readily. To determine the effect of the 3FA mutations on the folding of Clr4, we solved the structure by X-ray crystallography. These efforts resulted in a 2.46 Å structure of Clr4-3FA (**Table 2**). The packing of the molecules in these crystals is similar to the packing observed in the structure of the autoinhibited Clr4, despite a difference in space group (PDBID:6BOX) (**Iglesias et al., 2018**). When comparing with previous X-ray structures of Clr4, we observed no significant difference in global or local protein folding between the wild-type Clr4 KMT domains and the Clr4-3FA mutant (RMSD = 0.37 Å) (**Figure 3D and E, Figure 3 figure supplement 1**). This indicates that the Clr4-3FA mutations do not induce significant structural changes.

To establish the impact of the mutants on the methyltransferase activity, we performed enzymatic assays using ³H-SAM and gel-based read-out. These assays show that the mutants lose activity on H3K14ub when compared to wild-type Clr4KMT (*Figure 3F*). To establish that the UBR mutants specifically target H3K14ub-mediated stimulation of Clr4 but not activity on unmodified peptide, we determined Michaelis–Menten kinetics for the Clr4-GS253 and Clr4-3FA mutants using a luminescence-based assay (*Figure 3G*, *Table 1*). Comparison of the specificity constants k_{sp} shows that the efficiency of the UBR mutants on H3K14ub drops approximately 27-fold for Clr4-3FA and 48-fold for Clr4-GS253 compared to the wild-type. This drop in activity is caused by a corresponding drop in K_M, consistent with the ITC results. The difference between Clr4-3FA and Clr4-GS253 is not statistically significant, but is consistent with small differences observed in the radioactive gel-based and filter-binding assays (*Figure 3F, Figure 3—figure supplement 1C*).

In contrast to the enzymatic activity on H3K14ub, the activity on unmodified H3 peptides is only mildly affected resulting in a k_{sp} , that is, 91% of wild-type for Clr4-3FA and 78% for Clr4-GS253 (*Figure 3G, Table 1*). We conclude that the enzymatic and affinity measurements establish that both UBR mutants target the Clr4-H3K14ub interaction with high specificity.

Clr4 loss-of-function mutants affect heterochromatin

In *S. pombe*, heterochromatin formation depends strongly on the H3K9me2/3 methyltransferase activity of Clr4. Clr4 knock-out and mutant strains are defective for transcriptional gene silencing and display hyperacetylated heterochromatic regions (*Nakayama et al., 2001; Bannister et al., 2001; Gerace et al., 2010; Iglesias et al., 2018*). The UBR mutants, therefore, provide a unique opportunity to investigate the functional importance of the H3K14ub-mediated stimulation for the *S. pombe* heterochromatin system. We introduced the Clr4-GS253 and Clr4-3FA mutations at the endogenous *clr4* locus and crossed them into a dual reporter (*imr1L::ura4⁺/otr1R::ade6⁺*) background for evaluating heterochromatin silencing using comparative growth assays (*Ekwall et al., 1997*). Strains with a functional silencing machinery are able to repress transcripts from these two centromeric reporters, and wild-type cells therefore show restricted growth on medium lacking uracil and turn red on medium containing low concentrations of adenine. Elevated levels of *ura4*, however, render the strains sensitive to growth on media containing 5-fluoroorotic acid (FOA), which is converted into the toxic product fluorodeoxyuridine when *ura4* is expressed at elevated levels. The *clr4-GS253* mutant showed increased growth on medium lacking uracil, no growth on FOA plates, and white colonies on low adenine, comparable to the *clr4A* mutant, which indicates a severe loss of transcriptional gene

Table 2. Crystallographic table.

	Native	
Data		
Wavelength (Å)	0.97950	
Resolution range (Å)	70.68–2.46 (2.68–2.46)	
Space group	P 21 21 2	
Unit-cell parameters (Å, °)	92.44, 110.29, 70.68, 90.00, 90.00, 90.00	
Total reflections	120,264 (3409)	
Unique reflections	19,571 (979)	
Multiplicity	6.1 (3.5)	
Completeness (%) spherical	72.2 (16.2)	
Completeness (%) ellipsoidal	93.1 (56.9)	
Mean I/σ(I)	6.6 (1.4)	
Wilson B factor (Ų)		
R _{merge}	0.214 (0.898)	
R _{meas}	0.233 (1.046)	
R _{pim}	0.093 (0.525)	
CC1/2	0.993 (0.627)	
сс	0.998 (0.878)	
Refinement		
Resolution range	2.46	
Total number of reflections	18,561	
Number of reflections in test set	973	
R _{work} (%)	23.8	
R _{free} (%)	24.9	
CC (work)	0.923	
CC (free)	0.910	
No. of non-hydrogen atoms	4495	
Macromolecule	4411	
Ligands	62	
Solvent	22	
No. of protein residues	549	
R.m.s.d., bonds (Å)	0.014	
R.m.s.d., angles (°)	1.73	
Ramachandran favored (%)	96.54	
Ramachandran outliers (%)	0	
Ramachandran allowed (%)	3.46	
Clash score	2.42	
Average B factor (Ų)		
Macromolecule	31.85	
Ligands	24.61	
Table 2 continued on next page		

Table 2 continued

Native

Solvent	21.63

Statistics for the highest resolution shell are shown in parentheses.

silencing (Figure 4A). The clr4-3FA mutant also showed a growth phenotype very similar to $clr4\Delta$. However, the slightly pinkish color on low Ade plates suggests that the silencing defect is less severe than in *clr4-GS253* or *clr4* Δ . The *clr4* mutants are expressed at normal levels, and co-IP experiments with Rik1 show that they remain associated with the CLRC complex (Figure 4B and C). To get a quantitative measure of the loss of transcriptional gene silencing, we analyzed endogenous heterochromatic transcripts at centromeric dg/dh repeats and the subtelomeric tlh1 locus by RT-gPCR (Figure 4D). The levels of these transcripts were greatly elevated in the *clr4-GS253* mutant, similar to *clr4* Δ . In contrast, the 3FA mutant showed a more nuanced silencing defect with high transcript levels for centromeric dh and telomeric transcripts, and a modest ~10 -fold increase for centromeric dg. These findings are consistent with the weaker silencing defect of clr4-3FA observed in the growth assays and with the weaker loss of enzymatic stimulation in vitro. To test if the loss of gene silencing is associated with loss of H3K9 methylation, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) against H3K9me1, H3K9me2, and H3K9me3 (Figure 4E and F). Both H3K9me2 and H3K9me3 were completely abolished in clr4-GS253 and clr4-3FA strains at centromeric dg/dh repeats, being indistinguishable from $clr4\Delta$. Currently, we cannot explain why the dg transcripts in the 3FA mutant are only slightly elevated while completely losing H3K9me2/3. clr4-GS253 and $clr4\Delta$ also showed elevated levels of H3K9me1 when compared to wild-type clr4+, while this was not observed for clr4-3FA. The elevated H3K9me1 marks support the hypothesis that another unidentified methyltransferase could be depositing this mark (Jih et al., 2017). We further found that in agreement with the increased transcript levels RNA polymerase II occupancy at *dh* repeats was strongly increased.

In summary, we observe defects in transcriptional gene silencing and heterochromatin formation for the UBR mutants that are very similar to $clr4\Delta$. Furthermore, the severity of the phenotype correlates with the degree of loss of enzymatic function on H3K14ub in vitro, consistent with Clr4's KMT domain mediating the crosstalk between H3K14ub and H3K9me2/3 as an essential step in heterochromatin formation and maintenance.

H3K14ub stimulation is conserved in mammalian SUV39H2

To investigate if stimulation of H3K9 methylation by H3K14ub is a conserved process, we purified the KMT domains of both human G9a and SUV39H2, as well as plant SUVH4/KRYPTONITE and performed methyltransferase assays to investigate the substrate preference of these enzymes by radiometric and fluorescence-based assays (*Figure 5A, Figure 5—figure supplement 1B and C*). SUV39H1 and SUV39H2 are the closest human homologs of Clr4 and are tightly associated with constitutive heter-ochromatin. In contrast, G9a regulates H3K9me2/3 deposition in euchromatin and directly regulates gene expression. SUVH4 is one of 10 SUVH genes in *Arabidopsis* and is involved in the maintenance of DNA methylation. Of our candidate enzymes, SUV39H2, consistently showed stimulation by H3K14ub, while we could not detect stimulation for G9a or SUVH4. These results suggest that the H3K14ub-mediated stimulation is conserved in a subset of SUV39 family proteins in higher eukaryotes, and that H3K14ub is potentially implicated in the regulation of H3K9 methylation in mammalian heterochromatin.

Discussion

Histone methylation and acetylation are key post-translational modifications involved in the regulation of chromatin accessibility and transcription. We show here that the poorly understood histone modification H3K14ub leads to the strong stimulation of the methyltransferase activity of the fission yeast SUV39 family enzyme Clr4 through a sensing mechanism in its catalytic domain. The H3K14ub modification has, therefore, the biochemical potential to direct H3K9 methylation by Clr4 with high specificity. These results support a recently proposed regulatory role for H3K14ub in heterochromatin

eLife Research article



Figure 4. Clr4 mutants lose heterochromatin. (**A**) Serial dilution growth assays of wild-type and the Clr4 mutants. Strains were assessed for growth on PMG media, PMG-ura to monitor *imr1L::ura4*⁺ expression, and PMG+FOA to monitor silencing of *imr1L::ura4*⁺. Expression of *otr1R::ade6*⁺ was tested on PMG containing low adenine. (**B**) Immunoblot for FLAG-Clr4 on cell lysates from *clr4* mutant strains. Asterisk indicates a non-specific band. (**C**) Co-IP experiment to assess the stability of the CLRC complex in *clr4-GS253* and *clr4-3FA* mutants. (**D**) Changes in steady-state transcript levels in *clr4* mutant strains relative to wild-type cells were measured by RT-qPCR for centromeric *dg*, *dh* repeats, and *tlh1* transcripts at telomeres. *act1* was used as an internal standard for all measurements. (**E**) ChIP for wild-type and indicated mutant strains against FLAG-Clr4 and H3K9me2 at centromeric *dg*, *dh* repeats, and telomeric *tlh1*. Enrichment was normalized to *clr4*Δ. (**F**) ChIP for H3K9me1, H3K9me2 and H3K9me3, and RNA polymerase II at centromeric *dh* repeats. *act1* was used as an internal standard for all measurements. Mean and standard errors in (**D**–**F**) were calculated from a minimum of three independent biological replicates.

formation (**Oya et al., 2019**). Based on these and our findings, we propose that H3K14ub serves as a licensing mechanism for the deposition of H3K9me2/3 marks and heterochromatin formation (**Figure 5B**). In this model, acetylation of H3K14, which is strongly associated with euchromatin, assumes a much more specific role than normally associated with histone acetylation: it serves to prevent ubiquitination of H3K14 similar to a protecting group in organic chemical synthesis. The



Figure 5. Conservation and mechanistic model of H3K14ub stimulation in the SUV39 methyltransferase family. (**A**) Methyltransferase rates of human G9a and human SUV39H2 and *Arabidopsis* SUVH4 on indicated substrates were determined by quantifying ³H-methyl incorporation using filter binding assays. Error bars correspond to standard error of mean. (**B**) Schematic of how H3K14 ubiquitylation licenses H3K9me2/3 deposition and how H3K14ac specifically prevents this. (**C**, **D**) Overview and detail view of the Clr4-H3K14ub model calculated with HADDOCK using restraints obtained by HDX-MS and mutagenesis.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 5:

Figure supplement 1. Conservation and mechanistic model of H3K14ub stimulation in the SUV39 methyltransferase family.

H3K14ac-specific HDACs Clr3 and Sir2 therefore indirectly control H3K9me2/3 by regulating the availability of H3K14 for ubiquitination by the CLRC ubiquitin ligase complex. Consistent with this model, mutations of H3K14 lead to loss of H3K9 methylation (*Mellone et al., 2003*), which is phenocopied by double deletion of Clr3 and Sir2 (*Alper et al., 2013*). The genetic data further suggests that ubiquitination is essential for the establishment of heterochromatin since mutants of the CLRC complex are completely devoid of heterochromatin (*Horn et al., 2005; Jia et al., 2005; Li et al., 2005; Nakayama et al., 2001; Thon et al., 2005*). In the *clr4-GS253* and *clr4-3FA* mutants, we observe a severe loss of heterochromatin, which validates that recognition of H3K14ub by Clr4 is critically important for the establishment and maintenance of heterochromatin. In a parallel study, Shan et al. have shown that H3K14ub and the Clr4 UBR are critical factors for sequestration of Clr4 on H3K9M mutants, which mimic oncogenic histone lysine-to-methionine mutants (*Shan et al., 2021*).

We have consistently observed H3K14ub-mediated stimulation in reactions with the isolated KMT domain of Clr4, and we have shown that the N-terminus of Clr4 is not essential for recognition of H3K14ub. Our observations are difficult to reconcile with the results reported in **Oya et al., 2019**, where an intact N-terminus was required for stimulation. We speculate that this discrepancy is caused by the use of different protein expression constructs. While Oya et al. use GST-tagged proteins, we have removed the tags for all constructs. An influence on biochemical activity by the GST-tag has been

observed previously for SUV39H2 (*Schuhmacher et al., 2015*), and it is therefore conceivable that a GST-tag also influences the behavior of Clr4. Shan et al. have confirmed independently that H3K14ub stimulates the isolated KMT domain as observed here (*Shan et al., 2021*).

The HDX-MS and mutagenesis results provide a valuable set of constraints that we employed to predict the atomic structure of the Clr4-H3K14ub complex by protein-protein docking using HADDOCK (**Dominguez et al., 2003**). The resulting model (**Figure 5C**) shows that the H3K14ub peptide can comfortably fit the H3 peptide into the binding groove and simultaneously cover Clr4 residues 253–256 mutated in Clr4-GS253 and F427 mutated in Clr4-3FA with its K14-linked ubiquitin moiety. The model suggests that F310, which is also mutated in Clr4-3FA, is unlikely to be involved directly in the interaction with ubiquitin as the N-terminal residues 253–256 shield F310 from interacting with ubiquitin (**Figure 5D**).

Comparing this structural model with the best understood regulatory mechanisms of other SET domain proteins reveals interesting parallels and suggests a mechanism for how H3K14ub might stimulate the enzymatic activity of Clr4. EZH2, the catalytic subunit of the polycomb repressive complex PRC2, which methylates H3K27, is stimulated through conformational changes triggered by binding of its own H3K27me product to the neighboring subunit EED in the PRC2 complex (Jiao and Liu, 2015; Justin et al., 2016; Brooun et al., 2016). Intriguingly, the UBR that we identified by HDX-MS corresponds structurally to the 'SET activation loop' (SAL) observed in PRC2 structures, which stabilizes the SET-I domain, a region inside the SET domain that provides one side of the peptide binding groove (Qian and Zhou, 2006; Wu et al., 2010). In a further example, the mixed lineage leukemia complexes with catalytic SET domain proteins MLL1 or MLL3 are activated by structural rearrangement of the regulatory subunits RBBP5 and ASH2L. RBBP5 thereby assumes a similar position as the SAL in PRC2 and stabilizes the SET-I domain in coordination with ASH2L (Li et al., 2016). In these experiments, apo MLL3 and the MLL3-ASH2L-RBBP5 complex showed little structural differences in the positioning of SET-I, but complex formation significantly reduced the conformational dynamics of the SET-I domain. The structural similarities between these regulatory mechanisms identify the SET-I domain as a potential mediator of the H3K14ub stimulation. In our model, the SET-I domain is sandwiched between the H3K14-linked ubiquitin moiety and the H3 peptide bound in the active site (Figure 5C and D). Therefore, binding of H3K14ub to Clr4 might not only contribute to high substrate affinity but also to an optimally organized active site.

Bioinformatic analysis of the UBR sequence using Hidden Markov Models suggests that the UBR sequence is well conserved in the Ascomycetes clade of fungi, which includes the Neurospora crassa Dim-5 protein for example (Figure 5—figure supplement 1D and E). Comparing the Clr4 motif with motifs obtained using homologous sequences from human SUV39H2, human G9a, and Arabidopsis SUVH4 shows that SUV39H2's motif is similar to Clr4, while G9a and SUVH4 diverge significantly. This is consistent with our observation that H3K14ub can stimulate SUV39H2, but not G9A or SUVH4. The function of H3K14ub in human cells is poorly understood, but it has been detected by mass spectrometry (Harrison et al., 2016). In combination with the conservation of the H3K14ub mediated stimulation in SUV39H2, this suggests that the crosstalk observed in fission yeast is conserved in mammals. Further studies will be required to determine the prevalence of the crosstalk between H3K9me2/3 and H3K14ub, and the role it plays in the biology of eukaryotic organisms.

Materials and methods

Key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Gene (S. pombe)	clr4	PomBase	SPBC428.08c	
Gene (S. pombe)	rik1	PomBase	SPCC11E10.08	
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h + leu1-32 ura4DS/E ade6-M210 imr1R(Ncol)::ura4 ori1	Allshire et al., 1995	FY498	
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- mat1m::cyhS smtO rpl42- P56Q (cyhR) ade6M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18	Roguev et al., 2007	P392	

Continued on next page

eLife Research article

Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h– ade6-M210 his1-102 leu1-32 ura4-D18 otr1 R(dg-glu)Sph1::ade6 imr1L(Nco1)::ura4	Ekwall et al., 1997	FY1191	
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h + ade6 DN/N leu1-32 ura4-DS/E imr1L(Nco1)::ura4 otr1R(Sph1)::ade6	Ekwall et al., 1997	FY2002	
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- ura4-D18	Simanis Lab	S.057	Figure 4D and E
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- 3xFLAG-Clr4 imrlR(Ncol)::ura4 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4DS/E oril	This study*	S.OAT	
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h + rpl42-P56Q (cyhR) ade6M210 ura4-D18 clr4∆::rpl42-natMX	This study*	S.OCT	-
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h + rpl42-P56Q (cyhR) ade6M210 ura4-D18 clr4∆::KanMX	This study*	S.0D7	-
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- clr4∆::KanMX ura4-D18	This study*	S.0H1	Figure 4D andE
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- rik1::rik1-13myc-KanR	This study*	S.OJE	Figure 4C
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h + rik1::rik1-13myc-KanR ura4-D18 his2-? leu1-32	This study*	S.OJL	-
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- 3xFLAG-clr4-D253G/P254G/N255S/ F256G imr1R(Ncol)::ura4 oril ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4DS/E	This study*	S.0KK	-
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- 3xFLAG-Clr4 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18	This study*	S.OLL	Figure 4C, D and E
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- 3xFLAG-clr4-D253G/P254G/N255S/ F256G ade6-M210 ura4-D18	This study*	S.OLN	Figure 4C, D and E
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- 3xFLAG-Clr4 imr1L(Nco1)::ura4 otr1R(Sph1)::ade6 ade6-? leu1-32 ura4-DS/E	This study*	S.OLP	Figure 4A and B
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- 3xFLAG-clr4-D253G/P254G/N255S/ F256G imr1L(Nco1)::ura4 otr1R(Sph1)::ade6 ade6-? leu1-32 ura4-DS/E	This study*	S.OLS	Figure 4A and B
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h + clr4∆::KanMX ade6-DN/N ura4-?	This study *	S.OMS	-
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h + rik1::rik1-13myc-KanR 3xFLAG-Clr4 his2- ? leu1-32 ura4-D18	This study*	S.OMT	Figure 4C
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- rik1-13myc 3xFLAG-clr4-D253G/P254G/ N255S/F256G his2-? leu1-32 ura4-D18	This study*	S.OMU	Figure 4C
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- 3xFLAG-clr4-F256A/F310A/F427A rpl42- P56Q (cyhR) ade6M210 ura4-D18	This study*	S.0MX	Figure 4C
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- clr4∆::KanMX otr1R(dg-glu)Sph1::ade6 imr1L(Nco1)::ura4 ade6-? leu1-32 ura4-?	This study*	S.ONC	Figure 4A
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- 3xFLAG-clr4-F256A/F310A/F427A otr1R(dg-glu)Sph1::ade6 imr1L(Nco1)::ura4 ade6-M210 ura4-D18	This study*	S.OND	Figure 4A and B
Strain, strain background (S. pombe)	h- 3xFLAG-clr4-F256A/F310A/F427A rik1::rik1-13myc-KanR ade6-M210 ura4-D18	This study*	S.ONF	Figure 4C, D and E
Sequence-based reagent	Oligonucleotides	Supplementary file 1A		

Continued on next page



Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Sequence-based reagent	Peptides	Supplementary file 1B		
Sequence-based reagent	sgRNAs	Supplementary file 1C		
Recombinant DNA reagent	pSMT3 (6xHIS tag)	Chris Lima – Cornell University Mossessova and Lima, 2000		6xHIS-Sumo tagging plasmid
Recombinant DNA reagent	pSMT3_Clr4(1-490)	This study*	P.OPI	Figure 1
Recombinant DNA reagent	pSMT3_Clr4KMT (192-490)	This study*	P.OQW	Figures 1 and 2, and 3
Recombinant DNA reagent	pSMT3_Clr4KMT (192-490)-GS253	This study*	P.18B	Figure 3
Recombinant DNA reagent	pSMT3_Clr4KMT (192-490)–3FA	This study*	P.18C	Figure 3
Recombinant DNA reagent	pSumo-RSFDuet SUVH4 (93–624)	Steven Jacobsen – University of California Los Angeles Du et al., 2014		Figure 5
Recombinant DNA reagent	pet28a_SUV39H2	Addgene, Cheryl Arrowsmith	RRID:Addgene_25115	Figure 5
Recombinant DNA reagent	pET38a_G9a	Addgene, Cheryl Arrowsmith	RRID:Addgene_25503	Figure 5
Antibody	Rabbit polyclonal anti-H3K9me1	Abcam	ab8896	(ChIP: 1 µg)
Antibody	Mouse monoclonal anti-H3K9me2	Abcam	ab1220	(ChIP: 1 µg)
Antibody	Recombinant mono clonal anti-H3K9me3	Diagenode	C15500003	(ChIP: 1 µg)
Antibody	Mouse monoclonal anti-RNA PolII	Abcam	ab817	(ChIP: 1 µg)
Antibody	Mouse monoclonal anti-FLAG-M2	Sigma	F1804	(ChIP: 1 µg, WB: 1:5000)
Antibody	Rabbit recombinant monoclonal anti-H3K14ac	Abcam	ab52946	(ChIP: 1 µg)
Antibody	Mouse monoclonal anti-Myc-Tag	Cell Signaling Technology	9B11	(WB: 1:3000)
Antibody	Mouse monoclonal anti-γ-Tubulin	Sigma-Aldrich	T6557	(WB: 1:5000)
Chemical compound, drug	5-Fluoroorotic acid (FOA)	US Biological	F5050	
Chemical compound, drug	Geneticin (G418 sulfate)	Invitrogen	10131019	
Chemical compound, drug	Cycloheximide	Alfa Aesar	J66901.03	
Chemical compound, drug	Nourseothricin	Werner Bioagents	5.001.000	
Chemical compound, drug	Formaldehyde	Sigma-Aldrich	F8775	
Chemical compound, drug	Ethylene glycol bis-succinimidyl succinate (EGS)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	21565	

Continued on next page

eLife Research article

Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Chemical compound, drug	Dynabeads Protein A	Thermo Fisher Scientific	10001D	
Chemical compound, drug	Dynabeads MyOne Streptavidin C1	Thermo Fisher Scientific	65001	
Chemical compound, drug	Trizol	Thermo Fisher Scientific	15596026	
Chemical compound, drug	cOmplete EDTA free	Roche	11873580001	
Chemical compound, drug	Myc-Trap	Chromotek	ytma-20	
Chemical compound, drug	Adenosyl-L-methionine, S-[methyl-3H]/SAM	Perkin Elmer	NET155V250UC	
Chemical compound, drug	Phosphocellulose paper 541	Jon Oakhill, St Vincent's Institute of Medical Research, Melbourne, Australia		
Commercial assay or kit	EvoScript Universal cDNA Master	Roche	07912439001	
Commercial assay or kit	LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master	Roche	04707516001	
Commercial assay or kit	EPIgeneous Methy Itransferase Assay kit	Cisbio	62SAHPEB	
Commercial assay or kit	MTase-Glo Methyl transferase Assay	Promega	V7601	
Software, algorithm	RStudio	RStudio, Inc	Version 1.2.5042	Fitting enzyme kinetics and plotting graphs
Software, algorithm	R	R Foundation	Version 3.6.3	Fitting enzyme kinetics and plotting graphs

*Reagent is available upon request from the authors.

Generation of S. pombe strains

S. pombe strains were grown and manipulated using standard techniques unless differently stated. The list of strains used in this study can be found in the Key resources table. Strains were crossed and analyzed either by tetrad dissection or random spore analysis. For tetrad dissection, only spores from complete tetrads were further analyzed. In the absence of any selection markers, the genotype was analyzed by colony PCR. The strain $clr4\Delta$::kanMX was generated by transforming a wild-type strain with a DNA fragment containing the kanMX cassette plus 80 bp of sequence found upstream and downstream of clr4 ORF. The transformants were selected on YES plates containing 100 µg/ml of G418, and correct integration was confirmed by PCR and sequencing. The strain 3xFLAG-clr4 was generated by CRISPR mutagenesis of a wild-type strain using as homologous repair template a fragment of DNA containing the 3xFLAG tag plus 500 bp of homology flanking the cleavage site and a silent point mutation disrupting the PAM site. The 3xFLAG-clr4-GS253 strain was generated with the same approach by transforming the 3xFLAG-clr4 strain with DNA containing the desired mutations and homologies. The sgRNA sequences used for CRISPR are indicated in Supplementary file 1C. The clr4∆::rpl42-natMX strain was generated by transformation of a rpl42.sP56Q host strain with a DNA fragment containing the rpl42-natMX cassette plus 500 bp of homology for sequences flanking clr4 ORF. The integrants were selected by rounds of positive selection on rich media containing 100 µg/ml nourseothricin, and followed by negative selection on plates containing 100 µg/ml cycloheximide. The integrations were tested by PCR and sequencing. To generate the 3xFLAG-clr4-3FA mutant strain, the clr4A::rpl42-natMX strain was transformed with a DNA fragment containing the desired mutations and

500 bp homology arms outside the *rpl42-natMX* cassette. The strain was selected with rounds of positive and negative selection on YES plates containing cycloheximide and nourseothricin, respectively. The strain *rik1-13xmyc-kanR* was generated by transformation of a wild-type strain with a *13xmyc-kanR* cassette with homology for the 3'end of *rik1*. The strain was selected on plates containing G418. All transformants were analyzed by PCR, sequenced, and the strains were outcrossed at least once. For plate-based silencing assays, reporter strains were generated by crossing the strains of interest with strains containing the marker genes *ura4+* and *ade6+* integrated at the centromere I (*imr1L::ura4+*, *otr1R::ade6+*).

Silencing assays in S. pombe

Strains were grown overnight at 30 °C in YES to a density of 5×10^6 cells/ml. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in water. 10-fold serial dilutions were spotted onto PMG, PMG-Ura, and PMG +1 mg/ml 5-FOA, with 1×10^4 cells in the highest density spots.

RT-qPCR

RT-qPCR was performed as previously described (*Leopold et al., 2019*). Briefly, strains were grown in YES to a density of 5×10^6 cells/ml, harvested by centrifugation, washed with water, and frozen at -70 °C until further usage. RNA was purified by Trizol extraction followed by phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Contaminant DNA was removed by DNasel treatment and phenol/chloroform extraction followed by ethanol precipitation. cDNA was generated with Evo-Script Universal cDNA Master kit (Roche) and qPCR performed using SYBR Green I Master kit on LightCycler 480 instrument (Roche). qPCR primers used in this study are listed in *Supplementary file 1A*, and *act1+* was used for normalization. Data were analyzed using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method (*Yuan et al., 2006*).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

ChIP was performed as previously described with some modifications (*Leopold et al., 2019*). Briefly, cells for ChIP were grown in 50 ml of YES medium to a density of 2×10^7 cells/ml. For RNA Polymerase II, H3K9me1, H3K9me2, and H3K9me3, cells were fixed in 1% formaldehyde for 30 min. For 3xFLAG-Clr4, a dual-crosslinking approach was employed as previously described (*Tian et al., 2012*). Cells were incubated at 18 °C for 2 hr, resuspended in 5 ml PBS, and crosslinked at room temperature (RT) with 1.5 mM ethylene glycol bis-succinimidyl succinate (EGS, Thermo Scientific). After 30 min of incubation, 1% formaldehyde was added and cells were crosslinked for further 30 min. The residual formaldehyde was quenched with 125 mM glycine for 5 min. Cells were washed and stored at -70 °C until further usage.

Pellets were resuspended in ChIP Buffer (50 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM PMSF, cOmplete EDTA free (Roche)), and lysed by bead-beating. Chromatin was enriched by centrifugation, resuspended in lysis buffer, and sonicated for 15 min (30 s/30 s ON/ OFF) in a Bioruptor Pico. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation. For each IP, 50 μ I of sheared soluble chromatin was diluted with 450 μ I ChIP Buffer, mixed with 1 μ g of antibody and incubated for 2 hr, followed by 45 min incubation with 30 μ I of Dynabeads Protein A or G. For H3K9me3, 0.75 μ g of anti-H3K9me3 (Diagenode C15500003) was pre-incubated with 30 μ I of Dynabeads MyOne Streptavidin C1, followed by blocking with 5 μ M biotin, according to the manufacturer's instructions. The loaded beads were then added to the diluted chromatin and incubated for 2 hr.

The bead/protein complex was washed three times with ChIP Buffer, once with high salt buffer (50 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.6, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA), once with LiCl buffer (5 mM Tris-Cl pH 8, 250 mM LiCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.05% Tween 20), and once with TE (10 mM Tris-Cl pH 8, 1 mM EDTA). The protein-DNA complex was eluted in elution buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl pH 8, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) at 65 °C for 15 min, and the crosslinking reversed overnight at 65 °C. The samples were then treated with proteinase K, and DNA was purified by phenol-chloroform. qPCR was performed using the primers given in **Supplementary file 1A**; act1+ was used as internal control.

Co-immunoprecipitation from S. pombe cells

Cells were grown overnight at 30 °C to a density of 1.0×10^7 cells/ml, washed once with PBS, and the pellet frozen at -70 °C until further usage. Pellets were resuspended in co-IP buffer (50 mM HEPES/ KOH pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM CHAPS, 10 mM DTT) supplemented with cOmplete

EDTA free (Roche). The cells were mixed with the same volume of 0.5 mm glass beads and ruptured by bead beating in a Fastprep24 (MP Biomedical). Cell debris was removed by centrifugation and the supernatant incubated with Myc-Trap magnetic beads (ChromTek) for 1 hr at 4 °C. The unbound fraction was removed, and the beads were washed five times with co-IP buffer. The bound proteins were eluted by boiling in PGLB (125 mM Tris-HCl, 4% SDS, 50% glycerol, 0.2% Orange G) supplemented with 100 mM DTT. The proteins of interest were analyzed by Western blot.

Western blotting

Samples were run on 10% Bis-Tris gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad). Proteins were detected by Western blotting using antibodies against either tag or protein of interest, followed by incubation with secondary antibodies labeled with the DyLight fluorophores, and scanning with the Odyssey Imaging System (LI-COR).

Protein expression in Escherichia coli

All Clr4 protein constructs were cloned as N-terminal fusions to a 6xHIS-SUMO-tag in pSMT3 using Gibson cloning (*Gibson et al., 2009*; *Mossessova and Lima, 2000*). All constructs were expressed in the Rosetta2(DE3) strain. Cells were grown at 37 °C to log-phase in 2xTY media supplemented with 2% ethanol, cooled down on ice, and induced with 0.4 mM IPTG at 16 °C for 18 hr. Pellets were resuspended in NiNTA wash buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole pH 7.5, 1 mM β -mercaptoethanol) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (PIC) (2 μ M pepstatin, 2 μ M leupeptin, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM Benzamidine, 1 μ g/ml aprotinin) and frozen at –80 °C until further usage.

Protein purification from E. coli

For purification, frozen cells were quickly thawed at 37 °C, supplemented with PIC, and ruptured by using either sonication or the Emulsiflex homogenizer. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation. The cleared lysate was filtered through a 0.45 µm filter and loaded on a HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare). The full-length Clr4 was enriched from the cleared lysate by fractionation with 40% NH₄SO₄. The pellet containing Clr4 was then resuspended in NiNTA wash buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole pH 7.5, 1 mM β -mercaptoethanol), filtered through a 0.45 μ m filter, and loaded on a HisTrap HP column. After washing with NiNTA wash buffer, the proteins were eluted by either gradient or step elution in elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 300 mM imidazole pH 7.5, 1 mM β -mercaptoethanol). All proteins containing a 6xHIS-SUMO-tag were treated with Ulp1 while being dialyzed overnight in cleavage buffer (5 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM β -mercaptoethanol). SUV39H2 and G9a were treated with thrombin to remove the 6xHIS-tag and dialyzed into cleavage buffer. The cleaved tags and Ulp1 were removed by negative purification on a HisTrap HP column. All proteins were further purified by SEC (Superdex75 or Superdex200, GE Healthcare) in gel filtration buffer (5 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT). Peak fractions were concentrated as needed in Amicon Ultra spin concentrators. For methyltransferase assays, the concentrated proteins were mixed 1:1 with glycerol, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -8 0°C until further usage. Clr4FL, SUV39H2, and SUVH4 were frozen without glycerol.

Materials for peptide synthesis

All solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. All amino acid derivatives, 2-chlorotrityl chloride (2 Cl Trt) resin and 2-(7-Aza-1H-benzotriazole -1-yl)–1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU) were purchased from Novabiochem, Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIEA), and piperidine were from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium). O-(6-Chlorobenzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N',N'tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HCTU) was from Carl Roth GmbH (Karsruhe, Netherlands). Hydrazine monohydrate was purchased from Alfa Aesar (Heysham, UK), acetonitrile (ACN) from Avantor Performance Materials (USA). Tentagel S RAM resin, hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt), tris(2carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), diethylether, phenylsilane, tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0), trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), dichloromethane (DCM), triisopropylsilane (TIS), L-glutathione reduced (GSH), sodium diethyldithiocarbamate trihydrate, and methyl thioglycolate (MTG) were from Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany). 2,2'-Azobis[2-(2-imidazolin-2-yl)propane]dihydrochloride (VA-044) was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). All other commonly used chemical reagents and buffer components were from Applichem (Darmstadt, Germany) and Fisher Scientific (Reinach, Switzerland).

Instrumentation for peptide synthesis and purification

Reaction vessels for manual peptide synthesis as well as the automated Tribute peptide synthesizer were from Protein Technologies Inc. Analytical RP-HPLC analysis was performed on an Agilent 1260 series instrument using an analytical Agilent Zorbax C18 column (column dimensions: 150 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μ m particle size) at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. All RP-HPLC analyses were done with 0.1% (v/v) TFA in H₂O (RP-HPLC solvent A) and 90% ACN and 0.1% (v/v) TFA in H₂O (RP-HPLC solvent B) as mobile phases. Typically, a gradient from 0% to 70% solvent A to solvent B over 30 min was used for analytical RP-HPLC analyses unless otherwise stated. Purification of proteins on a semi-preparative scale were performed on an Agilent 1260 series instrument using a semi-preparative Agilent Zorbax C18 column (column dimensions: 250 mm × 9.4 mm, 5 μ m particle size) at a flow rate of 4 ml/min. Lyophilization was carried out with a Telstar LyoQuest freeze dryer. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometric (ESI-MS) analysis was conducted on a Shimadzu MS2020 single quadrupole instrument connected to a Nexera UHPLC system. Mass spectra were acquired by electrospray ionization in positive ion mode in the mass range of 200–2000 m/z.

Preparation of Fmoc-Aa-hydrazine-Cl-trityl-resin

Preparation of Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-NHNH-Cl-Trt-resin was performed as reported previously (**Stavropoulos et al., 1996**). In general, 0.50 g 2-Cl-Trt-resin (substitution: 1.63 mmol/g, 1.00 eq., 0.82 mmol) were swollen in 3.00 ml DMF for 30 min at RT. Subsequently, the resin was allowed to cool to 0 °C and 1.00 ml of a solution containing DIEA (3.00 eq., 2.45 mmol, 427 μ l) and hydrazine monohydrate (2.00 eq., 1.64 mmol, 80 μ l) in DMF was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred 1 hr at RT. 100 μ l methanol (MeOH) was added, the resin was stirred 10 min at RT, transferred to a reaction vessel for manual peptide synthesis, and washed thoroughly with DMF.

Due to the low stability of the hydrazine resin, the first amino acid Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH was coupled manually by standard Fmoc chemistry (**Atherton and Sheppard**, **1989**). The loading of the 2-CI-Trt-resin with hydrazine was assumed to be 50% (1.00 eq., 0.41 mmol). Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH (5.00 eq., 2.05 mmol) was activated with 3.90 ml of a 0.50 M HATU solution (4.76 eq., 1.95 mmol) in DMF followed by 2 min incubation at RT. Then, 714 μ I DIEA (10.00 eq., 4.10 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was incubated another 1 min at RT. The activated amino acid was added to the resin, incubated 30 min at RT, and washed with DMF. To ensure high yield, the coupling procedure was repeated. Finally, the resin was washed with DMF, DCM, and MeOH and dried under vacuum. Resin substitution was determined by treating a defined amount of resin with 20% piperidine in DMF for 30 min at RT, followed by spectrophotometric quantification of released dibenzofulven-related species (resin loading: 0.51 mmol/g).

Automated solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS)

The sequences of the two peptides synthesized by Fmoc-SPPS are summarized in **Supplementary file** 1B. The synthetic procedures and analytical data are presented in the following. General protocol: the peptides were synthesized by the Tribute peptide synthesizer (PTI) on the previously prepared Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-hydrazine-Cl-Trt-resin (peptide P1) or on Tentagel S RAM resin (peptide P2) yielding peptides with C-terminal hydrazide (peptide P1) or amide (peptide P2). The syntheses were performed on 0.1 mmol scale using Fmoc chemistry. The following base-resistant groups were employed to protect amino acid side chains: Arg(Pbf), Thr(tBu), Lys(Boc), Gln(Trt), Asn(Trt), Ser(tBu). Of note, Lys14 (peptide P1) and Lys18 (peptide P2) were protected with Alloc protecting group. To maximize synthesis yield, pseudoproline dipeptide building blocks were used and amino acids were double coupled where necessary.

Briefly, the N-terminal Fmoc-group was deprotected with 20% (v/v) piperidine in DMF. Activation of amino acid (5.00 eq., 0.50 mmol) was achieved by addition of HCTU (4.76 eq. 0.48 mmol) and DIEA (10.00 eq., 1.00 mmol). The coupling step was performed by adding the activated amino acid to the resin, followed by 30 min incubation at RT. When the full-length peptides were assembled, the peptidyl-resin was washed with DMF, DCM, and MeOH and dried under vacuum. Importantly, the

N-terminal Fmoc protecting group was not removed at this stage to allow further manipulation of the peptide.

Alloc deprotection

The peptidyl-resin was swollen for 30 min in DCM. Alloc deprotection of Lys14 (peptide P1) or Lys18 (peptide P2) was initiated by addition of 1.00 ml of dry DCM and PhSiH₃ (24 eq., 24 mmol), followed by Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.25 eq., 0.025 mmol) in 3.00 ml dry DCM. The peptidyl-resin was incubated for 30 min at RT and washed with DCM. The deprotection reaction with PhSiH₃ and Pd(PPh₃)₄ was repeated two more times. The resin was thoroughly washed with DCM followed by washing with 0.5% (v/v) DIEA in DMF; 0.5% (w/v) sodium-diethyldithiocarbamate in DMF; 50% (v/v) DCM in DMF; 0.5% (w/v) HOBt in DMF and extensively washed with DMF.

Manual coupling of Boc-Cys(Trt)-OH

The cysteine residue was coupled manually to the ε -amino group of Lys14 (peptide P1) or Lys18 (peptide P2), yielding peptides P1' and P2' respectively (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1A*). Boc-Cys(Trt)-OH (5 eq., 0.50 mmol) was activated by addition of 0.95 ml of a 0.50 M HATU solution (4.76 eq., 0.48 mmol) in DMF, followed by 2 min incubation at RT. 172 µl DIEA (10 eq., 1 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was incubated another 1 min at RT. The activated amino acid was added to the peptidyl-resin, incubated 30 min at RT, and washed with DMF. To ensure high yield, the coupling procedure was repeated. Finally, the resin was washed thoroughly with DMF.

N-terminal Fmoc deprotection and cleavage from the resin

Fmoc deprotection was achieved by treating the peptidyl-resin with 10.00 ml 20% (v/v) piperidine in DMF for 5 min. The deprotection step was repeated.

The peptides were cleaved from the resin using either 95% (v/v) TFA, 2.5% (v/v) TIS, and 2.5% (v/v) H₂O. The crude peptides were precipitated by addition of ice-cold diethyl ether, recovered by centrifugation, dissolved in 50% (v/v) acetonitrile in H₂O, flash-frozen, and lyophilized.

Preparation of ubiquitin-MESNa

Ubiquitin (G76C) was expressed in Rosetta2(DE3) cells as a C-terminal fusion to the N-terminal half of the Npu split intein, followed by a hexahistidine tag (*Kilic et al., 2018*). Bacterial cells were resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Na-phosphate buffer pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 40 mM imidazole pH 7.0) and ruptured by sonication. Ubiquitin-NpuN-6xHIS was purified by Ni-affinity chromatography and eluted with 300 mM imidazole. The ubiquitin thioester was generated by intein derivatization with 100 mM sodium 2-mercaptoethanesulfonate (MESNa). The reaction was allowed to proceed for 16 hr at RT. Imidazole was removed by diafiltration and ubiquitin-MESNa was further purified by negative Ni-affinity chromatography to remove the NpuN-6xHIS tag. Finally, ubiquitin-MESNa was diafiltrated against 0.1% TFA, lyophilized, and stored at -20 °C.

One-pot ligation and desulfurization

Ubiquitin-MESNa (1.00 eq., 1.16 μ mol) was dissolved in 115.80 μ l ligation buffer (6.00 M GmdHCl, 0.20 M sodium phosphate, pH 7, degassed) to a final concentration of 10.00 mM and 0.77 μ l MTG (7.50 eq., 8.70 μ mol) were added to the solution. The thiol-thioester exchange reaction was allowed to proceed for 20 min at RT. Ligation between ubiquitin-MTG thioester and the cysteine residue coupled to Lys14 (peptide P1) or Lys18 (peptide P2) was initiated by addition of peptide P1' or peptide P2' (1.30 eq., 1.51 μ mol), followed by addition of 5.80 μ l of 0.50 M TCEP solution in ligation buffer. The ligation mixture was incubated at 25 °C for 16 hr. The progress of the reaction was monitored by RP-HPLC and ESI-MS analysis.

When the ligation was complete, radical desulfurization of the cysteine at the ligation site was performed in the same reaction tube without prior purification of the ligation product. TCEP desulfurization buffer (0.50 M TCEP, 6.00 M GdmHCl, 0.20 M phosphate, pH 7) was added to a final TCEP concentration of 0.25 M. The desulfurization reaction was initiated by addition of VA-044 and GSH to a final concentration of 30 mM and 40 mM, respectively. The reaction mixture was incubated at 42 ° C for 6 hr, and the progress of the reaction was monitored by RP-HPLC and ESI-MS analysis, yielding ubiquitin adducts P1" and P2" (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1*).

Purification of H3K14ub (P1'') and H3K18ub (P2'')

The ubiquitin adduct peptides P1" and P2" were purified by semi-preparative RP-HPLC on a linear gradient from 25% to 55% solvent B over 40 min. Pure fractions were pooled, lyophilized, and analyzed by analytical RP-HPLC and ESI-MS (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1B and C*).

Tritium-based histone methyltransferase assay

The HMT assays were performed in a total volume of 10 μ l by mixing the substrates with the indicated constructs in reaction buffer (25 mM sodium phosphate pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 10% v/v glycerol, 2.9 μ M (0.5 μ Ci) *S*-[Methyl-3 H]-adenosyl-L-methionine [1 mCi/mL, Perkin Elmer]) and incubated at 30 °C. Measurements were performed with 20 μ M of substrate, and 20 nM or 200 nM of each enzyme. Assay-specific concentrations and incubation times are indicated in the text and captions. For gelbased assays, the reactions were stopped by addition of 5 μ l of PGLB , 100 mM DTT and the unreacted SAM was removed by SDS-PAGE. The gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue, imaged, and incubated for 30 min in EN3HANCE (Perkin Elmer) prior to drying. The methylated histones were detected by fluorography.

For filter binding assays, the reactions were stopped by addition of 5 μ l 75% acetic acid, and 10 μ l of each mixture was spotted onto phosphocellulose filter paper disks. Each filter was washed for 5 min in 2 ml 100 mM sodium bicarbonate pH 9.0 for a total of three times. The activity on each disk was quantified in a liquid scintillation counter after 1 hr incubation in 3 ml ULTIMA GOLD F cocktail.

TR-FRET-based methyltransferase assay

The assays were performed using the EPIgeneous Methyltransferase kit (Cisbio). The reactions were performed by mixing the Clr4 constructs (30 nM) with the indicated substrates (1 nM --200 μ M for *Figure 1D*, 2 μ M in *Figure 1E*, and 20 μ M in *Figure 5—figure supplement 1B*) in Mtase Buffer (25 mM sodium phosphate pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 10% v/v glycerol) supplemented with 10 μ M SAM and incubated at 30 °C for 15 min, unless differently stated. The reactions were stopped and measured using a TECAN SPARK plate reader. Detection was performed at 620 and 665 nm wavelength. The 665/620 signal ratio was used to extrapolate the concentration of SAH from a standard curve.

Bioluminescence-based methyltransferase assay

Methyltransferase assays were performed with wild-type and mutant Clr4KMT domains on H3K14ub (using 20 nM Clr4) and unmodified H3 (using 1 μ M Clr4) in Mtase Buffer supplemented with SAM (10 μ M for H3K14ub, 100 μ M for H3). Reactions were stopped by addition of TFA to 0.1% and the production of SAH was measured using the MTase-Glo Methyltransferase Assay kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions using a Hidex Sense microplate reader.

Hydrogen deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS)

HDX reactions were done in 50 μ l volumes with a final protein concentration of 3 μ M of enzyme. The dynamics of Clr4 was compared in three different conditions: (i) soluble Clr4, (ii) complex of Clr4 bound to ubiquitinated H3 peptide, and (iii) Clr4 in the presence of an excess of H3 peptide. Dynamics of ubiquitinated H3 peptide was investigated by comparing its D2O incorporation alone or when in complex with Clr4. Protein or protein complexes were prepared in 5 μ l volume, and deuterium exchange was initiated by addition of 45 μ l of deuterated buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4/150 mM NaCl/10 mM DTT). For the condition of Clr4 with an excess of H3 peptide, the deuterated buffer was supplemented with H3 peptide (from lyophilized powder). Exchange was carried out at RT for four timepoints (3 s, 30 s, 300 s, 3000 s) and terminated by the addition of 20 μ l ice-cold quench buffer (3 M guanidine – HCl/100 mM NaH₂PO₄ pH 2.5/1% formic acid). All experiments were repeated in duplicates. Samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C for up to 2 weeks.

Protein samples were thawed and injected in a UPLC system immersed in ice. The protein was digested via two immobilized pepsin columns (Thermo #23131), and peptides were collected onto a VanGuard precolumn trap (Waters). The trap was subsequently eluted and peptides separated with a C18, 300 Å, 1.7 μ m particle size Fortis Bio column 100 × 2.1 mm over a gradient of 8–30%B over 18 min at 90 μ l/min (Buffer A: 0.1% formic acid; buffer B: 100% acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid). Mass spectra were acquired on an Orbitrap Velos Pro (Thermo), for ions from 400 to 2200 m/z using an electrospray ionization source operated at 160 °C, 5 kV of ion spray voltage. Peptides were identified

by data-dependent acquisition after MS/MS, and data were analyzed by Mascot. Deuterium incorporation levels were quantified using HD examiner software (Sierra Analytics), and the quality of every peptide was checked manually. Results are presented as a percentage of maximal deuteration, with a theoretical maximal deuteration level of 86.3%. All experimental details and data of percentage deuterium incorporation for all peptides can be found in *Supplementary files 2–4*. Differences in exchange in a peptide were considered significant if they met all three of the following criteria: (1) for Clr4: \geq 7 % change in exchange, \geq 0.6 Da difference in exchange, and a p-value<0.05 using a two-tailed Student's t-test; and (2) for ubiquitin: \geq 9% change in exchange, \geq 1.3 Da difference in exchange, and a p-value<0.05 using a two-tailed Student's t-test.

Isothermal titration calorimetry

ITC experiments were performed at 23 °C using a MicroCal VP-ITC calorimeter (Malvern Panalytical). All proteins and peptides used were dialyzed overnight against ITC buffer (25 mM sodium phosphate pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 10% v/v glycerol, 0.5 mM TCEP) prior to experiments. 10 μ I of the indicated Clr4 constructs at 50 μ M were injected in 180 s time intervals in the cell containing the H3K14ub peptide at 5 μ M. After subtracting heat enthalpies for titrations of the respective proteins into buffer, the ITC data were analyzed using the Origin software provided by the manufacturer.

Molecular docking

Molecular docking was performed using Clr4KMT¹⁹²⁻⁴⁹⁰ (PDBID:1MVH), ubiquitin (PDBID:1UBQ), and a peptide comprising residues 7–17 of histone H3. The input peptide was derived by extension of the H3 peptide bound to *N. crassa* DIM-5 (PDBID:1PEG). Docking was performed with a local version of HADDOCK 2.0 using the interaction interface identified by HDX-MS as restraint.

Crystallization of Clr4-3FA

Clr4-3FA was purified as described above. Clr4-3FA at 20 mg/ml was mixed with H3K14ub peptide and S-adenosyl-homocysteine to a ratio of 1:1.2:10 (protein:peptide:SAH) and diluted to a concentration of 10 mg/ml. Protein was diluted 1:1 with reservoir solution (71.4 mM MES, 28.6 mM imidazole, 20% PEG 10 K, 30 mM magnesium acetate, 6.6% v/v MPD, 6.6% v/v PEG 1000, 6.6% v/v PEG 3350) and incubated at 18 °C in sitting-drop vapor diffusion setup. Crystals were directly frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Crystallographic data collection and structure determination

X-ray diffraction data were collected at the Diamond Light Source beamline I04 (Didcot, Oxford, UK) at a wavelength of 0.97950 Å. The datasets were analyzed using XDS and the CCP4 suite (*Kabsch, 2010*; *Winn et al., 2011*). Data were corrected for anisotropy using the STARANISO server (http://staraniso. globalphasing.org). Molecular replacement was performed using MOLREP and the coordinates from PDBID 6BOX (chain A) as search model (*Vagin and Teplyakov, 2010*). Refinement was performed using REFMAC, and Coot was used to build the Clr4-3FA model (*Emsley et al., 2010*; *Murshudov et al., 2011*). Structural alignments and figures were generated in PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 1.8, Schrödinger, LLC. Data collection and refinement statistics are presented in *Table 2*.

Accession codes

Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession code 6Z2A.

Acknowledgements

We thank Janet Partridge, Robin Allshire, and Shiv Grewal for providing strains. We thank Steven Jacobsen, Chris Lima and Cheryl Arrowsmith for plasmids. We thank John Challiss, Jaswir Basran, and Raj Patel for support with enzymatic assays. We would like to thank Diamond Light Source for beamtime (proposal mx19880), and the staff of beamlines IO4 for assistance with crystal testing and data collection. BF was supported by EPFL. OV was supported by a Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) Ambizione Fellowship (PZ00P3_148269). TS was supported by a SNSF Professorship (PP00P3_139137, PP00P3_163760_1, PP00P3_172904), a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences

Research Council Project Grant (BB/S018549/1) and contributions by Fondation Ernst et Lucie Schmidheiny, Fonds Constantin Topali and Société Académique de Genève.

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung	PP00P3_139137	Thomas Schalch
Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung	PP00P3_163760_1	Thomas Schalch
Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung	PP00P3_172904	Thomas Schalch
Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung	PZ00P3_148269	Oscar Vadas
Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council	BB/S018549	Thomas Schalch

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Alessandro Stirpe, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing; Nora Guidotti, Sinan Kilic, Investigation, Methodology; Sarah J Northall, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation; Alexandre Hainard, Resources; Oscar Vadas, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing; Beat Fierz, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing; Thomas Schalch, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing; Thomas Schalch, Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing

Author ORCIDs

Alessandro Stirpe b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2006-4066 Oscar Vadas b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3511-6479 Thomas Schalch b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0758-3013

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.62682.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.62682.sa2

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Supplementary file 1. Oligonucleotide and peptide sequences.

(A) Oligonucleotides used in qPCR experiments in *Figure 4*. (B) Peptide sequences used for synthesis of ubiquitinated H3 substrates. (C) sgRNA sequences used for CRISPR mutagenesis.

• Supplementary file 2. Hydrogen deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) data for Clr4 alone and in complex with H3 or H3K14ub peptides.

• Supplementary file 3. Hydrogen deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) data for H3K14ub alone and in complex with Clr4.

• Supplementary file 4. Hydrogen deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) data collection statistics.

• Transparent reporting form

Data availability

Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession code 6Z2A.

The following dataset was generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Stirpe A, Schalch T	2021	Structure of Clr4 mutant - F256A/F310A/F427A bound to SAH	https://www.rcsb.org/ structure/6Z2A	RCSB Protein Data Bank, 6Z2A

References

- Allshire RC, Nimmo ER, Ekwall K, Javerzat JP, Cranston G. 1995. Mutations derepressing silent centromeric domains in fission yeast disrupt chromosome segregation. *Genes & Development* **9**: 218–233. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.9.2.218, PMID: 7851795
- Alper BJ, Job G, Yadav RK, Shanker S, Lowe BR, Partridge JF. 2013. Sir2 is required for Clr4 to initiate centromeric heterochromatin assembly in fission yeast. *The EMBO Journal* **32**: 2321–2335. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2013.143, PMID: 23771057
- Atherton E, Sheppard RC. 1989. Solid Phase Peptide Synthesis: A Practical Approach, Practical Approach Series. Oxford, England ; New York: IRL Press.
- Audergon PNCB, Catania S, Kagansky A, Tong P, Shukla M, Pidoux AL, Allshire RC. 2015. Epigenetics. Restricted epigenetic inheritance of H3K9 methylation. *Science* **348**: 132–135. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science. 1260638, PMID: 25838386
- Bannister AJ, Zegerman P, Partridge JF, Miska EA, Thomas JO, Allshire RC, Kouzarides T. 2001. Selective recognition of methylated lysine 9 on histone H3 by the HP1 chromo domain. *Nature* 410: 120–124. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/35065138, PMID: 11242054

Bayne EH, White SA, Kagansky A, Bijos DA, Sanchez-Pulido L, Hoe KL, Kim DU, Park HO, Ponting CP, Rappsilber J, Allshire RC. 2010. Stc1: A critical link between RNAi and chromatin modification required for heterochromatin integrity. *Cell* 140: 666–677. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.038, PMID: 20211136

Bjerling P, Silverstein RA, Thon G, Caudy A, Grewal S, Ekwall K. 2002. Functional divergence between histone deacetylases in fission yeast by distinct cellular localization and in vivo specificity. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **22**: 2170–2181. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.22.7.2170-2181.2002, PMID: 11884604

Brooun A, Gajiwala KS, Deng YL, Liu W, Bolaños B, Bingham P, He YA, Diehl W, Grable N, Kung PP, Sutton S, Maegley KA, Yu X, Stewart AE. 2016. Polycomb repressive complex 2 structure with inhibitor reveals a mechanism of activation and drug resistance. *Nature Communications* 7: 1–12. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ ncomms11384, PMID: 27122193

Bühler M, Haas W, Gygi SP, Moazed D. 2007. RNAi-Dependent and -independent RNA turnover mechanisms contribute to heterochromatic gene silencing. *Cell* **129**: 707–721. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.03. 038, PMID: 17512405

- **Buscaino A**, White SA, Houston DR, Lejeune E, Simmer F, de Lima Alves F, Diyora PT, Urano T, Bayne EH, Rappsilber J, Allshire RC. 2012. Raf1 is a DCAF for the Rik1 DDB1-like protein and has separable roles in siRNA generation and chromatin modification. *PLOS Genetics* **8**: e1002499. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pgen.1002499, PMID: 22319459
- Buscaino A, Lejeune E, Audergon P, Hamilton G, Pidoux A, Allshire RC. 2013. Distinct roles for Sir2 and RNAi in centromeric heterochromatin nucleation, spreading and maintenance. *The EMBO Journal* 32: 1250–1264. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2013.72, PMID: 23572080

- Dillon SC, Zhang X, Trievel RC, Cheng X. 2005. The SET-domain protein superfamily: Protein lysine methyltransferases. *Genome Biology* 6: 227. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2005-6-8-227, PMID: 16086857
- Dominguez C, Boelens R, Bonvin AMJJ. 2003. HADDOCK: a protein-protein docking approach based on biochemical or biophysical information. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **125**: 1731–1737. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ja026939x, PMID: 12580598
- Du J, Johnson LM, Groth M, Feng S, Hale CJ, Li S, Vashisht AA, Wohlschlegel JA, Patel DJ, Jacobsen SE. 2014. Mechanism of DNA methylation-directed histone methylation by KRYPTONITE. *Molecular Cell* 55: 495–504. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.06.009, PMID: 25018018
- Ekwall K, Ruusala T. 1994. Mutations in rik1, clr2, clr3 and clr4 genes asymmetrically derepress the silent mating-type loci in fission yeast. *Genetics* 136: 53–64. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/136.1.53, PMID: 8138176
- **Ekwall K**, Olsson T, Turner BM, Cranston G, Allshire RC. 1997. Transient inhibition of histone deacetylation alters the structural and functional imprint at fission yeast centromeres. *Cell* **91**: 1021–1032. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0092-8674(00)80492-4, PMID: 9428524
- **Emsley P**, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. 2010. Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallographica. Section D, Biological Crystallography **66**: 486–501. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910007493, PMID: 20383002
- Fischer T, Cui B, Dhakshnamoorthy J, Zhou M, Rubin C, Zofall M, Veenstra TD, Grewal SIS. 2009. Diverse roles of HP1 proteins in heterochromatin assembly and functions in fission yeast. *PNAS* **106**: 8998–9003. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0813063106, PMID: 19443688
- Gerace EL, Halic M, Moazed D. 2010. The methyltransferase activity of Clr4Suv39h triggers RNAi independently of histone H3K9 methylation. *Molecular Cell* **39**: 360–372. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2010.07.017, PMID: 20705239
- Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang RY, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, Smith HO. 2009. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nature Methods* 6: 343–345. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth. 1318, PMID: 19363495
- Greer EL, Shi Y. 2012. Histone methylation: A dynamic mark in health, disease and inheritance. *Nature Reviews.* Genetics 13: 343–357. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3173, PMID: 22473383
- Grewal SI. 2010. RNAi-dependent formation of heterochromatin and its diverse functions. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development* 20: 134–141. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2010.02.003, PMID: 20207534
- Harrison JS, Cornett EM, Goldfarb D, DaRosa PA, Li ZM, Yan F, Dickson BM, Guo AH, Cantu DV, Kaustov L, Brown PJ, Arrowsmith CH, Erie DA, Major MB, Klevit RE, Krajewski K, Kuhlman B, Strahl BD, Rothbart SB. 2016. Hemi-methylated DNA regulates DNA methylation inheritance through allosteric activation of H3 ubiquitylation by UHRF1. *eLife* 5: e17101. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.17101, PMID: 27595565
- Hong EJE, Villén J, Gerace EL, Gygi SP, Moazed D. 2005. A cullin E3 ubiquitin ligase complex associates with Rik1 and the Clr4 histone H3-K9 methyltransferase and is required for RNAi-mediated heterochromatin formation. RNA Biology 2: 106–111. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4161/rna.2.3.2131, PMID: 17114925
- Horn PJ, Bastie JN, Peterson CL. 2005. A Rik1-associated, cullin-dependent E3 ubiquitin ligase is essential for heterochromatin formation. Genes & Development 19: 1705–1714. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1328005, PMID: 16024659
- Iglesias N, Currie MA, Jih G, Paulo JA, Siuti N, Kalocsay M, Gygi SP, Moazed D. 2018. Automethylation-induced conformational switch in Clr4 (Suv39h) maintains epigenetic stability. *Nature* 560: 504–508. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0398-2, PMID: 30051891
- Janssen A, Colmenares SU, Karpen GH. 2018. Heterochromatin: Guardian of the Genome. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology 34: 265–288. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100617-062653, PMID: 30044650
- Jia S, Kobayashi R, Grewal SIS. 2005. Ubiquitin ligase component Cul4 associates with Clr4 histone methyltransferase to assemble heterochromatin. *Nature Cell Biology* 7: 1007–1013. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1038/ncb1300, PMID: 16127433
- Jiao L, Liu X. 2015. Structural basis of histone H3K27 trimethylation by an active polycomb repressive complex 2. Science **350**: aac4383. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac4383, PMID: 26472914
- Jih G, Iglesias N, Currie MA, Bhanu NV, Paulo JA, Gygi SP, Garcia BA, Moazed D. 2017. Unique roles for Histone H3K9me states in RNAi and heritable silencing of transcription. *Nature* **547**: 463–467. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature23267, PMID: 28682306
- Justin N, Zhang Y, Tarricone C, Martin SR, Chen S, Underwood E, De Marco V, Haire LF, Walker PA, Reinberg D, Wilson JR, Gamblin SJ. 2016. Structural basis of oncogenic histone H3K27M inhibition of human polycomb repressive complex 2. *Nature Communications* **7**: 11316. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11316, PMID: 27121947
- Kabsch W. 2010. XDS. Acta Crystallographica. Section D, Biological Crystallography 66: 125–132. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909047337
- Kaniskan HÜ, Martini ML, Jin J. 2018. Inhibitors of protein methyltransferases and demethylases. *Chemical Reviews* **118**: 989–1068. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00801, PMID: 28338320
- Kilic S, Boichenko I, Lechner CC, Fierz B. 2018. A bi-terminal protein ligation strategy to probe chromatin structure during DNA damage. *Chemical Science* 9: 3704–3709. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/C8SC00681D, PMID: 29780501

- Kochert BA, Iacob RE, Wales TE, Makriyannis A, Engen JR. 2018. Hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry to study protein complexes in. Marsh JA (Ed). *Editor. Protein Complex Assembly*. New York, NY: Springer. p. 153–171. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7759-8_10
- Kuscu C, Zaratiegui M, Kim HS, Wah DA, Martienssen RA, Schalch T, Joshua-Tor L. 2014. CRL4-like Clr4 complex in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* depends on an exposed surface of Dos1 for heterochromatin silencing. *PNAS* 111: 1795–1800. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1313096111, PMID: 24449894
- Leopold K, Stirpe A, Schalch T. 2019. Transcriptional gene silencing requires dedicated interaction between HP1 protein Chp2 and chromatin remodeler Mit1. Genes & Development 33: 565–577. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1101/gad.320440.118, PMID: 30808655
- Li F, Goto DB, Zaratiegui M, Tang X, Martienssen R, Cande WZ. 2005. Two novel proteins, Dos1 and Dos2, interact with Rik1 to regulate heterochromatic RNA interference and histone modification. *Current Biology* **15**: 1448–1457. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2005.07.021, PMID: 16040243
- Li Y, Han J, Zhang Y, Cao F, Liu Z, Li S, Wu J, Hu C, Wang Y, Shuai J, Chen J, Cao L, Li D, Shi P, Tian C, Zhang J, Dou Y, Li G, Chen Y, Lei M. 2016. Structural basis for activity regulation of MLL family methyltransferases. *Nature* **530**: 447–452. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16952, PMID: 26886794
- Melcher M, Schmid M, Aagaard L, Selenko P, Laible G, Jenuwein T. 2000. Structure-function analysis of SUV39H1 reveals a dominant role in heterochromatin organization, chromosome segregation, and mitotic progression. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 20: 3728–3741. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.20.10.3728-3741.2000, PMID: 10779362
- Mellone BG, Ball L, Suka N, Grunstein MR, Partridge JF, Allshire RC. 2003. Centromere silencing and function in fission yeast is governed by the amino terminus of histone H3. *Current Biology* **13**: 1748–1757. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2003.09.031, PMID: 14561399
- Min J, Zhang X, Cheng X, Grewal SIS, R-M X. 2002. Structure of the SET domain histone lysine methyltransferase Clr4. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 9: 828–832. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsb860
- Mossessova E, Lima CD. 2000. Ulp1-SUMO crystal structure and genetic analysis reveal conserved interactions and a regulatory element essential for cell growth in yeast. *Molecular Cell* 5: 865–876. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/S1097-2765(00)80326-3, PMID: 10882122
- Motamedi MR, Hong EJE, Li X, Gerber S, Denison C, Gygi S, Moazed D. 2008. HP1 proteins form distinct complexes and mediate heterochromatic gene silencing by nonoverlapping mechanisms. *Molecular Cell* 32: 778–790. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2008.10.026, PMID: 19111658
- Müller MM, Fierz B, Bittova L, Liszczak G, Muir TW. 2016. A two-state activation mechanism controls the histone methyltransferase Suv39h1. Nature Chemical Biology 12: 188–193. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio. 2008, PMID: 26807716
- Murshudov GN, Skubák P, Lebedev AA, Pannu NS, Steiner RA, Nicholls RA, Winn MD, Long F, Vagin AA. 2011. Refmac5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. Acta Crystallographica. Section D, Biological Crystallography 67: 355–367. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444911001314, PMID: 21460454
- Nakayama JI, Rice JC, Strahl BD, Allis CD, Grewal SI. 2001. Role of histone H3 lysine 9 methylation in epigenetic control of heterochromatin assembly. *Science* 15: 15. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1060118
- Oya E, Nakagawa R, Yoshimura Y, Tanaka M, Nishibuchi G, Machida S, Shirai A, Ekwall K, Kurumizaka H, Tagami H, Nakayama JI. 2019. H3K14 ubiquitylation promotes H3K9 methylation for heterochromatin assembly. EMBO Reports 20: e48111. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201948111, PMID: 31468675
- Potter SC, Luciani A, Eddy SR, Park Y, Lopez R, Finn RD. 2018. HMMER web server: 2018 update. Nucleic Acids Research 46: W200–W204. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky448, PMID: 29905871
- Qian C, Zhou MM. 2006. SET domain protein lysine methyltransferases: Structure, specificity and catalysis. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 63: 2755–2763. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-006-6274-5
- Ragunathan K, Jih G, Moazed D. 2015. Epigenetics. Epigenetic inheritance uncoupled from sequence-specific recruitment. *Science* **348**: 1258699. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1258699, PMID: 25831549
- Roguev A, Wiren M, Weissman JS, Krogan NJ. 2007. High-throughput genetic interaction mapping in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Nature Methods* 4: 861–866. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth1098, PMID: 17893680
- Schalch T, Job G, Shanker S, Partridge JF, Joshua-Tor L. 2011. The Chp1-Tas3 core is a multifunctional platform critical for gene silencing by RITS. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 18: 1351–1357. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nsmb.2151, PMID: 22081013
- Schuhmacher MK, Kudithipudi S, Kusevic D, Weirich S, Jeltsch A. 2015. Activity and specificity of the human SUV39H2 protein lysine methyltransferase. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Regulatory Mechanisms* 1849: 55–63. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2014.11.005
- Shan CM, Kim JK, Wang J, Bao K, Sun Y, Chen H, Yue JX, Stirpe A, Zhang Z, Lu C, Schalch T, Liti G, Nagy PL, Tong L, Qiao F, Jia S. 2021. The Histone H3K9M mutation synergizes with H3K14 ubiquitylation to selectively sequester histone H3K9 methyltransferase Clr4 at heterochromatin. *Cell Reports* 35: : S2211-1247(21)00476-9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109137, PMID: 34010645
- Shimada Y, Mohn F, Bühler M. 2016. The RNA-induced transcriptional silencing complex targets chromatin exclusively via interacting with nascent transcripts. *Genes & Development* 30: 2571–2580. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1101/gad.292599.116, PMID: 27941123
- Stavropoulos G, Gatos D, Magafa V, Barlos K. 1996. Preparation of polymer-bound trityl-hydrazines and their application in the solid phase synthesis of partially protected peptide hydrazides. *Letters in Peptide Science* 2: 315–318. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00142245

- Thon G, Hansen KR, Altes SP, Sidhu D, Singh G, Verhein-Hansen J, Bonaduce MJ, Klar AJS. 2005. The Clr7 and Clr8 directionality factors and the Pcu4 cullin mediate heterochromatin formation in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Genetics* **171**: 1583–1595. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.105.048298, PMID: 16157682
- Tian B, Yang J, Brasier AR. 2012. Two-step cross-linking for analysis of protein-chromatin interactions. Methods in Molecular Biology 809: 7. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-376-9_7, PMID: 22113271
- Vagin A, Teplyakov A. 2010. Molecular replacement with MOLREP. Acta Crystallographica. Section D, Biological Crystallography 66: 22–25. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909042589, PMID: 20057045
- Verdel A, Jia S, Gerber S, Sugiyama T, Gygi S, Grewal SIS, Moazed D. 2004. RNAi-mediated targeting of heterochromatin by the RITS complex. *Science* 303: 672–676. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1093686, PMID: 14704433
- Wang Y, Kallgren SP, Reddy BD, Kuntz K, López-Maury L, Thompson J, Watt S, Ma C, Hou H, Shi Y, Bähler J, O'Connell MJ, Jia S. 2012. Histone H3 lysine 14 acetylation is required for activation of a DNA damage checkpoint in fission yeast. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 287: 4386–4393. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.M111.329417, PMID: 22184112
- Winn MD, Ballard CC, Cowtan KD, Dodson EJ, Emsley P, Evans PR, Keegan RM, Krissinel EB, Leslie AGW, McCoy A, McNicholas SJ, Murshudov GN, Pannu NS, Potterton EA, Powell HR, Read RJ, Vagin A, Wilson KS. 2011. Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. Acta Crystallographica. Section D, Biological Crystallography 67: 235–242. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910045749, PMID: 21460441
- Wu H, Min J, Lunin VV, Antoshenko T, Dombrovski L, Zeng H, Allali-Hassani A, Campagna-Slater V, Vedadi M, Arrowsmith CH, Plotnikov AN, Schapira M. 2010. Structural biology of human H3K9 methyltransferases. PLOS ONE 5: e8570. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008570, PMID: 20084102
- Yamada T, Fischle W, Sugiyama T, Allis CD, Grewal SIS. 2005. The nucleation and maintenance of heterochromatin by a histone deacetylase in fission yeast. *Molecular Cell* 20: 173–185. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.molcel.2005.10.002, PMID: 16246721
- Yuan JS, Reed A, Chen F, Stewart CN. 2006. Statistical analysis of real-time PCR data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 7: 85. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-7-85, PMID: 16504059
- Zhang K, Mosch K, Fischle W, Grewal SIS. 2008. Roles of the Clr4 methyltransferase complex in nucleation, spreading and maintenance of heterochromatin. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* **15**: 381–388. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1406, PMID: 18345014