

SORBS2 is a genetic factor contributing to cardiac malformation of 4q deletion syndrome patients

Fei Liang^{1,2†}, Bo Wang^{3†}, Juan Geng³, Guoling You³, Jingjing Fa³, Min Zhang², Hunying Sun⁴, Huiwen Chen⁵, Qihua Fu³*, Xiaoqing Zhang³*, Zhen Zhang²*

¹Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Shanghai Pediatric Congenital Heart Disease Institute and Pediatric Translational Medicine Institute, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China; ²Shanghai Pediatric Congenital Heart Disease Institute and Pediatric Translational Medicine Institute, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China; ³Shanghai Key Laboratory of Clinical Molecular Diagnostics for Pediatrics, Pediatric Translational Medicine Institute, Shanghai, China; ⁴Key Laboratory of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology Ministry of Health and Pediatric Translational Medicine Institute, Shanghai, China; ⁴Key Laboratory of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology Ministry of Health and Pediatric Translational Medicine Institute, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China; ⁵Department of thoracic and cardiac surgery, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China; ⁵Department of thoracic and cardiac surgery, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China; ⁶Department of thoracic and cardiac surgery, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China; ⁶Department of thoracic and cardiac surgery, Shanghai Children's

*For correspondence:

zhenzhang@sjtu.edu.cn (ZZ); qfu@shsmu.edu.cn (QF); qingxiao18@163.com (XZ)

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 17

Received: 12 February 2021 Accepted: 16 May 2021 Published: 08 June 2021

Reviewing editor: Antonio Baldini, University Federico II, Italy

© Copyright Liang et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. **Abstract** Chromosome 4q deletion is one of the most frequently detected genomic imbalance events in congenital heart disease (CHD) patients. However, a portion of CHD-associated 4q deletions without known CHD genes suggests unknown CHD genes within these intervals. Here, we have shown that knockdown of *SORBS2*, a 4q interval gene, disrupted sarcomeric integrity of cardiomyocytes and caused reduced cardiomyocyte number in human embryonic stem cell differentiation model. Molecular analyses revealed decreased expression of second heart field (SHF) marker genes and impaired NOTCH and SHH signaling in *SORBS2*-knockdown cells. Exogenous SHH rescued *SORBS2* knockdown-induced cardiomyocyte differentiation defects. *Sorbs2^{-/-}* mouse mutants had atrial septal hypoplasia/aplasia or double atrial septum (DAS) derived from impaired posterior SHF with a similar expression alteration. Rare *SORBS2* variants were significantly enriched in a cohort of 300 CHD patients. Our findings indicate that *SORBS2* is a regulator of SHF development and its variants contribute to CHD pathogenesis. The presence of DAS in *Sorbs2^{-/-}* hearts reveals the first molecular etiology of this rare anomaly linked to paradoxical thromboembolism.

Introduction

Copy number variation (CNV) is a common structural variation in human genome and causes a variety of genetic syndromes. The identification of causal disease gene(s) within CNV intervals is crucial to understand the pathogenesis of the related disease. Chromosome 4q deletion syndrome is a genetic disease resulting from a chromosomal aberration that causes the missing of a portion of chromosome four long arm (*Strehle and Bantock, 2003*). Patients have a spectrum of clinical manifestations including craniofacial, cardiovascular, and gastrointestinal abnormalities, and mental and growth deficiencies (*Strehle and Bantock, 2003*). Congenital heart disease (CHD) is a common

CC

defect seen in about half of the 4q deletion patients. A previous study narrowed the cardiovascular critical region to 4q32.2–q34.3, which contains *TLL1*, *HPGD*, and *HAND2* genes (*Xu et al., 2012*). Over-represented right-sided CHDs in 4q deletion syndrome patients suggest that *HAND2*, an essential regulator of the second heart field (SHF), is mainly responsible for the CHD phenotype (*Xu et al., 2012*; *Huang et al., 2002*). However, a part of terminal 4q deletions with CHDs that we and others have discovered does not cover *HAND2*(*Geng et al., 2014*; *Strehle et al., 2012*; *Tsai et al., 1999*). *SORBS2* within chromosomal 4q35.1 has been proposed as a candidate gene for CHD of terminal 4q deletion syndrome based on an unusual small interstitial deletion (*Strehle et al., 2012*). However, there has been no further evidence to substantiate it ever since. Here, we have presented evidence from in vitro cardiogenesis, animal model, and mutation analyses to demonstrate that *SORBS2* is a genetic factor regulating cardiac development and contributing to cardiac malformation of the CHD population.

Results

SORBS2 is required for cardiomyocyte differentiation and the integrity of sarcomeric structure

To recapitulate SORBS2 haploinsufficiency of 4q deletion, we knocked down SORBS2 in human embryonic stem cell lines (H1-hESC). We used two different short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) to knock down SORBS2, and similar knockdown efficiencies (~40% of wild-type expression level) were achieved (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1A*). SORBS2 knockdown did not affect clone morphology, pluripotency marker expression, and apoptosis of human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1B–1F*). After in vitro cardiac differentiation (*Burridge et al., 2014; Figure 1—figure supplement 2A*), spontaneous beating started to appear at differentiation day 8 (D8) in both control and *SORBS2*-knockdown cells, but differentiated *SORBS2*-knockdown cardiomyocytes contracted much weaker (*Videos 1–3*). Since *SORBS2*-knockdown embyonic stem cells from different shRNAs had similar phenotypes (*Figure 1—figure supplement 2B, Videos 1–3*), we only used *SORBS2-shRNA1* for further analyses.

The cardiomyocyte differentiation efficiency (the proportion of cTnT⁺ cells) was significantly decreased in *SORBS2*-knockdown group at D15 (*Figure 1A–B*). Since *SORBS2* is a structural component of sarcomeric Z-line and cardiomyopathy gene (*Ding et al., 2020*; *Li et al., 2020*; *Sanger et al., 2010*), we examined the myofibril structure of differentiated cardiomyocytes. Most cardiomyocytes in *SORBS2*-knockdown group presented a round or oval shape instead of polygonal or spindle-like outlines in control group, and a close lookup indicated that sarcomeric structure in cells with abnormal shapes was disrupted (*Figure 1C*). The percentage of cardiomyocytes with well-organized sarcomeres and a normal shape was much lower in *SORBS2*-knockdown group (*Figure 1D*). Disrupted sarcomeric structures in *SORBS2*-knockdown cardiomyocytes were also present in transmission electron microscopy analysis





Video 1. Beating D20 control cardiomyocytes. https://elifesciences.org/articles/67481#video1

Video 2. Beating D20 *shRNA-SORBS2-1* cardiomyocytes. https://elifesciences.org/articles/67481#video2

eLife Short report

Developmental Biology



Video 3. Beating D20 *shRNA-SORBS2-2* cardiomyocytes https://elifesciences.org/articles/67481#video3

(Figure 1—figure supplement 3A). The expression of sarcomeric genes TNNT2, MYL7, MYH6, and MYH7 was significantly decreased (Figure 1—figure supplement 3B-3E).

The weakened beating force of SORBS2knockdown cardiomyocytes might be derived from abnormal electrophysiology. To this end, we examined the electrical activities of dissociated D30 cardiomyocytes by patch clamping. The dominant type of cardiomyocytes is ventricular-like in both control and SORBS2-knockdown groups (*Figure 1—figure supplement 3F*). Statistical analyses on action potential parameters of ventricular-like cells, including average action potential (AP) duration at 90% repolarization, average AP frequency, peak amplitude, and resting potential, showed no difference between two groups (*Figure 1—figure supplement 3G*-

3J).

SORBS2 knockdown decreased the expression of SHF marker genes

Having shown the reduced efficiency of cardiomyocyte differentiation in SORBS2-knockdown group, we hypothesized that SORBS2 had an early role in cardiomyocyte differentiation. Expression dynamics showed that SORBS2 was up-regulated at cardiac progenitor stage D5 after a transient absence at mesodermal cell stage (D2-D3) (Figure 1E). Consistently, SORBS2-knockdown group disrupted the expression of cardiac progenitor markers, whereas mesodermal markers remained unchanged (Figure 1F-H, Figure 1-figure supplement 4A-4E). There are two sets of molecularly distinct cardiac progenitors during mammalian heart development, referred to as the first and second heart fields (FHF and SHF), which contribute to distinct anatomical structures of the heart (Srivastava, 2006). Interestingly, we found significantly increased expression of FHF markers (TBX5, HCN4, HAND1) (Figure 1-figure supplement 4C-4E) while significantly decreased expression of SHF markers (TBX1, ISL1, MEF2C) in SORBS2-knockdown cells (Figure 1F-H). SHF gives rise to cardiac outflow, right ventricle, and inflow (Kelly, 2012). Any defect in these embryonic structures leads to CHDs commonly seen in 4q deletion syndrome.

SORBS2 knockdown decreased NOTCH and SHH signaling

To understand how SORBS2 regulates SHF progenitor commitment, we collected D5 cells for RNAseq. Using a stringent threshold (padj <0.05, |log₂(fold change)|>1), we selected out 160 down-regulated and 104 up-regulated genes for gene ontology (GO) analysis (Figure 1I, Supplementary file 1-2). Results showed that the up-regulated genes were enriched in biological processes like cell adhesion and so on (Figure 1J), which might be a compensatory reaction to reduced SORBS2 as a cytoskeleton component. The down-regulated genes were enriched in biological processes like heart development and so on (Figure 1K), suggesting that SORBS2 positively regulates cardiac development. Particularly, we noted the NOTCH signaling pathway in the down-regulated list (Figure 1K-L). We verified the expression of NOTCH signaling target genes HEY1, HEYL, and NRARP by qPCR (Figure 1—figure supplement 4F). In contrast, we did not see differential expression for NOTCH1 in RNA-seq (Figure 1L), suggesting that the regulation of SORBS2 on NOTCH signaling might be through modulating protein level. SORBS2 can interact with the non-receptor tyrosine kinase c-ABL as SH3 domain-containing adaptor (Kioka et al., 2002). The binding of SORBS2 to c-ABL triggers the recruitment of ubiquitin ligase CBL and leads to the ubiquitination of c-ABL (Soubeyran et al., 2003). Indeed, we noted that c-ABL protein level was significantly elevated in SORBS2-knockdown cells (Figure 1M). c-Abl can promote Notch endocytosis to modulate Notch signaling (Xiong et al., 2013). Consistently, NOTCH1 protein level decreased significantly in SORBS2-knockdown cells (Figure 1N). Notch signaling is a well-known molecular mechanism enhancing cellular response to Shh (Stasiulewicz et al., 2015). We noted that the expression of SHH and SHH signaling targets,

eLife Short report



Figure 1. *SORBS2* has a dual role in cardiogenesis. (A) Flow cytometry analysis of cardiomyocytes at differentiation day 15 (D15). P3 indicates $cTnT^+$ population. (B) Quantification of $cTnT^+$ cells (n = 3). **p<0.01; two-tailed Student's t test. (C) Immunostaining of D30 cells with anti-cardiac troponin I (cTnI, red) and anti- α -actinin (green) antibodies. Boxed areas are magnified in the lower panels. (D) Quantification of cardiomyocytes with well-organized sarcomeres (control: n = 211, *SORBS2*-knockdown: n = 197). **p<0.01; two-tailed Student's t test. (E) qPCR quantification of *SORBS2* expression dynamics (n = 3 for each time point). **p<0.01; two-tailed Student's t test. (F–H) qPCR quantification of second heart field (SHF) progenitor marker expression at different time points (n = 3 for each time point). *p<0.05, **p<0.01; two-tailed Student's t test. (I) Volcano plot illustrates the *Figure 1 continued on next page*



Figure 1 continued

differential gene expression from D5 RNA-seq data. Pink, down-regulated genes. Blue, up-regulated genes. ($|\log_2(fold change|>1 and padj <0.05)$. FC, fold change. (**J**, **K**) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of differentially expressed genes. Up-regulated pathways (**J**). Down-regulated pathways (**K**). DEGs, differentially expressed genes. (**L**) Heatmap illustrating gene expression changes of critical signaling pathways. Color tints correspond to expression levels. *padj <0.05. **padj <0.01. ***padj <0.001. (**M**) Western blot quantification of c-ABL expression on D5 cell lyses (n = 3). *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's t test. (**N**) Western blot quantification on D5 cell lyses (n = 3). *p<0.01; two-tailed Student's t test. (**O**) qPCR quantification analyses of SHH signaling target genes and SHF marker expression at D5 (n = 3 for each group). *p<0.05; **p<0.01; two-tailed Student's t test. (**P**) Representative images of immunofluorescent staining of D15 cells with anti-cardiac troponin T(cTnT, green) antibody. (**Q**) Quantification of cTnT⁺ cells (n = 6). **p<0.01; two-tailed Student's t test.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Characterization of shRNA-SORBS2 hESCs.

Figure supplement 2. In vitro cardiogenesis from hESCs.

Figure supplement 3. Cardiomyocyte defects in SORBS2-knockdown cells.

Figure supplement 4. Molecular profiling of SORBS2-knockdown hESC-derived mesoderm and cardiac progenitors.

PTCH1 and GL11, was also reduced in SORBS2-knockdown cells (Figure 1—figure supplement 4G). We applied recombinant SHH protein to check whether it can rescue defects caused by SORBS2 knockdown. As expected, exogenous SHH activated PTCH1 and GL11 expression (Figure 1O). It also up-regulated the expression of SHF markers ISL1 and MEF2C in D5 SORBS2-shRNA1 cells (Figure 1O) and rescued cardiomyocyte differentiation efficiency with more cells presenting a polygonal or spindle-like shape (Figure 1P and Q).

Sorbs2^{-/-} mice have atrial septal defect and defective dorsal mesenchyme protrusion

Since the entire *SORBS2* gene is absent in terminal 4q deletion, we used *Sorbs2* knockout mice to examine its role in cardiac development. In a previous report, about 40–60% *Sorbs2^{-/-}* mice died within 1 week after birth (*Zhang et al., 2016*), indicating a possible structural heart defect(s). To this end, we collected 137 embryos at E18.5. The ratio of genotype distribution among embryos was consistent with Mendel's law (*Supplementary file 3*), suggesting no embryo loss in early development stage. We dissected 30 *Sorbs2^{-/-}* embryos, and none of them showed conotruncal defect or ventricular septal defect (*Figure 2A–B*). However, we found that about 40% (12/30) *Sorbs2^{-/-}* hearts had atrial septal defect (ASD) with 10 being the absence/hypoplaisa of primary septum and two being double atrial septum (DAS) (*Figure 2C, Supplementary file 3*). The penetrance of ASD is similar to the ratio of reported postnatal lethality, indicating that ASD might contribute to early postnatal death.

A major part of atrial septum is derived from dorsal mesenchyme protrusion (DMP) originated from posterior SHF (*Kelly, 2012*). Our previous data indicates that *SORBS2* knockdown impaired the in vitro differentiation of SHF progenitors. We also noted DMP malformation in 5 out of 15 E10.5 *Sorbs2^{-/-}* embryos. The majority of them had DMP aplasia/hypoplasia (n = 4), whereas one of them had duplicated DMP (*Figure 2D*). The dichotomy of DMP morphology is consistent with two opposite ASD phenotypes seen in E18.5 embryos. Overall, the in vivo phenotype of *Sorbs2^{-/-}* mice further supports that *SORBS2* haploinsufficiency in 4q deletion contributes to CHD pathogenesis through affecting SHF development.

SORBS2 deficiency-induced molecular changes are highly conserved in mice

To examine *Sorbs2* expression pattern in early mouse embryos, we pooled publicly available singlecell transcriptomic profiles from E9.25 to 10.5 mouse embryonic hearts (*de Soysa et al., 2019*; *Hill et al., 2019*). We identified nine subgroups as cardiac progenitors or cardiomyocytes and noted that *Sorbs2* is highly expressed in cardiomyocytes and in a subgroup of cardiac progenitors that also express *IsI1* and *Tbx1* (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1*).

We used qPCR to validate molecular findings of hESCs in E10.5 mouse embryos. Since the penetrance of cardiac phenotype is about 40%, we increased the number of *Sorbs2^{-/-}* embryos according to this ratio. Consistent with hESC differentiation results, we detected significantly down-regulated expression in three out of four Notch and Shh signaling target genes (*Hey1*, *Heyl*, and *Ptch1*)



Figure 2. Cardiac phenotype of *Sorbs2^{-/-}* mice. (A) Gross view of embryos at E18.5. (B) Hematoxylin and eosin (HE)-stained paraffin sections of E18.5 hearts in conotruncal area. (C) HE-stained paraffin sections of E18.5 heart in atrial septum area. Asterisk indicates the absence of PAS. Two sections in the right are from the same heart with double atrial septum. The rightmost section is dorsal to the other. (D) HE-stained paraffin sections of E10.5 embryos. Arrow indicates DMP in the atria. Red asterisk indicates hypoplastic DMP in *Sorbs2^{-/-}* embryos. Double-headed arrow indicates a duplicated DMP in an *Sorbs2^{-/-}* embryo. Two sections in the right are from the same embryo with duplicated DMP. The rightmost section is lateral to the other. AO, aorta. PT, pulmonary trunk. LSA, left subclavian artery. RSA, right subclavian artery. LCA, left common carotid artery. RCA, right common carotid artery. LA, left atrium. RA, right atrium. LV, left ventricle. RV, right ventricle. PAS, primary atrial septum. AAS, accessory atrial septum. IVS, interventricular septum. DMP, dorsal mesenchymal protrusion.

(**Figure 3A**). Multivariate PERMANOVA (permutational multivariate analysis of variance) revealed a significant combined difference in Notch and Shh signaling target expression between wild-type and *Sorbs2^{-/-}* embryos (p<0.009).

To have a view of transcriptomic changes in *Sorbs2* mutants, we performed RNA-seq on E10.5 wild-type *Sorbs2^{+/-}* and *Sorbs2^{-/-}* embryos. Principal component analysis (PCA) indicates that wild-type embryos are clustered together, whereas *Sorbs2^{-/-}* embryos are more scattered (±), which is consistent with diverged cardiac phenotypes of *Sorbs2^{-/-}* embryos. Interestingly, the majority of *Sorbs2^{+/-}* samples are juxtaposed more closely to *Sorbs2^{-/-}* embryos in PCA plot, indicating a molecular phenotype in heterozygous mutants. We selected genes significantly down-regulated in heterozygous mutants (log2(fold change)>0.25, p<0.05) to perform GO analysis and found that these genes are enriched in pathways involved in muscle development and embryonic morphogenesis (*Figure 3C, Supplementary file 4*). Using the same threshold, we selected genes significantly down-regulated in homozygous mutants to perform GO analysis. These genes are enriched in pathways regulating heart development, myofibril assembly, and cardiac septum development (*Figure 3D, Supplementary file 5*). Particularly, the Notch signaling pathway was also in the enrichment list. Looking closer, we noted that genes involved in cardiac development, myofibril assembly, and contraction force were down-regulated in nearly all the homozygous mutants (*Figure 3E*). The decrease



Figure 3. Molecular changes in *Sorbs2* mutants. (A) qPCR quantification of *Sorbs2*, *Hey1*, *Heyl*, *Ptch1*, and *Gli1* expression (n = 5 for wild-type and heterozygous groups, n = 13 for homozygous group). **p<0.01, *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's t test. (B) Principal component analysis (PCA) plot of RNA-seq data shows sample clustering according to genotypes. (C) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of genes down-regulated in heterozygous mutants (Het). (D) GO analysis of genes down-regulated in homozygous mutants (Hom). (E) Hierarchical heatmap of cardiac genes. (F) Hierarchical heatmap of *Figure 3 continued on next page*



Figure 3 continued

posterior second heart field (SHF) markers. (G) RNA in situ hybridization of *Tbx5* on E10.5 embryos. (H) RNA in situ hybridization of *Hoxb1* on E10.5 embryos. (I) Hierarchical heatmap of Notch and Shh signaling genes. (J) RNA in situ hybridization of *Heyl* probe on E10.5 embryos. (K) Western blot quantification of c-Abl expression in E10 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (L) Western blot quantification of Notch1 expression in E10.5 embryos. *p<0.05; two-tailed Student's

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1. scRNA-seq analysis of Sorbs expression pattern in embryonic hearts.

of posterior SHF marker genes was less general but still clearly down-regulated in the majority of $Sorbs2^{-/-}$ embryos (*Figure 3F*). Decreased *Tbx5* (n = 3) and *Hoxb1* (n = 3 out of 4) expression in posterior SHF of $Sorbs2^{-/-}$ embryos was validated by RNA in situ hybridization (*Figure 3G and H*). A portion of embryos had obvious down-regulation of Notch and Shh signaling targets (*Figure 3I*), which is consistent with a low penetrance of ASD in homozygous mutants. RNA in situ hybridization confirmed decreased *Heyl* expression in posterior SHF of *Sorbs2^{-/-}* embryos (n = 1; *Figure 3J*). Next, we verified the upstream molecular changes of Notch signaling found in hESC differentiation model. Indeed, we noted that Notch1 expression level significantly decreased whereas c-ABL significantly increased in *Sorbs2^{-/-* embryos (*Figure 3K-L*).

Rare SORBS2 variants are significantly enriched in CHD patients

Rare genetic variants play a significant role in CHD occurrence (**Blue et al., 2017**), hence we used a rare variant association to help identify genes within CNVs responsible for CHDs. Besides 23 candidate CNV genes containing *SORBS2*, the targeted panel also includes 81 known CHD genes from literature. Targeted sequencing was performed on 300 complex CHD cases (two cases removed due to low-quality data). 220 Han Chinese descents from the 1000 genome project were used as controls. The ethnicity of these two groups was matched by PCA (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1*). A total of 1560 exonic variants from CHD and control groups passed quality control and were included for further analyses (*Figure 4A*). Variant distribution in the breakdown categories of CHD and control groups is shown in *Supplementary file 6*.

Of the 847 nonsynonymous variants, 43.57% (n = 369) variants had a minor allele frequency (MAF) below 1% across ExAC database and were adjudicated as 'damaging' by at least two algorithms (PolyPhen2, SIFT, or MutationTaster). We applied gene-based statistic tests to evaluate the cumulative effects of rare damaging variants (MAF<1%) on CHDs. Genes with at least two rare damaging variants (n = 57) were included for analysis (Supplementary file 7). 4 out of 57 genes (SORBS2, KMT2D, EVC2, and SH3PXD2B) had a p-value lower than 0.05 (one-tailed Fisher's exact) and two of them (SORBS2 and KMT2D) had a statistically significant mutation burden after the correction for multiple testing (q<0.20) (Figure 4B, Supplementary file 7). KMT2D is a well-known CHD gene (Ang et al., 2016; Jin et al., 2017). Our data indicate that rare SORBS2 variants have similar levels of enrichment in CHDs as the known CHD genes. The distribution of rare SORBS2 damaging variants in CHD patients spread throughout the gene (Figure 4C, Supplementary file 8). Although we didn't detect SORBS2 nonsense variants in CHD patients, missense mutations identified in our cohort caused protein aggregation in cells (Figure 4D), suggesting an abnormal function of these variant proteins. A high prevalence (85%, 17/20) of ASD, the defect seen in $Sorbs2^{-/-}$ hearts, was observed in patients carrying SORBS2 variants (Supplementary file 9). In our CHD cohort, we noted a significant enrichment of SORBS2 rare damaging variants in patients with ASD (17 out of 183 ASD patients versus 3 out of 117 non-ASD patients, p=0.0306, Fisher's exact test). These data further support that SORBS2 contributes to CHD pathogenesis.

Discussion

The common CHDs in 4q deletion syndrome include ASD, ventricular septal defect (VSD), pulmonary stenosis/atresia, and tetralogy of Fallot and so on **Strehle and Bantock**, **2003**; **Lin et al.**, **1988**. The affected structures are atrial septum and cardiac outflow tract, which are all derived from

eLife Short report



Figure 4. Rare *SORBS2* variants are enriched in CHD patients. (A) Descriptive statistics of the identified exonic variants. (B) Manhattan plot of genelevel Fisher's exact test of rare damaging variant counts between congenital heart disease (CHD) and control groups. Raw p-values of 0.05 and 0.01 are indicated by a blue line and a grey dash line, respectively. Genes (*SORBS2, KMT2D*) with a q-value lower than 0.2 are highlighted in red. Genes (*EVC2, SH3PXD2B*) with p<0.05 but q>0.2 are highlighted in green. (C) Illustration of rare damaging variants in *SORBS2*. Most variants are indicated in the longest *SORBS2* isoform (SORBS2-201). Three isoform-specific variants are shown in the corresponding exons. Variants in CHD and control groups are indicated by orange and pink dots, respectively. Variants appearing in both groups are indicated by grey dots. (D) Representative images of *Figure 4 continued on next page*



Figure 4 continued

immunofluorescent staining of HEK293 cells transfected with EGFP-tagged SORBS2 (isoform 206) or variants. Amino acid coding in the bracket is the sequence numbering of isoform 201. Red, phalloidin staining.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. Ethnic background comparison of CHD and control groups.

SHF (Kelly, 2012). Indeed, our data revealed that SORBS2 functions not only as a sarcomeric component to maintain cardiomyocyte function, but also as an adaptor protein to promote SHF progenitor commitment in in vitro cardiomyocyte differentiation. ASD is detected in Sorbs2^{-/-} mouse hearts. It supports that Sorbs2 regulates SHF development in vivo and its role is conserved across species. In both models, we detected an increased protein level of NOTCH1 endocytosis facilitator c-ABL, a decreased NOTCH1 protein level, and impaired SHH signaling. Notch and Shh signaling is essential for SHF development (Paige et al., 2015). Notch signaling promotes Smo accumulation in cilia and enhances cellular response to Shh, placing Notch upstream of Shh signaling (Stasiulewicz et al., 2015; Kong et al., 2015). Therefore, SORBS2 might promote SHF progenitor fate through c-ABL/ NOTCH/SHH axis. In addition, Tbx5 was also significantly down-regulated in posterior SHF of Sorb2 mutants. Tbx5-Hh molecular network is an essential regulatory mechanism in SHF for atrial septation (Xie et al., 2012). It is likely that Tbx5 downregulation also contributes to the pathogenesis of ASD through its effect on Hh signaling. Consistently, adding recombinant SHH protein is sufficient to rescue SHF marker gene expression and cardiomyocyte differentiation efficiency. However, TBX5 was up-regulated in D5 SORBS2-knockdown cells. It is likely that in vitro cardiomyocyte differentiation is a simplified model that cannot fully recapitulate the in vivo spatial and temporal information of various cardiac progenitors and, therefore, has less regulatory layers in which TBX5 may predominantly function as an FHF regulator. Indeed, Tbx5 knockdown reduces FHF progenitors and has no effect on SHF progenitors in an in vitro cardiogenesis model (Andersen et al., 2018).

Unlike human 4q deletion patients, *Sorbs2^{-/-}* mice have no conotruncal defect and the penetrance of ASD is only 40%, indicating a relatively small effect of *SORBS2* in CHD pathogenesis. The high penetrance of conotruncal defects in human 4q deletion patients may be due to genetic modifiers that, together with *SORBS2* haploinsufficiency, cause the developmental defect in cardiac outflow tract. An obvious genetic modifier is the *HAND2* gene, which is co-missing with *SORBS2* in large 4q deletions. Previous studies have shown that CHD is observed more frequently in patients with the terminal deletion at 4q31 than in patients with the terminal deletion at 4q34 or 4q35 (*Lin et al., 1988*). Since some terminal 4q deletions that do not cover *HAND2* still manifest conotruncal defects, there may be another genetic modifier in the terminal deletion region. *Helt*, whose human homologous *HELT* is located within 4q35.1, encodes a Hey-related bHLH transcription factor that is expressed in both the brain and heart, and mediates Notch signaling (*Nakatani et al., 2004*). Therefore, both *SORBS2* and *HELT* haploinsufficiency might synergistically impair NOTCH signaling and cause a cardiac outlfow tract (OFT) defect.

DAS, also called Cor triatriatum type C in the original report (**Thilenius et al., 1976**), is a very rare CHD characterized by an extra septal structure to the right side of primary atrial septum (**Roberson et al., 2006**). This anatomic abnormality is implicated as a cause of paradoxical thromboembolic event to stroke or heart attack (**Breithardt et al., 2006**). However, its etiology and pathogenesis are entirely unknown. Here, we have shown that *Sorbs2* deficiency can cause this abnormality. Interestingly, Cor triatriatum, another type of abnormal extra atrial septation, has been reported in a patient with a terminal 4q34.3 deletion (**Marci et al., 2015**), which includes *SORBS2* but not *HAND2*. It has been speculated that DAS might result from the persistence of embryologic structures or abnormal duplication of atrial septum. The impaired cardiogenesis in *Sorbs2*^{-/-} mice suggests that the latter scenario may be the underlying pathogenesis.

Materials and methods

Key resources table

Reagent type		C.		A 1 11-11 1	
(species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	information	
Antibody Anti-c-ABL (rabbit polyclonal)		Abclonal	A0282	(1:1000) RRID:AB_2757094	
Antibody	Anti-Notch1 (rabbit monoclonal)	Cell Signaling Technology	3608 s	(1:1000) RRID:AB_2153354	
Antibody	Anti-GAPDH (mouse monoclonal)	Abcam	ab8245	(1:1000) RRID:AB_2107448	
Antibody	Anti-Rabbit IgG (HRP) (goat polyclonal)	Abcam	ab6721	(1:5000) RRID:AB_955447	
Antibody	Anti-Mouse IgG (HRP) (goat polyclonal)	Abcam	ab205719	(1:5000) RRID:AB_2755049	
Antibody	Anti-TRA-1–60 (mouse monoclonal)	Abcam	ab16288	(1:200) RRID:AB_778563	
Antibody	Anti-Oct4 (rabbit polyclonal)	Abcam	ab18976	(1:200) RRID:AB_444714	
Antibody	Anti-SOX2 (rabbit monoclonal)	Abcam	ab92494	(1:200) RRID:AB_10585428	
Antibody	Anti-Cardiac Troponin I (mouse monoclonal)	Abcam	aab92408	(1:200) RRID:AB_10562928	
Antibody	Anti-α-Actinin (mouse monoclonal)	Sigma	A5044	(1:200) RRID:AB_476737	
Antibody	Anti-mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor 488 (goat polyclonal)	Invitrogen	A11029	(1:1000) RRID:AB_138404	
Antibody	Anti-rabbit IgG, AlexaFluor 633 (goat polyclonal)	Invitrogen	A21071	(1:1000) RRID:AB_2535732	
Antibody	Anti-Cardiac Troponin T (mouse monoclonal)	Abcam	ab8295	(1:200) RRID:AB_306445	
Antibody	FITC Anti- Cardiac Troponin T (mouse monoclonal)	Abcam	ab105439	(1:100) RRID:AB_10866306	
Transfected construct (human)	Lentivirus: SORBS2- shRNA- psPAX2- pMD2.G	Addgene	psPAX2 (12260, Addgene) pMD2.G (12259, Addgene)	Lentiviral construct to transfect and express the shRNA	
Cell line (Homo sapiens)	H1 hESC line	This paper	H1 hESC line-P21	Provided by Chen's lab in Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology (RRID:CVCL_9771)	

Continued on next page



Continued

Reagent type

(species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Cell line (Homo sapiens)	ShRNA-SORBS2- H1-hESC	This paper		Generated in Zhang's lab from Shanghai children's medical center
Software, algorithm	Clampfit 10.5/ Origin 8.0	OriginLab, Northampton, MA, USA		RRID:SCR_014212
Software, algorithm	Image J	Schneider et al., 2012	https://imagej. nih.gov/ij/	RRID:SCR_003070
Software, algorithm	R	R Core Team, 2014	https://www.r- project.org/	RRID:SCR_001905
Software, algorithm	Burrows-Wheeler Aligner	Li and Durbin, 2009	v0.7.17	RRID:SCR_010910
Software, algorithm	Picard Tools	Broad Institute	v2.21.8	RRID:SCR_006525
Software, algorithm	GATK	Broad Institute	v3.8	RRID:SCR_001876
Software, algorithm	Samtools	Li and Durbin, 2009	v1.9	RRID:SCR_002105
Software, algorithm	Annovar	Wang et al., 2010	v2019Oct24	RRID:SCR_012821
Biological sample (Homo sapiens)	Peripheral blood	This paper		Isolated from of 300 children with complex CHD from Shanghai Children's Medical Center
Sequence- based reagent	Primers for RT-PCR	This paper		Sequences are provided in Supplementary file 13
Sequence- based reagent	SORBS2-shRNA plasmid vectors (U6-MCS-Ubiquitin- Cherry-IRES- puromycin)	Shanghai Genechem Co	GIEE0117834	shRNA-1 and shRNA-2 are 5'-TCCTTGTAT CAGTCCTCTA-3' and 5'-TCGATTCCACA GACACATA-3', respectively
Sequence- based reagent	In situ probe for Mouse Tbx5	This paper		Provided by Dr. Lo's lab in University of Pittsburgh
Sequence- based reagent	In situ probe for Mouse Heyl	This paper		Generated in house. Primers: F-5' GCCAGG AGCATAGTCCCAAT, R-5' GGCCCTCAAC CCACTCCATGAC
Sequence- based reagent	In situ probe for Mouse Hoxb1	This paper		Generated in house. Primers: F–5' TTCCTTT TTAGAGTACCC ACTTTG, R-5' GTTTCTCTTGAC CTTCATCCAGTC
Commercial assay or kit	Illumina Genome Analyzer IIx platform	Illumina		
Commercial assay or kit	Agilent SureSelect Capture panel	Agilent		

Continued on next page



Continued

(species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Commercial assay or kit	Reverse Transcription Kit	Takara	RR037A	
Commercial assay or kit	SYBR Fast qPCR Mix	Takara	RR430A	
Commercial assay or kit	TUNEL staining	Yeasen Biotech	T18120	
Commercial assay or kit	Accutase	Stem cell Technologies	7920	
Commercial assay or kit	TRizol reagent	Thermo Fisher Scientific	15596018	
Commercial assay or kit	OCT	Thermo Fisher Scientific	6502	
Commercial assay or kit	Matrigel	BD Biosciences	354277	
Commercial assay or kit	TeSR-E8 medium	Stem cell Technologies	05840	
Commercial assay or kit	RPMI 1640	Gibco	C14065500	
Commercial assay or kit	L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate	Sigma	113170-55-1	213 µg/ml
Commercial assay or kit	Oryza sativa-derived recombinant human albumin	Healthgen Biotechnology Corp	HY100M1	500 µg/ml
Commercial assay or kit	CHIR99021	Stem cell Technologies	72052	6 μΜ
Commercial assay or kit	Wnt-C59	Peprotech Biogems	1248913	2 μΜ
Commercial assay or kit	Recombinant SHH protein	Sinobiological	10372-H08H1	
Commercial assay or kit	RIPA buffer	Beyotime	P0013B	
Other	B6.C-Tg(CMV-cre)1Cgn/J mice/C57	This paper		Jackson lab (RRID:IMSR_ JAX:006054)
Other	Sorbs2 flox/flox mice/C57	This paper		Gifts from Dr. Guoping Feng's lab (RRID:IMSR_ IAX:028600)

Mouse lines and breeding

Mice were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions at the animal facility of Shanghai Children's Medical Center. $Sorbs2^{flox/flox}$ mice (**Zhang et al., 2016**) were a gift from Dr. Guoping Feng's lab (McGovern Institute for Brain Research, MIT, Cambridge). $Sorbs2^{-}$ allele was obtained by breeding $Sorbs2^{flox}$ allele into CMV-Cre mouse (**Schwenk et al., 1995**). The strains were backcrossed with C57BL/6 to maintain the lines ever since we obtained them. 2- to 6-month-old males and females were used for timed mating and embryos were collected at E18.5. Neither anesthetic nor analgesic agent was applied. CO_2 gas in a closed chamber was used for euthanasia of pregnant dam and cervical dislocation was followed. Isolated fetuses were euthanized by cervical dislocation. Animal care and use were in accordance with the NIH guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Shanghai Children's Medical Center (SCMC-LAWEC-2017006).

Histological analysis

For cardiac phenotype analysis, embryos were collected at E18.5 and E10.5, and were fixed in 10% formalin overnight. Isolated hearts were processed for paraffin embedding, sectioned at a thickness of 4 μ m, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Stained sections were imaged using a Leica DM6000 microscope.

RNA in situ hybridization

E10.5 embryos were collected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) solution for 2 hr at room temperature, then dehydrated by 30% sucrose, and embedded in OCT (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 6502). 10 μ m cryosections were used for RNA in situ hybridization (ISH) according to standard procedure. *Tbx5* probe plasmid was a gift of Dr. Cecilia Lo (University of Pittsburgh). Hoxb1 and Heyl probes were generated in house through PCR amplification. Primers for *Hoxb1* probe: F-5' TTCCTTTTAGAGTACCCACTTTG, R-5' GTTTCTCTTGACCTTCATCCAGTC. Primers for *Heyl* probe: F-5' GCCAGGAGCATAGTCCCAAT, R-5' GGCCCTCAACCCACTCCATGAC.

H1 hESC cell cultures and cardiomyocyte differentiation

H1 hESC line (gift of Dr. Xin Cheng, Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology) was tested negative for mycoplasma with PCR assay. Undifferentiated H1 hESC lines were maintained in a feeder-free culture system. Briefly, we precoated the well plates with Matrigel (354277; BD Biosciences), and then seeded and cultured cells with TeSR-E8 medium (05840; Stemcell). When cells reached 80% confluence, they were passaged routinely with Accutase (07920; Stemcell). For cardiomyocyte differentiation, cells were induced using a chemically defined medium consisting of three components (CDM3): the basal medium RPMI 1640 (C14065500; Gibco), L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate (213 µg/ml, 113170-55-1; Sigma), and Oryza sativa-derived recombinant human albumin (500 µg/ml, HY100M1; Healthgen Biotechnology Corp). In brief, single-cell suspensions were prepared using Accutase and were seeded in 12-well Matrigel-coated plate at a density of 4×10^5 cells/well. When cells reached 80–90% confluence (day 0), cells were fed by 2 ml CDM3 basal medium supplemented with CHIR99021 (6 µM, 72052; Stem cell). 48 hr later (day 2), the medium was replaced with 2 ml CDM3 supplemented with Wnt-C59 (2 µM, 1248913; Peprotech Biogems). After 96 hr (day 4), the medium was replaced with CDM3 basal medium every other day until the appearance of cell beating. In the rescue experiments, 250 µg/ml recombinant Shh protein (10372-H08H1; Sinobiological) was added in shRNA-SORBS2 H1 hESCs at the beginning of D5 (when the medium was replaced with CDM3 basal medium). After 16 hr, some cells were collected for gRT-PCR. Others were left for immunofluorescent staining at D15.

Lentiviral shRNA plasmid vectors (U6-MCS-Ubiquitin-Cherry-IRES-puromycin) expressing targetspecific sequences against human *SORBS2* and non-target scrambled shRNA were purchased from Shanghai Genechem Co. The targeting sequences of *SORBS2* shRNA-1 and shRNA-2 are 5'-TCCTTG TATCAGTCCTCTA-3' and 5'-TCGATTCCACAGACACATA-3', respectively. The sequence of scrambled shRNA is 5'-TTCTCCGAACGTGTCACGT-3'. Lentiviral particles were produced by transfecting human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293FT cells with shRNA, psPAX2 (12260; Addgene), and pMD2.G (12259; Addgene) plasmids. Efficiency of gene knockdown was examined using qRT-PCR.

Western blot

Cells or embryos were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (P0013B; Beyotime) containing protease inhibitors (P1010; Beyotime). Protein concentrations were determined with the BCA protein assay kit (Thermo). Protein was separated via 8% sodium dodecyl sulphate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and, afterwards, transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. After blocking by 5% non-fat milk for 1 hr, primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C. The membrane was washed with tris-buffered saline with Tween-20 and incubated with secondary antibodies for 0.5 hr at room temperature. Bands were detected with the Immobilon ECL Ultra Western HRP Substrate (WBULS0500; Sigma) and band intensity was analyzed by ImageJ software. Antibodies: c-ABL (1:1000, A0282; Abclonal), NOTCH1 (1:1000, 3608 s; CST), and GAPDH (1:1000, ab8245; Abcam). Goat anti-rabbit IgG H and L (HRP) (1:5000, ab6721; Abcam), goat anti-mouse IgG H and L (HRP) (1:5000, ab205719; Abcam).

Immunofluorescent staining

Cells were fixed in 4% PFA for 10 min, permeabilized in 0.5% Triton X-100/phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 20 min, and then blocked in 5% bovine serum albumin/PBS for 30 min. Fixed cells were stained with the following primary antibodies: TRI-1–60 (1:200, ab16288; Abcam), OCT4 (1:200, ab18976; Abcam), SOX2 (1:200, ab92494; Abcam), cTnI (1:200, ab92408; Abcam), cTnT (1:200, ab8295; Abcam), and α -actinin (1:200, A5044; Sigma). These primary antibodies were visualized with AlexaFluor 488 (1:1000, A11029; Invitrogen) or AlexaFluor 633 (1:1000, A21071; Invitrogen). TUNEL staining was performed with a commercial kit according to manufactory menu (T18120; Yeasen Biotech). GFP-SORBS2 plasmids were transfected into HEK293 cells. After 48 hr, cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 20 min and treated with 0.1% triton X-100 for 10 min. F-actin was stained with Acti-stainTM 555 Fluorescent Phalloidin (Cat. #PHDH1; Cytoskeleton). Nuclei were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole. Fluorescent images were acquired using a Laser confocal microscope (Leica TCS SP8).

Flow cytometric analysis

In brief, D15 cells were harvested in 0.25% trypsin/EDTA at 37°C for 15 min and subsequently neutralized by 10% fetal bovine serum in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium. Then cells were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min and resuspended in Invitrogen FIX and PERM solution and kept at 4°C for 30 min. After washing, cells were incubated with anti-cTnT antibody (1:100, ab105439; Abcam) in washing buffer on ice in the dark for 45 min. Cells were centrifuged, washed, and resuspended for detection. Data were collected by BD FACSCanto flow cytometer and analyzed by BD FACS software.

Electron microscopy

D30 cardiomyocytes were harvested using 0.25% trypsin/EDTA and prefixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.2 M phosphate buffer overnight at 4°C. Samples were washed and then post-fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide for 1.5 hr. Next, cells were routinely dehydrated in an ethanol series of 30, 50, 70, 80, and 95% for 15 min each, and 100% ethanol and acetone twice for 20 min each at room temperature, and then embedded in an epoxy resin. Sections (70 nm thick) were poststained in uranyl acetate and lead citrate and visualized on Hitachi 7650 microscope.

Electrophysiological recordings

D30 h1ESC-CMs were digested by Accutase (7920; STEMCELL Technologies, Canada), washed one time with a baseline extracellular fluid, and then moved to the stage of an inverted microscope (ECLIPSE Ti-U; Nikon, Japan) for patch-clamp recording. h1ESC-CMs were continuously perfused by an extracellular solution through a 'Y-tube' system with a solution exchange time of 1 min. Wholecell patch-clamp recordings were performed using Axopatch 700B (Axon Instruments, Inc, Union City, CA, USA) amplifiers under an invert microscope at room temperature (22-25°C). Glass pipettes were prepared using borosilicate glasses with a filament (Sutter Instruments Co, Novato, CA) using the Flaming/Brown micropipette puller P97 (Sutter Instruments Co). The final resistance parameters of patch pipette tips were about 2–4 M Ω after heat polish and internal solution filling. After the formation of 'gigaseal' between the patch pipette and cell membranes, a gentle suction was operated to rupture the cell membrane and establish whole-cell configuration. All current signals were digitized with a sampling rate of 10 kHz and filtered at a cutoff frequency of 2 kHz (Digidata 1550A; Axon Instruments, Inc, Union City, CA). The spontaneous action potentials were recorded in a gap-free mode with a sampling rate of 1 kHz and filtered at a cutoff frequency of 0.5 kHz. If the series resistance was more than 10 M Ω or changed significantly during the experiments, the recordings were discarded from further analyses. The pipette internal solution for action potential recording contained (in mM) KCl 150, NaCl 5, CaCl₂ 2, EGTA 5, HEPES 10, and MgATP 5 (pH 7.2, KOH), and baseline extracellular solution and extracellular solution for action potential recording contained (in mM) NaCl 140, KCl 5, CaCl₂ 1, MgCl₂ 1, glucose 10, and HEPES 10 (pH 7.4, NaOH). Data were analyzed by using Clampfit 10.5 and Origin 8.0 (OriginLab, Northampton, MA).

Quantitative real-time PCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted from D0, D2, D3, D5, and D10 cells or E10.5 embryos using Trizol reagent (15596018; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Reverse transcription was accomplished with Reverse Transcription Kit (Takara; RR037A) according to the manufacturer's instructions. qPCR was performed with the SYBR Fast qPCR Mix (Takara; RR430A) in the Applied Biosystems 7900 Real-Time PCR System. Primers are listed in *Supplementary file 10*.

RNA-Seq

Total RNA of D5 cells and E10.5 embryos was isolated using TRizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific; 15596018). Library preparation and transcriptome sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq platform were performed by Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co, Ltd to generate 100-bp paired-end reads. HTSeq v0.6.0 was used to count the read numbers mapped to each gene, and fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM) of each gene were calculated. We used FastQC to control the quality of transcriptome sequencing data. Next, we compared the sequencing data to the human reference genome (hg19) by STAR. The expression level of each gene under different treatment conditions is obtained by HTSeq-count after standardization. The differentially expressed genes were analyzed by DESeq2 package. Functional enrichment of differentially expressed genes was analyzed on Toppgene website.

GSE126128 and GSE131181 datasets were retrieved from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database. Seurat (version 3.0) toolkit was used for scRNA-seq analysis. After data integration, batch effect elimination, normalization, and scaling, different cell populations were identified based on existing references. Gene expression was plotted using normalized read counts.

Network and GO analysis

From ENCODE database (**Gerstein et al., 2012**), 316 human fetal heart-specific genes including *SORBS2* were selected and their expression coefficients were computed. The highly co-regulated transcriptional networks (correlation coefficient \geq 0.8) were constructed and visualized with BioLay-out Express3D. The interconnected gene clusters were detected using the MCL (Markov Cluster) algorithm and illustrated with different colors.

Patient samples

A total of 300 children with complex CHD, including ASD, conotruncal defect, and so on, were enrolled in our study from November, 2011 to January, 2014 in Shanghai Children's Medical Center (*Supplementary file 11*). Patients carrying 22q11.2 deletion and gross chromosomal aberrations were excluded from our study. The mean age of included probands was 10 months with a range of 3 days to 17 years. 188 (62.7%) were boys and 112 (37.3%) were girls. CHD diagnosis was confirmed by reviewing patient history, physical examinations, and medical records. Patients carrying 22q11.2 deletion and gross chromosomal aberrations (e.g., trisomy 21, trisomy 13, and trisomy 18) were excluded from our study. The study conformed to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki, and approval for human subject research was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Shanghai Children's Medical Center (SCMC-201015). Written informed consents were obtained from parents or legal guardians of all patients.

Control cohort

Exome sequences for 220 control subjects of Han Chinese descent were derived from the 1000 genome project (http://www.internationalgenome.org/data). Raw sequence data in the form of fastq files were re-aligned and re-analyzed with the same bioinformatic pipelines with CHD patients. The ethnicity of cases and controls was investigated by performing PCA with single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotype data from all the participants of this study.

Gene selection and targeted sequencing

We used a customized capture panel of 104 targeted genes, which included 81 known CHD genes (*Supplementary file 12*) and 23 CHD candidate genes (*Supplementary file 13*). The CHD genes were selected through a comprehensive literature search and had been reported by other research groups to be associated with CHD in either human patients or mouse models (*Andersen et al.*,

2014; Barriot et al., 2010; Fahed et al., 2013). CHD candidate genes were prioritized from pathogenic CNVs and likely pathogenic CNVs identified in CHD patients in our previous study (**Geng et al., 2014**). The coding regions of selected genes and their flanking sequences were covered by the Agilent SureSelect Capture panel and sequenced on the Illumina Genome Analyzer Ilx platform according to the protocols recommended by the manufacturers.

Variant calling and quality control

We used the best practice pipeline of Broad Institute's genome analysis toolkit (GATK) 3.7 to obtain genetic variants from the target sequencing data of 300 CHD cases together with the raw data of 220 Han Chinese control samples. Briefly, Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA, version 0.7.17) was used to align the Fastq format sequences to human genome reference (hg38). De-duplication was performed using Picard, and the base quality score recalibration (BQSR) was performed to generate analysis-ready reads. HaplotypeCaller implemented in GATK was used for variant calling in genomic variant call format (GVCF) mode. All samples were then genotyped jointly. We then excluded the variants based on the following rules: (1) >2 alternative alleles; (2) low genotype call rate <90%; (3) deviation from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium in control samples ($p<10^{-7}$); (4) differential missingness between cases and controls ($p<10^{-6}$). After alignment and variant calling, we removed two subjects with low-quality data, leaving a total of 298 cases and 220 controls.

Variant enrichment analysis

Given that severe mutations are generally present at low frequencies in the population, we set the relevant variants filtering criteria as follows: (1) variants that located in exonic region, (2) excluding synonymous variants, (3) variants with an MAF below 1% according to the public control database (Exome Aggregation Consortium, ExAC), and (4) damaging missense variants predicted to be deleterious by at least two algorithms (Polyphen2 \geq 0.95/MutationTaster_pred:D/SIFT \leq 0.05). All relevant variants following these criteria were hereafter called 'rare damaging' variants. The number of rare damaging variant carriers in each gene was counted in CHD patients and controls. We hypothesized that rare damaging variants should be enriched in CHD patients, hence the carrier and non-carrier groups were compared between CHD patients and controls using a one-tailed Fisher's exact test. The odds ratio (OR) was calculated. Only genes with at least two variants were retained, and multiple testing correction was performed using Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (q-value, adjusted p-value after Benjamini-Hochberg testing).

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was performed using a two-tailed Student's *t* test, χ^2 test, or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. The combined difference of Notch and Shh signaling was tested by non-parametric PERMANOVA. Statistical significance is indicated by *p<0.05 and **p<0.01.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Guoping Feng at Massachusetts Institute of Technology for *Sorbs2*^{flox/flo}x mice, Dr. Xin Cheng at Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology for H1 ES cell line, and Dr. Bingshan Li at Vanderbilt University and Dr. Hao Mei at University of Mississippi Medical Center for advice on genetic data analyses.

Funding		
Funder	Grant reference number	Author
Science and Technology Com- mission of Shanghai Munici- pality	20JC1418500	Qihua Fu
Collaborative Innovation Pro- gram of Shanghai Municipal Health Commission	2020CXJQ01	Zhen Zhang

Additional information

National Natural Science Foundation of China	81371893	Qihua Fu
National Natural Science Foundation of China	31371465	Zhen Zhang
Shanghai Municipal Education Commission-Gaofeng Clinical Medicine Grant Support	20171925	Zhen Zhang
Shanghai Sailing Program	18YF1414800	Xiaoqing Zhang
Innovative Research Team of High-level Local Universities in Shanghai	SSMU-ZDCX20180200	Zhen Zhang
Science and Technology Com- mission of Shanghai Munici- pality	20DZ2260900	Qihua Fu
National Natural Science Foundation of China	81741031	Qihua Fu
National Natural Science Foundation of China	81871717	Qihua Fu
National Natural Science Foundation of China	81672090	Qihua Fu
National Natural Science Foundation of China	31771612	Zhen Zhang

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Fei Liang, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft; Bo Wang, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - original draft; Juan Geng, Data curation, Investigation; Guoling You, Min Zhang, Hunying Sun, Data curation, Formal analysis; Jingjing Fa, Investigation; Huiwen Chen, Resources; Qihua Fu, Conceptualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Writing - review and editing; Xiaoqing Zhang, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing - original draft; Zhen Zhang, Conceptualization, Supervision, Funding ing acquisition, Project administration, Writing - review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Bo Wang D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4376-1398 Zhen Zhang D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9898-054X

Ethics

Human subjects: The study conformed to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and approval for human subject research was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Shanghai Children's Medical Center (SCMC-201015). Written informed consents were obtained from parents or legal guardians of all patients.

Animal experimentation: Animal care and use were in accordance with the NIH guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Shanghai Children's Medical Center (SCMC-LAWEC-2017006).

Decision letter and Author response Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.67481.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.67481.sa2

Additional files

Supplementary files

- Supplementary file 1. Down-regulated genes in SORBS2-knockdown D5 cells for GO analysis.
- Supplementary file 2. Up-regulated genes in SORBS2-knockdown D5 cells for GO analysis.
- Supplementary file 3. Genotyping distribution in embryos from Sorbs2^{+/-} mouse intercross.
- Supplementary file 4. Down-regulated genes in E10.5 Sorbs2^{+/-} embryos for GO analysis.
- Supplementary file 5. Down-regulated genes in E10.5 Sorbs2^{-/-} embryos for GO analysis.
- Supplementary file 6. Number of exonic variants detected in CHD and normal controls.
- Supplementary file 7. Carriers of rare damaging variants in CHD and normal controls.
- Supplementary file 8. CHD patients with rare SORBS2 variants.
- Supplementary file 9. Cardiac phenotype in patients carrying SORBS2 variants.
- Supplementary file 10. Primers for qPCR.
- Supplementary file 11. Subphenotypes of CHD cohort.
- Supplementary file 12. Known CHD genes included in targeted sequencing panel.
- Supplementary file 13. Candidate CHD genes included in targeted sequencing panel.
- Transparent reporting form

Data availability

Targeted sequencing raw data of CHD patients have been deposited in NCBI's Sequence Read Archive (PRJNA579193). RNA-seq data have been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE137090).

The following datasets were generated:

				Database and Identifier
Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	
Fu Q	2020	Targeted sequencing of children with congenital heart disease	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/sra/ PRJNA579193	NCBI Sequence Read Archive, PRJNA579193
Liang F, Zhang X, Wang B, Zhang Z, Fu Q	2021	RNA-Sequencing analyses of control and SORBS2 knockdown cardiac progenitor cells derived from human stem cells in vitro and E10.5 wild- type and Sorbs2 knockout embryos	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo/query/acc. cgi?acc=GSE137090	NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus, GSE137090

The following previously published datasets were used:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Hill MC	2019	A cellular atlas of Pitx2-dependent cardiac development	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo/query/acc. cgi?acc=GSE131181	NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus, GSE131181
Soysa TY, Gifford CA, Srivastava D	2019	Single-cell analysis of cardiogenesis reveals basis for organ level developmental defects	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo/query/acc. cgi?acc=GSE126128	NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus, GSE126128

References

- Andersen TA, Troelsen KL, Larsen LA. 2014. Of mice and men: molecular genetics of congenital heart disease. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences **71**:1327–1352. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-013-1430-1, PMID: 23 934094
- Andersen P, Tampakakis E, Jimenez DV, Kannan S, Miyamoto M, Shin HK, Saberi A, Murphy S, Sulistio E, Chelko SP, Kwon C. 2018. Precardiac organoids form two heart fields via Bmp/Wnt signaling. *Nature Communications* 9:3140. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05604-8, PMID: 30087351

- Ang SY, Uebersohn A, Spencer CI, Huang Y, Lee JE, Ge K, Bruneau BG. 2016. KMT2D regulates specific programs in heart development via histone H3 lysine 4 di-methylation. *Development* 143:810–821. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.132688, PMID: 26932671
- Barriot R, Breckpot J, Thienpont B, Brohée S, Van Vooren S, Coessens B, Tranchevent LC, Van Loo P, Gewillig M, Devriendt K, Moreau Y. 2010. Collaboratively charting the gene-to-phenotype network of human congenital heart defects. *Genome Medicine* 2:16. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/gm137, PMID: 20193066
- Blue GM, Kirk EP, Giannoulatou E, Sholler GF, Dunwoodie SL, Harvey RP, Winlaw DS. 2017. Advances in the Genetics of Congenital Heart Disease: A Clinician's Guide. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* **69**: 859–870. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2016.11.060, PMID: 28209227
- **Breithardt OA**, Papavassiliu T, Borggrefe M. 2006. A coronary embolus originating from the interatrial septum. *European Heart Journal* **27**:2745. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehl051, PMID: 16728421
- Burridge PW, Matsa E, Shukla P, Lin ZC, Churko JM, Ebert AD, Lan F, Diecke S, Huber B, Mordwinkin NM, Plews JR, Abilez OJ, Cui B, Gold JD, Wu JC. 2014. Chemically defined generation of human cardiomyocytes. *Nature Methods* 11:855–860. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2999, PMID: 24930130
- de Soysa TY, Ranade SS, Okawa S, Ravichandran S, Huang Y, Salunga HT, Schricker A, Del Sol A, Gifford CA, Srivastava D. 2019. Single-cell analysis of cardiogenesis reveals basis for organ-level developmental defects. *Nature* **572**:120–124. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1414-x, PMID: 31341279
- Ding Y, Yang J, Chen P, Lu T, Jiao K, Tester DJ, Giudicessi JR, Jiang K, Ackerman MJ, Li Y, Wang DW, Lee HC, Wang DW, Xu X. 2020. Knockout of SORBS2 Protein Disrupts the Structural Integrity of Intercalated Disc and Manifests Features of Arrhythmogenic Cardiomyopathy. *Journal of the American Heart Association* 9:e017055. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.119.017055, PMID: 32808564
- Fahed AC, Gelb BD, Seidman JG, Seidman CE. 2013. Genetics of congenital heart disease: the glass half empty. Circulation Research 112:707–720. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.112.300853, PMID: 23410880
- Geng J, Picker J, Zheng Z, Zhang X, Wang J, Hisama F, Brown DW, Mullen MP, Harris D, Stoler J, Seman A, Miller DT, Fu Q, Roberts AE, Shen Y. 2014. Chromosome microarray testing for patients with congenital heart defects reveals novel disease causing loci and high diagnostic yield. *BMC Genomics* 15:1127. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-1127, PMID: 25516202
- Gerstein MB, Kundaje A, Hariharan M, Landt SG, Yan KK, Cheng C, Mu XJ, Khurana E, Rozowsky J, Alexander R, Min R, Alves P, Abyzov A, Addleman N, Bhardwaj N, Boyle AP, Cayting P, Charos A, Chen DZ, Cheng Y, et al. 2012. Architecture of the human regulatory network derived from ENCODE data. *Nature* **489**:91–100. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11245, PMID: 22955619
- Hill MC, Kadow ZA, Li L, Tran TT, Wythe JD, Martin JF. 2019. A cellular atlas of Pitx2-dependent cardiac development. Development 146:dev180398. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.180398, PMID: 31201182
- Huang T, Lin AE, Cox GF, Golden WL, Feldman GL, Ute M, Schrander-Stumpel C, Kamisago M, Vermeulen SJ. 2002. Cardiac phenotypes in chromosome 4q- syndrome with and without a deletion of the dHAND gene. *Genetics in Medicine* 4:464–467. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/00125817-200211000-00011, PMID: 12509719
- Jin SC, Homsy J, Zaidi S, Lu Q, Morton S, DePalma SR, Zeng X, Qi H, Chang W, Sierant MC, Hung WC, Haider S, Zhang J, Knight J, Bjornson RD, Castaldi C, Tikhonoa IR, Bilguvar K, Mane SM, Sanders SJ, et al. 2017. Contribution of rare inherited and de novo variants in 2,871 congenital heart disease probands. *Nature Genetics* **49**:1593–1601. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3970, PMID: 28991257
- Kelly RG. 2012. The second heart field. Current Topics in Developmental Biology **100**:33–65. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/B978-0-12-387786-4.00002-6, PMID: 22449840
- Kioka N, Ueda K, Amachi T, Vinexin AT. 2002. Vinexin, CAP/ponsin, ArgBP2: a novel adaptor protein family regulating cytoskeletal organization and signal transduction. *Cell Structure and Function* 27:1–7. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1247/csf.27.1, PMID: 11937713
- Kong JH, Yang L, Dessaud E, Chuang K, Moore DM, Rohatgi R, Briscoe J, Novitch BG. 2015. Notch activity modulates the responsiveness of neural progenitors to sonic hedgehog signaling. *Developmental Cell* 33:373– 387. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2015.03.005, PMID: 25936505
- Li C, Liu F, Liu S, Pan H, Du H, Huang J, Xie Y, Li Y, Zhao R, Wei Y. 2020. Elevated myocardial SORBS2 and the underlying implications in left ventricular noncompaction cardiomyopathy. *EBioMedicine* **53**:102695. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2020.102695, PMID: 32143182
- Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25: 1754–1760. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324
- Lin AE, Garver KL, Diggans G, Clemens M, Wenger SL, Steele MW, Jones MC, Israel J. 1988. Interstitial and terminal deletions of the long arm of chromosome 4: further delineation of phenotypes. American Journal of Medical Genetics 31:533–548. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.1320310308, PMID: 3067575
- Marcì M, Guarina A, Castiglione MC, Sanfilippo N. 2015. Different Cardiac Anomalies in Mother and Son with 4q-Syndrome. *Case Reports in Genetics* **2015**:932651. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/932651, PMID: 26417463
- Nakatani T, Mizuhara E, Minaki Y, Sakamoto Y, Ono Y, Helt OY. 2004. Helt, a novel basic-helix-loop-helix transcriptional repressor expressed in the developing central nervous system. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 279:16356–16367. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M311740200, PMID: 14764602
- Paige SL, Plonowska K, Xu A, Wu SM, Sm W. 2015. Molecular regulation of cardiomyocyte differentiation. Circulation Research 116:341–353. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.302752, PMID: 25593278
- **Roberson DA**, Javois AJ, Cui W, Madronero LF, Cuneo BF, Muangmingsuk S. 2006. Double atrial septum with persistent interatrial space: echocardiographic features of a rare atrial septal malformation. *Journal of the*

American Society of Echocardiography **19**:1175–1181. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2006.04.001, PMID: 16950474

- Sanger JM, Wang J, Gleason LM, Chowrashi P, Dube DK, Mittal B, Zhukareva V, Sanger JW. 2010. Arg/Ablbinding protein, a Z-body and Z-band protein, binds sarcomeric, costameric, and signaling molecules. *Cytoskeleton* 67:808–823. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cm.20490, PMID: 20886612
- Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nature Methods 9:671–675. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089, PMID: 22930834
- Schwenk F, Baron U, Rajewsky K. 1995. A cre-transgenic mouse strain for the ubiquitous deletion of loxP-flanked gene segments including deletion in germ cells. *Nucleic Acids Research* 23:5080–5081. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/23.24.5080, PMID: 8559668
- Soubeyran P, Barac A, Szymkiewicz I, Dikic I. 2003. Cbl-ArgBP2 complex mediates ubiquitination and degradation of c-Abl. *Biochemical Journal* **370**:29–34. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1042/bj20021539, PMID: 124753 93
- Srivastava D. 2006. Making or breaking the heart: from lineage determination to morphogenesis. *Cell* **126**:1037–1048. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.09.003, PMID: 16990131
- Stasiulewicz M, Gray SD, Mastromina I, Silva JC, Björklund M, Seymour PA, Booth D, Thompson C, Green RJ, Hall EA, Serup P, Dale JK. 2015. A conserved role for Notch signaling in priming the cellular response to Shh through ciliary localisation of the key Shh transducer Smo. Development 142:2291–2303. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1242/dev.125237, PMID: 25995356
- Strehle EM, Yu L, Rosenfeld JA, Donkervoort S, Zhou Y, Chen TJ, Martinez JE, Fan YS, Barbouth D, Zhu H, Vaglio A, Smith R, Stevens CA, Curry CJ, Ladda RL, Fan ZJ, Fox JE, Martin JA, Abdel-Hamid HZ, McCracken EA, et al. 2012. Genotype-phenotype analysis of 4q deletion syndrome: proposal of a critical region. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A 158A:2139–2151. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.35502, PMID: 22847 869
- Strehle EM, Bantock HM. 2003. The phenotype of patients with 4q-syndrome. *Genetic Counseling* **14**:195–205. PMID: 12872814
- Thilenius OG, Bharati S, Lev M. 1976. Subdivided left atrium: an expanded concept of cor triatriatum sinistrum. The American Journal of Cardiology **37**:743–752. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9149(76)90369-6, PMID: 1266740
- Tsai CH, Van Dyke DL, Feldman GL. 1999. Child with velocardiofacial syndrome and del (4)(q34.2): another critical region associated with a velocardiofacial syndrome-like phenotype. American Journal of Medical Genetics 82:336–339. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-8628(19990212)82:4<336::AID-AJMG11>3.0. CO;2-I, PMID: 10051168
- Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. 2010. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Research* **38**:e164. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq603, PMID: 20601685
- Xie L, Hoffmann AD, Burnicka-Turek O, Friedland-Little JM, Zhang K, Moskowitz IP. 2012. Tbx5-hedgehog molecular networks are essential in the second heart field for atrial septation. *Developmental Cell* 23:280–291. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2012.06.006, PMID: 22898775
- Xiong W, Morillo SA, Rebay I. 2013. The Abelson tyrosine kinase regulates Notch endocytosis and signaling to maintain neuronal cell fate in Drosophila photoreceptors. *Development* **140**:176–184. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1242/dev.088799, PMID: 23175629
- Xu W, Ahmad A, Dagenais S, Iyer RK, Innis JW. 2012. Chromosome 4q deletion syndrome: narrowing the cardiovascular critical region to 4q32.2-q34.3. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A* **158A**:635–640. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.34425, PMID: 22302627
- Zhang Q, Gao X, Li C, Feliciano C, Wang D, Zhou D, Mei Y, Monteiro P, Anand M, Itohara S, Dong X, Fu Z, Feng G. 2016. Impaired Dendritic Development and Memory in Sorbs2 Knock-Out Mice. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 36:2247–2260. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2528-15.2016, PMID: 26888934