

Generation of functional hepatocytes by forward programming with nuclear receptors

Rute A Tomaz^{1,2}, Ekaterini D Zacharis^{1,2}, Fabian Bachinger^{1,2}, Annabelle Wurmser^{1,2}, Daniel Yamamoto^{1,2}, Sandra Petrus-Reurer², Carola M Morell^{1,2}, Dominika Dziedzicka^{1,2}, Brandon T Wesley¹, Imbisaat Geti^{1,2}, Charis-Patricia Segeritz^{1,2}, Miguel C de Brito^{1,2}, Mariya Chhatriwala², Daniel Ortmann^{1,2}, Kourosh Saeb-Parsy², Ludovic Vallier^{1,2,3*}

¹Wellcome-MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom; ²Department of Surgery, University of Cambridge and NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, Cambridge, United Kingdom; ³Wellcome Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, United Kingdom

Abstract Production of large quantities of hepatocytes remains a major challenge for a number of clinical applications in the biomedical field. Directed differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) into hepatocyte-like cells (HLCs) provides an advantageous solution and a number of protocols have been developed for this purpose. However, these methods usually follow different steps of liver development in vitro, which is time consuming and requires complex culture conditions. In addition, HLCs lack the full repertoire of functionalities characterising primary hepatocytes. Here, we explore the interest of forward programming to generate hepatocytes from hPSCs and to bypass these limitations. This approach relies on the overexpression of three hepatocyte nuclear factors (*HNF1A*, *HNF6*, and *FOXA3*) in combination with different nuclear receptors expressed in the adult liver using the OPTi-OX platform. Forward programming allows for the rapid production of hepatocytes (FoP-Heps) with functional characteristics using a simplified process. We also uncovered that the overexpression of nuclear receptors such as RORc can enhance specific functionalities of FoP-Heps thereby validating its role in lipid/glucose metabolism. Together, our results show that forward programming could offer a versatile alternative to direct differentiation for generating hepatocytes in vitro.

*For correspondence: lv225@cam.ac.uk

Competing interest: See page 20

Funding: See page 20

Received: 24 June 2021 Preprinted: 26 June 2022 Accepted: 25 July 2022 Published: 12 August 2022

Reviewing Editor: Matthew A Quinn, Wake Forest School of Medicine, United States

© Copyright Tomaz et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which

permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. **Editor's evaluation**

The work by Vallier and colleagues programmes ESCs and IPSCs towards hepatocyte fate by using a combination of hepatocyte transcription factors. Based on informatic analyses comparing adult hepatocytes with hepatocyte-like cells differentiated with soluble factors they conclude that the inclusion of RORc is important for added maturity of the forward programmed cells. The challenge is a very important one as we still don't have good in vitro hepatocyte generation.

Introduction

Hepatocytes are the main cell type of the liver, comprising 80% of its volume and performing a vast array of vital functions including lipid metabolism, storage of macronutrients, secretion of plasma proteins, and xenobiotic detoxification (*Gordillo et al., 2015; Si-Tayeb et al., 2010; Trefts et al., 2017*). Diseases affecting these functions are life-threatening and end-stage forms require liver

CC

Stem Cells and Regenerative Medicine

transplantation. However, only a limited number of patients can benefit from this therapy due to scarcity of donors and the side effects of immunosuppression. Cell-based therapy using primary hepatocytes has already been found to be an attractive therapeutic alternative to whole organ transplants (Dhawan et al., 2020). However, primary human hepatocytes (PHHs) are in short supply as they can only be obtained from suboptimal livers unsuitable for transplantation. Furthermore, they display a short life, absence of proliferation, and rapid loss of functionality in vitro (Mitry et al., 2002). Similarly, the development of new platforms for drug development and toxicology screens is greatly affected by the lack of robust sources of hepatocytes. For all these reasons, alternative sources of hepatocytes are urgently needed. Producing hepatocytes from human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) using directed differentiation protocols has been shown to be an advantageous alternative to PHHs (Palakkan et al., 2017; Szkolnicka and Hay, 2016). These protocols commonly follow key stages of liver development in vitro and allow the production of hepatocyte-like cells (HLCs) which exhibit key hepatic functions including Albumin secretion, lipid metabolism, glycogen storage, and urea cycle activity. However, HLCs systematically present an immature/foetal-like phenotype lacking the full repertoire of functions of mature hepatocytes (Baxter et al., 2015; Grandy et al., 2019; Yiangou et al., 2018). The development of fully functional hepatocytes in vitro is challenging due to the lack of detailed knowledge concerning the molecular mechanisms driving functional maturation in vivo. Maturation is a process that occurs progressively, and mimicking this timeline and the associated combination of metabolic changes, exposure to oxygen, nutrition, and microbiome constitutes a major challenge for direct differentiation protocols (Chen et al., 2011). As an alternative, overexpression of transcription factors (TFs) has been explored as a way to improve functionality of in vitro generated hepatocytes (Boon et al., 2020; Nakamori et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2013). Moreover, transdifferentiation of somatic cells into liver cells has been achieved by overexpression of liver-enriched transcription factors (LETFs) in mouse and human fibroblasts (Rombaut et al., 2021). Importantly, these LETFs comprise the HNF1, HNF3 (FOXA), HNF4, and HNF6 (ONECUT) families all of which play key roles in coordinating liver development (Gordillo et al., 2015; Lau et al., 2018; Schrem et al., 2002). However, direct cell conversion from somatic cell types has a low efficiency/yield due to the strong epigenetic restrictions present in fully differentiated cells. Furthermore, somatic cells have restricted capacity of proliferation which limits large-scale production of hepatocytes without the use of oncogenic manipulation (Du et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2014). Forward programming by direct overexpression of TFs in hPSCs could bypass these limitations. Indeed, the epigenetic state of hPSCs is more permissive to direct cellular conversion, while their capacity of proliferation is far more superior to somatic cells. Accordingly, this approach has been successfully used to generate neurons, skeletal myocytes, and oligodendrocytes by taking advantage of the OPTi-OX system (Pawlowski et al., 2017). Here, we decided to exploit the same platform to produce hepatocytes by forward programming. We first tested different combinations of LETFs and identified a cocktail of three factors sufficient to drive the conversion into hepatocytes. We then performed transcriptomic and epigenetic comparisons between HLCs and PHHs to identify additional TFs which could further increase the functional maturation of hepatocytes. This comparison revealed that a number of nuclear receptors are expressed in adult hepatocytes and thus are likely to be inducers of functionality and maturation in vivo. A selection of these factors was combined with LETFs and we identified that the 4TFs HNF1A-HNF6-FOXA3-RORc were the most efficient cocktail to generate hepatocytes by forward programming (FoP-Heps) displaying features of mature hepatocytes including CYP3A4 activity, protein secretion, and hepatotoxic response. Thus, forward programming offers an alternative to direct differentiation, bypassing the need for complex culture conditions and lengthy timelines. Moreover, FoP-Heps display a level of functionally relevant for regenerative medicine, as well as disease modelling or drug screening.

Results

LETFs allow forward programming into cells with hepatocyte identity

The first step to develop a forward programming method consists in identifying a cocktail of TFs which can recreate the transcriptional network characterising the target cell type. However, this step is challenging for hepatocytes as liver development is not initiated by a single and specific master regulator, and the factors driving functional maturation of hepatocytes remain to be fully uncovered. To bypass these limitations, we decided to focus on the LETFs which are known to control the induction

Stem Cells and Regenerative Medicine

of the hepatic program during foetal development and have been tested in somatic cell conversion (Rombaut et al., 2021). The coding sequence of four LETFs (HNF4A, HNF1A, HNF6, and FOXA3) was cloned into the OPTi-OX system (Figure 1A) and the resulting inducible cassette was targeted into the AAVS1 gene safe harbour (Bertero et al., 2016; Pawlowski et al., 2017). After selection, individual sublines were picked, expanded, and genotyped before further characterisation. Addition of doxycycline (dox) for 24 hr was sufficient to induce homogenous and robust expression of each LETF in the selected human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) (Figure 1B and C) confirming the efficacy of the OPTi-OX system in inducing transgene expression. Importantly, this induction was not associated with differentiation into liver cells (data not shown) suggesting that LETFs alone are not sufficient to impose an hepatocytic identity. Thus, we decided to screen culture conditions which could sustain both the survival and differentiation of hepatocytes (data not shown) and found that after the initial 24 hr in E6 medium, the cells acquired a hepatocyte-like morphology when cultured in Hepatozyme complete medium for 14 days (Figure 1D). Interestingly, the resulting cells expressed hepatocyte markers such as Albumin (ALB), Alpha-1 Antitrypsin (A1AT or SERPINA1), and Alpha-Fetoprotein (AFP) (Figure 1E) and displayed CYP3A4 activity levels comparable to HLCs generated by direct differentiation, albeit several fold lower than PHHs (Figure 1F). Next, we asked whether all the four LETFs were necessary to achieve this hepatocyte-like phenotype. For that, we removed each factor to generate hESCs sublines expressing combinations of three factors (Figure 1-figure supplement 1A). Robust and homogeneous expression at the protein level was again confirmed after 24 hr of dox induction (Figure 1figure supplement 1B). Induction of each combination of three LETFs in culture conditions identified above showed that HNF1A, HNF6, or FOXA3 were necessary to generate cells expressing hepatocytes markers such as ALB, albeit with heterogeneity at the protein level (Figure 1G, Figure 1figure supplement 2A, B). HNF4A overexpression seemed to be dispensable as cells generated by overexpression of the three remaining LETFs (HNF1A, HNF6, FOXA3) acquired a cobblestone-like morphology, and expressed high levels of ALB, SERPINA1, and AFP (Figure 1G, H and I, Figure 1figure supplement 2). Strikingly, hepatocytes generated using these 3TFs (3TF FoP-Heps) achieved the highest levels of CYP3A4 activity suggesting overexpression of HNF4A itself is unnecessary to acquire the hepatocyte characteristics screened, as its expression might be induced by one of the three LETFs (Figure 1J). Altogether, these results showed that overexpression of HNF1A, HNF6, and FOXA3 is sufficient to forward program hPSCs towards HLCs.

HLCs generated by direct differentiation lack the expression of specific nuclear receptors

Following these encouraging results, we aimed to increase the functionality of 3TF FoP-Heps by adding TFs which could play a role in promoting hepatic maturation and functionality. However, identifying these factors proved to be challenging as there is little information about the mechanisms driving functional maturation of hepatocytes, especially following birth when adult hepatic functions are established. To bypass this limitation, we decided to compare the transcriptome profile of adult PHHs to the transcriptome of HLCs generated from hPSCs by direct differentiation. Indeed, HLCs represent a foetal state which has been broadly characterised (Baxter et al., 2015), while 3TFs FoP-Heps are likely to be less relevant for natural development. For this comparison, we used a stateof-the-art protocol (Hannan et al., 2013; Touboul et al., 2010) which has been used for modelling liver disease (Rashid et al., 2010; Segeritz et al., 2018) and as proof of concept for cell-based therapy applications (Yusa et al., 2011). This protocol starts by the production of endoderm cells expressing SOX17, followed by the specification of foregut expressing HHEX, after which cells transit through a hepatoblast-like state marked by TBX3 (Figure 2-figure supplement 1A). Interestingly, LETFs were expressed during this differentiation protocol at levels comparable to PHHs (Figure 2figure supplement 1B,C) confirming that these first steps follow a natural path of development. The resulting progenitors undergo a final stage of differentiation into HLCs expressing functional markers such as ALB and SERPINA1 (Figure 2A, Figure 1—figure supplement 1A,D). Despite displaying key hepatic functions (Baxter et al., 2015; Grandy et al., 2019; Yiangou et al., 2018), HLCs represent a 'foetal' state as shown by the expression of AFP (Figure 2-figure supplement 1A,D) or by the limited activity/expression of CYP3A4, CYP2A6, or CYP2C9 (Figure 1B, Figure 2-figure supplement 1E). RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) performed on HLCs generated from either human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) or hESCs, and from freshly harvested PHHs (fPHHs) or cultured in vitro



Figure 1. Forward programming of human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) into hepatocytes with four and three liver-enriched transcription factors (LETFs). (**A**) Schematic representation of the two sequentially targeted loci. The human ROSA26 was targeted with a constitutively expressed reverse tetracycline transactivator (rtTA). The AAVS1 locus was targeted with the four LETFs *HNF1A*, *HNF6*, *FOXA3*, and *HNF4A* downstream of a TET-responsive element (TET). (**B**) mRNA induction levels of the four factors in targeted human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) (Targ) relative to untargeted *Figure 1 continued on next page*

Tomaz et al. eLife 2022;11:e71591. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.71591



Figure 1 continued

(Untarg) hESCs stimulated with doxycycline (dox) for 24 hr (n=3). Data is shown relative to the untargeted control. (C) Immunofluorescence staining of the four LETFs in targeted and untargeted hESCs after 24 hr of inducible overexpression (iOX) with dox confirming transgene induction. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 200µm. (D) Schematic representation of the iOX culture conditions for forward programming. Phase contrast images of hESCs targeted with the four LETFs after 10 and 15 days of forward programming. Scale bar, 200µm. (E) mRNA levels of hepatocyte markers (ALB, SERPINA1, and AFP) in hESCs targeted with the four LETFs after 10 and 15 days of forward programming. Untargeted hESCs treated with the same protocol as in (D) were used as control (n=4). Statistical difference was calculated with unpaired t-test against untargeted. (F) CYP3A4 activity levels normalised per cell number (millions) in untargeted and targeted hESCs with the four LETFs after 15 days of forward programming (n=5). Statistical difference between targeted and untargeted cells was calculated with unpaired t-test. (G,H,I) mRNA levels of hepatocyte markers (ALB, SERPINA1, and AFP) in hESCs targeted with the four LETFs and with combinations of three LETFs (n=4). The factor removed from each construct is indicated. Expression levels were determined after 10, 15, 20, and 25 days of forward programming. Statistical differences were calculated with one-way ANOVA, corrected for multiple comparisons compared to four LETFs. All mRNA levels were normalised to the average of two housekeeping genes (PBGD and RPLPO). (J) CYP3A4 activity levels normalised per cell number (millions) in hESCs targeted with the four LETFs and combinations of three LETFs after 10, 15, 20, and 25 days of forward programming (n=3–5). Statistical differences were calculated with one-way ANOVA, corrected for multiple comparisons compared to four LETFs. In all plots, bars represent mean with SD, and individual datapoints are shown for all biological replicates. Hepatocyte-like cells (HLCs) generated by direct differentiation and primary human hepatocytes (PHHs) where plotted as controls for all CYP3A4 activity and expression data. Significant p-values are shown at each comparison and indicated as *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

Source data 1. Individual measurements and statistical tests related to Figure 1.

Figure supplement 1. Validation of inducible overexpression (iOX).

Figure supplement 2. Characterisation of the phenotype of human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) forward programmed into hepatocytes with three liver-enriched transcription factors (LETFs).

as monolayer (pPHHs) reinforced these observations. Principal component analysis (PCA) of the most variable 500 genes showed a clear distinction between the three cell types, with HLCs clustering in-between undifferentiated hiPSCs and PHHs confirming their intermediate state of differentiation (PC1: 52%, Figure 2C). In order to further explore the differences between HLCs and PHHs, we combined differential gene expression (DGE) and gene ontology (GO) analyses to identify genes and biological functions specific to each cell type (Figure 2D). Genes uniquely expressed in PHHs (cluster 1) were associated with adult liver functions such as response to xenobiotic and xenobiotic metabolism, inflammatory response, and complement activation (Figure 2E). Genes expressed in both HLCs and PHHs (Heps; cluster 3) were involved in liver development, fatty acid metabolism, or broad cellular functions (Figure 2F). Of note, genes specifically upregulated in HLCs (cluster 2) were associated with extracellular matrix organisation and varied developmental functions which could originate from their in vitro environment (Figure 2-figure supplement 1F). We then decided to focus specifically on TFs using a previously curated list (Lambert et al., 2018; Figure 2G and H) and identified 36 TFs highly expressed in PHHs vs. HLCs (p<0.05, log2 fold change >2). Interestingly, reactome pathway analysis grouped these TFs into two main pathways: the NFI family and a cohort of eight nuclear receptors (Figure 2H). Nuclear receptors are known to be involved in key liver functions including the metabolism of lipids and glucose, bile acid clearance, xenobiotic sensing, and regeneration (Rudraiah et al., 2016). Thus, we hypothesise that nuclear receptors could be the most promising candidates to improve hepatocyte functionality in our culture system. Taken together these observations show that the immature state of HLCs is associated with the absence of several nuclear receptors thereby suggesting that these factors could be necessary to drive functional maturation of hepatocytes.

Epigenetic characterisation of HLCs suggests a role for nuclear receptors RORc, AR, and $\text{ER}\alpha$

To further refine the list of nuclear receptors identified by our transcriptomic analyses, we decided to compare the epigenetic landscape of HLCs vs. PHHs. Indeed, we hypothesised that nuclear receptors binding regulatory regions in PHHs could have a key function in maturation and thus we aimed to identify the most important factors by screening underlying motifs in such regions. Chromatin immunoprecipitation-sequencing (ChIP-seq) was performed on histone marks including H3K27ac (active regulatory regions), H3K4me1 (active or primed regulatory regions), and H3K27me3 (silenced genes) (*Creyghton et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2015*). These marks were profiled in HLCs derived from both hiPSCs and hESCs, and PHHs while undifferentiated hiPSCs were used as control. As



Figure 2. Hepatocyte-like cells (HLCs) and primary human hepatocytes (PHHs) display transcriptomic differences associated with their state of maturation. (**A**) Immunofluorescence staining of Albumin (yellow) and HNF4A (red) in HLCs differentiated for 30 days. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 100 µm. (**B**) CYP3A4 activity levels normalised per cell number (millions) in HLCs differentiated for 30 days (n=6) and PHHs (n=4). Bars represent mean with SD, and individual datapoints represent the different biological replicates. Statistical difference was calculated with unpaired t-test. (**C**) Principal component analysis (PCA) of undifferentiated human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs), HLCs derived from human embryonic stem cell (hESC) (hESC_HLCs) and hiPSC (hiPSC_HLCs), freshly harvested PHHs (fPHHs), or plated PHHs (pPHHs). (**D**) Heatmap showing the proportion of genes differentially expressed in each cell type (cluster 1 – PHHs, cluster 2 – HLCs, cluster 4 – hiPSCs) as well as in Heps (HLCs and PHHs) against undifferentiated hiPSCs (cluster 3). (**E**, **F**) Dotplot showing the top 15 hits on gene ontology enrichment analysis on genes associated to cluster 1 and cluster 3 as shown in (**D**). The size of each dot represents number of genes associated to each term and the colours represents the adjusted p-value.

Figure 2 continued on next page



Figure 2 continued

(G) Heatmap showing the differential gene expression of transcription factors between PHHs (fresh or plated) and HLCs (hESC and hiPSC derived).
 (H) Reactome pathway enrichment analysis on transcription factors identified in (G). Differential gene expression was calculated with log2(fold change) higher than 2 and adjusted p-value <0.05. Hierarchical clustering on samples was generated by Euclidean distance.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 2:

Source data 1. Individual measurements and statistical tests related to Figure 2 and corresponding supplements.

Source data 2. List of genes differentially expressed in the four clusters in Figure 2D.

Figure supplement 1. Characterisation of transcriptional differences between hepatocyte-like cells (HLCs) and primary human hepatocytes (PHHs).

expected, PCAs showed a marked divergence between the epigenetic profile of HLCs and hiPSCs independently of the mark analysed (Figure 3A). Interestingly, HLCs and PHHs clustered in close proximity suggesting that these cell types share an important part of their epigenetic profile despite their transcriptomic differences. The profiles of H3K27ac and H3K27me3 showed the highest variance between HLCs/PHHs and hiPSCs, confirming the importance of these marks for establishing cellular identity (Figure 3A). We then performed differential peak calling on H3K27ac to identify regulatory regions uniquely enriched and active in PHHs vs. HLCs ('PHH-specific'), and vice versa ('HLC-specific') (Figure 3-figure supplement 1A). We also profiled H3K4me1 and H3K27me3 in either PHH or HLC-specific regions. This analysis revealed that H3K4me1 was absent at 'PHH-specific' regions in HLCs and seems to be broadly replaced by spread of H3K27me3 deposition instead (Figure 3B). Interestingly, discrete portions of regulatory regions lacked H3K27ac in genes downregulated in HLCs (see for example CYP3A4 and UGT1A, Figure 3C, Figure 3-figure supplement 1B). Ontology of genes associated with each set of regions highlighted several adult liver metabolic processes in the 'PHH-specific' set such as steroid, lipid, and xenobiotic metabolism, and range of neural functions for 'HLC-unique' regions (Figure 3-figure supplement 1C), in agreement with the transcriptomic analyses. Overall, these results suggested that a subset of genes involved in adult liver functions lack H3K4me1 priming as well as full H3K27ac deposition in HLCs. These regions lacking H3K27ac in HLCs appeared to display repressive marks such as H3K27me3. In addition, HLCs displayed active histone marks in regions including genes which are not associated with liver differentiation confirming that cells generated from hPSCs also present an epigenetic signature specific to their in vitro state (Figure 3—figure supplement 1C). Taken together these observations suggested that HLCs and PHHs broadly share the same epigenetic identity when compared to hiPSCs. However, the activation of a limited and specific set of regulatory regions is missing in HLCs, which could explain the absence of expression of adult liver genes and lack of functional maturation. To identify the nuclear receptors potentially involved in regulating these regions, we performed motif enrichment analysis in the 'PHHspecific' regions marked by H3K27ac. Interestingly, this analysis identified a significant enrichment for the androgen (AR) and estrogen (ER α) response elements, as well as RORc motifs (*Figure 3D*), which were among the top differentially expressed nuclear receptors in our transcriptomic analyses. We then decided to further investigate the importance of these nuclear receptors throughout development using mouse RNA-seg datasets obtained at different stages of liver organogenesis E12.5, E16.5, P0, 8-week and 10-week adults (Figure 3—figure supplement 1D). Interestingly, the expression of these three nuclear receptors was found to be upregulated specifically in the adult liver (Figure 3-figure supplement 1E,F). Altogether, these observations suggested that the nuclear receptors AR, ER α , and RORc could play a role in establishing or maintaining a transcriptional network characterising mature hepatocytes.

Overexpression of RORc increases the functionality of hepatocytes generated by forward programming

We next tested the capacity of RORc ($ROR\gamma$), AR, and ER α (ESR1), to further improve the functionality of FoP-Heps generated using three LETFS. For that, we generated hESC lines inducible for the expression of HNF1A, HNF6, and FOXA3 (3TFs) in combination with each of the nuclear receptors identified above (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1A*). The homogeneous induction of the 4TFs was validated using immunostaining. Interestingly, these analyses showed that the overexpressed nuclear receptors were located in the nucleus, and in the case of AR in both cytoplasm and nucleus (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1B,C,D*). We then induced forward programming using the culture



Figure 3. Epigenetic status of regulatory regions differs between states of maturation in hepatocyte-like cells (HLCs) and primary human hepatocytes (PHHs). (**A**) Principal component analysis (PCA) of the global enrichment profile of H3K27ac, H3K4me1, and H3K27me3 across two replicates of undifferentiated human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs), human embryonic stem cell (hESC), and hiPSC-derived HLCs, and PHHs. Average scores were computed for genomic regions of 1000 bp for the entire genome. (**B**) Average density plots and heatmaps showing enrichment levels for H3K27ac, H3K4me1, and H3K27me3 within a 10 kb window centred at H3K27ac PHH-unique (blue) or HLC-unique (green) regions. Scales are adjusted to maximum peak intensity for each dataset. (**C**) Enrichment profiles of H3K27ac, H3K4me1, and H3K27me3 across the *UGT1A* locus. Profiles are shown

Figure 3 continued on next page



Figure 3 continued

for one replicate of undifferentiated hiPSCs, hESC, and hiPSC-derived HLCs, and PHHs. Red bars represent PHH-unique H3K27ac peaks. (D) Nuclear receptor motifs identified as overrepresented binding sites at H3K27ac PHH-unique regions.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 3:

Source data 1. Peak annotation results for primary human hepatocyte (PHH)-unique H3K27ac regions.

Source data 2. Peak annotation results for hepatocyte-like cell (HLC)-unique H3K27ac regions.

Source data 3. Motif enrichment results for primary human hepatocyte (PHH)-unique H3K27ac regions.

Figure supplement 1. Comparison of the epigenetic profile of hepatocyte-like cells (HLCs) and primary human hepatocytes (PHHs).

conditions identified above, up to 30 days, and observed the production of polyploid cells with a cobblestone morphology (Figure 4A). The hepatocytic identity of these cells was confirmed by the expression of Albumin, SERPINA1/A1AT, and AFP in all lines (Figure 4B, C, D and E, Figure 4-figure supplement 1E). RORc overexpression resulted in a higher number of cells expressing Albumin, which were still heterogeneous across the cell population, potentially representing different subpopulations of HLCs (Figure 4B). Moreover, these cells also secreted higher levels of Albumin, although the mRNA expression of this marker was not significantly upregulated (Figure 4C and D). In addition, these cells tend to secret lower levels of AFP, although the lower mRNA levels identified were not statistically significant when compared to 3TFs alone (Figure 4D and E, Figure 4-figure supplement 1E). Notably, CYP3A4 activity levels were significantly higher in cells generated in the presence of RORc, as compared with cells forward programmed with only 3TFs (Figure 4F). We next tested whether stimulation with exogenous ligands specific for each nuclear receptor could further induce functional maturation as measured by CYP3A4 activity (desmosterol for RORc, β -estradiol for ER α , and testosterone for AR). Interestingly, only β -estradiol treatment resulted in a three fold increase in CYP3A4 activity, whereas testosterone treatment significantly decreased CYP3A4 activity and desmosterol had no effect (Figure 4G). This increase was not observed with 3TFs Fop-Heps thereby suggesting the effect of these ligands was linked to the overexpression of their receptor.

RORc-generated FoP-Heps appeared to have the highest level of functionality and thus, we decided to validate the potential of this combination of factors in an alternative pluripotent stem cell line. We generated Opti-OX hiPSC with the 3TFs or the 3TFs + RORc FoP system, validated the upregulation of these factors after 24 hr of dox treatment (Figure 4—figure supplement 2A) and then induced differentiation following the protocol established above. FoP-Heps derived from hiPSC also displayed cobblestone morphology (Figure 4-figure supplement 2B) and expressed Albumin, AFP, and SERPINA1/A1AT (Figure 4-figure supplement 2C,D,E,F,G). Concordantly, a higher number of Albumin positive cells were detected at day 20, as well as higher levels of secreted Albumin and transcript, when RORc was overexpressed (Figure 4-figure supplement 2C,F,G). In particular, ALB transcript further increased by day 30, suggesting that this cell background might require additional time to achieve maturation. In addition, the presence of RORc significantly increased basal CYP3A4 activity thereby confirming the positive effect of this factor on functional maturity of FoP-Heps (Figure 4—figure supplement 2H). Overall, these results showed that overexpression of specific nuclear receptors was compatible with the generation of FoP-hepatocytes. In particular, overexpression of RORc could improve the functionality of hepatocytes generated by overexpression of three LETFs confirming the role of this nuclear receptor in hepatocyte maturation.

4TF FoP-Heps display functional characteristics in vitro

Next, we sought to further characterise the functionality of the 4TF (HNF1A, HNF6, FOXA3, and RORc) FoP-Heps derived from either hESC (eFoP-Heps) or hiPSCs (iFoP-Heps) in comparison with HLCs generated by the direct differentiation and PHHs. CYP3A4 activity was significantly higher in 4TF FoP-Heps forward programmed after 20 days than those achieved by HLCs after 30 days of directed differentiation (*Figure 5A*). In addition, we analysed the expression of markers associated with hepatic metabolic functions such as phase I (cytochrome P450 enzymes) and phase II (UGTs) biotransformation, gluconeogenesis (*G6PC* and *PCK1*), and lipid (*PPARa*, *PPARy*, *FASN*, and *APOA1*) and bile acid (*NR1H4*) metabolism. FoP-Heps expressed a range of these functional markers confirming the acquisition of hepatic functionality (*Figure 5B and C, Figure 5—figure supplement 1A*). Overall, the levels of expression achieved by forward programming were equivalent to those achieved by



Figure 4. Forward programming of human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) into hepatocytes with nuclear receptors. (**A**) Phase contrast images and (**B**) immunofluorescence staining for Albumin (yellow) and (**C**) A1AT (green) in hESCs forward programmed for 20 days with 3TFs alone or in combination with the nuclear receptors RORc, ERa, and AR. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bars, 200 µm. (**D**) mRNA levels of hepatocyte markers (*ALB, SERPINA1*, and *AFP*) in FoP-Heps generated with 3TFs alone or in combination with nuclear receptors for 20 and 30 days (n=4). Expression data was normalised to the average of two housekeeping genes (*PBGD* and *RPLP0*). (**E**) Protein secretion levels of Albumin, A1AT, and AFP in hESC-derived FoP-Heps generated with 3TFs alone or in combination with nuclear receptors for 20 days (n=4). Expression data was normalised to the average of two housekeeping genes (*PBGD* and *RPLP0*). (**E**) Protein secretion levels of Albumin, A1AT, and AFP in hESC-derived FoP-Heps generated with 3TFs alone or in combination with nuclear receptors for 20 days (n=4). Data was normalised per total cell number (millions). (**F**) CYP3A4 activity levels normalised per cell number (millions) in FoP-Heps targeted with 3TFs with or without nuclear receptors, after 20 and 30 days of forward programming (n=3–6). Statistical differences were calculated with one-way ANOVA, corrected for multiple comparisons compared to 3TFs (day 20). (**G**) CYP3A4 fold induction levels in FoP-Heps treated with 100 nM of the ligands as indicated from day 2. Data is normalised to untreated control at day 20 of forward programming (n=3). Statistical differences were calculated with paired t-test. In all plots, bars represent mean with SD, and individual datapoints are shown for all biological replicates. Hepatocyte-like cells (HLCs) generated by direct differentiation and primary human hepatocytes (PHHs) where plotted as controls for CYP3A4 activity and expression data. Significant p-values are shown at each comparison and

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 4:

Source data 1. Individual measurements and statistical tests related to Figure 4 and supplements.

Figure supplement 1. Validation of inducible overexpression (iOX) of combinations of 3TFs and nuclear receptors.

Figure supplement 2. Forward programming of human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) into hepatocytes with 4TFs.



Figure 5. 4TF FoP-Heps are transcriptionally equivalent to hepatocyte-like cells (HLCs). (A) CYP3A4 activity levels in eFoP-Heps at day 20 (n=6) and day 30 (n=6), iFoP-Heps at day 0 (n=6) and day 30 (n=3), against direct differentiation HLCs (n=6) and primary human hepatocytes (PHHs) (n=4). Statistical differences were tested with one-way ANOVA, corrected for multiple comparisons, between eFoP-Heps group and HLCs. (Statistical test of HLC vs. PHHs can be found in *Figure 2B*) (B) mRNA levels of phase I (*CYP3A4, CYP2A6,* and *CYP2C8*) and phase II (*UGT1A6*) biotransformation enzymes in 4TF FoP-Heps, HLCs, and PHHs (n=4). (C) mRNA level of *ALB*, gluconeogenesis (*G6PC* and *PCK1*), and lipid (*PPARy*) metabolism in FoP-Heps, HLCs, and PHHs (n=4). Statistical differences were calculated with one-way ANOVA, corrected for multiple comparisons, and all samples compared to HLCs. p-Values are indicated as *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ***p<0.0001. (D) Principal component analysis (PCA) of undifferentiated human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs), HLCs derived from human embryonic stem cell (hESC) (hESC_HLCs) and hiPSC (hiPSC_HLCs), freshly harvested PHHs (fPHHs) or plated PHHs (pPHHs), foetal livers (FL), and 4TF hESC-derived FoP-Heps (eFoP_Heps). (E) Dotplot showing the top 15 hits on gene ontology enrichment analysis on genes associated to genes differentially expressed between eFoP-Heps and undifferentiated hiPSCs. The size of each dot represents number of genes associated to each term and the colours represents the adjusted p-values. (F) Heatmap showing expression of genes associated with adult hepatocyte functions found to be expressed in hiPSC-derived HLCs (hiPSC_HLCs) and 4TF hESC-derived FoP-Heps, as compared to undifferentiated hiPSCs. Two clusters were separated as genes expressed (bottom) and not expressed (top) in foetal liver samples (Foetal_Liver).

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 5:

Source data 1. Individual measurements and statistical tests related to Figure 5 and corresponding supplements.

Figure supplement 1. Characterisation of 4TF FoP-Heps.

Figure supplement 2. Characterisation of the transcriptome of 4TF eFoP-Heps.

direct differentiation with the exception of gluconeogenesis genes which were increased in FoP-Heps (*Figure 5C*). Interestingly, expression of gluconeogenesis and lipid metabolism genes was comparable between FoP-Heps and PHHs. However, induction of cytochrome P450 genes remains challenging, indicating that the acquisition of this specific hepatic function could need further refinement of our

Stem Cells and Regenerative Medicine

protocol (Figure 5B,). Of note, in FoP-Heps, the 4TFs remained expressed at physiological levels at the end of our protocol (Figure 5B, Figure 5-figure supplement 1B). Next, we sought to further characterise the transcriptome of day 20 4TF FoP-Heps by RNA-seq by comparing the transcriptome of eFoP-Heps with undifferentiated hiPSCs, HLCs (derived from direct differentiation of hESC and hiPSCs), adult PHHs, as well as foetal liver cells. As suggested by the marker expression pattern identified by qPCR, the transcriptome of eFoP-Heps closely clustered with HLCs derived from both hESCs and hiPSCs (Figure 5D). Interestingly, both eFoP-Heps and HLCs clustered separately from foetal liver cells, indicating that despite these cells not acquiring a fully mature phenotype, these also don't fully resemble a foetal liver stage. We further interrogated the ontology of genes differentially expressed between eFoP and the different groups of samples (Figure 5-figure supplement 2). As expected, genes that gain expression in eFoP-Heps compared to undifferentiated hiPSCs are strongly associated with several liver functions such as hormone metabolism, lipid localisation and transport, blood coagulation (Figure 5E), further confirming that cells generated by forward programming acquire a hepatocyte identity. Indeed, eFoP-Heps, as well as hiPSC-derived HLCs, expressed a range of adult liver genes that were found to be highly expressed in adult PHHs as identified in Figure 2, Figure 2 source data 2, including genes that were not expressed in the foetal stage (Figure 5F). In addition to expressing mature hepatocyte markers, both eFoP-Heps and iFoP-Heps were also able to uptake low-density lipoprotein (LDL) from the culture medium confirming their capacity to transport lipids (Figure 6A). In order to further explore their capacity to metabolise lipids, FoP-Heps were grown in 3D for 5 (D20) or 10 (D30) days as we recently observed that 3D culture conditions facilitate lipid accumulation in HLCs (Carola M Morell, personal communication, Tilson et al., 2021). We first confirmed that FoP-Heps grown in 3D retained the expression of hepatocyte markers (Figure 6B). Interestingly, SERPINA1 or UGT1A6 expression increased in these conditions suggesting an increase in functional maturation promoted by 3D (Figure 6B). We then tested the capacity of both eFoP and iFoP-Heps to respond to fatty acids by treating these cells with both oleic acid (OA) and palmitic acid (PA) which are known to induce steatosis and lipotoxicity, respectively (Ricchi et al., 2009). In line with their known effect on hepatocytes, OA treatment induced a strong accumulation of lipids as shown by BODIPY staining (Figure 6C) while PA treatment induced a reduction in cell viability consistent with lipotoxicity (Figure 6D). Thus, FoP-Heps appear to react to fatty acids similarly to their primary counterpart. Finally, we explored the interest of FoP-Heps for modelling the hepatotoxic effect of paracetamol/ acetaminophen (APAP). For that, Fop-Heps were grown in the presence of an APAP dose known to induce liver failure. This treatment resulted in up to 50% reduction in cell viability (Figure 6E) suggesting that FoP-Heps could be used for cytotoxic studies. In summary, these results showed that 4TF FoP-Heps derived from either hESC or hiPSCs display characteristics of functional hepatocytes such as expression of genes involved in drug, lipid, glucose and bile acid metabolism, capacity to uptake LDL and fatty acids from the culture medium, as well as response to hepatotoxic factors, demonstrating their potential interest for modelling liver disease in vitro and toxicology screening.

Discussion

In this study, we have established a method to forward program hPSCs into hepatocytes by taking advantage of the OPTi-OX platform (*Pawlowski et al., 2017*). The success of this approach depends on the selection of TFs, combining factors controlling early liver development and regulators of adult hepatic functions. Nonetheless, most forward programming methods rely on a master regulator to convert hPSCs into a specific cell type. As an example, neurons and muscle cells can be generated by the simple overexpression of NGN2 and MYOD, respectively (*Pawlowski et al., 2017*). Our results show that production of hepatocytes requires a more complex process involving three TFs but also a culture media supporting primary hepatocytes. Furthermore, our best LETFs combination did not include HNF4A, which is known to be a key regulator of hepatocyte function in the adult liver. On the contrary, removing HNF4A significantly improved the identity of the hepatocytes generated. Similar observations were recently reported for the direct reprogramming of human umbilical vein endothelial cells into bipotent hepatocyte progenitor cells where HNF4A was found to be detrimental (*Inada et al., 2020*). HNF4A is essential not only in adult liver but also during development, especially in the establishment of the liver bud (*Gordillo et al., 2015*). Thus, HNF4A might also have a role in preserving foetal liver cells such as hepatoblasts and its overexpression during forward programming



Figure 6. RORc promotes functionality of 4TF FoP-Heps. (**A**) Immunofluorescence staining for LDL in FoP-Heps at day 20 of forward programming. Scale bars, 200 µm. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). (**B**) Comparison of mRNA levels of *SERPINA1*, *ALB*, *AFP*, *UGT1A6*, *G6PC*, and *APOA1* in FoP-Heps cultured in 2D and 3D for up to 20 or 30 days of forward programming (n=4). Statistical difference between 2D and 3D were calculated with unpaired t-test. All expression data was normalised to the average of two housekeeping genes (*PBGD* and *RPLP0*). In all plots, bars represent mean with SD, and individual datapoints are shown for all biological replicates. p-Values are indicated as *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. (**C**) BODIPY staining of FoP-Heps cultured in 3D from day 20 of forward programming and treated with fatty acids (oleic acid [OA], palmitic acid [PA], or BSA [Ctr]) as indicated for 7 days. Scale bars, 200 µm. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). (**D**) Cell viability in FoP-Heps treated with the fatty acids as indicated, normalised against FoP-Heps treated FoP-Heps (n=4). (**E**) Cell viability in FoP-Heps treated with paired t-test. In all plots, bars represent mean with SD, and individual datapoints are shown for all biological replicates. p-Values are indicated as *p<0.05, **p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.001. ****p<0.001.

The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 6:

Source data 1. Individual measurements and statistical tests related to Figure 6.

could block the acquisition of an adult hepatocytic identity. This example illustrates the challenges to identify factors which are uniquely expressed in the adult liver.

Importantly, FoP-Heps generated by LETF overexpression acquired an hepatocytic identity with reduced adult functions, suggesting that this cocktail of TFs might only convert hiPSCs into foetal-like cells. Thus, we decided to add factors which could direct functional maturation of the liver. This latest

Stem Cells and Regenerative Medicine

category of factors was identified by performing a transcriptomic and epigenetic comparison of PHHs and HLC generated by direct differentiation. The focus on HLCs was based on their well-characterised foetal state and also the broad experience with the cells. These analyses identified a subset of nuclear receptors that were exclusively expressed in the adult liver thereby confirming the relevance of our approach. Of particular interest, RORc, ER α , and AR were identified as key candidates for controlling functional maturation in hepatocytes. Importantly, nuclear receptors are well known to control diverse liver functions including lipid and glucose homeostasis, bile acid clearance, xenobiotic sensing, and regeneration (Rudraiah et al., 2016). Both steroid hormonal receptors ERα and AR have been shown to have roles in the regulation of energy homeostasis in the liver (Shen and Shi, 2021). Moreover, ERα is involved in cholesterol clearance (Zhu et al., 2018) and has also been associated with liver regeneration (Kao et al., 2018) and bilirubin metabolism through CYP2A6 (Kao et al., 2017). RORc is a nuclear receptor expressed in peripheral tissues including liver, muscle, and adipose tissue and has been proposed to function as an intermediary between the circadian clock and glucose/lipid metabolism (Cook et al., 2015). Moreover, RORy-deficient mice exhibit insulin sensitivity and reduced expression of gluconeogenesis, lipid metabolic markers, and a subset of phase I enzymes involved in bile acid synthesis and phase II enzymes (Kang et al., 2007; Takeda et al., 2014a; Takeda et al., 2014b). Based on these previous reports, we propose that the overexpression of RORc and other nuclear receptors could improve specific functions in FoP-Heps by activating a subset of target genes in the hepatic context induced by the LETFs overexpression. Importantly, hepatocyte functionality is spatially different across the liver lobule, being influenced by the gradient of oxygen, nutrients, and signalling (Trefts et al., 2017). This hepatic zonation drives different metabolic processes in regards to glucose, lipids, iron, or even xenobiotics, which are under the control of different transcriptomic programs (Halpern et al., 2017). Thus, we expect that different combinations of nuclear factors in the background induced by LETFs overexpression could enable the production of hepatocytes with a distinct repertoire of functions.

FoP-Heps generated with the overexpression of the 4TFs (HNF1A, HNF6, FOXA3, and RORc) displayed functional features of adult hepatocytes including Albumin and A1AT secretion, basal CYP3A4 activity, expression of phase I/phase II enzymes, gluconeogenesis and lipid metabolism markers, capacity to uptake LDL and fatty acids, as well as response to toxic compounds. Nonetheless, CYP3A4 expression remains limited and this gene remains difficult to induce in vitro. Thus, additional TFs could be necessary to generate FoP-Heps exhibiting the full spectrum of functional activities displayed by PHHs. Similarly, culture conditions could be further improved to support key hepatic functions. Indeed, the basal medium used in our protocol does not prevent dedifferentiation of PHHs and thus might not be compatible with the production of fully functional cells by forward programming. Nonetheless, the forward programming method established here presents several advantages over conventional directed differentiation protocols. This is a robust two-step method which bypasses the need for multi-step differentiations which are often associated with batch-to-batch variability. Furthermore, forward programming is faster, generating functional cells in 20 days, as opposed to 30-35 days for direct differentiation. Finally, the yield of cells seems favourable and compatible with large-scale production. Indeed, we observed that forward programming was associated with a six- to eight fold increase in cell number during differentiation while the yield of direct differentiation is lower (data not shown). Moreover, the phenotype achieved is stable even in the absence of dox which allows this method to be applicable in cell therapy and drug discovery (data not shown).

Taken together, our results describe the first method for generating hepatocytes using forward programming. This approach represents the first step towards the high-throughput and large-scale production of specialised hepatocytes displaying a spectrum of functions relevant for different applications in disease modelling and drug screening.

Materials and methods

hPSC culture

The human ESC H9 (WiCell) and IPSC A1ATD^{R/R} (**Yusa et al., 2011**) lines were used in this project. Human iPSC line was derived as previously described, under approval by the regional research ethics committee (REC 08/H0311/201). Both hPSCs were cultured on vitronectin XFTM (10 μg/ml, StemCell Technologies)-coated plates and in Essential 8 (E8) chemically defined medium consisting of DMEM/ F12 (Gibco), L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate (1%), insulin-transferrin-selenium solution (2%, Life Technologies), sodium bicarbonate (0.7%), and Penicillin/Streptomycin (1%), freshly supplemented with TGF β (10 ng/ml, R&D) and FGF2 (12 ng/ml, Qkine) (**Chen et al., 2011**). For routine dissociation, cells were incubated with 0.5 μ M EDTA (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 3 min at 37°C seeded in small clumps. Cells were maintained at 37°C in 20% O₂, 5% CO₂ and medium was replenished every 24 hr.

Authentication of hPSCs was achieved by confirming the expression of pluripotency genes. Cells were routinely confirmed to be mycoplasma free using broth and PCR-based assays. The cell lines are not on the list of commonly misidentified cell lines (International Cell Line Authentication Committee).

Gene targeting

Inducible hESC and hiPSC lines were generated using the OPTi-OX system as previously described (**Bertero et al., 2016; Pawlowski et al., 2017**). Briefly, two gene safe harbours were targeted (GSH).

Gene Primer Sequence 5'-3' (GSG linker sequence underlined) HNF1A Start_F CAC TTT TGT CTT ATA CTT ACT AGT GCC ACC ATG GTT TCT AAA CTT HNF1A P2A_R TTC CAC GTC TCC TGC TTG CTT TAA CAG AGA GAA GTT CGT GGC CTG G HNF4A E2A_F TAT GCT CTC TTG AAA TTG GCT GGA GAT GTT GAG AGC AAC CCT G	IG AGC CAG CTG CAG TCC GGA GCC CTG GGA GGA AGA GGC CAT GGA CCT GTC AGC GTG AAC GCG CCC CT CTG CTT GGT GAT GGT CG			
HNF1A Start_F CAC TTT TGT CTT ATA CTT ACT AGT GCC ACC ATG GTT TCT AAA CT HNF1A P2A_R TTC CAC GTC TCC TGC TTG CTT TAA CAG AGA GAA GTT CGT GGC HNF4A E2A_F TAT GCT CTC TTG AAA TTG GCT GGA GAT GTT GAG AGC AAC CCT	IG AGC CAG CTG CAG TCC GGA GCC CTG GGA GGA AGA GGC CAT GGA CCT GTC AGC GTG AAC GCG CCC CT CTG CTT GGT GAT GGT CG			
HNF1AP2A_RTTC CAC GTC TCC TGC TTG CTT TAA CAG AGA GAA GTT CGT GGCHNF4AE2A_FTAT GCT CTC TTG AAA TTG GCT GGA GAT GTT GAG AGC AAC CCT	TCC GGA GCC CTG GGA GGA AGA GGC CAT GGA CCT GTC AGC GTG AAC GCG CCC CT CTG CTT GGT GAT GGT CG			
HNF4A E2A_F TAT GCT CTC TTG AAA TTG GCT GGA GAT GTT GAG AGC AAC CCT	GGA CCT GTC AGC GTG AAC GCG CCC CT			
	CTG CTT GGT GAT GGT CG			
HNF4A Stop_R AGA GGA TCC CCG GGT ACC GAG CTC GAA TTC CTA GAT AAC TTC				
HNF4A P2A_F TCT CTG TTA AAG CAA GCA GGA GAC GTG GAA GAA AAC CCC GG	TCT CTG TTA AAG CAA GCA GGA GAC GTG GAA GAA AAC CCC GGT CCT GTC AGC GTG AAC GCG CCC CT			
CTC CTC CAC GTC ACC GCA TGT TAG AAG ACT TCC TCT GCC CTCHNF4AT2A_RGAT GGT CG	CTC CTC CAC GTC ACC GCA TGT TAG AAG ACT TCC TCT GCC CTC <u>TCC GGA GCC</u> GAT AAC TTC CTG CTT GGT GAT GGT CG			
HNF4A Start_F CAC TTT TGT CTT ATA CTT ACT AGT GCC ACC ATG GTC AGC GTG A	AC GCG CCC			
TTC CAC GTC TCC TGC TTG CTT TAA CAG AGA GAA GTT CGT GGCHNF4AP2A_RGAT GGT CG	T <u>CC GGA GCC</u> GAT AAC TTC CTG CTT GGT			
FOXA3 T2A_F AGT CTT CTA ACA TGC GGT GAC GTG GAG GAG AAT CCC GGC CCT	F CTG GGC TCA GTG AAG ATG GAG GC			
CTC AAC ATC TCC AGC CAA TTT CAA GAG AGC ATA ATT AGT ACA CFOXA3E2A_RAGA GCG GGA ATA G	CTG <u>TCC GGA GCC</u> GGA TGC ATT AAG CAA			
FOXA3 P2A_F TCT CTG TTA AAG CAA GCA GGA GAC GTG GAA GAA AAC CCC GG	T CCT CTG GGC TCA GTG AAG ATG GAG GC			
CTC CTC CAC GTC ACC GCA TGT TAG AAG ACT TCC TCT GCC CTCFOXA3T2A_RGCG GGA ATA G	<u>TCC GGA GCC</u> GGA TGC ATT AAG CAA AGA			
FOXA3 T2A_F AGT CTT CTA ACA TGC GGT GAC GTG GAG GAG AAT CCC GGC CCT	F CTG GGC TCA GTG AAG ATG GAG GC			
FOXA3 Stop_R AGA GGA TCC CCG GGT ACC GAG CTC GAA TTC CTA GGA TGC ATT	AAG CAA AGA GCG GGA ATA G			
HNF6 P2A_F TCT CTG TTA AAG CAA GCA GGA GAC GTG GAA GAA AAC CCC GG	T CCT AAC GCG CAG CTG ACC ATG GAA GC			
HNF6 T2A_R CTC CTC CAC GTC ACC GCA TGT TAG AAG ACT TCC TCT GCC CTC TGA TGA AGA AGA T	T <u>CC GGA GCC</u> TGC TTT GGT ACA AGT GCT			
HNF6 T2A_F AGT CTT CTA ACA TGC GGT GAC GTG GAG GAG AAT CCC GGC CC	FAAC GCG CAG CTG ACC ATG GAA GC			
HNF6 Stop_R AGA GGA TCC CCG GGT ACC GAG CTC GAA TTC CTA TGC TTT GGT	ACA AGT GCT TGA TGA AGA AGA T			
RORY E2A_F TAT GCT CTC TTG AAA TTG GCT GGA GAT GTT GAG AGC AAC CCT	GGA CCT GAC AGG GCC CCA CAG AGA CAG			
RORy Stop_R AGA GGA TCC CCG GGT ACC GAG CTC GAA TTC CTA CTT GGA CAC	G CCC CAC AGG TGA C			
TAT GCT CTC TTG AAA TTG GCT GGA GAT GTT GAG AGC AAC CCTESR1E2A_FGCA	GGA CCT ACC ATG ACC CTC CAC ACC AAA			
ESR1 Stop_R AGA GGA TCC CCG GGT ACC GAG CTC GAA TTC CTA GAC CGT GG	C AGG GAA ACC CTC			
TAT GCT CTC TTG AAA TTG GCT GGA GAT GTT GAG AGC AAC CCT AR E2A_F AG	GGA CCT GAA GTG CAG TTA GGG CTG GGA			
AR Stop_R AGA GGA TCC CCG GGT ACC GAG CTC GAA TTC CTA CTG GGT GTC	G GAA ATA GAT GGG CTT G			

The hROSA26 locus was targeted with a constitutively expressed transactivator (rtTA) and the AAVS1 locus with the transgenes of interest under a TET-responsive element (TRE). Different combinations of TFs and/or nuclear receptors as stated throughout the manuscript were cloned. Template cDNA sequences were obtained either from Dharmacon: HNF6 (MHS6278-213244170), HNF1A (MHS6278-202857902), RORy (MHS6278-202800991), and ESR1 (MHS6278-211691051); or amplified from human primary liver cDNA: HNF4A, FOXA3, and AR. Sequences were amplified using the KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (Roche). The primers used to amplify and clone the sequences into the backbone vector contained upstream and downstream overhangs in order to generate a GSG (Gly-Ser-Gly) linker and a different 2A peptide as listed in Table 1. The different vectors were constructed by Gibson Assembly (New England Biolabs) using a 1:3 pmol ratio of vector to insert. For targeting, hPSCs were dissociated into single cells with StemPro accutase (Thermo Fisher) for 5 min, and 1 million cells were transfected with 2 µg of donor vector and 2 µg of each AAVS1 ZFN expression plasmids using the P3 Primary Cell 4D-Nucleofector X Kit (Lonza). Cells were seeded in E8 medium supplemented with 10 μM ROCK Inhibitor Y-27632 (Selleckchem). After 5–7 days, colonies were selected with 1 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich) for at least 2 days, after which they were individually picked and genotyped as previously described (Bertero et al., 2016; Pawlowski et al., 2017).

Hepatocyte direct differentiation

hPSCs were dissociated into single cells following incubation with StemPro Accutase (Thermo Fisher) for 5 min at 37°C and seeded at a density of 50,000 cells/cm² in E8 medium supplemented with 10 μ M ROCK Inhibitor Y-27632 (Selleckchem). Hepatocytes were differentiated 48 hr after seeding, as previously reported (*Hannan et al., 2013*) with minor modifications. Following endoderm differentiation, anterior foregut specification was achieved with RPMI-B27 differentiation media supplemented with 50 ng/ml Activin A (R&D) for 5 days. Cells at the foregut stage were further differentiated into hepatocytes with Hepatozyme complete medium: HepatoZYME-SFM (Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine (Thermo Fisher), 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Thermo Fisher), 2% non-essential amino acids (Thermo Fisher), 2% chemically defined lipids (Thermo Fisher), 14 μ g/ml of insulin (Roche), 30 μ g/ml of transferrin (Roche), 50 ng/ml hepatocyte growth factor (R&D), and 20 ng/ml oncostatin M (R&D), for up to 27 days.

Forward programming into hepatocytes

hPSCs were dissociated into single cells following incubation with StemPro Accutase (Thermo Fisher) for 5 min at 37°C and seeded at a density of 40–50,000 cells/cm² in E8 medium supplemented with 10 μ M ROCK Inhibitor Y-27632 (Selleckchem). E8 medium was replenished the following day. Following 48 hr, initial induction of the transgenes was achieved by incubation in E6 medium (E8 without growth factors) supplemented with 1 mg/ml dox for 24 hr. Cells were then maintained in Hepatozyme complete medium supplemented with 1 mg/ml dox for the remaining duration of the protocol. Medium was replenished every day for the next 4 days, and every other day here after. For specific experiments, cell lines were treated with 100 nM of desmosterol, testosterone, or β -estradiol (E2) from day 2 of forward programming. All ligands were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and reconstituted in ethanol. For 3D cultures, forward programmed cells were embedded in Matrigel Growth Factor Reduced Basement Membrane Matrix, Phenol Red-free (Corning) at day 15 or day 20 and cultured for 5 or 10 days, respectively. Cells were dissociated with Hank's based cell dissociation buffer (Gibco) for 20 min at 37°C, resuspended in Matrigel and seeded in 40–50 μ l domes in Hepatozyme complete medium supplemented with 1 mg/ml dox.

Primary liver samples

Fresh primary hepatocytes used for RNA-seq were obtained as previously reported (**Segeritz et al., 2018**). Primary plated hepatocytes from four donors (three males and one female) were purchased from Biopredic International (Rennes, France), meeting the manufacturer's quality control requirements. Cells were maintained in short-term monolayer cultures in William's E (Gibco) supplemented with 1% glutamine (Gibco), 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco), 700 nM insulin (Sigma-Aldrich), and 50 µM hydrocortisone (Sigma). Functional assays such as CYP3A4 activity measurement were performed in Hepatozyme complete medium within 48 hr of receipt. Bulk foetal tissue was obtained from patients

undergoing elective terminations up to the third trimester, under approval by the regional research ethics committee (REC- 96/085). The tissue was lysed and RNA harvested as indicated below.

CYP3A4 assay

Measurement of CYP3A4 enzymatic activity was performed using the P450 Glo kit (Promega). Cells were incubated with 1:1000 luciferin-IPA in Hepatozyme complete for 1 hr at 37°C. Supernatant was mixed with detection reagent in a 1:1 ratio and incubated at room temperature for 20 min in Greiner white 96-well microplates (Sigma-Aldrich). Luminescence was measured in triplicate on a GloMax plate reader. Hepatozyme complete medium was used as background control. Relative light units were normalised for background, volume and average total number of cells obtained after differentiation.

LDL uptake assay

LDL uptake capacity was measured with the LDL Uptake Assay Kit (Abcam). Cells were incubated with 1:100 human LDL conjugated to DyLight 550 in Hepatozyme complete medium for 3 hr at 37°C. Cells were then washed and fixed with 4% PFA for 20 min at 4°C.

Fatty acid treatments

Forward programmed cells were embedded in 3D from day 20 and cultured for 7 days in Hepatozyme complete medium supplemented with either BSA (control), or OA (0.25 mM) or PA (0.25 mM) conjugated with BSA. Intracellular lipid accumulation was detected by incubating cells with 1 μ l/ml Bodipy (Thermo Fisher) for 30 min, followed by DAPI (Hoechst) diluted 1:10,000 in PBS for 30 min and imaged on a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope.

APAP toxicity

The hepatotoxicity of APAP was tested by incubating forward programmed cells cultured in 3D from day 15 in Hepatozyme complete medium supplemented with 25 mM APAP (R&D) for 48 hr (day 18 to day 20) after which cell viability was determined.

Cell viability

Cell viability was determined by incubating cells with 1:10 Presto Blue reagent (Invitrogen) in Hepatozyme complete medium at 37°C for 4 hr. Fluorescence was measured using the EnVision plate reader with an excitation emission of 560 nm/590 nm.

RT-qPCR

RNA was extracted from either cells or tissues using GenElute Mammalian Total RNA Miniprep Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer's instructions; 500 ng of RNA were reverse transcribed into cDNA using Random Primers and SuperScript II (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. qPCR was performed using the KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Kit low-ROX (Sigma-Aldrich) with 200 nM of forward and reverse primers (Sigma-Aldrich; primers listed in **Table 2**) on a QuantStudio 5 (Applied Biosystems). qPCRs were performed in technical duplicates and normalised to the average of two housekeeping genes (*RPLP0* and *PBGD*) using the $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ method.

Immunofluorescence staining

Cells in monolayer were fixed in 4% PFA for 20 min at 4°C and blocked for 30 min in 10% donkey serum (Bio-Rad) and 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich). Fixed cells were incubated with primary antibodies listed in **Table 3** in 1% donkey serum and 0,01% Triton X-100 overnight at 4 °C. Following washing, cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488-, 568-, or 647-conjugated secondary antibodies (Life Technologies) for 1 hr at room temperature diluted in 1% donkey serum and 0.01% Triton X-100. For nuclei visualisation, cells were incubated with adding DAPI/Hoechst 33258 (bis-Benzimide H, Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1:10,000 in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were imaged either on a Zeiss Axiovert 200M or on a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope.

Secreted protein quantification

Albumin, Alpha-Fetoprotein, and Alpha-1-Antitrypsin were measured in the cell culture supernatant of monolayer cultures, which were replenished with fresh Hepatozyme complete medium 24 hr prior to

Gene	Forward	Reverse	
AFP	TGCGGCCTCTTCCAGAAACT	TAATGTCAGCCGCTCCCTCG	
ALB	CCTTTGGCACAATGAAGTGGGTAACC	CAGCAGTCAGCCATTTCACCATAG	
APOA1	AGACAGCGGCAGAGACTATG	CCAGTTGTCAAGGAGCTTTAGG	
CYP2A6	CAGCACTTCCTGAATGAG	AGGTGACTGGGAGGACTTGAGGC	
CYP2C8	CATTACTGACTTCCGTGCTACAT	CTCCTGCACAAATTCGTTTTCC	
CYP2C9	GCCGGCATGGAGCTGTTTTAT	GCCAGGCCATCTGCTCTTCTT	
CYP3A4	TGTGCCTGAGAACACCAGAG	GTGGTGGAAATAGTCCCGTG	
FASN	GCAAGCTGAAGGACCTGTCT	AATCTGGGTTGATGCCTCCG	
FOXA3	TGGGCTCAGTGAAGATGGAG	GGGGATAGGGAGAGCTTAGAG	
G6PC	GTGTCCGTGATCGCAGACC	GACGAGGTTGAGCCAGTCTC	
HHEX	GCCCTTTTACATCGAGGACA	AGGGCGAACATTGAGAGCTA	
HNF1A	TGGCCATGGACACGTACAG	GCTGCTTGAGGGTACTTCTG	
HNF4A	CATGGCCAAGATTGACAACCT	TTCCCATATGTTCCTGCATCAG	
HNF6	GTGTTGCCTCTATCCTTCCCAT	CGCTCCGCTTAGCAGCAT	
NANOG	CATGAGTGTGGATCCAGCTTG	CCTGAATAAGCAGATCCATGG	
NR1H4	ACTGAACTCACCCCAGATCAA	TGGTTGCCATTTCCGTCAAA	
PBGD	GGAGCCATGTCTGGTAACGG	CCACGCGAATCACTCTCATCT	
PCK1	ACACAGTGCCCATCCCCAAA	GGTGCGACCTTTCATGCACC	
POU5F1	AGTGAGAGGCAACCTGGAGA	ACACTCGGACCACATCCTTC	
PPARa	CCCTCCTCGGTGACTTATCC	CGGTCGCACTTGTCATACAC	
PPARy	GAGCCTGCATCTCCACCTTAT	AGAAACCCTTGCATCCTTCACA	
RORy	CTACGGCAGCCCCAGTTT	GCTGGCATGTCTCCCTGTA	
RPLPO	GGCGTCCTCGTGGAAGTGAC	GCCTTGCGCATCATGGTGTT	
SERPINA1	CCACCGCCATCTTCTTCCTGCCTGA	GAGCTTCAGGGGTGCCTCCTCTG	
SOX17	CGCACGGAATTTGAACAGTA	GGA TCAGGGACCTGTCACAC	
ТВХ3	TGGAGCCCGAAGAAGAGGTG	TTCGCCTTCCCGACTTGGTA	
UGT1A1	TGATCCCAGTGGATGGCAGC	CAACGAGGCGTCAGGTGCTA	
UGT1A6	GGAGCCCTGTGATTTGGAGAGT	GACCCCGGTCACTGAGAACC	

Table 2. Sequences of primers used for qPCR.

collection. Concentrations were detected by ELISA (performed by core biomedical assay laboratory, Cambridge University Hospitals) and normalised to cell number.

RNA-seq analyses

RNA-seq datasets were generated for undifferentiated hiPSCs (n=3), hESC-derived HLCs (n=2), hiPSC-derived HLCs (n=6), fPHHs (n=3), commercially purchased PHHs (pPHHs, n=2), bulk foetal liver samples (FL, n=3), and hESC-derived 4TF FoP-Heps (eFoP, n=3). RNA was extracted from either cells or tissues using GenElute Mammalian Total RNA Miniprep Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Poly-A library preparation and sequencing were performed by Cambridge Genomic Services (hESC_HLCs; pPHHs), the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute (hiPSCs, hiPSC_HLCs, fPHHs), and the Cambridge Stem Cell Institute and CRUK (FL, eFoP). Quality of reads was assessed with FastQC. For consistency, fastq reads were split into single-end reads and trimmed to the same length (40 bp) using cutadapt version 2.10. Single-end fastq files were mapped and quantified using salmon version 1.2.1 with the following parameters: -I A, -GCbias, -posbias, -validatemappings (**Patro**

Protein	Supplier	Catalog number	Host	Concentration
Albumin	Bethyl Laboratories	A80-229A	goat	1:100
Alpha-1 Antitrypsin	Dako	A0012	rabbit	1:100
Alpha-Fetoprotein	Dako	A0008	rabbit	1:100
HNF4A	Abcam	ab92378	rabbit	1:100
HNF1A	Santa cruz	sc-135939	mouse	1:50
HNF6	Santa cruz	sc-13050	rabbit	1:100
FOXA3	Santa cruz	sc-166703	mouse	1:50
RORc	Abcam	ab221359	rabbit	1:100
ERα	Abcam	ab32063	rabbit	1:100
AR	Abcam	ab108341	rabbit	1:100

et al., 2017). The index used was pre-built from the human GRCh38 cDNA reference sequence from Ensembl (refgenomes.databio.org). DGE was calculated using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014), with the following parameters: padj > 0.05, basemean > 100 and log2 fold change >2 or <-2 between groups as depicted in each figure. GO enrichment was calculated with the clusterProfiler package (Yu et al., 2012). Pathway analysis on significantly misregulated TFs was assessed using ReactomePA (Yu and He, 2016). Mouse liver poly-A plus RNA-seq was downloaded from ENCODE (ENCODE Project Consortium, 2012). Single-end fastq reads were trimmed in both replicates from each dataset to 70 bp using cutadapt version 2.10. Fastq were mapped and quantified using salmon version 1.2.1 with the following parameters: -I A, -Gbias, -seqbias, -validatemappings using a pre-build mm10 cDNA reference genome. DeSeq2 was used to generate all plots for visualisation.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation

ChIP was performed as previously reported (**Brown et al., 2011**). Briefly, chromatin was crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 min at room temperature and quenched with 0.125 M glycine (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells and nuclei were subsequently lysed and chromatin was sonicated to fragment DNA to about 200–500 bp on a Bioruptor Pico sonication device (Diagenode). Sonicated chromatin was pre-cleared with same-host IgG and protein G Dynabeads (Thermo Fisher), 100 µg of cleared chromatin (protein) was incubated with 2 µg of the following antibodies overnight at 4°C: H3K27ac (Abcam, ab4729), H3K4me1 (Abcam, ab8895), H3K27me3 (Active Motif, 39155), and H3K4me3 (Merk, 05-745R), after which complexes captured with 30 µl of protein G Dynabeads (Thermo Fisher). Complexes were washed, RNAse A (Thermo Fisher) and Proteinase K (Sigma-Aldrich) treated, and DNA was purified by phenol-chloroform extraction and precipitated with GlycoBlue (Thermo Fisher), sodium acetate (Thermo Fisher), and ethanol (Sigma-Aldrich). A sonicated chromatin sample (1%) was also collected as input for normalisation and 10 ng of DNA were used for ChIP-seq library preparations.

ChIP-seq analyses

Library preparation and sequencing and alignment were performed by the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute DNA Sequencing Facility (Hinxton, UK). Sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq v4 to obtain paired-end reads with 75 bp length. ChIP-seq reads were mapped to human genome assembly GRCh38 with bwa. Aligned data in BAM format was sorted and indexed with samtools. Coverage files were generated using deeptools bamCoverage, with a bin size of 10 bp and normalised as RPKM for visualisation in IGV and heatmap representation with deeptools. In order to plot PCA, average scores were calculated over 1000 bp bins. For peak calling, BAM files were converted to SAM and peaks called using homer (*Heinz et al., 2010*). Both replicates were used for peak calling against input with disabled local filtering invoking the following flags for H3K27ac: -region -L 0. In order to identify regulatory regions specifically active in PHH or HLCs, differentially bound peaks were determined using PHH datasets as target against all HLCs datasets as background, and vice versa, with a fold enrichment over background of 4. A list of genes annotated for each peak dataset can be found in, *Figure 3—source data 1 and 2*. For motif enrichment, peak calling was performed on nucleosome-free regions by invoking the flags -L 1 -nfr, in order to determine the 'dips' within H3K27ac-rich regions. These sets of regions were overlapped with the differentially bound peaks as above, in order to perform PHH or HLC-specific motif enrichment. Peak annotation and GO enrichment were determined with the clusterProfiler R package (*Yu et al., 2012*). Undifferentiated hiPSCs ChIP-seq reads aligned to the same genome assembly were downloaded from ENCODE (*ENCODE Project Consortium, 2012*) and treated as above.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad 9.0.0 and specific tests are indicated in the figure legends. For each figure, sample size n indicates the number of independent experiments or biological replicates and individual values are represented for every graph. Testing between groups was performed with at least n≥3 independent experiments and p-value groups are indicated within the figure where significant.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by funding from the European Research Council Grant New-Chol, the UK Regenerative Medicine Platform, and a core support grant from the Wellcome MRC – Cambridge Stem Cell Institute. We thank Anna Osnato and Pedro Madrigal for bioinformatics support, and Stephanie Brown for technical advice. We acknowledge the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute sequencing platform, the ENCODE Consortium, and the ENCODE production laboratories in generating the particular datasets used in this manuscript.

Additional information

Competing interests

Fabian Bachinger: is a PhD student sponsored by bit.bio. Ludovic Vallier: is a founder and shareholder of DefiniGEN, Aculive Therapeutics and Billitech. The other authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
European Research Council	New-Chol	Rute A Tomaz Ludovic Vallier
UK Regenerative Medicine Platform		Rute A Tomaz Rute A Tomaz
Wellcome - MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, University of Cambridge		Annabelle Wurmser Annabelle Wurmser Annabelle Wurmser
Gates Cambridge Trust	PhD Studentship	Brandon T Wesley
Chan Zuckerberg Initiative		Carola M Morell Carola M Morell
bit.bio	PhD Studentship	Fabian Bachinger

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Rute A Tomaz, Conceptualization, Software, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft; Ekaterini D Zacharis, Fabian Bachinger, Annabelle Wurmser, Dominika Dziedzicka, Validation, Investigation; Daniel Yamamoto, Software, Formal analysis; Sandra Petrus-Reurer, Investigation; Carola M Morell, Brandon T Wesley, Resources; Imbisaat Geti, Resources, Generation of RNA-seq dataset; Charis-Patricia Segeritz, Resources, Generation of RNA-seq dataset; Miguel C de Brito, Resources, Generation of RNA-seq dataset; Mariya Chhatriwala, Resources, Generation of RNA-seq dataset; Daniel Ortmann, Methodology; Kourosh Saeb-Parsy, Supervision; Ludovic Vallier, Conceptualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing – review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Rute A Tomaz (b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9377-1431 Ludovic Vallier (b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3848-2602

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.71591.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.71591.sa2

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Transparent reporting form

Data availability

RNA-seq datasets used in this study are accessible on Array Express under the accession number E-MTAB-10634 and E-MTAB-11852. In addition, 3 of the hiPSC_HLCs data sets have been previously deposited with the accession number E-MTAB-6781 (Segeritz et al., 2018). *Mus musculus* C57BL/6 liver embryo RNA-seq datasets were obtained from the ENCODE database (Nakamori et al., 2016) (https://www.encodeproject.org/) with the following accession numbers: ENCSR216KLZ (E12.5 liver), ENCSR826HIQ (E16.5 liver), ENCSR096STK (P0 liver), ENCSR000BYS (8 weeks mixed sex adult liver) and ENCSR216KLZ (10 weeks adult liver). ChIP-seq datasets generated in this study have been deposited on Array Express with the accession number E-MTAB-10637, and publicly available datasets for hiPSCs were used from the ENCODE database with the following accession numbers: ENCSR729ENO (H3K27ac), ENCSR249YGG (H3K4me1), ENCSR386RIJ (H3K27me3), ENCSR657DYL (H3K4me3) and ENCSR773IYZ (input). All data generated or analysed during this study is included in the manuscript, supporting files, and source data files.

The following datasets were generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Tomaz RA, Zacharis ED, Bachinger F, Wurmser A, Yamamoto D, Petrus- Reurer S, Morell CM, Dziedzicka D, Wesley BT, Geti I, Segeritz CP, de Brito MC, Chhatriwala M, Ortmann D, Saeb- Parsy K, Vallier L	2022	RNA-sequencing of forward programmed hepatocytes and fetal liver samples	https://www.ebi.ac. uk/arrayexpress/ experiments/E- MTAB-11852	ArrayExpress, E- MTAB-11852
Tomaz RA, Zacharis ED, Bachinger F, Wurmser A, Yamamoto D, Petrus- Reurer S, Morell CM, Dziedzicka D, Wesley BT, Geti I, Segeritz CP, de Brito MC, Chhatriwala M, Ortmann D, Saeb- Parsy K, Vallier L	2022	ChIP-sequencing of hepatocyte-like cells (HLCs) and primary human hepatocytes (PHHs)	https://www.ebi.ac. uk/arrayexpress/ experiments/E- MTAB-10637	ArrayExpress, E- MTAB-10637

Continued on next page

Continued

Continuou				
Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Tomaz RA, Zacharis ED, Bachinger F, Wurmser A, Yamamoto D, Petrus- Reurer S, Morell CM, Dziedzicka D, Wesley BT, Geti I, Segeritz CP, de Brito MC, Chhatriwala M, Ortmann D, Saeb- Parsy K, Vallier L	2022	RNA-sequencing of hepatocyte-like cells (HLCs) and primary human hepatocytes (PHHs)	https://www.ebi.ac. uk/arrayexpress/ experiments/E- MTAB-10634	ArrayExpress, E- MTAB-10634

The following previously published datasets were used:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Segeritz CP, Rashid ST, de Brito MC, Serra MP, Ordonez A, Morell CM, Kaserman JE, Madrigal P, Hannan NRF, Gatto L, Tan L, Wilson AA, Lilley K, Marciniak SJ, Gooptu B, Lomas DA, Vallier L	2018	RNA-seq of hepatocytes obtained through step- wise differentiation of hIPSCs from a patient with A1AT deficiency and its point mutation-corrected isogenic hIPSC line. Comparison to primary hepatocytes from a healthy donor and an A1AT- deficient patient	https://www.ebi.ac. uk/arrayexpress/ experiments/E- MTAB-6781	ArrayExpress, E-MTAB-6781
Encode consortium	2015	polyA plus RNA-seq	https://doi.org/10. 17989/ENCSR216KLZ	Encode portal, 10.17989/ ENCSR216KLZ
Encode consortium	2016	Histone ChIP-seq	https://doi. org/10.17989/ ENCSR729ENO	Encode portal, 10.17989/ ENCSR729ENO
Encode consortium	2015	polyA plus RNA-seq	https://doi.org/10. 17989/ENCSR826HIQ	Encode portal, 10.17989/ ENCSR826HIQ
Encode consortium	2015	polyA plus RNA-seq	https://doi.org/10. 17989/ENCSR096STK	Encode portal, 10.17989/ ENCSR096STK
Encode consortium	2015	polyA plus RNA-seq	https://doi.org/10. 17989/ENCSR000BYS	Encode portal, 10.17989/ ENCSR000BYS
Encode consortium	2016	Histone ChIP-seq	https://doi. org/10.17989/ ENCSR249YGG	Encode portal, 10.17989/ ENCSR249YGG
Encode consortium	2016	Histone ChIP-seq	https://doi.org/10. 17989/ENCSR386RIJ	Encode portal, 10.17989/ ENCSR386RIJ
Encode consortium	2016	Histone ChIP-seq	https://doi.org/10. 17989/ENCSR657DYL	Encode portal, 10.17989/ ENCSR657DYL
Encode consortium	2016	Histone ChIP-seq	https://doi.org/10. 17989/ENCSR773IYZ	Encode portal, 10.17989/ ENCSR773IYZ

References

- Baxter M, Withey S, Harrison S, Segeritz CP, Zhang F, Atkinson-Dell R, Rowe C, Gerrard DT, Sison-Young R, Jenkins R, Henry J, Berry AA, Mohamet L, Best M, Fenwick SW, Malik H, Kitteringham NR, Goldring CE, Piper Hanley K, Vallier L, et al. 2015. Phenotypic and functional analyses show stem cell-derived hepatocytelike cells better mimic fetal rather than adult hepatocytes. *Journal of Hepatology* 62:581–589. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jhep.2014.10.016, PMID: 25457200
- Bertero A, Pawlowski M, Ortmann D, Snijders K, Yiangou L, Cardoso de Brito M, Brown S, Bernard WG, Cooper JD, Giacomelli E, Gambardella L, Hannan NRF, Iyer D, Sampaziotis F, Serrano F, Zonneveld MCF, Sinha S, Kotter M, Vallier L. 2016. Optimized inducible shRNA and CRISPR/Cas9 platforms for in vitro studies of

human development using hPSCs. Development 143:4405–4418. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.138081, PMID: 27899508

- Boon R, Kumar M, Tricot T, Elia I, Ordovas L, Jacobs F, One J, De Smedt J, Eelen G, Bird M, Roelandt P, Doglioni G, Vriens K, Rossi M, Vazquez MA, Vanwelden T, Chesnais F, El Taghdouini A, Najimi M, Sokal E, et al. 2020. Amino acid levels determine metabolism and CYP450 function of hepatocytes and hepatoma cell lines. Nature Communications 11:1393. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15058-6, PMID: 32170132
- Brown S, Teo A, Pauklin S, Hannan N, Cho CHH, Lim B, Vardy L, Dunn NR, Trotter M, Pedersen R, Vallier L. 2011. Activin/Nodal signaling controls divergent transcriptional networks in human embryonic stem cells and in endoderm progenitors. *Stem Cells* 29:1176–1185. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.666, PMID: 21630377
- Chen G, Gulbranson DR, Hou Z, Bolin JM, Ruotti V, Probasco MD, Smuga-Otto K, Howden SE, Diol NR, Propson NE, Wagner R, Lee GO, Antosiewicz-Bourget J, Teng JMC, Thomson JA. 2011. Chemically defined conditions for human iPSC derivation and culture. *Nature Methods* 8:424–429. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ nmeth.1593, PMID: 21478862
- Cook DN, Kang HS, Jetten AM. 2015. Retinoic acid-related orphan receptors (rors): regulatory functions in immunity, development, circadian rhythm, and metabolism. Nuclear Receptor Research 2:101185. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.11131/2015/101185, PMID: 26878025
- Creyghton MP, Cheng AW, Welstead GG, Kooistra T, Carey BW, Steine EJ, Hanna J, Lodato MA, Frampton GM, Sharp PA, Boyer LA, Young RA, Jaenisch R. 2010. Histone H3K27ac separates active from poised enhancers and predicts developmental state. PNAS 107:21931–21936. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1016071107, PMID: 21106759
- Dhawan A, Chaijitraruch N, Fitzpatrick E, Bansal S, Filippi C, Lehec SC, Heaton ND, Kane P, Verma A, Hughes RD, Mitry RR. 2020. Alginate microencapsulated human hepatocytes for the treatment of acute liver failure in children. *Journal of Hepatology* 72:877–884. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2019.12.002, PMID: 31843649
- Du Y, Wang J, Jia J, Song N, Xiang C, Xu J, Hou Z, Su X, Liu B, Jiang T, Zhao D, Sun Y, Shu J, Guo Q, Yin M, Sun D, Lu S, Shi Y, Deng H. 2014. Human hepatocytes with drug metabolic function induced from fibroblasts by lineage reprogramming. *Cell Stem Cell* 14:394–403. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2014.01.008, PMID: 24582926
- ENCODE Project Consortium. 2012. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. Nature 489:57–74. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11247, PMID: 22955616
- Gordillo M, Evans T, Gouon-Evans V. 2015. Orchestrating liver development. Development 142:2094–2108. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.114215, PMID: 26081571
- Grandy R, Tomaz RA, Vallier L. 2019. Modeling disease with human inducible pluripotent stem cells. *Annual Review of Pathology* 14:449–468. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathol-020117-043634, PMID: 30355153
- Halpern KB, Shenhav R, Matcovitch-Natan O, Toth B, Lemze D, Golan M, Massasa EE, Baydatch S, Landen S, Moor AE, Brandis A, Giladi A, Avihail AS, David E, Amit I, Itzkovitz S. 2017. Single-cell spatial reconstruction reveals global division of labour in the mammalian liver. *Nature* **542**:352–356. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature21065, PMID: 28166538
- Hannan NRF, Segeritz CP, Touboul T, Vallier L. 2013. Production of hepatocyte-like cells from human pluripotent stem cells. *Nature Protocols* 8:430–437. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2012.153, PMID: 23424751
- Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, Cheng JX, Murre C, Singh H, Glass CK. 2010. Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Molecular Cell* 38:576–589. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2010.05. 004, PMID: 20513432
- Huang P, Zhang L, Gao Y, He Z, Yao D, Wu Z, Cen J, Chen X, Liu C, Hu Y, Lai D, Hu Z, Chen L, Zhang Y, Cheng X, Ma X, Pan G, Wang X, Hui L. 2014. Direct reprogramming of human fibroblasts to functional and expandable hepatocytes. Cell Stem Cell 14:370–384. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2014.01.003, PMID: 24582927
- Inada H, Udono M, Matsuda-Ito K, Horisawa K, Ohkawa Y, Miura S, Goya T, Yamamoto J, Nagasaki M, Ueno K, Saitou D, Suyama M, Maehara Y, Kumamaru W, Ogawa Y, Sekiya S, Suzuki A. 2020. Direct reprogramming of human umbilical vein- and peripheral blood-derived endothelial cells into hepatic progenitor cells. Nature Communications 11:5292. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19041-z, PMID: 33087715
- Kang HS, Angers M, Beak JY, Wu X, Gimble JM, Wada T, Xie W, Collins JB, Grissom SF, Jetten AM. 2007. Gene expression profiling reveals a regulatory role for ROR alpha and ROR gamma in phase I and phase II metabolism. *Physiological Genomics* 31:281–294. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1152/physiolgenomics.00098.2007, PMID: 17666523
- Kao TL, Chen YL, Kuan YP, Chang WC, Ho YC, Yeh S, Jeng LB, Ma WL. 2017. Estrogen-estrogen receptor α signaling facilitates bilirubin metabolism in regenerating liver through regulating cytochrome p450 2a6 expression. *Cell Transplantation* 26:1822–1829. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0963689717738258, PMID: 29338386
- Kao TL, Kuan YP, Cheng WC, Chang WC, Jeng LB, Yeh S, Ma WL. 2018. Estrogen receptors orchestrate cell growth and differentiation to facilitate liver regeneration. *Theranostics* 8:2672–2682. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 7150/thno.23624, PMID: 29774067
- Lambert SA, Jolma A, Campitelli LF, Das PK, Yin Y, Albu M, Chen X, Taipale J, Hughes TR, Weirauch MT. 2018. The Human Transcription Factors. *Cell* **175**:598–599. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.09.045

- Lau HH, Ng NHJ, Loo LSW, Jasmen JB, Teo AKK. 2018. The molecular functions of hepatocyte nuclear factors In and beyond the liver. *Journal of Hepatology* **68**:1033–1048. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2017.11. 026, PMID: 29175243
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology 15:550. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8, PMID: 25516281
- Mitry RR, Hughes RD, Dhawan A. 2002. Progress in human hepatocytes: isolation, culture & cryopreservation. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 13:463–467. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s1084952102001350, PMID: 12468248
- Nakamori D, Takayama K, Nagamoto Y, Mitani S, Sakurai F, Tachibana M, Mizuguchi H. 2016. Hepatic maturation of human iPS cell-derived hepatocyte-like cells by ATF5, c/EBPα, and PROX1 transduction. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **469**:424–429. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.12.007, PMID: 26679606
- Palakkan AA, Nanda J, Ross JA. 2017. Pluripotent stem cells to hepatocytes, the journey so far. *Biomedical Reports* 6:367–373. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3892/br.2017.867, PMID: 28413633
- Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C. 2017. Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. Nature Methods 14:417–419. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4197, PMID: 28263959
- Pawlowski M, Ortmann D, Bertero A, Tavares JM, Pedersen RA, Vallier L, Kotter MRN. 2017. Inducible and deterministic forward programming of human pluripotent stem cells into neurons, skeletal myocytes, and oligodendrocytes. Stem Cell Reports 8:803–812. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2017.02.016, PMID: 28344001
- Rashid ST, Corbineau S, Hannan N, Marciniak SJ, Miranda E, Alexander G, Huang-Doran I, Griffin J, Ahrlund-Richter L, Skepper J, Semple R, Weber A, Lomas DA, Vallier L. 2010. Modeling inherited metabolic disorders of the liver using human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 120:3127– 3136. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI43122, PMID: 20739751
- Ricchi M, Odoardi MR, Carulli L, Anzivino C, Ballestri S, Pinetti A, Fantoni LI, Marra F, Bertolotti M, Banni S, Lonardo A, Carulli N, Loria P. 2009. Differential effect of oleic and palmitic acid on lipid accumulation and apoptosis in cultured hepatocytes. *Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 24:830–840. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1440-1746.2008.05733.x, PMID: 19207680
- Rombaut M, Boeckmans J, Rodrigues RM, van Grunsven LA, Vanhaecke T, De Kock J. 2021. Direct reprogramming of somatic cells into induced hepatocytes: Cracking the Enigma code. *Journal of Hepatology* 75:690–705. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2021.04.048, PMID: 33989701
- Rudraiah S, Zhang X, Wang L. 2016. Nuclear receptors as therapeutic targets in liver disease: are we there yet? Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology 56:605–626. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010715-103209, PMID: 26738480
- Schrem H, Klempnauer J, Borlak J. 2002. Liver-enriched transcription factors in liver function and development. part i: the hepatocyte nuclear factor network and liver-specific gene expression. *Pharmacological Reviews* 54:129–158. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.54.1.129, PMID: 11870262
- Segeritz CP, Rashid ST, de Brito MC, Serra MP, Ordonez A, Morell CM, Kaserman JE, Madrigal P, Hannan NRF, Gatto L, Tan L, Wilson AA, Lilley K, Marciniak SJ, Gooptu B, Lomas DA, Vallier L. 2018. hiPSC hepatocyte model demonstrates the role of unfolded protein response and inflammatory networks in α1-antitrypsin deficiency. Journal of Hepatology 69:851–860. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2018.05.028, PMID: 29879455
- Shen M, Shi H. 2021. Sex hormones and their receptors regulate liver energy homeostasis. International Journal of Endocrinology 2015:1–12. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/294278, PMID: 26491440
- Si-Tayeb K, Lemaigre FP, Duncan SA. 2010. Organogenesis and Development of the Liver. Developmental Cell 18:175–189. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2010.01.011, PMID: 20159590
- Szkolnicka D, Hay DC. 2016. Concise review: advances in generating hepatocytes from pluripotent stem cells for translational medicine. Stem Cells 34:1421–1426. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.2368, PMID: 27015786
- Takeda Y, Kang HS, Freudenberg J, DeGraff LM, Jothi R, Jetten AM, Kramer A. 2014a. Retinoic acid-related orphan receptor γ (rorγ): a novel participant in the diurnal regulation of hepatic gluconeogenesis and insulin sensitivity. *PLOS Genetics* **10**:e1004331. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004331, PMID: 24831725
- **Takeda Y**, Kang HS, Lih FB, Jiang H, Blaner WS, Jetten AM. 2014b. Retinoid acid-related orphan receptor γ, RORγ, participates in diurnal transcriptional regulation of lipid metabolic genes. *Nucleic Acids Research* **42**:10448–10459. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku766, PMID: 25143535
- Tilson SG, Morell CM, Lenaerts AS, Park SB, Hu Z, Jenkins B, Koulman A, Liang TJ, Vallier L. 2021. Modeling PNPLA3-associated nafld using human-induced pluripotent stem cells. *Hepatology*74:2998–3017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.32063, PMID: 34288010
- Touboul T, Hannan NRF, Corbineau S, Martinez A, Martinet C, Branchereau S, Mainot S, Strick-Marchand H, Pedersen R, Di Santo J, Weber A, Vallier L. 2010. Generation of functional hepatocytes from human embryonic stem cells under chemically defined conditions that recapitulate liver development. *Hepatology* 51:1754–1765. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.23506, PMID: 20301097
- Trefts E, Gannon M, Wasserman DH. 2017. The liver. Current Biology 27:R1147–R1151. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cub.2017.09.019, PMID: 29112863
- Wang A, Yue F, Li Y, Xie R, Harper T, Patel NA, Muth K, Palmer J, Qiu Y, Wang J, Lam DK, Raum JC, Stoffers DA, Ren B, Sander M. 2015. Epigenetic priming of enhancers predicts developmental competence of hESC-derived

endodermal lineage intermediates. *Cell Stem Cell* **16**:386–399. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2015.02. 013, PMID: 25842977

- Yiangou L, Ross ADB, Goh KJ, Vallier L. 2018. Human pluripotent stem cell-derived endoderm for modeling development and clinical applications. *Cell Stem Cell* 22:485–499. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2018. 03.016, PMID: 29625066
- Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. 2012. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. Omics: A Journal of Integrative Biology 16:284–287. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2011.0118, PMID: 22455463
- Yu G, He QY. 2016. ReactomePA: an R/Bioconductor package for reactome pathway analysis and visualization. *Molecular BioSystems* 12:477–479. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/c5mb00663e, PMID: 26661513
- Yusa K, Rashid ST, Strick-Marchand H, Varela I, Liu PQ, Paschon DE, Miranda E, Ordóñez A, Hannan NRF, Rouhani FJ, Darche S, Alexander G, Marciniak SJ, Fusaki N, Hasegawa M, Holmes MC, Di Santo JP, Lomas DA, Bradley A, Vallier L. 2011. Targeted gene correction of α1-antitrypsin deficiency in induced pluripotent stem cells. Nature 478:391–394. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10424, PMID: 21993621
- Zhao D, Chen S, Duo S, Xiang C, Jia J, Guo M, Lai W, Lu S, Deng H. 2013. Promotion of the efficient metabolic maturation of human pluripotent stem cell-derived hepatocytes by correcting specification defects. *Cell Research* 23:157–161. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2012.144, PMID: 23070301
- Zhu L, Shi J, Luu TN, Neuman JC, Trefts E, Yu S, Palmisano BT, Wasserman DH, Linton MF, Stafford JM. 2018. Hepatocyte estrogen receptor alpha mediates estrogen action to promote reverse cholesterol transport during Western-type diet feeding. *Molecular Metabolism* 8:106–116. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2017.12. 012, PMID: 29331506