

Short-chain fatty acids activate acetyltransferase p300

Sydney P Thomas^{1,2}, John M Denu^{1,2,3}*

¹Wisconsin Institute for Discovery, Madison, United States; ²Department of Biomolecular Chemistry, University of Wisconsin – Madison, Madison, United States; ³Morgridge Institute for Research, Madison, United States

Abstract Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) acetate, propionate, and butyrate are produced in large quantities by the gut microbiome and contribute to a wide array of physiological processes. While the underlying mechanisms are largely unknown, many effects of SCFAs have been traced to changes in the cell's epigenetic state. Here, we systematically investigate how SCFAs alter the epigenome. Using quantitative proteomics of histone modification states, we identified rapid and sustained increases in histone acetylation after the addition of butyrate or propionate, but not acetate. While decades of prior observations would suggest that hyperacetylation induced by SCFAs are due to inhibition of histone deacetylases (HDACs), we found that propionate and butyrate instead activate the acetyltransferase p300. Propionate and butyrate are rapidly converted to the corresponding acyl-CoAs which are then used by p300 to catalyze auto-acylation of the autoinhibitory loop, activating the enzyme for histone/protein acetylation. This data challenges the long-held belief that SCFAs mainly regulate chromatin by inhibiting HDACs, and instead reveals a previously unknown mechanism of HAT activation that can explain how an influx of low levels of SCFAs alters global chromatin states.

Editor's evaluation

This study investigates the mechanism of agents like butyrate as metabolites that affect histone acetylation. The authors make the unexpected and interesting finding that such metabolites can stimulate the activity of the acetyltransferase p300 rather than the commonly accepted concept that they block histone deacetylases. The authors show evidence that p300 stimulation involves acylation of Lys residues on its autoinhibitory loop. The authors have effectively responded to prior concerns raised by the reviewers. This study should be of broad interest to the epigenetic research community.

Introduction

Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) play a crucial role in human health. Although SCFAs include any fatty acid with fewer than six carbons, the first three members of the family—acetate, propionate, and butyrate—are by far the most abundant physiologically (*den Besten et al., 2013; Koh et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2014*). These three SCFAs are produced in large quantities by bacterial fermentation of nondigestible fiber, and levels can fluctuate based on the amount and type of fiber in the diet (*Bird et al., 2000; den Besten et al., 2013; Jenkins et al., 1998; Levrat et al., 1991; Marsono et al., 1993; Ríos-Covián et al., 2016; Velázquez et al., 2000*). In humans, total SCFA concentrations can reach over 100 mM in the colon, in a ratio of ~60:20:20 acetate:propionate:butyrate (*Cummings et al., 1987; Parada Venegas et al., 2019*). SCFAs alone may provide up to 10% of daily caloric requirements in humans (*Bergman, 1990*). Colonocytes are especially prone to metabolize SCFAs, deriving

*For correspondence: john.denu@wisc.edu

Competing interest: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 17

Received: 14 July 2021 Preprinted: 21 July 2021 Accepted: 19 October 2021 Published: 22 October 2021

Reviewing Editor: Xiaobing Shi, Van Andel Institute, United States

© Copyright Thomas and Denu. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. CC

60–70% of their energy from SCFA oxidation (*Roediger, 1982*). Excess SCFAs are transported to the liver through the portal vein and are eventually released into the peripheral blood, existing at micro-molar concentrations (*Bloemen et al., 2009; Cummings et al., 1987*).

Butyrate and acetate are the most-studied members of the group. Acetate plays vital roles in cellular metabolism, especially as a building block for the central metabolite acetyl-CoA (**Bose et al., 2019**; **Liu et al., 2018**; **Zhao et al., 2016**). The physiological effects of butyrate are abundant: butyrate has been reported among other things to improve intestinal barrier function (**Chang et al., 2014**; **Hamer et al., 2007**; **Peng et al., 2009**), reduce inflammation (**Chriett et al., 2019**; **Usami et al., 2008**; **Vinolo et al., 2011**), improve metabolic health (**Gao et al., 2009**; **Lin et al., 2012**; **Müller et al., 2019**), and prevent cancer (**Donohoe et al., 2012**; **Hague et al., 1993**). The role of propionate is less clear—while it shows the same beneficial effects as butyrate in many studies, extremely high levels of propionate are associated with negative health outcomes such as propionic acidemia and autism spectrum disorders (**Abdelli et al., 2019**; **Al-Lahham et al., 2010**; **Li et al., 2017**).

It is generally believed that SCFAs induce physiological effects by changing the cell's epigenetic state (*Hamer et al., 2007; Hinnebusch et al., 2002; Krautkramer et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2014*). Epigenetics describes the regulation that takes place in eukaryotic chromatin. Much of this regulation centers around histone proteins, which are heavily decorated with post-translational modifications (PTMs). Histone PTMs affect a wide array of processes, from DNA accessibility to transcription factor binding (*Bannister and Kouzarides, 2011; Karch et al., 2013; Kouzarides, 2007*). Histone PTMs include lysine acetylation, which opens chromatin and makes it more accessible to transcription, and lysine methylation, which performs various functions. Recently, a host of other histone PTMs have also been identified, including lysine propionylation and butyrylation (*Chen et al., 2007; Dai et al., 2014; Goudarzi et al., 2016; Kebede et al., 2017*).

Histone PTMs, as well as the enzymes which add or remove them, are exquisitely sensitive to changes in cellular metabolism (*Albaugh et al., 2011; Fan et al., 2015; Sassone-Corsi, 2013*). Several central metabolites are also substrates for histone modifications: such as acetyl-CoA, which is used to acetylate histones. Histone acetylation is regulated on two fronts—first by histone acetyltransferases (HATs), which transfer acetyl groups to chromatin, and then by histone deacetylases (HDACs), which remove them (*Albaugh et al., 2011*). Acetate, propionate, and butyrate have all been reported to inhibit HDACs to varying extents, with butyrate being the most potent inhibitor (*Bolduc et al., 2017; Candido et al., 1978; Davie, 2003; Hsu et al., 2016; Silva et al., 2018; Waldecker et al., 2008*). HDAC inhibition has been proposed as a mechanism for SCFA's anti-cancer effects (*Donohoe et al., 2014; Hinnebusch et al., 2017; Chang et al., 2014; Usami et al., 2008; Vinolo et al., 2017*; However, there are still questions as to whether SCFAs regulate the epigenome by HDAC inhibition alone (*Corfe, 2012; Donohoe et al., 2012; Gibson, 2000*).

It has been recently reported that the gut microbiome can affect histone modifications systemically (*Krautkramer et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2014; Romano et al., 2017; Takahashi et al., 2006; Wellen et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2020a*). Our lab has demonstrated that germ-free mice display distinct patterns of histone PTMs in multiple tissues (*Krautkramer et al., 2016*). This phenotype was complex, but could be generally characterized by decreased histone acetylation on multiple sites. However, acetylation levels did not depend solely on bacteria—colonized mice fed diets that produced low levels of SCFAs showed similar PTMs to germ-free mice. Finally, simply supplementing germ-free mice with SCFAs in drinking water led to changes in gene expression and histone PTMs that mimicked bacterial colonization. This data strongly suggests that SCFAs are a critical link between the microbiome and epigenetic state. Our understanding of this cross-talk is still in its infancy; thus, discovering how SCFAs interact with host cells on a mechanistic level is crucial to understanding how these systems interact as a whole. Here, we integrate proteomics and metabolomics with biochemical assays to investigate how each SCFA affects epigenetics and cell metabolism. Our results suggest that at physiologic levels, SCFAs induce histone acetylation by activating HATs instead of inhibiting HDACs.

Results

Propionate and butyrate induce hyperacetylation in cell culture

To determine the broad effects of extracellular SCFAs on histone PTMs, we treated HCT116 cells with acetate, propionate, and butyrate individually and then performed histone proteomics (*Karch et al., 2013; Krautkramer et al., 2015*). This mass spectrometry-based method allows for simultaneous analysis of >70 histone PTMs, which significantly improves on traditional Western blotting (*Thomas et al., 2020*). While the impact of SCFAs on a few of these PTMs has been studied previously, to our



Figure 1. Extracellular propionate and butyrate induce histone hyperacetylation. (**A**) Histone proteomics of HCT116 cells treated with 1 mM acetate, propionate, or butyrate for 1 hr. (**B**) Histone acylation over a time course of 1 mM acetate, propionate, or butyrate treatment. (**C**) SCFA treatment (1 mM, 1 hr). of HEK293, MCF7, and HepG2 cell lines. All values are log_2 (fold change) over untreated, time-matched controls. *=p≤0.05, **=p≤0.01, ***=p≤0.001. n=3 per condition.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Physiological effects of SCFA treatment.

knowledge this is the first study to show how SCFAs regulate a range of both canonical and noncanonical PTMs (*Hinnebusch et al., 2002; Kiefer et al., 2006; Silva et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018*). HCT116 cells were initially chosen because they are derived from colon, and colon cells are in most frequent contact with bacterially produced SCFAs.

Propionate and butyrate induced rapid and dose-dependent increases in histone acetylation but did not affect histone methylation (*Figure 1A*). In contrast, acetate had no significant effect on histone PTMs at any dose or time point tested. These results are consistent with previous reports (*Hinnebusch et al., 2002*; *Kiefer et al., 2006*; *Silva et al., 2018*). The hyperacetylation phenotype with propionate and butyrate was rapid and stable, persisting for at least 24 hr (*Figure 1B*). We also detected changes in both histone propionylation and butyrylation on multiple sites, including significant increases in K18/K23 propionylation after both propionate and butyrate treatment. In general, changes in histone propionylation, likely due in part to the technical challenges of measuring low-abundance propionylation/butyrylation. Based on these experiments, we chose a standard treatment of 1 mM SCFA for 1 hr, which we used for further experiments unless otherwise indicated. This dose did not affect media pH, media glucose, or cell viability for at least 24 hr (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1*). The 1 mM dose is within the physiological concentrations in the colon (which range from 0.5 to 15 mM), but is higher than reported concentrations in other parts of the body (which range from 0 to 150 µM) (*Cummings et al., 1987; Parada Venegas et al., 2019*).

To determine whether this effect was unique to HCT116 cells, we also treated three other cell lines derived from diverse human tissues. HEK293, HepG2, and MCF7 cells showed remarkably similar hyperacetylation phenotypes after propionate and butyrate treatment (*Figure 1C*). Thus, the ability of propionate and butyrate to increase histone acetylation, but not methylation, can be generalized to multiple cell types.

Propionate and butyrate are rapidly metabolized into acyl-CoAs

This experiment raised several intriguing questions. First, what differentiates propionate and butyrate from acetate? It is general knowledge that butyrate can act as an HDAC inhibitor, slowing the removal of acetate from chromatin (*Davie, 2003*). This could explain the difference between acetate and butyrate, but it does not necessarily explain the difference between acetate and propionate. To test whether propionate could act as an HDAC inhibitor in HCT116 cells, we performed HDAC assays on nuclear extract and determined the apparent IC₅₀ values for these SCFAs and their corresponding acyl-CoA forms. *Table 1* lists the IC₅₀ values of HDAC inhibition for propionate, butyrate, and corresponding acyl-CoAs. These IC₅₀ values are on the low end of previously published values, which range from 50 to 300 µM in nuclear extract and 1–10 mM in whole cells (*Huber et al., 2011; Silva et al., 2018; Vinolo et al., 2011; Waldecker et al., 2008*). While SCFA concentrations in media were theoretically higher than our determined IC₅₀ values (*Figure 2C*), it has been previously reported that intracellular concentrations of SCFAs are much lower than extracellular concentrations, which could have bearing on this mechanism (*Donohoe et al., 2012*).

To determine intracellular SCFA concentrations before and after the extracellular addition of

Table 1. IC_{50} of HDAC inhibition for SCFAs and acyl-CoAs.

IC₅₀ values of HDAC inhibition for propionate, butyrate, propionyl-CoA, and butyryl-CoA. Values are calculated from dose curves using n=2 technical replicates, raw data can be found in **Source data 1**.

Molecule	IC ₅₀
Propionate	$223\pm64~\mu\text{M}$
Butyrate	52 ± 11 µM
Propionyl-CoA	18 ± 7 mM
Butyryl-CoA	1.13 ± 0.01 mM

SCFAs, we treated cells with fully labeled ¹³C-acetate, propionate, or butyrate and ran targeted LC-MS/MS metabolomics to track SCFAs through cellular metabolism (*Figure 2A*). After treatments, SCFA concentrations in media declined from 1 mM to 0.5 mM over 24 hr. SCFA levels measured in cellular extractions were similar among the three SCFAs (max ~ 3-fold difference), with all showing a decline by 24 hr (*Figure 2C*). From levels determined in the cellular extractions, we estimated intracellular concentrations of ~40 μ M for butyrate and ~100 μ M for propionate and acetate, which are consistent with previous reports (*Donohoe et al., 2012*). In additional control experiments, SCFA concentrations in





Figure 2 continued on next page

Figure 2 continued

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. Further SCFA metabolism.

Figure supplement 2. Metabolism of SCFAs to acetyl-CoA is not necessary to induce hyperacetylation.

media without cells incubated at 37°C declined at almost an identical rate as that observed in media incubated with cells, suggesting that the bulk of the decrease in media SCFA concentration was due to volatility and not to cellular consumption (Figure 2-figure supplement 1). The calculated values suggest that free SCFAs are rapidly metabolized instead of building up in cells (Boets et al., 2017; Clausen and Mortensen, 1994; Clausen and Mortensen, 1995). With this in mind, we searched for labeled carbons in downstream TCA cycle metabolites. However, we found no significant labeling of TCA cycle metabolites after propionate and butyrate treatment (Figure 2D). Instead, labeled carbons built up as acyl-carnitine species, appearing in as little as 10 min and remaining stable for 24 hrs (Figure 2E). Intriguingly, this buildup did not occur in acetate-treated cells. The same trend occurred in acyl-CoA levels, although these species degrade rapidly during sample processing and are thus difficult to detect by mass spectrometry (Figure 2-figure supplement 1). Accumulation of acyl-CoAs after SCFA treatment has been previously reported (Basu et al., 2011). In that study, increases in acyl-CoA lead to a decrease of free coenzyme A. Under our conditions, however, free carnitine and free CoA levels did not significantly change (Figure 2-figure supplement 1). To confirm that these metabolic changes were consistent across cell lines, we also performed targeted LC-MS/MS metabolomics in HEK293, HepG2, and MCF7 cells. All cell lines showed the same upregulation of acyl-carnitines after butyrate and propionate treatment (Figure 2—figure supplement 1). Ultimately, these data suggest that histone hyperacetylation may not be due to the presence of intracellular propionate or butyrate, as the intracellular levels of these metabolites are low, but to the presence of propionyl- or butyryl-CoA. Since propionyl- and butyryl-CoA do not inhibit HDAC at physiological concentrations, this raises the possibility that the histone hyperacetylation phenotype may not be due to HDAC inhibition, but to a different mechanism (Table 1; Vogelauer et al., 2012).

The intracellular levels of SCFAs do not support robust HDAC inhibition at micromolar treatment concentrations. However, we and others have measured histone hyperacetylation in response to treatment concentrations as low as 10 µM (Figure 1-figure supplement 1; Biermann et al., 2011; Donohoe et al., 2012; Kespohl et al., 2017). At these concentrations, HDACs would be inhibited <1%. Alternatively, it has been proposed that hyperacetylation under SCFA treatment is due to a direct mechanism, in which acetyl-CoA generated by SCFAs is added directly onto histones (Donohoe et al., 2012). To test whether this occurred in our system, we performed histone proteomics after a 4-hr treatment with ¹³C-labeled SCFAs. This treatment only resulted in 1–5% ¹³C-labeling on histones, which cannot account for the two- or threefold increases in histone acetylation seen after SCFA treatment (Figure 2B). This indicates that the majority of new acetyl groups (~90-95%) were not generated from labeled SCFAs. To confirm that SCFAs do not need to be metabolized into acetyl-CoA to induce hyperacetylation, we knocked down several enzymes involved in acetyl-CoA generation from SCFAs (Figure 2A). siRNA knockdown or genetic knockout of ACLY (an enzyme involved in transport of acetyl-CoA out of the mitochondria), ACSS2 (the cytoplasmic acetyl-CoA ligase), and PCC (an enzyme in the pathway that metabolizes propionyl-CoA to succinyl-CoA) had no significant effect on propionate or butyrate-induced histone acetylation (Figure 2-figure supplement 2). This data indicates that acyl-CoAs are not metabolized to acetyl-CoA in sufficient quantities to explain the induced hyperacetylation, indicating a different mechanism is at play.

Propionyl- and butyryl-CoA activate histone acetylation

Next, we tested whether the rapidly formed propionyl- and butyryl-CoA from propionate and butyrate treatment could directly activate HATs (**Table 1**). HAT assays demonstrated that propionyl- and butyryl-CoA induced dose-dependent increases in the HAT activity of nuclear extract (**Figure 3A**). Free propionate and butyrate had no effect. However, since this assay simply measures the release of CoA, the apparent increase in HAT activity could have resulted from increased propionyl- or butyryl-transfer reactions. In addition, these results do not indicate which HAT enzymes may be activated.



Figure 3. Acyl-CoAs activate p300. (**A**) HAT activity of nuclear extract treated with a dose curve of SCFAs and acyl-CoAs. (**B**) Rate of p300 auto-acylation with acetyl-CoA, propionyl-CoA, and butyryl-CoA. Values are average and standard deviation, n=4 per condition. (**C**) Rate of histone acetylation by acetylated, propionylated, or butyrylated p300 using radioactive acetyl-CoA. Values are average and standard deviation, n=3 per condition. Replicate results from this assay performed with different enzyme preparations on different days are shown in *Figure 3—figure supplement 1*. Quantification for all replicates including Western blots and radioactive assays available in *Source data 1*. HAT, histone acetyltransferase; SCFA, short-chain fatty acid. The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1. Recombinant p300 is actively auto-acylated.

HATs can be categorized into three main families-GNAT, MYST, and p300/CBP (Albaugh et al., 2011; Berndsen and Denu, 2008; Fan et al., 2015). Most HATs prefer specific histone lysines and catalyze acetyl-transfer to a handful of histone substrates (Roth et al., 2001). However, p300/CBP acetylates a large number of lysine sites in vitro, and is known to target several of our measured hyperacetylation sites in vivo (Henry et al., 2013). p300/CBP are two closely related transcriptional co-activators—large proteins consisting of several domains and even more binding partners and acetylation targets (Chan and La Thangue, 2001; Dancy and Cole, 2015; Goodman and Smolik, 2000). Both proteins encode an autoinhibitory loop (AIL, also called the autoregulatory or activation loop), which must be auto-acetylated to stimulate HAT activity (Karanam et al., 2006; Thompson et al., 2004). Large-scale mass-spectrometry surveys have identified sites of propionylation and butyrylation on p300, including on several AIL lysines; however, how these modifications are added and whether they have a functional consequence on p300 activity are not known (Chen et al., 2007; Cheng et al., 2009; Kaczmarska et al., 2017). First, we determined whether unmodified p300 can catalyze auto-acylation using propionyl- or butyryl-CoA. Indeed, we found that recombinant p300 performed auto-acylation with propionyl- or butyryl-CoA at rates indistinguishable from auto-acetylation with acetyl-CoA (Figure 3B). Auto-acylation was catalytic, as heat-denatured p300 did not show increases in acylation under the same conditions (Figure 3-figure supplement 1A). Proteomics analysis confirmed the location of propionylation and butyrylation on several AIL lysine sites under these conditions (Table 2).

Next, we investigated if auto-acylation activates p300 toward histone acetylation. To do so, we compared pre-acylated and unmodified forms of recombinant p300 in radioactive HAT assays to measure acetylation rates on a K18/K23-containing peptide substrate. All forms of acylated p300 (acetylated, propionylated, and butyrylated) were significantly more active than an unmodified control (*Figure 3C*). In addition, there were no significant differences in the activity of auto-acetylated, propionylated, or butyrylated p300, which all showed ~ 500% increase in their initial rates compared to unmodified p300. We confirmed these results by performing Western blot HAT activity assays with

 Table 2. Sites of p300 acylation.

Lycino cito

Sites of lysine acetylation, propionylation, and butyrylation on recombinant p300 treated with acyl-CoAs. AIL: autoinhibitory loop, A: acetylation, P: propionylation, B: butyrylation.

Lysine site (AIL lysines in bold).	Acetylation	Propionylation	Butyrylation
K1542	А	Р	
K1546	А	Р	
K1549	А	Р	
K1550	A		
K1551	А	Р	
K1554	А	Р	В
K1555	А	Р	В
K1558	А	Р	В
K1560	А	Р	В
K1568	А	Р	
К1569	А	Р	
K1570	А	Р	
K1583	А		
K1590	А		
K1637	А	Р	

full-length histone H3/H4 dimers/tetramers, which are a more physiological substrate than a single peptide (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1C-D*). Again, these data showed an increase in activity for all three forms of acylated p300. In all, these data demonstrate that p300 catalyzes auto-butyrylation and propionylation on AIL sites, and that auto-acylation activates the enzyme in a similar manner to auto-acetylation.

To investigate whether this previously unappreciated mechanism functions in vivo, we used pharmacological inhibitors of each potential pathway. To minimize any potential effects from HDAC inhibition in these experiments, we used treatment concentrations from 100 to 250 µM, which should lead to no more than 5-15% HDAC inhibition (Table 1). First, we treated cells with a potent inhibitor of p300, A485. A485 inhibits both histone acetylation and auto-acetylation of p300, and thus should inhibit histone hyperacetylation due to p300 activation (Lasko et al., 2017). Indeed, A485 treatment reversed SCFA-induced hyperacetylation on p300 targets H3K27 and H3K18 (Figure 4A, Figure 4—figure supplement 1A). A485 treatment did not just reverse SCFA-induced hyperacetylation on histone substrates of p300, but also on the non-histone p300 substrate p53 (K382). Second, we treated cells with the potent HDAC inhibitor, SAHA. Both SAHA and butyrate are thought to be competitive inhibitors of HDACs, although the IC_{50} of SAHA is significantly lower (~10 nm) (*Marks* and Breslow, 2007; Sekhavat et al., 2007). Treatment with 10 µM of this potent pan-HDAC inhibitor should effectively saturate HDAC inhibition, at least of class I and II HDACs, which are known to target these sites (Caslini et al., 2019; Kelly et al., 2018; Rajan et al., 2018). Thus, if propionate and butyrate act solely as HDAC inhibitors, they should not induce further hyperacetylation under these conditions. However, 100 µM treatment of propionate or butyrate with SAHA still led to significant increases in p53K382 acetylation over a SAHA control (Figure 4A, Figure 4—figure supplement 1A). Collectively, these results suggest that propionate and butyrate induce histone hyperacetylation by an alternative mechanism to HDAC inhibition, likely through the auto-activation of p300 by rapidly formed acyl-CoAs.

To corroborate this novel mechanism of SCFA action on chromatin, we transfected HCT116 cells with two full-length p300 constructs: either wild-type (WT) p300 or an AIL mutant in which 11 of the 17 AIL lysines were mutated to a glutamate (Δ Glu) (*Ortega et al., 2018*). The Δ Glu mutation changes



Figure 4. p300 inhibition, but not HDAC inhibition, reverses SCFA-induced hyperacetylation. (**A**) Acetylation of H3K27ac, H3K18ac, and p53K382ac after treatment with A485, SAHA, and 100–250 μM of propionate/butyrate for 24 hr. Values are normalized to total H3 or total p53 before calculating fold changes to the appropriate untreated control. (**B**) Acetylation of H3K27ac and H3K18ac in cells transfected with sham, WT p300, or ΔGlu p300 plasmids. Values are fold change over untreated cells with the same transfection. (**C**) Activity of immunoprecipitated p300 after treatment with 500 μM *Figure 4 continued on next page*

Figure 4 continued

of propionate or butyrate. Activity is measured with a radioactive assay and normalized to concentration of immunoprecipitated p300 in each sample. *=p≤0.05, **=p<0.01, ***=p≤0.001. n≥3 per condition. HDAC, histone deacetylase; SCFA, short-chain fatty acid.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. Representative Western blots corresponding to Figure 4.

the charge on AIL sites, which in turn blocks auto-acylation of the AIL and prevents p300 activation. As expected, 500 μ M propionate treatment induced significant increases in histone acetylation after sham and WT transfections (*Figure 4B, Figure 4—figure supplement 1B-C*). However, cells transfected with the Δ Glu mutant showed significantly smaller increases in acetylation, approximately half of that seen in WT-transfected cells. In addition, we suspect that even the small increases in acetylation in the Δ Glu condition were due to residual amounts of endogenous p300 in transfected cells, or possibly acylation of residual lysine sites on the AIL. Nevertheless, these data strongly suggest that the AIL lysines of p300 are necessary to induce the full extent of histone hyperacetylation after propionate treatment.

Finally, we performed immunoprecipitation of full-length endogenous p300 from HCT116 cells treated with 500 µM propionate or butyrate. p300 immunoprecipitated from propionate and butyrate-treated cells showed small but significant increases in activity in a radioactive HAT assay (*Figure 4C*). Corresponding western blots also identified increased propionylation and butyrylation of p300 under these conditions (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1D*). In all, our results demonstrate that p300 activation, rather than HDAC inhibition, plays a critical role for propionate and butyrate-induced chromatin hyperacetylation at low concentrations.

Discussion

For decades, butyrate and propionate's ability to alter chromatin have been attributed to HDAC inhibition (*Candido et al., 1978*). However, the IC_{50} values for these two molecules are quite high, with reported values ranging between 50 and 300 μ M in nuclear extract and between 1 and 10 mM in whole cells (*Huber et al., 2011; Silva et al., 2018; Vinolo et al., 2011; Waldecker et al., 2008*). While it is possible to reach those concentrations in the gut lumen or portal vein, few other organs would normally reach these values. In addition, our previous data suggests that SCFAs can induce histone hyperacetylation in colon, liver, and adipose tissue, which experience SCFA concentrations that differ by orders of magnitude (*Krautkramer et al., 2016*). Even in the gut, intracellular concentrations of SCFAs could be significantly lower than exterior concentrations (*Donohoe et al., 2012; Sengupta et al., 2006*). Thus, the idea that increased histone acetylation is primarily mediated by HDAC inhibition requires re-evaluation.

In this study, we report a previously unappreciated mechanism and provide compelling evidence that butyrate and propionate activate p300 at low levels, through the rapid conversion to propionyland butyryl-CoA, catalytic auto-acylation, and subsequent activation of p300. While the IC₅₀ values for HDAC inhibition are in the high μ M to mM range, K_m values for p300 auto-acetylation lie in the nM to low μ M range (*Karanam et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2008*). Hyperacetylation induced by high doses of butyrate (2–5 mM) are almost immediately reversed after butyrate withdrawal (*Prasad and Sinha, 1976; Wang et al., 2018*). In contrast, auto-acetylation of p300 increases its half-life from 4.5 to >24 hr (*Jain et al., 2012*). Thus, even after extracellular SCFAs have been fully metabolized, modi-fied p300 could still theoretically induce hyperacetylation. Auto-acylation of p300 may thus prove a primary mechanism in physiological systems where SCFA concentrations are low.

It is important to note that acetate had negligible effect on histone acetylation in the cell-based systems described in this study. Acetate treatment has been previously reported to increase histone acetylation, but only under extreme conditions such as hypoxia (**Bulusu et al., 2017**; **Gao et al., 2016**), or after genetic manipulation (**Zhao et al., 2016**). Others have reported no effect of acetate in conditions similar to those described here (**Hinnebusch et al., 2002**). This phenomenon has traditionally been explained by the fact that acetate is a worse HDAC inhibitor than other SCFAs (**Hinnebusch et al., 2002**; **Waldecker et al., 2008**). Based on the results reported here, acetate's lack of effect can be explained by the fact that acetate is not rapidly metabolized to acetyl-CoA, as propionate and butyrate are to their respective acyl-CoAs. It has been previously shown that extracellular acetate

concentration does not necessarily correlate with intracellular concentrations of acetyl-CoA. Instead, under normal conditions, acetyl-CoA is mainly generated in the mitochondria from glucose (*Wellen et al., 2009*). Since there is no evidence to date of acetyl-CoA crossing the mitochondrial membrane, acetyl-CoA must be shuttled to the cytosol via citrate. Once in the cytosol, citrate is converted into oxaloacetate and acetyl-CoA by ATP citrate lyase (ACLY). However, stress conditions such as hypoxia, or genetic knockout of ACLY, can cause the cell to rely on acetate for acetyl-CoA production (*Bulusu et al., 2017; Gao et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2016*). We do not expect these conditions to exist in the experiments described here.

The main pathways responsible for butyryl- and propionyl-CoA synthesis in the nucleus and cytoplasm are still unclear (*Corfe, 2012; Fujino et al., 2001; Luong et al., 2000; Trefely et al., 2020*). However, our results suggest that p300 auto-acylation and activation via SCFAs depend on robust cellular synthesis of the corresponding acyl-CoAs. In vivo, synthesis of acyl-CoAs may depend on complex interactions between various SCFAs. While we investigated each SCFA individually, it is possible that mixtures of each SCFA could have varied effects on histone acetylation. However, there is some data to suggest that in cells treated with mixtures of SCFAs, acetylation increased based only on the sum of butyrate and propionate concentrations (*Kiefer et al., 2006*). This is consistent with our observation that the use of normal or dialyzed fetal bovine serum (FBS) in cell culture media did not affect the histone hyperacetylation phenotype (dialyzed FBS does not contain acetate). We predict that rapid histone acetylation, as described for the mechanism revealed in this study, will depend on the ability of cells to convert each SCFA to its corresponding acyl-CoA.

The p300 activation mechanism revealed here could also resolve outstanding issues. SCFA treatment phenocopies other HDAC inhibitors in some cases (*Chang et al., 2014; Rahman et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2011*), but not others (*Milton et al., 2012; Siavoshian et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2020b*). An alternate method for SCFAs to regulate histone acetylation could account for some of these discrepancies. In addition, several groups have reported that certain phosphatase or PKC inhibitors can reverse SCFA-induced hyperacetylation (*Cuisset et al., 1997; Cuisset et al., 1998; Rickard et al., 1999*). While it has been proposed that this is due to phosphorylation of HDACs (*Davie, 2003*), it is interesting to note that these inhibitors are also known to interact with p300 (H.-H. *Cheng et al., 2014; Granja et al., 2008; Zgheib et al., 2012*).

Our proposed mechanism also raises the question: why is p300 specifically activated after SCFA treatment? Many HATs can acylate histones, and some are even known to auto-acetylate (Kaczmarska et al., 2017; Leemhuis et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2012). In general, rates of acylation decrease as acyl chains increase, to the extent that butyryl-CoA can act as a competitive inhibitor for some HATs (Carrer et al., 2017; Montgomery et al., 2015; Ringel and Wolberger, 2016; Simithy et al., 2017). Thus, a sharp increase in acyl-CoA concentrations could slow most HATs, which cannot efficiently use longer acyl-CoAs as substrates. Intriguingly, previous reports indicate that p300 is also less efficient at histone propionylation and butyrylation than it is at histone acetylation (Kaczmarska et al., 2017), which is consistent with our histone PTM analyses (Figure 1). However, our measured rates of p300 auto-acylation were indistinguishable between acetate, propionate, and butyrate. In addition, the reported K_m of p300 for auto-acetylation (~100 nM) is lower than for acetylation of histone substrates (~6 µM) (Karanam et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2008). While the differences between the catalytic mechanisms for p300 auto-acylation and histone acylation have not yet been elucidated, this data suggests that p300 may have different substrate preferences for these two reactions. Thus, p300 may prefer to use acyl-CoAs to auto-acylate, which would activate the enzyme toward other substrates targeted for acetylation. In that case, while higher concentrations of acyl-CoAs may slow or inhibit other HATs, they could preferentially activate p300 via auto-acylation. The fact that our proteomics data shows more robust increases in histone acetylation than histone propionylation or butyrylation would further support this hypothesis (Figure 1).

It should be noted that SCFAs are also known to bind and activate several G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs), especially FFAR2, FFAR3, and HCAR2 (**Brown et al., 2003**; **Inoue et al., 2014**; **Priyadarshini et al., 2018**; **Singh et al., 2014**; **Thangaraju et al., 2009**; **Xiong et al., 2004**). These receptors are not expressed in most of the cell lines investigated here, and do not influence the hyperacetylation phenotype when they are (**Figure 1C**). However, exploring the potential interactions between GPCR activation and histone hyperacetylation will be critical to a full understanding of SCFA signaling and metabolism, and its impact on human health.

Finally, we are not suggesting that SCFAs cannot induce HDAC inhibition under certain physiological conditions. Butyrate especially is likely capable of inducing HDAC inhibition in the colon, where extracellular concentrations of butyrate are often >10 mM. In fact, this may be the reason that butyrate leads to higher levels of histone acetylation than propionate at the 1 mM treatment concentration (*Figure 1*), but not at the 100 μ M treatment concentration (*Figure 4*). Discovering which mechanism is most prevalent at various concentrations may assist in understanding the pharmacology of this crucial molecule.

The results presented in this study provide key new insight into the actions of normal physiological and therapeutic levels of SCFAs. This is especially important in the case of butyrate, which is the subject of over 100 active clinical trials. In fact, there is already evidence that low SCFA concentrations can have widely different physiological effects while still increasing histone acetylation (**Biermann et al., 2011; Donohoe et al., 2012**). By comparing cellular responses to high and low SCFA doses (e.g., 5 mM and 50 μ M), it may be possible to explore distinct cellular responses given the different mechanisms. It may also be necessary to reexamine the systemic effects of SCFAs. Many studies use high doses of SCFAs (5–30 mM), even in regions of the body where physiological concentrations should be thousands of times lower. It is possible that these doses are not necessary to induce hyperacetylation and could in fact obscure biologically relevant pathways. In the end, this new data suggest that the mechanism of action extends beyond HDAC inhibition and should be incorporated into the rationale for the therapeutic use of SCFAs.

Materials and methods

Key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
HCT116 cell line (Homo-sapiens)	Colorectal carcinoma	ATCC	CCL-247	RRID:CVCL_0291
HEK-293 cell line (Homo-sapiens)	Kidney epithelial	ATCC	CRL-1573	RRID:CVCL_0045
HepG2 cell line (Homo-sapiens)	Liver epithelial	ATCC	HB-8065	RRID:CVCL_0027
MCF7 cell line (Homo- sapiens)	Adenocarcinoma epithelial	ATCC	HTB-22	RRID:CVCL_0031
PCCA mutant cell lines (Homo-sapiens)	Propionic acidemia cell lines	NIGMS Human Genetic Cell Repository, Coriell Institute	GM00371 GM00405 GM22010 GM22011 GM22123 GM22126	
Transfected construct (human)	siRNA to ACSS2 (SMARTpool)	Dharmacon	L-010396-00-0005	
Transfected construct (human)	siRNA to ACLY (SMARTpool)	Dharmacon	L-004915-00-0005	
Transfected construct (human)	siRNA to PCCA (SMARTpool)	Dharmacon	L-008965-00-0005	
Transfected construct (human)	p300 mutant constructs	Ortega et. al. 2018	WT p300, ΔGlu p300	
antibody	ACLY, rabbit	CST	4,332 S	RRID:AB_2223744, 1:1,000 dilution
antibody	ACSS2, mouse	Novus Biologicals	NBP2-01269	1:1,000 dilution
antibody	FFAR2/GPR43, mouse	Novus Biologicals	MAB10082-100	1:1,000 dilution
antibody	FFAR3/GPR41, rabbit	Novus Biologicals	NBP2-14014	1:1,000 dilution
antibody	H3, mouse	Abcam	ab24834	RRID:AB_470335, 1:5,000 dilution
antibody	H3 K18ac, rabbit	Abcam	ab1191	RRID:AB_298692, 1:1,000 dilution
antibody	H3 K27ac, mouse	Active Motif	39,685	RRID:AB_2793305, 1:1,000 dilution

Continued on next page

eLife Research article

Continued

antibody	HA-Tag, rabbit	CST	3,724	RRID:AB_1549585, 1:1,000 dilution
antibody	p300 (NM11), mouse	SCBT	sc-32244	RRID:AB_628076, 1:1,000 dilution
antibody	p53, mouse	CST	2,524	RRID:AB_331743, 1:1,000 dilution
antibody	p53 K382ac, rabbit	CST	2,525	RRID:AB_330083, 1:1,000 dilution
antibody	Pan anti-acetyllysine, rabbit	CST	9,814	1:1,000 dilution
antibody	Pan anti-butyryllysine, mouse	PTM Biolabs	PTM-329	1:500 dilution
antibody	Pan anti-propionyllysine, rabbit	PTM Biolabs	PTM-201	1:500 dilution

Materials

Cell culture reagents were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). All reagents used for proteomic and metabolomic samples were HPLC-grade. All cell lines were regularly tested for mycoplasma contamination.

SCFA cell line treatments

All cell lines were plated in Minimum Essential Media (MEM) supplemented with 10% dialyzed FBS the day before SCFA treatment. MEM contains ~5 mM glucose, compared to ~25 mM in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium. Stock solutions of each SCFA were prepared fresh in PBS the day of each experiment. After collection, cell pellets and metabolite extracts were stored at – 80°C. Using preliminary data sets to estimate population variance, a minimum of three biological replicates are necessary to detect changes of 50% or greater, assuming α =0.05 and power=0.80. We thus chose 3–4 replicates for all experiments unless otherwise noted.

Histone proteomics

We performed histone proteomics as described previously (*Krautkramer et al., 2016*; *Sidoli et al., 2016*). The method is briefly described below.

Histone extraction and derivatization

Cells were trypsinized and washed once with PBS. Cell pellets were then dounce homogenized in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, and pH 7.4)+ protease inhibitors (10 mM nico-tinamide, 1 mM sodium butyrate, 4 μ M trichostatin A, 10 μ g/ml leupeptin, 10 μ g/ml aprotinin, and 100 μ M PMSF) and nuclei were spun out. Histones were extracted from the nuclei pellet with 0.4 N H₂SO₄ for 4 hr. Extracted histones were then TCA precipitated overnight and washed with acetone. After performing a Bradford assay to determine protein concentrations, 5 μ g of each sample was dried down and resuspended in 100 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate buffer. Unmodified lysines were labeled with d₆-acetic anhydride, a labeling method that allows for quantification of histone acylations (**Thomas et al., 2020**). Labeled histones were digested with trypsin and labeled with N-termini phenyl isocyanate before stage tip desalting and resuspension sample diluent (5% ACN, 0.1% acetic acid in H₂O).

UPLC MS/MS analysis

Derivatized histone peptide was injected onto a Dionex Ultimate3000 nanoflow HPLC with a Waters nanoAcquity UPLC C18 column (100 m \times 150 mm, 3 m) coupled to a Thermo Fisher Q-Exactive mass spectrometer at 700 nl/min. Mobile phase consisted of water + 0.1% formic acid (A) and aceto-nitrile + 0.1% formic acid (B). Histone peptides were resolved with a two-step linear gradient of 5–35% B over 45 min followed by 35–95% B over 10 min. Data was acquired in data-independent acquisition (DIA) mode. The MS1 scan resolution=35,000, automatic gain control target=1 \times 10⁶, and scan range=390–910 *m/z*, followed by a DIA scan with a loop count of 10. DIA settings: window

size=10 m/z, resolution=17,500, automatic gain control target=1 ×10⁶, DIA maximum fill time=Auto, and normalized collision energy=30. For each cycle, 1 full MS1 scan was followed by 10 MS2 scans using an isolation window size of 10 m/z.

Data analysis

Thermo RAW files were loaded into EpiProfile 2.0 and run using the AcD3 module (**Yuan et al., 2018**). Normalization and statistics were then performed using our previously published Histone Analysis Workflow (**Thomas et al., 2020**). RAW files, peak tables, and processing scripts have been uploaded to the MassIVE database and can be accessed with username: MSV000087800_reviewer and password: SCFAp300.

Metabolomics

Sample preparation

Cells were plated in six-well plates and allowed to reach ~ 70% confluency. Media was replaced with fresh media 1 hr before the experiment, and then again with media+SCFAs at time 0. Extraction and derivatization solutions were also prepared at least 1 hr before the experiment and stored at – 20°C. Extraction solution contained 80:20 methanol:water with 1:2500 dilution of a heavy amino acid mix standard (MSK-A2, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories); derivatization solution is described below. At each time point, media was collected for SCFA measurements (see below) and cells were washed $3\times$ with 0.9% NaCl. Then, 700 µl of ice-cold extraction solution was added and plates were set at – 80°C for 15 min. After 15 min, cells were scraped into extraction solution and aliquots were taken for SCFA measurement and DNA quantification. The rest was spun down at max speed for 5 min at 4°C. The resulting supernatant was split in two and dried down using a speed vac and stored at – 80°C. Samples were reconstituted in ice-cold 50 mM ammonium acetate and spun down again before injection into the mass spec. One half of the sample was run in positive mode, while the other half was run in negative mode (details below). Samples were reconstituted in batches and run in random order to minimize error due to metabolite decay or instrumentation.

SCFA concentrations were measured by LC-MS using the derivatization protocol described by **Lu et al.**, **2013**. In brief, 10 μ l of media or cell extract was added to 150 μ l of derivatization solution containing 0.5 mM of 2-hydrazinoquinoline, triphenylphosphine, 2,2'dipyridyl disulfide, and either 250 μ M (for media) or 25 μ M (for cells) unlabeled acetate, propionate, and butyrate. Samples were incubated at 60°C for 1 hr and then spun at max speed for 5 min to remove any cellular debris. The resulting supernatant was mixed 1:1 with ice-cold 50 mM ammonium acetate before injection.

To measure cellular concentration, we used the Hoechst staining protocol described by **Muschet** et al., 2016. To do so, 20 μ l of metabolite extract was added to 80 μ l of Hoechst 33342 (CST) diluted to 20 μ g/ml in ddH₂O. Samples were incubated in the dark for 30 min in a black 96-well plate and then read on a plate reader with Ex/Em=355/465 nm. Samples were compared to a dose curve of known cell numbers.

UPLC MS/MS analysis

All metabolites were injected onto a Dionex Ultimate3000 nanoflow HPLC with a Waters ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 mm×100 mm) coupled to a Thermo Fisher Q-Exactive mass spectrometer at 0.2 ml/min. Separate columns were used for positive and negative mode to reduce TBA contamination. For samples run in positive mode, mobile phase consisted of water +5 mM ammonium acetate + 0.05% acetic acid (A) and 90% acetonitrile +5 mM ammonium acetate + 0.05% acetic acid (B). Positive mode metabolites were resolved with a two-step linear gradient of 2–85% B over 5 min followed by 85–95% B over 6 min. For derivatized samples, data was acquired in data-dependent acquisition (DDA) mode. MS1 scan resolution=140,000, automatic gain control target=1× 10⁵, loop count=5, and isolation window=4.0 m/z. For other positive mode samples, only MS1 data was acquired in two isolation windows from 60 to 184 m/z and 189 to 1200 m/z. MS1 scan resolution=70,000, automatic gain control target=1× 10⁶.

For samples run in negative mode, mobile phase consisted of methanol (A) and water + 3% methanol +10 mM tributylamine + 0.05% acetic acid (B). Metabolites were resolved with a linear gradient of 95–5% B over 15 min. Only MS1 data was acquired. Scan resolution=70,000, automatic gain control target= 1×10^6 , and scan range=59-880 m/z.

Data analysis

Metabolite identification and peak integration were performed in EL-MAVEN (Elucidata). For SCFA concentrations, heavy SCFAs were normalized to unlabeled internal controls and SCFA dose curves run throughout the course of the experiment. For all other metabolites, data was normalized to heavy amino acid standards and cell number determined by Hoechst stain. All ¹³C-labeling was corrected based on natural ¹³C abundance.

ACLY, ACSS2, and PCC knockdowns

HCT116 cells were transfected with siRNA SMARTpools targeting ACLY, ACSS2, and PCC according to the manufacturer's protocols. In short, 10 nM ACLY, ACSS2, and non-targeting siRNAs and 20 nM PCC siRNA were transfected using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX and opti-MEM media and allowed to incubate for 48 hr. Media was then replaced with fresh MEM media containing 1 mM SCFA and incubated for 1 hr. Knockdown efficiency was assessed by Western blotting.

Primary lymphoblasts from patients with propionic acidemia and family matched controls were purchased from the NIGMS Human Genetic Cell Repository. Propionic acidemia is a rare genetic disease caused by mutations in PCC. Knockout of PCC activity was confirmed using the HPLC assay developed by Y.-N. *Liu et al., 2016*. Since lymphoblasts are grown in suspension, stock solutions of SCFA were added directly to media, resulting in 1 mM final concentrations. Cells were treated with SCFAs for 4 hr before collection.

HDAC assay

HDAC activity of HCT116 nuclear extract was assayed using the Fluorometric HDAC Activity Assay Kit from Abcam (ab156064) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, nuclear extract was prepared by dounce homogenizing cell pellets in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, and pH 7.4), then spinning out nuclei and resuspending in nuclear extraction buffer (50 mM HEPES, 420 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol, and pH 7.5). Protein concentration was determined by Bradford, and then 50 μ g was added to dose curves of butyrate, propionate, and their corresponding acyl-CoAs. TSA was used as a positive control. Fluorescence signal (Ex/Em=360/450 nm) was read on a plate reader at 2 min intervals for 1 hr. Values were averaged over two technical replicates. IC₅₀ values were calculated using GraphPad Prism.

HAT assays

Colorimetric assay

HAT activity was assayed using the HAT Activity Assay Kit from Sigma-Aldrich (EPI001), which measures release of free CoA over time. Acetyl-CoA levels were kept constant at 100 μ M. Nuclear extract was the same as that used in the HDAC assay. 50 μ g nuclear extract was added to kit components and dose curves of butyrate, propionate, and corresponding acyl-CoAs. Signal at 440 nm was read on a plate reader at 2 min2-min intervals for 2 hr. Values are averaged over two technical replicates.

Recombinant p300-

Recombinant catalytic domain of p300 was purchased from Enzo Life Sciences (BML-SE451). To remove endogenous acetylation from the recombinant protein, p300 was incubated with mammalian SIRT2 and NAD. SIRT2 was kindly provided by Dr. Mark Klein. For each experiment, equimolar amounts of SIRT2 and p300 and a tenfold molar excess of NAD were incubated at RT for 45 min. This incubation reduced endogenous acetylation on p300 five- to tenfold without inhibiting auto-acylation (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1*). Excess NAD was then removed by running protein over a Zeba Spin desalting column (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Acyl-CoAs were then added back to the enzyme and auto-acylation was allowed to continue at RT for 30–60 min. For untreated controls, the same amount of CoA was added to account for CoA concentration. Finally, for denatured samples, p300 was incubated with SIRT2 and then boiled at 95°C for 5 min before the addition of acyl-CoAs.

Auto-acylation assay

Recombinant enzyme was treated with SIRT2, then diluted to 1 μ M in HAT assay buffer (50 mM Bis-Tris, 25 mM Tris, 10 mM NaCl, and pH 7) with 80 μ M acyl-CoA. For each time point, 2 μ l of each sample was dotted directly onto nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were then allowed to dry for 30 min before blocking and incubating with antibodies specific to each acyl-CoA.

Identification of lysine acylation

Recombinant enzyme was prepared as described above, then dried down, resuspended in 100 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate buffer, and trypsinized overnight. Samples were then stage tip desalted and resuspended in sample diluent (same as histone protocol above). Samples were run on a Thermo Fisher Q-Exactive mass spectrometer using the same settings as histone samples, except that they were run in DDA rather than DIA mode. Acyl identification was performed using Mascot (Matrix Science) and manually validated in XCalibur (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Acylation had to be present in two of three samples to be reported.

³H acetylation assay

Tritiated acetyl-CoA was purchased from PerkinElmer. For each assay, reaction buffer was made by using HAT assay buffer with 5 μ M cold acetyl-CoA, 1 μ M hot acetyl-CoA, and 25 μ M biotinylated peptide. Biotinylated peptide was kindly provided by Dr. Vyacheslav Kuznetsov and corresponds to resides 9–23 of histone H3. Reactions were started by addition of 24 μ l reaction buffer to 2 μ l acetyl-ated p300 (final concentration 10 nM). At each time point, 7 μ l of the reaction mixture was added to 150 μ l of cold 2% TFA + 5% Streptavidin Sepharose High Performance (v/v, Cytiva). After the time course was completed, samples were incubated at RT with shaking for 30 min. The samples were then spun down at 1000×g for 1 min, and then washed with 2 ml of 10% acetone. The washed sepharose was then directly added to 2 ml Ultima Gold scintillation cocktail (PerkinElmer). Radioactivity was read by a Tri-Carb 2910TR scintillation counter (PerkinElmer). The scintillation counter was calibrated before each run.

Western HAT assays

For western samples, 100 nM acylated p300 was added to a master mix of 100 µl acetyl-CoA and $0.4 \,\mu$ g/µl histone H3/H4 dimers. Samples were collected by adding 20 µl directly to 5 µl of 4× sample loading buffer (LI-COR) and heating at 95°C for 5 min. Samples were run on Bolt 4–12% Bis-Tris gels using the XCell II blot module (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Protein was transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes for 45 min and then allowed to block in 5% BSA for 1 hr. Samples were incubated in primary antibody overnight, before washing and incubating in LI-COR secondary antibody for 1 hr. All membranes were imaged on a LI-COR Odyssey imager and quantified using ImageStudio (LI-COR). Whenever possible, antibodies for modifications and loading controls were run simultaneously using two separate fluorescent LI-COR channels. p300 transfections-p300 plasmids were a kind gift from Dr. Daniel Panne (Ortega et al., 2018) HCT116 cells were grown to ~ 60% confluency and transfected with Lipofectamine 3000 according to the manufacturer's protocols. After 48 hr, media was replaced with MEM media containing 500 µg/ml Geneticin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Antibiotic media was then replaced every 2 days for 1 week to select for transfected cells. After 1 week, cells were treated with 500 µM propionate for 2 hr. Samples were collected as described previously. HA and p300 blots were performed as described in the previous section, except that transfers were allowed to run overnight to maximize signal for high molecular weight proteins. p300 immunoprecipitation— HCT116 cells were grown to 60–70% confluency and treated with 500 µM propionate or butyrate for 1 hr. Cells were lysed using RIPA buffer and Pierce protease phosphatase inhibitors (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford assay and equal amounts of protein were added to a slurry of Dynabeads Protein G (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and p300 antibody (NM11, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Samples were allowed to incubate 3 hr at 4°C before washing twice with Tris buffer + 0.01 Triton. Washed beads were then directly added to radioactive assays or run on gels as described previously. For radioactive assays, beads were incubated with 1 µM hot acetyl-CoA and 25 µM biotinylated peptide for 1 hr before quenching reaction in 2% TFA + 5% Streptavidin Sepharose High Performance (v/v, Cytiva).

Acknowledgement

Acknowledgements

p300 plasmids were a kind gift from Dr. Daniel Panne, Leicester Institute of Structural and Chemical Biology, UK. Pan-butyryl antibody was the kind gift of Dr. Yingming Zhao, University of Chicago, USA. Recombinant SIRT2 was kindly provided by Dr. Mark Klein. H3 and H4 proteins and peptides were kindly provided by Dr. Vyacheslav Kuznetsov, Dr. Wallace Liu, and Lily Miller. The authors thank Dr. James Dowell and Eric Armstrong for their helpful discussions of mass spec analysis. The authors also thank Dr. Mark Klein, José Moran, and Dr. Spencer Haws for their helpful discussions of HAT assays. J.M.D. is co-founder of Galilei Biosciences and a member of the scientific advisory board for Evrys Bio.

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
National Institutes of Health	GM059785	John M Denu
National Science Foundation	GRFP	Sydney Thomas

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Sydney P Thomas, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing, Software; John M Denu, Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Sydney P Thomas b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8261-1195 John M Denu b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9415-0365

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.72171.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.72171.sa2

Additional files

Supplementary files

- Transparent reporting form
- Source data 1. Raw Data and Replicates for Enzyme Assays.
- Source data 2. Full Western Blots and Replicate Blots.

Data availability

Mass spectrometry data has been uploaded to MassIVE (MSV000087800). All other source data is included in Source Data 1 and 2.

The following dataset was generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Denu J, Thomas S	2021	Data for: Short-chain fatty acids activate acetyltransferase p300/CBP	ftp://massive.ucsd. edu/MSV000087800/	MassIVE, MSV000087800

References

- Abdelli LS, Samsam A, Naser SA. 2019. Propionic Acid Induces Gliosis and Neuro-inflammation through Modulation of PTEN/AKT Pathway in Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Scientific Reports* 9: 1–12. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-019-45348-z, PMID: 31217543
- Al-Lahham SH, Peppelenbosch MP, Roelofsen H, Vonk RJ, Venema K. 2010. Biological effects of propionic acid in humans; metabolism, potential applications and underlying mechanisms. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)* Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids 1801: 1175–1183. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BBALIP.2010.07.007
- Albaugh BN, Arnold KM, Denu JM. 2011. KAT(ching) metabolism by the tail: Insight into the links between lysine acetyltransferases and metabolism. *Chembiochem* 12: 290–298. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201000438, PMID: 21243716
- Bannister AJ, Kouzarides T. 2011. Regulation of chromatin by histone modifications. *Cell Research* 21: 381–395. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2011.22, PMID: 21321607
- Basu SS, Mesaros C, Gelhaus SL, Blair IA. 2011. Stable Isotope Labeling by Essential Nutrients in Cell Culture for Preparation of Labeled Coenzyme A and Its Thioesters. *Analytical Chemistry* 83: 1363–1369. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1021/ac1027353, PMID: 21268609
- Bergman EN. 1990. Energy contributions of volatile fatty acids from the gastrointestinal tract in various species. *Physiological Reviews* **70**: 567–590. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.1990.70.2.567, PMID: 2181501
- Berndsen CE, Denu JM. 2008. Catalysis and Substrate Selection by Histone/Protein Lysine Acetyltransferases. Current Opinion in Structural Biology 18: 682–689. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2008.11.004, PMID: 19056256
- Biermann J, Boyle J, Pielen A, Lagrèze WA. 2011. Histone deacetylase inhibitors sodium butyrate and valproic acid delay spontaneous cell death in purified rat retinal ganglion cells. *Molecular Vision* 17: 395–403 PMID: 21311741.,
- Bird AR, Hayakawa T, Marsono Y, Gooden JM, Record IR, Correll RL, Topping DL. 2000. Coarse brown rice increases fecal and large bowel short-chain fatty acids and starch but lowers calcium in the large bowel of pigs. *The Journal of Nutrition* **130**: 1780–1787. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/130.7.1780, PMID: 10867050
- Bloemen JG, Venema K, van de Poll MC, Olde Damink SW, Buurman WA, Dejong CH. 2009. Short chain fatty acids exchange across the gut and liver in humans measured at surgery. *Clinical Nutrition* 28: 657–661. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2009.05.011, PMID: 19523724
- Boets E, Gomand SV, Deroover L, Preston T, Vermeulen K, De Preter V, Hamer HM, Van den Mooter G, De Vuyst L, Courtin CM, Annaert P, Delcour JA, Verbeke KA. 2017. Systemic availability and metabolism of colonic-derived short-chain fatty acids in healthy subjects: A stable isotope study. *The Journal of Physiology* 595: 541–555. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1113/JP272613, PMID: 27510655
- **Bolduc JF**, Hany L, Barat C, Ouellet M, Tremblay MJ. 2017. Epigenetic Metabolite Acetate Inhibits Class I/II Histone Deacetylases, Promotes Histone Acetylation, and Increases HIV-1 Integration in CD4+ T Cells. *Journal* of Virology **91**: e01943-e16. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01943-16, PMID: 28539453
- Bose S, Ramesh V, Locasale JW. 2019. Acetate Metabolism in Physiology, Cancer, and Beyond. Trends in Cell Biology 29: 695–703. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2019.05.005, PMID: 31160120
- Brown AJ, Goldsworthy SM, Barnes AA, Eilert MM, Tcheang L, Daniels D, Muir AI, Wigglesworth MJ, Kinghorn I, Fraser NJ, Pike NB, Strum JC, Steplewski KM, Murdock PR, Holder JC, Marshall FH, Szekeres PG, Wilson S, Ignar DM, Foord SM, et al. 2003. The Orphan G Protein-coupled Receptors GPR41 and GPR43 Are Activated by Propionate and Other Short Chain Carboxylic Acids. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **278**: 11312–11319. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M211609200, PMID: 12496283
- **Bulusu V**, Tumanov S, Michalopoulou E, van den Broek NJ, MacKay G, Nixon C, Dhayade S, Schug ZT, Vande Voorde J, Blyth K, Gottlieb E, Vazquez A, Kamphorst JJ. 2017. Acetate Recapturing by Nuclear Acetyl-CoA Synthetase 2 Prevents Loss of Histone Acetylation during Oxygen and Serum Limitation. *Cell Reports* **18**: 647–658. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.12.055, PMID: 28099844
- Candido EPM, Reeves R, Davie JR. 1978. Sodium butyrate inhibits histone deacetylation in cultured cells. *Cell* 14: 105–113. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(78)90305-7, PMID: 667927
- Carrer A, Parris JLD, Trefely S, Henry RA, Montgomery DC, Torres A, Viola JM, Kuo YM, Blair IA, Meier JL, Andrews AJ, Snyder NW, Wellen KE. 2017. Impact of a High-fat Diet on Tissue Acyl-CoA and Histone Acetylation Levels. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 292: 3312–3322. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M116.750620, PMID: 28077572
- Caslini C, Hong S, Ban YJ, Chen XS, Ince TA. 2019. HDAC7 regulates histone 3 lysine 27 acetylation and transcriptional activity at super-enhancer-associated genes in breast cancer stem cells. Oncogene 38: 6599– 6614. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-019-0897-0, PMID: 31375747
- Chan HM, La Thangue NB. 2001. p300/CBP proteins: HATs for transcriptional bridges and scaffolds. Journal of Cell Science 114: 2363–2373 PMID: 11559745.,
- Chang PV, Hao L, Offermanns S, Medzhitov R. 2014. The microbial metabolite butyrate regulates intestinal macrophage function via histone deacetylase inhibition. PNAS 111: 2247–2252. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1322269111, PMID: 24390544
- Chen Y, Sprung R, Tang Y, Ball H, Sangras B, Kim SC, Falck JR, Peng J, Gu W, Zhao Y. 2007. Lysine Propionylation and Butyrylation Are Novel Post-translational Modifications in Histones. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* 6: 812–819. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M700021-MCP200, PMID: 17267393

- Cheng Z, Tang Y, Chen Y, Kim S, Liu H, Li SSC, Gu W, Zhao Y. 2009. Molecular Characterization of Propionyllysines in Non-histone Proteins. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* 8: 45–52. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1074/mcp.M800224-MCP200, PMID: 18753126
- Cheng H-H, Wang K-H, Chu L, Chang T-C, Kuo C-C, Wu KK. 2014. Quiescent and Proliferative Fibroblasts Exhibit Differential p300 HAT Activation through Control of 5-Methoxytryptophan Production. PLOS ONE 9: e88507. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088507, PMID: 24523905
- Chriett S, Dabek A, Wojtala M, Vidal H, Balcerczyk A, Pirola L. 2019. Prominent action of butyrate over β-hydroxybutyrate as histone deacetylase inhibitor, transcriptional modulator and anti-inflammatory molecule. *Scientific Reports* 9: 1–14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36941-9, PMID: 30679586
- Clausen MR, Mortensen PB. 1994. Kinetic studies on the metabolism of short-chain fatty acids and glucose by isolated rat colonocytes. *Gastroenterology* **106**: 423–432. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-5085(94)90601-7, PMID: 8299908
- Clausen MR, Mortensen PB. 1995. Kinetic studies on colonocyte metabolism of short chain fatty acids and glucose in ulcerative colitis. Gut 37: 684–689. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.37.5.684, PMID: 8549946
- **Corfe BM**. 2012. Hypothesis: Butyrate is not an HDAC inhibitor, but a product inhibitor of deacetylation. *Molecular BioSystems* 8: 1609–1612. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/C2MB25028D, PMID: 22446977
- Cuisset L, Tichonicky L, Jaffray P, Delpech M. 1997. The effects of sodium butyrate on transcription are mediated through activation of a protein phosphatase. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 272: 24148–24153. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.272.39.24148, PMID: 9305863
- Cuisset L, Tichonicky L, Delpech M. 1998. A Protein Phosphatase Is Involved in the Inhibition of Histone Deacetylation by Sodium Butyrate. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 246: 760–764. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.1998.8698, PMID: 9618285
- Cummings JH, Pomare EW, Branch WJ, Naylor CP, Macfarlane GT. 1987. Short chain fatty acids in human large intestine, portal, hepatic and venous blood. Gut 28: 1221–1227. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.28.10.1221, PMID: 3678950
- Dai L, Peng C, Montellier E, Lu Z, Chen Y, Ishii H, Debernardi A, Buchou T, Rousseaux S, Jin F, Sabari BR, Deng Z, Allis CD, Ren B, Khochbin S, Zhao Y. 2014. Lysine 2-hydroxyisobutyrylation is a widely distributed active histone mark. Nature Chemical Biology 10: 365–370. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.1497, PMID: 24681537
- Dancy BM, Cole PA. 2015. Protein Lysine Acetylation by p300/CBP. Chemical Reviews 115: 2419–2452. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500452k, PMID: 25594381
- Davie JR. 2003. Inhibition of histone deacetylase activity by butyrate. *The Journal of Nutrition* **133**: 2485S-2493S. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/133.7.2485S, PMID: 12840228
- den Besten G, van Eunen K, Groen AK, Venema K, Reijngoud DJ, Bakker BM. 2013. The role of short-chain fatty acids in the interplay between diet, gut microbiota, and host energy metabolism. *Journal of Lipid Research* 54: 2325–2340. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.R036012, PMID: 23821742
- Donohoe DR, Collins LB, Wali A, Bigler R, Sun W, Bultman SJ. 2012. The Warburg effect dictates the mechanism of butyrate-mediated histone acetylation and cell proliferation. *Molecular Cell* 48: 612–626. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2012.08.033, PMID: 23063526
- Donohoe DR, Holley D, Collins LB, Montgomery SA, Whitmore AC, Hillhouse A, Curry KP, Renner SW, Greenwalt A, Ryan EP, Godfrey V, Heise MT, Threadgill DS, Han A, Swenberg JA, Threadgill DW, Bultman SJ. 2014. A gnotobiotic mouse model demonstrates that dietary fiber protects against colorectal tumorigenesis in a microbiota- and butyrate-dependent manner. *Cancer Discovery* 4: 1387–1397. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1158/ 2159-8290.CD-14-0501, PMID: 25266735
- Fan J, Krautkramer KA, Feldman JL, Denu JM. 2015. Metabolic Regulation of Histone Post-Translational Modifications. ACS Chemical Biology 10: 95–108. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/cb500846u, PMID: 25562692
- Fujino T, Kondo J, Ishikawa M, Morikawa K, Yamamoto TT. 2001. Acetyl-CoA synthetase 2, a mitochondrial matrix enzyme involved in the oxidation of acetate. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 276: 11420–11426. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M008782200, PMID: 11150295
- Gao Z, Yin J, Zhang J, Ward RE, Martin RJ, Lefevre M, Cefalu WT, Ye J. 2009. Butyrate Improves Insulin Sensitivity and Increases Energy Expenditure in Mice. *Diabetes* 58: 1509–1517. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2337/ db08-1637, PMID: 19366864
- Gao X, Lin SH, Ren F, Li JT, Chen JJ, Yao CB, Yang HB, Jiang SX, Yan GQ, Wang D, Wang Y, Liu Y, Cai Z, Xu YY, Chen J, Yu W, Yang PY, Lei QY. 2016. Acetate functions as an epigenetic metabolite to promote lipid synthesis under hypoxia. *Nature Communications* 7: 1–14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11960, PMID: 27357947
 Gibson PR. 2000. The intracellular target of butyrate's actions: HDAC or HDON'T? *Gut* 46: 447–448. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.46.4.447, PMID: 10716666

- Goodman RH, Smolik S. 2000. CBP/p300 in cell growth, transformation, and development. Genes & Development 14: 1553–1577. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.14.13.1553
- Goudarzi A, Zhang D, Huang H, Barral S, Kwon OK, Qi S, Tang Z, Buchou T, Vitte A-L, He T, Cheng Z, Montellier E, Gaucher J, Curtet S, Debernardi A, Charbonnier G, Puthier D, Petosa C, Panne D, Rousseaux S, et al. 2016. Dynamic Competing Histone H4 K5K8 Acetylation and Butyrylation Are Hallmarks of Highly Active Gene Promoters. *Molecular Cell* 62: 169–180. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.03.014, PMID: 27105113
- **Granja AG**, Perkins ND, Revilla Y. 2008. A238L Inhibits NF-ATc2, NF- κ B, and c-Jun Activation through a Novel Mechanism Involving Protein Kinase C- θ -Mediated Up-Regulation of the Amino-Terminal Transactivation Domain of p300. *The Journal of Immunology* **180**: 2429–2442. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.4. 2429

- Hague A, Manning AM, Hanlon KA, Huschtscha LI, Hart D, Paraskeva C. 1993. Sodium butyrate induces apoptosis in human colonic tumour cell lines in a p53-independent pathway: Implications for the possible role of dietary fibre in the prevention of large-bowel cancer. *Ternational Journal of Cancer* 55: 498–505. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.2910550329, PMID: 8397167
- Hamer HM, Jonkers D, Venema K, Vanhoutvin S, Troost FJ, Brummer RJ. 2007. Review article: The role of butyrate on colonic function. Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics 27: 104–119. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1365-2036.2007.03562.x
- Henry RA, Kuo YM, Andrews AJ. 2013. Differences in Specificity and Selectivity Between CBP and p300 Acetylation of Histone H3 and H3/H4. *Biochemistry* **52**: 5746–5759. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/bi400684q, PMID: 23862699
- Hinnebusch BF, Meng S, Wu JT, Archer SY, Hodin RA. 2002. The Effects of Short-Chain Fatty Acids on Human Colon Cancer Cell Phenotype Are Associated with Histone Hyperacetylation. *The Journal of Nutrition* **132**: 1012–1017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/132.5.1012, PMID: 11983830
- Hsu CW, Shou D, Huang R, Khuc T, Dai S, Zheng W, Klumpp-Thomas C, Xia M. 2016. Identification of HDAC Inhibitors Using a Cell-Based HDAC I/II Assay. *Journal of Biomolecular Screening* **21**: 643–652. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/1087057116629381, PMID: 26858181
- Huber K, Doyon G, Plaks J, Fyne E, Mellors JW, Sluis-Cremer N. 2011. Inhibitors of histone deacetylases: Correlation between isoform specificity and reactivation of HIV type 1 (HIV-1) from latently infected cells. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 286: 22211–22218. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.180224, PMID: 21531716
- Inoue D, Tsujimoto G, Kimura I. 2014. Regulation of Energy Homeostasis by GPR41. Frontiers in Endocrinology 5: 81. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2014.00081, PMID: 24904531
- Jain S, Wei J, Mitrani LR, Bishopric NH. 2012. Auto-acetylation stabilizes p300 in cardiac myocytes during acute oxidative stress, promoting STAT3 accumulation and cell survival. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 135: 103–114. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-012-2069-6, PMID: 22562121
- Jenkins DJ, Vuksan V, Kendall CW, Würsch P, Jeffcoat R, Waring S, Mehling CC, Vidgen E, Augustin LS, Wong E. 1998. Physiological effects of resistant starches on fecal bulk, short chain fatty acids, blood lipids and glycemic index. Journal of the American College of Nutrition 17: 609–616. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/07315724. 1998.10718810, PMID: 9853541
- Kaczmarska Z, Ortega E, Goudarzi A, Huang H, Kim S, Márquez JA, Zhao Y, Khochbin S, Panne D. 2017. Structure of p300 in complex with acyl-CoA variants. *Nature Chemical Biology* 13: 21–29. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nchembio.2217, PMID: 27820805
- Karanam B, Jiang L, Wang L, Kelleher NL, Cole PA. 2006. Kinetic and Mass Spectrometric Analysis of p300 Histone Acetyltransferase Domain Autoacetylation. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 281: 40292–40301. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M608813200, PMID: 17065153
- Karch KR, Denizio JE, Black BE, Garcia BA. 2013. Identification and interrogation of combinatorial histone modifications. Frontiers in Genetics 4: 264. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2013.00264, PMID: 24391660
- Kebede AF, Nieborak A, Shahidian LZ, Le Gras S, Richter F, Gómez DA, Baltissen MP, Meszaros G, Magliarelli HDF, Taudt A, Margueron R, Colomé-Tatché M, Ricci R, Daujat S, Vermeulen M, Mittler G, Schneider R. 2017. Histone propionylation is a mark of active chromatin. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 24: 1048–1056. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3490
- Kelly RDW, Chandru A, Watson PJ, Song Y, Blades M, Robertson NS, Jamieson AG, Schwabe JWR, Cowley SM. 2018. Histone deacetylase (HDAC) 1 and 2 complexes regulate both histone acetylation and crotonylation in vivo. Scientific Reports 8: 14690. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32927-9, PMID: 30279482
- Kespohl M, Vachharajani N, Luu M, Harb H, Pautz S, Wolff S, Sillner N, Walker A, Schmitt-Kopplin P, Boettger T, Renz H, Offermanns S, Steinhoff U, Visekruna A. 2017. The Microbial Metabolite Butyrate Induces Expression of Th1-Associated Factors in CD4+ T Cells. *Frontiers in Immunology* 8: 1036. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/ fimmu.2017.01036, PMID: 28894447
- Kiefer J, Beyer-Sehlmeyer G, Pool-Zobel BL. 2006. Mixtures of SCFA, composed according to physiologically available concentrations in the gut lumen, modulate histone acetylation in human HT29 colon cancer cells. *The British Journal of Nutrition* **96**: 803–810. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/BJN20061948, PMID: 17092367
- Koh A, De Vadder F, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Bäckhed F. 2016. From Dietary Fiber to Host Physiology: Short-Chain Fatty Acids as Key Bacterial Metabolites. Cell 165: 1332–1345. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016. 05.041, PMID: 27259147
- Kouzarides T. 2007. Chromatin Modifications and Their Function. Cell 128: 693–705. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cell.2007.02.005, PMID: 17320507
- Krautkramer K. A, Reiter L, Denu JM, Dowell JA. 2015. Quantification of SAHA-Dependent Changes in Histone Modifications Using Data-Independent Acquisition Mass Spectrometry. *Journal of Proteome Research* 14: 3252–3262. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.5b00245, PMID: 26120868
- Krautkramer KA, Kreznar JH, Romano KA, Vivas EI, Barrett-Wilt GA, Rabaglia ME, Keller MP, Attie AD, Rey FE, Denu JM. 2016. Diet-Microbiota Interactions Mediate Global Epigenetic Programming in Multiple Host Tissues. *Molecular Cell* 64: 982–992. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.10.025, PMID: 27889451
- Kumar H, Lund R, Laiho A, Lundelin K, Ley RE, Isolauri E, Salminen S. 2014. Gut microbiota as an epigenetic regulator: Pilot study based on whole-genome methylation analysis. *MBio* 5: e02113. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1128/mBio.02113-14, PMID: 25516615
- Lasko LM, Jakob CG, Edalji RP, Qiu W, Montgomery D, Digiammarino EL, Hansen TM, Risi RM, Frey R, Manaves V, Shaw B, Algire M, Hessler P, Lam LT, Uziel T, Faivre E, Ferguson D, Buchanan FG, Martin RL,

Torrent M, et al. 2017. Discovery of a selective catalytic p300/CBP inhibitor that targets lineage-specific tumours. *Nature* **550**: 128–132. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24028, PMID: 28953875

- Leemhuis H, Packman LC, Nightingale KP, Hollfelder F. 2008. The Human Histone Acetyltransferase P/CAF is a Promiscuous Histone Propionyltransferase. *Chembiochem* **9**: 499–503. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic. 200700556, PMID: 18247445
- Levrat MA, Rémésy C, Demigné C. 1991. High propionic acid fermentations and mineral accumulation in the cecum of rats adapted to different levels of inulin. *The Journal of Nutrition* **121**: 1730–1737. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/121.11.1730, PMID: 1941180
- Li Q, Han Y, Dy ABC, Hagerman RJ. 2017. The Gut Microbiota and Autism Spectrum Disorders. Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience 11: 120. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2017.00120, PMID: 28503135
- Lin HV, Frassetto A, Kowalik EJ, Nawrocki AR, Lu MM, Kosinski JR, Hubert JA, Szeto D, Yao X, Forrest G, Marsh DJ. 2012. Butyrate and propionate protect against diet-induced obesity and regulate gut hormones via free fatty acid receptor 3-independent mechanisms. *PLOS ONE* 7: e35240. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0035240, PMID: 22506074
- Liu X, Wang L, Zhao K, Thompson PR, Hwang Y, Marmorstein R, Cole PA. 2008. The structural basis of protein acetylation by the p300/CBP transcriptional coactivator. *Nature* **451**: 846–850. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06546, PMID: 18273021
- Liu YN, Liu TT, Fan YL, Niu DM, Chien YH, Chou YY, Lee NC, Hsiao KJ, Chiu YH. 2016. Measuring propionyl-CoA carboxylase activity in phytohemagglutinin stimulated lymphocytes using high performance liquid chromatography. *Clinica Chimica Acta; International Journal of Clinical Chemistry* **453**: 13–20. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2015.11.023, PMID: 26620954
- Liu X, Cooper DE, Cluntun AA, Warmoes MO, Zhao S, Reid MA, Liu J, Lund PJ, Lopes M, Garcia BA, Wellen KE, Kirsch DG, Locasale JW. 2018. Acetate Production from Glucose and Coupling to Mitochondrial Metabolism in Mammals. *Cell* **175**: 502–513. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.08.040, PMID: 30245009
- Lu Y, Yao D, Chen C. 2013. 2-Hydrazinoquinoline as a Derivatization Agent for LC-MS-Based Metabolomic Investigation of Diabetic Ketoacidosis. *Metabolites* **3**: 993–1010. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ metabo3040993, PMID: 24958262
- Luong A, Hannah VC, Brown MS, Goldstein JL. 2000. Molecular characterization of human acetyl-CoA synthetase, an enzyme regulated by sterol regulatory element-binding proteins. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **275**: 26458–26466. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M004160200, PMID: 10843999
- Marks PA, Breslow R. 2007. Dimethyl sulfoxide to vorinostat: Development of this histone deacetylase inhibitor as an anticancer drug. *Nature Biotechnology* **25**: 84–90. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1272, PMID: 17211407
- Marsono Y, Illman RJ, Clarke JM, Trimble RP, Topping DL. 1993. Plasma lipids and large bowel volatile fatty acids in pigs fed on white rice, brown rice and rice bran. *The British Journal of Nutrition* **70**: 503–513. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1079/bjn19930144, PMID: 8260477
- Milton SG, Mathew OP, Yatsu FM, Ranganna K. 2012. Differential Cellular and Molecular Effects of Butyrate and Trichostatin A on Vascular Smooth Muscle Cells. *Pharmaceuticals* 5: 925–943. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ ph5090925, PMID: 24280698
- Montgomery DC, Sorum AW, Guasch L, Nicklaus MC, Meier JL. 2015. Metabolic Regulation of Histone Acetyltransferases by Endogenous Acyl-CoA Cofactors. *Chemistry & Biology* 22: 1030–1039. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2015.06.015, PMID: 26190825
- Müller M, Hernández MAG, Goossens GH, Reijnders D, Holst JJ, Jocken JWE, van Eijk H, Canfora EE, Blaak EE. 2019. Circulating but not faecal short-chain fatty acids are related to insulin sensitivity, lipolysis and GLP-1 concentrations in humans. *Scientific Reports* 9: 1–9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48775-0, PMID: 31467327
- Muschet C, Möller G, Prehn C, de Angelis MH, Adamski J, Tokarz J. 2016. Removing the bottlenecks of cell culture metabolomics: Fast normalization procedure, correlation of metabolites to cell number, and impact of the cell harvesting method. *Metabolomics* 12: 151. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-016-1104-8, PMID: 27729828
- Ortega E, Rengachari S, Ibrahim Z, Hoghoughi N, Gaucher J, Holehouse AS, Khochbin S, Panne D. 2018. Transcription factor dimerization activates the p300 acetyltransferase. *Nature* **562**: 538–544. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1038/s41586-018-0621-1, PMID: 30323286
- Parada Venegas D, De la Fuente MK, Landskron G, González MJ, Quera R, Dijkstra G, Harmsen HJM, Faber KN, Hermoso MA. 2019. Short Chain Fatty Acids (SCFAs)-Mediated Gut Epithelial and Immune Regulation and Its Relevance for Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. *Frontiers in Immunology* **10**: 277. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/ fimmu.2019.00277, PMID: 30915065
- Peng L, Li ZR, Green RS, Holzman IR, Lin J. 2009. Butyrate enhances the intestinal barrier by facilitating tight junction assembly via activation of AMP-activated protein kinase in Caco-2 cell monolayers. *The Journal of Nutrition* 139: 1619–1625. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.109.104638, PMID: 19625695
- Prasad KN, Sinha PK. 1976. Effect of sodium butyrate on mammalian cells in culture: A review. Vitro 12: 125–132. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02796360, PMID: 2548
- Priyadarshini M, Kotlo KU, Dudeja PK, Layden BT. 2018. Role of Short Chain Fatty Acid Receptors in Intestinal Physiology and Pathophysiology. *Comprehensive Physiology* 8: 1091–1115. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy. c170050, PMID: 29978895

- Rahman MdM, Kukita A, Kukita T, Shobuike T, Nakamura T, Kohashi O. 2003. Two histone deacetylase inhibitors, trichostatin A and sodium butyrate, suppress differentiation into osteoclasts but not into macrophages. *Blood* 101: 3451–3459. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2002-08-2622, PMID: 12511413
- Rajan A, Shi H, Xue B. 2018. Class I and II Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors Differentially Regulate Thermogenic Gene Expression in Brown Adipocytes. *Scientific Reports* 8: 13072. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-31560-w, PMID: 30166563
- Rickard KL, Gibson PR, Young GP, Phillips WA. 1999. Activation of protein kinase C augments butyrate-induced differentiation and turnover in human colonic epithelial cells in vitro. *Carcinogenesis* 20: 977–984. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1093/carcin/20.6.977, PMID: 10357776
- Ringel AE, Wolberger C. 2016. Structural basis for acyl-group discrimination by human Gcn5L2. Acta Crystallographica. Section D, Structural Biology 72: 841–848. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/ S2059798316007907, PMID: 27377381
- Ríos-Covián D, Ruas-Madiedo P, Margolles A, Gueimonde M, de Los Reyes-Gavilán CG, Salazar N. 2016. Intestinal Short Chain Fatty Acids and their Link with Diet and Human Health. Frontiers in Microbiology 7: 185. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00185, PMID: 26925050
- **Roediger WE**. 1982. Utilization of nutrients by isolated epithelial cells of the rat colon. *Gastroenterology* **83**: 424–429. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-5085(82)80339-9, PMID: 7084619
- Romano KA, Martinez-Del Campo A, Kasahara K, Chittim CL, Vivas El, Amador-Noguez D, Balskus EP, Rey FE. 2017. Metabolic, Epigenetic, and Transgenerational Effects of Gut Bacterial Choline Consumption. *Cell Host & Microbe* 22: 279–290. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.07.021, PMID: 28844887
- Roth SY, Denu JM, Allis CD. 2001. Histone Acetyltransferases. Annual Review of Biochemistry 70: 81–120. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.70.1.81, PMID: 11395403
- Sassone-Corsi P. 2013. Physiology: When metabolism and epigenetics converge. Science 339: 148–150. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1233423, PMID: 23307727
- Sekhavat A, Sun JM, Davie JR. 2007. Competitive inhibition of histone deacetylase activity by trichostatin A and butyrate. Biochemistry and Cell Biology = Biochimie et Biologie Cellulaire 85: 751–758. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1139/o07-145, PMID: 18059533
- Sengupta S, Muir JG, Gibson PR. 2006. Does butyrate protect from colorectal cancer? Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology 21: 209–218. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1746.2006.04213.x, PMID: 16460475
- Siavoshian S, Segain JP, Kornprobst M, Bonnet C, Cherbut C, Galmiche JP, Blottière HM. 2000. Butyrate and trichostatin A effects on the proliferation/differentiation of human intestinal epithelial cells: Induction of cyclin D3 and p21 expression. *Gut* 46: 507–514. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.46.4.507, PMID: 10716680
- Sidoli S, Bhanu NV, Karch KR, Wang X, Garcia BA. 2016. Complete Workflow for Analysis of Histone Posttranslational Modifications Using Bottom-up Mass Spectrometry: From Histone Extraction to Data Analysis. *Journal of Visualized Experiments* 111: e54112. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3791/54112, PMID: 27286567
- Silva LG, Ferguson BS, Avila AS, Faciola AP. 2018. Sodium propionate and sodium butyrate effects on histone deacetylase (HDAC) activity, histone acetylation, and inflammatory gene expression in bovine mammary epithelial cells. *Journal of Animal Science* 96: 5244–5252. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/sky373, PMID: 30252114
- Simithy J, Sidoli S, Yuan Z-F, Coradin M, Bhanu NV, Marchione DM, Klein BJ, Bazilevsky GA, McCullough CE, Magin RS, Kutateladze TG, Snyder NW, Marmorstein R, Garcia BA. 2017. Characterization of histone acylations links chromatin modifications with metabolism. *Nature Communications* 8: 1141. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-017-01384-9, PMID: 29070843
- Singh N, Gurav A, Sivaprakasam S, Brady E, Padia R, Shi H, Thangaraju M, Prasad PD, Manicassamy S, Munn DH, Lee JR, Offermanns S, Ganapathy V. 2014. Activation of Gpr109a, receptor for niacin and the commensal metabolite butyrate, suppresses colonic inflammation and carcinogenesis. *Immunity* 40: 128–139. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.12.007, PMID: 24412617
- Takahashi H, McCaffery JM, Irizarry RA, Boeke JD. 2006. Nucleocytosolic Acetyl-Coenzyme A Synthetase Is Required for Histone Acetylation and Global Transcription. *Molecular Cell* 23: 207–217. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.molcel.2006.05.040, PMID: 16857587
- Tan J, McKenzie C, Potamitis M, Thorburn AN, Mackay CR, Macia L. 2014. Chapter Three—The Role of Short-Chain Fatty Acids in Health and Disease. Alt FW (Ed). Advances in Immunology. Academic Press. p. 91–119. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800100-4.00003-9
- Thangaraju M, Cresci GA, Liu K, Ananth S, Gnanaprakasam JP, Browning DD, Mellinger JD, Smith SB, Digby GJ, Lambert NA, Prasad PD, Ganapathy V. 2009. GPR109A is a G-protein-coupled receptor for the bacterial fermentation product butyrate and functions as a tumor suppressor in colon. Cancer Research 69: 2826–2832. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-4466, PMID: 19276343
- Thomas SP, Haws SA, Borth LE, Denu JM. 2020. A practical guide for analysis of histone post-translational modifications by mass spectrometry: Best practices and pitfalls. *Methods* 184: 53–60. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ymeth.2019.12.001
- Thompson PR, Wang D, Wang L, Fulco M, Pediconi N, Zhang D, An W, Ge Q, Roeder RG, Wong J, Levrero M, Sartorelli V, Cotter RJ, Cole PA. 2004. Regulation of the p300 HAT domain via a novel activation loop. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* 11: 308–315. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb740
- Trefely S, Lovell CD, Snyder NW, Wellen KE. 2020. Compartmentalised acyl-CoA metabolism and roles in chromatin regulation. *Molecular Metabolism* 38: 100941. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2020.01.005, PMID: 32199817

- Usami M, Kishimoto K, Ohata A, Miyoshi M, Aoyama M, Fueda Y, Kotani J. 2008. Butyrate and trichostatin A attenuate nuclear factor kappaB activation and tumor necrosis factor alpha secretion and increase prostaglandin E2 secretion in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *Nutrition Research* 28: 321–328. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NUTRES.2008.02.012, PMID: 19083427
- Velázquez M, Davies C, Marett R, Slavin JL, Feirtag JM. 2000. Effect of Oligosaccharides and Fibre Substitutes on Short-chain Fatty Acid Production by Human Faecal Microflora. Anaerobe 6: 87–92. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1006/anae.1999.0318
- Vinolo MAR, Rodrigues HG, Hatanaka E, Sato FT, Sampaio SC, Curi R. 2011. Suppressive effect of short-chain fatty acids on production of proinflammatory mediators by neutrophils. *The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry* 22: 849–855. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2010.07.009, PMID: 21167700
- Vogelauer M, Krall AS, McBrian MA, Li JY, Kurdistani SK. 2012. Stimulation of Histone Deacetylase Activity by Metabolites of Intermediary Metabolism. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 287: 32006–32016. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.362467, PMID: 22822071
- Waldecker M, Kautenburger T, Daumann H, Busch C, Schrenk D. 2008. Inhibition of histone-deacetylase activity by short-chain fatty acids and some polyphenol metabolites formed in the colon. *The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry* 19: 587–593. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2007.08.002, PMID: 18061431
- Wang T, Holt MV, Young NL. 2018. Early butyrate induced acetylation of histone H4 is proteoform specific and linked to methylation state. *Epigenetics* 13: 519–535. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/15592294.2018.1475979, PMID: 29940793
- Wellen KE, Hatzivassiliou G, Sachdeva UM, Bui TV, Cross JR, Thompson CB. 2009. ATP-Citrate Lyase Links Cellular Metabolism to Histone Acetylation. *Science* **324**: 1076–1080. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science. 1164097, PMID: 19461003
- Xiong Y, Miyamoto N, Shibata K, Valasek MA, Motoike T, Kedzierski RM, Yanagisawa M. 2004. Short-chain fatty acids stimulate leptin production in adipocytes through the G protein-coupled receptor GPR41. PNAS 101: 1045–1050. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2637002100, PMID: 14722361
- Yang C, Wu J, Zheng YG. 2012. Function of the Active Site Lysine Autoacetylation in Tip60 Catalysis. *PLOS ONE* **7**: e32886. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0032886, PMID: 22470428
- Yuan ZF, Sidoli S, Marchione DM, Simithy J, Janssen KA, Szurgot MR, Garcia BA. 2018. EpiProfile 2.0: A Computational Platform for Processing Epi-Proteomics Mass Spectrometry Data. *Journal of Proteome Research* 17: 2533–2541. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00133, PMID: 29790754
- **Zgheib C**, Zouein FA, Chidiac R, Kurdi M, Booz GW. 2012. Calyculin A Reveals Serine/Threonine Phosphatase Protein Phosphatase 1 as a Regulatory Nodal Point in Canonical Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 Signaling of Human Microvascular Endothelial Cells. *Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research* **32**: 87–94. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1089/jir.2011.0059, PMID: 22142222
- Zhao S, Torres A, Henry RA, Trefely S, Wallace M, Lee JV, Carrer A, Sengupta A, Campbell SL, Kuo Y-M, Frey AJ, Meurs N, Viola JM, Blair IA, Weljie AM, Metallo CM, Snyder NW, Andrews AJ, Wellen KE. 2016. ATP-Citrate Lyase Controls a Glucose-to-Acetate Metabolic Switch. *Cell Reports* 17: 1037–1052. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.celrep.2016.09.069, PMID: 27760311
- Zhao S, Jang C, Liu J, Uehara K, Gilbert M, Izzo L, Zeng X, Trefely S, Fernandez S, Carrer A, Miller KD, Schug ZT, Snyder NW, Gade TP, Titchenell PM, Rabinowitz JD, Wellen KE. 2020a. Dietary fructose feeds hepatic lipogenesis via microbiota-derived acetate. *Nature* 579: 586–591. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2101-7, PMID: 32214246
- Zhao L, Liu S, Zhang Z, Zhang J, Jin X, Zhang J, Jiang W, Li H, Lin H. 2020b. Low and high concentrations of butyrate regulate fat accumulation in chicken adipocytes via different mechanisms. Adipocyte 9: 120–131. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/21623945.2020.1738791, PMID: 32163011
- Zhou Q, Dalgard CL, Wynder C, Doughty ML. 2011. Histone deacetylase inhibitors SAHA and sodium butyrate block G1-to-S cell cycle progression in neurosphere formation by adult subventricular cells. *BMC Neuroscience* 12: 50. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-12-50, PMID: 21615950