Transport of soluble proteins through the Golgi occurs by diffusion via continuities across cisternae
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Abstract

The mechanism of transport through the Golgi complex is not completely understood, insofar as no single transport mechanism appears to account for all of the observations. Here, we compare the transport of soluble secretory proteins (albumin and α1-antitrypsin) with that of supramolecular cargoes (e.g., procollagen) that are proposed to traverse the Golgi by compartment progression–maturation. We show that these soluble proteins traverse the Golgi much faster than procollagen while moving through the same stack. Moreover, we present kinetic and morphological observations that indicate that albumin transport occurs by diffusion via intercisternal continuities. These data provide evidence that this transport mechanism that applies to a major class of secretory proteins and indicate the co-existence of multiple intra-Golgi trafficking modes.
Introduction
Nearly one third of the eukaryotic proteins are synthesized at the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and then transported to their cellular destinations through the secretory pathway. Over the years, the general organization of membrane transport along the secretory pathway has been gradually unravelled (Mellman and Simons, 1992; Mellman and Warren, 2000), and many of the underlying molecular components have been identified (Emr et al., 2009; Rothman, 2002; Schekman, 2002). Some key questions, however, remain unresolved (Emr et al., 2009; Glick and Luini, 2011; Pfeffer, 2007).

A central issue is how cargo proteins traverse the Golgi complex (Glick and Luini, 2011; Glick and Malhotra, 1998; Malhotra et al., 1989), a major transport station composed of stacks of flat membranous cisternae. There are three main anterograde transport mechanisms that are in principle possible and might apply to the Golgi: a) transport by compartment progression–maturation; b) transport by dissociative anterograde vesicular carriers and, c) transport via inter-compartment continuities.

Among these, the progression–maturation model has gained a degree of consensus as an intra-Golgi traffic mechanism, based on several lines of evidence in mammals (Bonfanti et al., 1998; Lanoix et al., 2001; Martinez-Menarguez et al., 2001; Mironov et al., 2001; Rizzo et al., 2013), yeast (Losev et al., 2006; Matsuura-Tokita et al., 2006; Rivera-Molina and Novick, 2009), algae (Becker et al., 1995) and plants (Donohoe et al., 2013). Under this model, cargo molecules remain in the lumen of the Golgi cisternae while the cisternae themselves progress through the stack and ‘mature’ through recycling of their resident enzymes. Recently, cisternal progression has been proposed to apply only to the rims (and not to the core) of the cisternae in the mammalian Golgi (Lavieu et al., 2013). In addition to the Golgi, the progression-maturation principle appears to be involved in the endocytic (Poteryaev et al., 2010; Rink et al., 2005) and the phagocytic pathways (Fairn and Grinstein, 2012) in different species.

The vesicular transport mechanism, whereby dissociative carriers transport cargoes between successive compartments, operates at many stages of the trafficking pathway and has been proposed to apply also to intra-Golgi trafficking (Rothman, 2002). Here, however, the evidence is less
direct and less conclusive than at other transport segments, with conflicting
claims about the presence (Orci et al., 2000) or absence (Bonfanti et al.,
1998; Claude, 1970; Clermont et al., 1993; Dahan et al., 1994; Di Lazzaro et
al., 1995; Gilchrist et al., 2006; Martinez-Menarguez et al., 2001; Mironov et
al., 2001; Orci et al., 2000; Sabesin and Frase, 1977; Severs and Hicks,
1979) of anterograde cargo proteins in the peri-Golgi carriers. Moreover in
particular cases, like in microsporidia, intra-Golgi transport appears to occur
without COPI vesicles (Beznoussenko et al., 2007).

Diffusion-based transport via inter-compartment continuities remains the
least explored and understood of the traffic mechanisms. Some antecedents,
however, are available. Continuity-mediated transport has been observed to
occur between endosomes and lysosomes (Luzio et al., 2007), and also the
exocytic release of cargo from secretory granules (Rutter and Hill, 2006) or
synaptic vesicles through transient pores (kiss-and-run) (Alabi and Tsien,
2013; Rizzoli and Jahn, 2007) at the plasma membrane can be considered to
occur via this modality. For intra-Golgi transport, this mechanism has been
discussed several times in the past (Beznoussenko et al., 2007; Glick and
Luini, 2011; Marsh et al., 2004; Mellman and Simons, 1992; Mironov et al.,
2005; Mironov et al., 1997; Trucco et al., 2004; Weidman, 1995) and a few
recent intra-Golgi transport models including the mixing–partitioning
(Patterson et al., 2008), the kiss-and-run (Fusella et al., 2013; Mironov and
Beznoussenko, 2012; Mironov et al., 2013) and the cisternal progenitor
schemes (Pfeffer, 2010) have been proposed that imply transient tubular
continuities across cisternae. At the molecular/mechanistic level, Golgi tubule
formation has been proposed to be initiated by COPI coatamer mediated
budding (Yang et al., 2011), and tubule elongation and fission appear to
require the actions of cytosolic phospholipase A2 (cPLA2) and
lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase-γ (LPAATγ), respectively (San Pietro et
al., 2009) (Yang et al., 2011). Recent evidence also points to a role for Golgi
localized SNAREs and BARS in the dynamics of the intercisternal
connections (Fusella et al., 2013). Nevertheless, a complete understanding of
the molecular players regulating the intra-Golgi connections remains lacking.

Altogether, uncertainties remain about the applicability of continuity-
based transport to the Golgi. One main reasons for this situation has been the
long-standing difficulty of demonstrating intercisternal continuities in thin sections for electron microscopy. This obstacle has now been partly overcome by the use of electron tomography and new methods of three-dimensional electron microscopy (Briggman and Bock, 2012), which have revealed the presence of intercisternal tubular continuities under experimental conditions that favour the detection of these tubules, such as the induction of active trafficking (Marsh et al., 2004; San Pietro et al., 2009; Trucco et al., 2004; Vivero-Salmeron et al., 2008; Wanner et al., 2013). The second and main problem, yet to be resolved, is that the mere presence of intercisternal tubules is insufficient to prove a role for these continuities in transport, as these tubules might be too few and unfavourably disposed to support trafficking.

To test the continuity-based transport model it is thus necessary to search for functional evidence of a transport role for these continuities. To this end, we have used soluble secretory proteins as transport markers, as these are globular objects of a few nm in diameter that should easily cross the observed intercisternal tubules and rapidly move from the cis to the trans face of an interconnected stack or ribbon. The transport of some soluble proteins has been studied decades ago using electron microscopic autoradiography (Ashley and Peters, 1969; Caro and Palade, 1964; Castle et al., 1972; Jamieson and Palade, 1967) and biochemical pulse-chase assays (Jamieson and Palade, 1967; Lodish et al., 1983), but their actual mechanism of secretion remains unknown.

Comparing the trafficking pattern of prototypic soluble proteins with those of cargoes previously proposed to move by cisternal progression-maturation, we find that soluble proteins cross the Golgi stack at a much faster rate, apparently by diffusion along intercisternal connections; and that this transport mode coexists in the same Golgi complex with the much slower intra-Golgi progression of large, non-diffusible cargo, such as procollagen I (PC-I). Soluble secreted proteins are of great physiological interest because they represent a significant portion (possibly more than 10%) of the mammalian proteome and include hormones, growth factors, serum proteins, antibodies and digestive enzymes. Thus, these results are consistent with a novel mechanism of transport for a major class of secretory proteins, and
provide evidence for multiplicity of transport mechanisms that can help to rationalize most of the observed intra-Golgi trafficking patterns.
Results

The experimental system: comparing transport of soluble cargo with that of VSVG and PC.

As prototypes of soluble proteins we used albumin and α1-antitrypsin (hereinafter termed antitrypsin). These are globular, water-soluble proteins roughly 3 nm in diameter that should easily diffuse through the 30-60 nm wide Golgi intercisternal connections (Trucco et al., 2004). Albumin is an abundant, non-glycosylated protein, while antitrypsin is N-glycosylated. The trafficking of soluble proteins (albumin in most experiments) was characterized and compared with that of PC-I (Bonfanti et al., 1998; Mironov et al., 2001; Weinstock and Leblond, 1974) and vesicular stomatitis virus G protein (VSVG) (Bergmann and Singer, 1983; Mironov et al., 2001; Patterson et al., 2008), because these cargoes have been extensively characterized and shown to move by cisternal progression (or rimma progression (Lavieu et al., 2013) or compartment progression (Mironov et al., 2013). For the sake of brevity, from now onward we will use the term compartment progression to describe the traffic of procollagen and other similar cargo). Thus, if albumin moves by diffusion via continuities, it should exhibit transport kinetics and patterns different from VSVG and PC-I. PC-I forms large, stable, non-diffusible aggregates that cannot enter tubules or vesicles and cross the Golgi stack in a gradual fashion by compartment progression (Bonfanti et al., 1998; Trucco et al., 2004); and VSVG is a large trimeric transmembrane viral protein that shows the same trafficking pattern as PC-I, at least under certain specific conditions (see below). In this study, we only used conditions under which VSVG crosses the Golgi by compartment progression.

Albumin crosses the Golgi stack faster than VSVG and PC: kinetic evidence for fast synchronized albumin movement across progressing cisternae

We first compared the kinetics of intra-Golgi transport of albumin with those of VSVG and PC-I in HepG2 cells, a human hepatoma cell line that secretes both albumin and antitrypsin. To assess traffic rates we used synchronization techniques by which cargoes can be arrested in the intermediate
compartment (IC), and then released, to monitor their synchronous passage through the secretory system.

To compare albumin with VSVG, HepG2 cells were infected with VSV and subjected to the following synchronization protocol (protocol 2, see Methods): the secretory pathway was first cleared of cargo by blocking protein synthesis with cycloheximide (CHX); and then CHX was removed at 15°C. At this temperature albumin and VSVG were re-synthesized relatively efficiently, and were then transported to, and arrested in, the IC (Mironov et al., 2001). Finally, the 15°C transport block was removed by shifting the temperature to 32°C, to allow the synchronous passage of albumin and VSVG from the IC to and through the Golgi complex (Mironov et al., 2001). Notably, this protocol does not seriously overload/perturb the secretory pathway since, under similar conditions, the Golgi complex has been shown to maintain a normal structure and function (Trucco et al., 2004) (Mironov et al., 2001). To monitor cargo passage, we used both immuno-electron microscopy (immuno-EM) and immuno-fluorescence.

By immuno-EM, albumin was seen at time 0 (i.e., at end of the 15°C block) in the ER and IC at similar levels, with very little in the Golgi stacks (Fig. 1a, b, green arrowheads). An earlier study had shown that a soluble protein (soluble secretory GFP) concentrates in the IC/Golgi area at 15°C (Blum et al., 2000); however, no EM experiments were carried out to verify the localization. Using immuno-EM, we do not observe any such concentration of albumin in the Golgi after the 15°C block (time 0). Albumin is clearly restricted to the ER and IC, and absent from the Golgi apparatus (Fig.1a, b, i,l). Within 2 min of release from the 15°C block, albumin entered and filled the entire Golgi, including the trans-Golgi network (TGN), with apparently similar levels throughout (Fig. 1c, d, i). After 5 min at 32°C, the distribution of albumin had not changed significantly (Fig. 1e, f, i), while at 10 min, albumin was higher in the TGN than in the cis cisternae (Fig. 1g, i). Then (by 20 min), albumin began to exit the Golgi, as indicated by its diminishing overall levels in the Golgi stack (Fig. 1h, j, l). In sum, albumin spreads through the stack in less than 2 min, then exits the Golgi complex.
The pattern of VSVG traffic differed from that of albumin. As previously described (Mironov et al., 2001; Trucco et al., 2004), at time 0, VSVG was depleted in the ER, concentrated in the IC, and nearly absent in the Golgi stacks (Fig. 1a, b, k). Two min after the 15°C block release, VSVG was still mostly in IC elements adjacent to the cis-Golgi (Fig. 1c, d, k), and at 5 min it had reached only the first cis-cisterna (Fig 1e, f, k). Later, VSVG gradually reached the medial and then the trans-Golgi (Fig. 1g, k). Thus VSVG moves gradually through the stack in over 15 min, consistent with the compartment progression trafficking mechanism, as expected under these synchronization conditions (Mironov et al., 2001; Trucco et al., 2004).

For immunofluorescence experiments (Figure 1- Figure Supplement 1), we monitored arrival of both VSVG and albumin at the cis- and trans-Golgi by determining their degree of co-localization with cis- and trans-Golgi markers (GM130 and TGN46, respectively) (Mironov et al., 2001; Trucco et al., 2004). This is feasible because cis- and trans-Golgi markers can be resolved (to a large though not complete extent) by confocal microscopy (Shima et al., 1997; Trucco et al., 2004) (see Methods). Albumin showed a diffuse ER-like distribution at time 0, with no clear Golgi staining (Figure 1- Figure Supplement 1); then, 2 min after the release of the 15°C block, albumin entered the Golgi stack and co-localized to the same extent with both GM130 and TGN46 (i.e. it reached both the cis and trans areas, Figure 1- Figure Supplement 1), while the ER was still not completely empty. After 5-10 min, albumin had completely left the ER and now localized mostly in the Golgi, where it's levels declined in the cis-Golgi, while they remained high in the trans-Golgi (Figure 1- Figure Supplement 1), compatible with rapid albumin diffusion through the stack followed by concentration in the TGN. Thus, the export of albumin out of the ER was very efficient, so that by 10 min after the release of the temperature block almost all of the protein had been transported to the Golgi apparatus. Antitrypsin showed very similar distribution and trafficking patterns to albumin (Figure 1- Figure Supplement 1).

VSVG, instead, showed a punctate (IC-like) distribution at 15°C, as previously reported (Mironov et al., 2001; Trucco et al., 2004) (Figure 1- Figure Supplement 1). After the release of the block, VSVG reached the cis-
Golgi first (at 5 min) (Figure 1- Figure Supplement 1), and then later, with a lag of 10-15 min, it arrived at the TGN, as previously described (Mironov et al., 2001) (Figure 1- Figure Supplement 1). Again, this is compatible with compartment progression, and is in agreement with the immuno-EM data.

Next, we compared albumin and PC-I. We expressed albumin in professional PC-I secretory cells (human fibroblasts) by microinjecting albumin cDNA in the nucleus and subjecting the cells to the synchronization protocol 1 (Methods). A limited but sufficient fraction of injected cells expressed albumin. At 15°C (time 0), albumin was mostly diffuse in the ER (as seen in HepG2 cells), while PC-I was seen in scattered fluorescent ‘spots’ (presumably PC-I aggregates within the IC) (Fig. 2a, b) (Mironov et al., 2001; Trucco et al., 2004). We then increased the temperature to 32°C. In these cells, the PC-I trafficking pattern has been characterized extensively in previous studies: PC-I arrives at the cis-Golgi from the IC in 2-3 min and later progresses to the TGN by compartment progression in 12-15 min (Bonfanti et al., 1998; Mironov et al., 2001). Here, we confirmed that within 3 min after the release of the 15°C block, PC-I aggregates reach the Golgi area but not the TGN (Fig. 2d, e); and by correlative light-immuno-EM (CLEM) we further confirmed that at this time PC-I aggregates reach the cis but not the distal cisternae, well in line with previous reports (Fig. 2f) (Bonfanti et al., 1998; Mironov et al., 2001). In the same cells, by contrast, albumin filled the Golgi stack rapidly, as in HepG2 cells: at 3 min, it already co-localized with the TGN marker TGN46 (by immunofluorescence) (Fig. 2c, e) and, by EM, it filled the Golgi stacks from cis to trans (Fig. 2g).

Collectively, these results indicate the existence, in the same cells (and in the same stacks), of two different intra-Golgi trafficking patterns for different cargo types, one consistent with gradual compartment progression, for PC and VSVG, and one characterized by the rapid spreading of cargo through the stack, for albumin.

**Albumin crosses the Golgi stack faster than VSVG and PC also under steady-state transport conditions.**

A possible limitation of these data is that they were obtained using synchronized traffic waves. Albeit relatively mild (Mironov et al., 2001), the
traffic synchronization protocols that were applied here might ‘overload’ the secretory pathway. We therefore sought to examine the transport patterns of albumin and PC in cells at steady-state. This can be achieved using GFP-tagged cargoes in living HeLa cells (see Methods), which offer controlled expression conditions.

GFP-albumin showed steady-state Golgi localization and secretory behavior similar to that of native albumin in HepG2 cells (Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 1), indicating that this construct can be used as an albumin tracer. Moreover, a characterization of the GFP-albumin dynamics in HeLa cells based on fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) (Patterson et al., 2008), showed that this construct enters and exits the Golgi with half-times of about 3-4 min (Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 1) and diffuses ‘horizontally’ along the Golgi ribbon in seconds, as expected from its soluble nature (Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 1). We thus proceeded to assess the steady-state transport behavior of GFP-albumin, and to compare it with that of PC. To this end, we bleached the Golgi area (Fig. 3a, b) and monitored the time for arrival of GFP-albumin from the ER at the cis-Golgi and at the trans-Golgi (again by quantifying its co-localization with GM130 and TGN46; see above and Methods) (Fig. 3c-f). After 1-2 min (i.e. the earliest time at which GFP-albumin had recovered to detectable levels in the Golgi stack) (Fig. 3c), GFP-albumin had reached both the cis-Golgi and the TGN (Fig. 3d-f); in fact, it showed a slightly higher degree of co-localization with TGN46 than with GM130 (using the unbiased co-localization Method 2 based on automatic thresholding; see Methods), indicating that it had already traversed the Golgi stack (Fig. 3d-f, quantification in j). Later (3 min post-bleaching), the GFP-albumin signal became slightly higher in the trans- than the cis-Golgi, and at 12 min (when recovery was nearly complete), it was clearly higher in the trans- than the cis-Golgi (as seen before bleaching, with a ratio of about 1.8) (Fig. 3j). To control for the possibility that part of the fluorescence signal recovered in the Golgi area might come from the underlying ER, we repeated this experiment using nocodazole-induced ministacks (Fig. 3 g-i, k) where the cis and trans-Golgi markers are resolved better (Shima et al., 1997; Trucco et al., 2004) and the very low background fluorescence of the ER present in the cellular periphery allows a better resolution of Golgi fluorescence. The results
were very similar to those obtained with the intact ribbon. Next, to confirm these results by EM we resorted to GFP-photooxidation followed by CLEM experiments. For photooxidation studies, the same experiments as those described above were carried out, and the cells were fixed 2 min after photobleaching, when GFP-albumin fluorescence had recovered in the Golgi. Then, the newly arrived fluorescent protein in the Golgi was excited in the presence of DAB under conditions that favor the photo-oxidation reaction and the formation of DAB electron dense precipitates in the close vicinity of GFP (Grabenbauer et al., 2005; Meiblitzer-Ruppitsch et al., 2008) (see Method). The Golgi elements that had been monitored by video microscopy were then examined by CLEM (Mironov and Beznoussenko, 2013) and see Methods). The results shown in Fig. 3 o-s clearly indicated that after 2 min of recovery GFP-albumin was already filling the whole Golgi stack.

To monitor the behavior of PC under similar conditions, we used HeLa cells transfected with GFP-tagged PC-III (a homotrimer that forms large aggregates in the Golgi complex like PC-I, and in general behaves like PC-1; Perinetti et al., 2009) and see Methods). A limited but sufficient number of cells expressed this cargo. We then bleached the whole Golgi area and monitored the rate of entry of PC-III-GFP into the Golgi complex from the ER. PC-III behaved as expected from our previous experiments on PC-I trafficking (Bonfanti et al., 1998; Mironov et al., 2001; Trucco et al., 2004). At 3 min post-bleaching, some PC-III-GFP aggregates (in the form of distinct bright puncta) had already entered the Golgi area, but had not reached the TGN (i.e., did not co-localize with TGN46) (Fig. 3l, n). Later (at 9 min), many more PC-III-GFP aggregates had reached the Golgi stack and some of these co-localized with TGN46 (Fig. 3m, n), confirming that PC-III, like PC-I, enters the Golgi and then moves gradually to the TGN, consistent with compartment progression and different from the albumin transport pattern.

We also monitored whether the fast transport of soluble cargoes by diffusion is coupled to their processing by Golgi enzymes. To this end the biochemical maturation of antitrypsin was monitored by the pulse chase assay. Antitrypsin was processed efficiently by Golgi enzymes, as evidenced by increased apparent molecular weight of the protein, with a kinetics reflecting that measured by microscopy-based assays (Figure 3 – Figure
In the same experiments, VSVG acquired endo-H resistance at a markedly slower rate (Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2). Thus, the Golgi residence time of soluble cargo appears to be sufficient for complete glycosylation. Possibly, the high surface/volume ratio of the flat Golgi cisternae maximizes the contact, and hence the efficiency of the reaction, between cargo and enzymes.

In summary, extensive kinetic evidence obtained under both traffic-synchronization and steady-state conditions shows the coexistence of two different intra-Golgi trafficking behaviours (and hence, presumably, different mechanisms) for different cargo types. PC-I (also PC-III) and VSVG enter the Golgi stack and move gradually from cis to trans, consistent with compartment progression, while albumin equilibrates rapidly across the Golgi compartments. The latter behaviour is consistent with diffusion via intercisternal continuities. However, purely kinetic data cannot exclude that other mechanisms, such as fast vesicular shuttling, might lead to the same traffic pattern (Pelham and Rothman, 2000). To distinguish between diffusion- and vesicle-based traffic, we used both morphological and computational approaches.

**Albumin is depleted in Golgi vesicles and is present in Golgi intercisternal tubules.**

We first examined the Golgi structure in HepG2 cells at steady-state, with a focus on vesicles and tubules, using EM tomography. The Golgi stacks in HepG2 cells comprise 4-6 cisternae that were flanked by vesicles and connected side-by-side by ‘longitudinal’ tubules and fenestrated membranes, as seen in many other cell types. Some longitudinal tubules were Y-shaped and connected heterologous cisternae in neighboring stacks (not shown), in agreement with previous descriptions (Marsh et al., 2004). In addition, successive cisternae within individual stacks were sometimes connected by tubules that appeared to be oriented in the cis-trans direction (‘vertical’ tubules) and that were distributed apparently randomly at all levels of the stack, as previously described (Trucco et al., 2004). These vertical tubules were often convoluted (as exemplified in Fig. 4a-c and Video 1), and had calibers ranging from 30 to 60 nm. The number of intercisternal connections
between heterologous cisternae across the Golgi stack was highly variable. In HepG2 cells each stack had about 5±2 intercisternal connections (calculated as described in Methods). When a complete tomographic reconstruction of a tubule was possible, it was always found that the tubule was connected to a cisterna (not shown) in agreement with previous observations (Trucco et al., 2004). A few narrow continuities joining the central areas of adjacent cisternae were also observed (not shown). Similar results were obtained using both chemical fixing and high-pressure freezing (not shown). For comparison, we also examined the Golgi in rat liver. The vertical and Y-shaped tubules were similar to those in HepG2 (not shown).

We then examined the albumin distribution in these Golgi structures by immuno-EM. Albumin was scarce in the ER (not shown) and dense in the stacks, and even denser in the TGN (Fig. 5a, c, g, i). A similar distribution was also found in rat hepatocytes with a slightly higher concentration of albumin in Golgi and TGN (not shown). The distribution of antitrypsin was indistinguishable from that of albumin (not shown). For comparison, VSVG was markedly more concentrated in the TGN than in the stack (except in cells expressing high levels of VSVG, where the stacks were filled with this cargo) (Fig. 5b, d, h, j); and PC-I aggregates (in human fibroblasts) were also much more numerous in the TGN than in the Golgi stack (not shown). Thus, a large fraction of VSVG and PC-I in the Golgi area was located in the TGN. Next, we focused on the distribution of cargo in Golgi tubules and vesicles. We first examined the albumin content of Golgi vesicles (defined as round, 50-60 nm-wide profiles near the Golgi stack; see Methods) by immuno-EM. The vesicular profiles were markedly depleted of albumin (Fig. 5e, arrows, and 5k for quantification). This observation is in agreement with previous in vivo morphological and biochemical observations in animal liver cells (Dahan et al., 1994; Gilchrist et al., 2006) but is seemingly at variance with in vitro studies that showed that albumin can be present in vesicles generated by the non-hydrolysable GTP analogue GTP\(_\gamma\)S from Golgi enriched liver membranes (Malhotra et al., 1989) (see also (Caro and Palade, 1964; Jamieson and Palade, 1967)). As this difference might be due to the presence of GTP\(_\gamma\)S (instead of the natural nucleotide GTP) in the in vitro experiments (GTP\(_\gamma\)S is
known to affect cargo sorting into vesicles (Lanoix et al., 2001)), we performed a series of in vitro experiments using GTP\textsubscript{γ}S or GTP, and confirmed that this was indeed the case (Figure 5 - Figure Supplement 1), explaining the discrepancy between the in vitro and the in vivo data.

We next probed the Golgi tubules. In random thin sections for immuno-EM, tubules appear as elongated variably oriented tubular–ovoidal profiles in the vicinity of the stack (see Methods). These profiles contained albumin at a similar density to that seen in the cisternae (Fig. 5f, arrowheads, quantified in k), independently of their orientation (parallel or perpendicular to the plane of the cisternae) (see below). For comparison, we also examined VSVG. This cargo has been previously shown to be depleted in Golgi vesicles and, to a lesser extent, in tubules (Trucco et al., 2004). We confirmed that VSVG is lower in both vesicular and tubular peri-Golgi profiles than in cisternae (Figure 5 - Figure Supplement 1). We then attempted to visualize albumin in complete vertical tubular connections. This experiment presented serious difficulties because: a) most connections are convoluted and cannot be included in single thin sections; and, b) even relatively ‘linear’ continuities are very unlikely to be cut through their entire length at random (here, a reasonable expectation is that less than one connection may be found in hundred sections, assuming 6 connections per stack (Marsh et al., 2004; Trucco et al., 2004). An additional difficulty is that the tubular membranes are often cut obliquely at some point along their length, resulting in defective membrane visualization. We sought to overcome these problems by a) cutting several hundreds of individual thin sections, as well as several serial sections, to find at least a few complete inter-cisternal connections, and, b) combining tomography with albumin labeling by photo-oxidation and cryo-immuno EM. Using the first approach, we succeeded in visualizing a few tubular connections (Figure 5 - Figure Supplements 2 and 3) that showed continuity across heterologous cisternae (see legend to these Figures). These connections contained albumin at roughly the same level as in cisternae (Fig. 5f and Figure 5 - Figure Supplements 2 and 3). Moreover, the tomography- and photooxidation-based approaches (Meiblitzer-Ruppitsch et al., 2008) also
indicated that albumin is present in the connecting tubules (Figure 5 - Figure Supplements 3 and 4).

In sum, despite the technical difficulties, the data are consistent with the notion that albumin is depleted in peri-Golgi vesicles and it is present in Golgi intercisternal tubules at levels similar to those seen in cisternae.

**Computational comparison of vesicle-based with diffusion-based models of intra-Golgi transport**

To further distinguish between continuity-based and vesicle-based albumin transport, we then used two computational models. These models were constructed to assess whether the equilibration of albumin at the observed rates (within 1-2 min) through a closed system with a stack-like geometry (defined using morphological parameters derived from our observations) could be best explained by a scheme based on simple diffusion of albumin between the cisternae through tubules without biasing forces, or by a scheme based on vesicular transport. Notably, these models are limited to simulating intra-Golgi equilibration of cargoes and do not aim to simulate the entire traffic process through the Golgi including cargo arrival, departure and intra Golgi concentration steps.

For diffusion-based transport, we simulated albumin diffusion through either one stack or through Golgi ribbons made of three to five longitudinally connected stacks containing vertical intercisternal tubules (see Methods and Fig. 6a-b). Different numbers, dispositions and stabilities (open time) of these tubules were tested in the simulation (Table 1, Fig. 6c-f). We found that the rates of equilibration from cis to trans Golgi are fast, and are easily compatible with our experimental data (see Figs.1-3 and Figure 1 - Figure supplement 1 for experimental data), even when we simulated infrequent and transient tubular connections (Fig. 6c). Also of note, even when connectivity gaps at any level of a stack are simulated, these gaps can be compensated for by the presence of connections at the same level in neighboring stacks longitudinally joined in Golgi ribbons, and/or by the fact that these gaps might be transient, i.e., that connections might form and disassemble rapidly (see scheme in Fig. 6d-f).
For vesicle-based models (Figure 6- Figure Supplement 1 and Table 2), we implemented a quasi-hopping scheme where one ‘event’ includes the loading and unbinding of a vesicle from one cisterna and binding and unloading of the same vesicles to a neighbouring cisterna. This scenario is the limiting case allowing for fastest turnover. The considered system had the same geometry and number of cisternae as those used for the diffusion-based model (Fig. 6). We found that in order to mediate cargo equilibration across the Golgi stack in 2 min, each cisterna would need to generate and receive hundreds of vesicles per second (the exact number varies depending on the variables selected for simulation, e.g., size of the vesicles or the number of cisternae per stack etc., see Figure 6- Figure Supplement 1, Table 2). This very high rate of turnover is very difficult to reconcile with several lines of experimental evidence, as discussed in detail in the legend to Figure 6- Figure Supplement 1 and in the Discussion.

In conclusion, the observed rate of intra-Golgi albumin transport (cis-trans equilibration is observed in less than 2 min), seems easily compatible with diffusion-based models and inconsistent with vesicle-based quantitative transport models of albumin equilibration through the stack (see legend to Figure 6- Figure Supplement 1 and Discussion).

Discussion

The main findings of this study are that soluble cargo proteins such as albumin traverse the Golgi complex rapidly by a mechanism that is different from compartment progression and involves diffusion via intercisternal continuities. This fast diffusion-based transport coexists in the same Golgi stacks with the slower movement of supramolecular cargo such as PC by compartment progression.

Three lines of evidence (kinetic, morphological and computational) converge to support the notion of continuity-based transport. The kinetic evidence, which consists of the observation that albumin traverses the stack in less than 2 min, at least 5-6-fold faster than PC, is consistent with diffusional transport, a fast process over short distances. It cannot, however, exclude in principle a role for other (potentially) rapid transport processes such as intercisternal shuttling by COPI-derived vesicles (Pelham and Rothman, 2000;
Presley et al., 2002). Thus, further discriminating evidence is required. The morphological experiments show that Golgi vesicles are depleted (80%) of albumin while Golgi intercisternal tubules appear to contain albumin at similar levels as those seen in cisternae. Published biochemical studies concur with this depletion in vesicles (Dahan et al., 1994; Gilchrist et al., 2006; Sonnichsen et al., 1996) indicating that the observed depletion is not an artifact of reduced epitope presentation in the vesicles. These observations therefore favor a role for tubular continuities, over vesicles, in albumin transport. Clearly, the albumin depletion in vesicles is difficult to reconcile with the extreme efficiency of the intra-Golgi albumin transport. Moreover, computational models of intra-Golgi transport by diffusion show that tubules, even when very few and transient, are sufficient to mediate the observed fast rate of albumin transport through the stack. Instead, models of vesicular transport show that Golgi vesicles would have to form and fuse at rates of hundreds of vesicles per cisterna per second, and hence that cisternal rims would have to turnover several times per second, to mediate albumin transport (see legend to Figure 6- Figure Supplement 1 for a detailed discussion of this point). These computational results appear difficult to reconcile with at least two lines of experimental evidence: a) with such rates of vesicle formation/fusion, there should be morphological signs of such an enormous activity; however, cisternae look quiet, with fairly rare images of budding or fusion; and, b) if cisternal rims turned over a few times per second as a result of vesicle budding, then the vesicular coat protein COPI (or at least a substantial subpopulation of COPI) should cycle on and off the Golgi complex at similar rates, i.e., roughly three times per second; however, the half-time of COPI dissociation from the Golgi complex is roughly 35 seconds in live cells (Presley et al., 2002). Finally, the vesicle turnover rates calculated to be required for albumin transport are orders of magnitude higher than those experimentally observed for any other type of coated vesicles at any cellular location including endocytic vesicles (about 2 pinocytic vesicles per second per macrophage cell (Steinman et al., 1976) or fast neuronal synapses that form close to 1-15 endocytic vesicles per second per synapse (Fernandez-Alfonso and Ryan, 2006; Rink et al., 2005) or COPII vesicles (about 0.3 vesicles per exit site per second (Thor et al., 2009).
Collectively, these considerations indicate that the simplest scheme to accommodate all of the available evidence on albumin intra-Golgi transport is one in which this soluble cargo reaches the cis-Golgi via the IC and diffuses rapidly across the Golgi stack in the cis-trans direction through (most probably transient) intercisternal tubules and concentrates on the trans-side. At the same time, non-diffusible cargoes that cannot enter intercisternal tubules (such as PC-I) traverse the stack slowly, by progression–maturation (Mironov et al., 2001). As noted earlier, continuity-mediated transport has been proposed to occur also in the endo-lysosomal pathway (Luzio et al., 2007) and during the release of synaptic vesicles through flickering pores (Rizzoli and Jahn, 2007) (Alabi and Tsien, 2013).

Among the questions raised by the cargo diffusion model, one concerns the functional significance of its coexistence with maturation. We suggest that each process optimizes the transport of different cargo classes endowed with specific physical properties. For instance, PC-I forms aggregates in the early Golgi (or earlier) and is efficiently transported in this condensed state by compartment progression. Albumin and possibly other abundant serum proteins, instead, move from the ER to the Golgi in a soluble state (Martinez-Menarguez et al., 1999; Oprins et al., 2001). Thus, once at the Golgi, these proteins diffuse rapidly via continuities away from the cis Golgi towards the TGN, where they are concentrated and exported. Existence of different modes of transport possibly also gives the cell/organism flexibility to switch between modes of transport depending on the cargoes being transported in a certain physiological or developmental stage. For example, during the spermatid development there is a clear increase in the proliferation of intracisternal tubules (Vivero-Salmeron et al., 2008) that we propose may coincide with an increase in the transport of cargoes that depend on diffusional mode of transport.

The second question raised by the cargo diffusion model is how the Golgi maintains its compositional polarity in the presence of continuities and does not collapse to form one larger compartment. Several possibilities can be hypothesized. For instance, the collapse of connected cisternae may be prevented by scaffolds that maintain cisternal geometry, or it might actually tend to occur, but at a rate that is too slow compared to the half-life of
transient continuities. Regarding domain segregation within continuous compartments, there are several known prior examples. They include the ER, with its rough and smooth domains (Sitia and Meldolesi, 1992), the neuronal plasma membrane, with different compositions in the soma and dendrites (Bradke and Dotti, 2000), and even the cytosol, where gradients of calcium and other second messengers are constantly created and maintained across different cytosolic regions (Zaccolo et al., 2002). In most cases, the molecular basis for domain segregation is ill-understood, with a few possible exceptions (Zaccolo et al., 2002). For the Golgi complex, multiple such domain-generating mechanisms might exist, and they might be based on: a) the small size and the transiency of intercisternal tubules, which might act as filters by remaining open for a time sufficient for the passage of molecules such as albumin that would rapidly diffuse through the tubes, but not for that of larger molecules, such as lipid rafts, or protein clusters, which would be retarded by the small size and curvature of the tubes; b) the arrival at the cis- and trans-Golgi poles of membranes of markedly different compositions and thicknesses from the ER and from endosomes, with the consequent creation of a cis-to-trans lipid compositional gradient through which Golgi resident enzymes may distribute differentially (Patterson et al., 2008; Trucco et al., 2004); c) the action of cytosolic scaffolds to nucleate different domains in different cisternae; and, d) the action of intralumenal buffering and pumping systems to create gradients of ions, such as calcium and protons, in a fashion similar to that described for cytosolic messengers (McCarron et al., 2006) (a quantitative model of this last mechanism and a further discussion of diffusion via continuities are in Figure 6 - Figure Supplement 2). Clearly, much work is needed to clarify whether and how some of these mechanisms apply.

Another point of consideration while discussing the cargo diffusion model is the possible relationship between intra-Golgi transport and Golgi export, although a detailed analysis of the mode of albumin export is beyond the scope of this study. Earlier biochemical and our own imaging studies have shown that small soluble cargoes are cleared from the cells quite rapidly and a pH gradient is necessary for such an efficient export (Yilla et al., 1993) (our unpublished data). Moreover, we show that albumin is concentrated in the TGN (Fig. 5g), and that this concentration most likely depends on the low
TGN pH, as it is abolished by concanamycin, a specific inhibitor of the vacuolar proton pump that operates in the TGN (not shown). Thus, the pH-dependent concentration of albumin on the trans-side of the stack (Fig. 5g) appears to be an important driving force for albumin export in that it imparts some sort of directionality to the movement of this cargo by increasing the probability of cargo molecules to localize in the TGN versus the CGN. Based on the above collective considerations and the current results, a hypothetical transport model for albumin might be delineated as follows: albumin reaches rapidly the Golgi from the ER, where it diffuses rapidly across the stack at a rate dependent on the number and transiency of the connections, accumulating in the low pH trans-Golgi compartments. This intra-Golgi equilibration of albumin is fast and hence unlikely to be rate-limiting for export out of the Golgi (differing in this regard from the slower transport of larger cargo such as PC-I which takes 10-15 min to cross and exit the stack). Rather, export is likely to depend on the rate of formation of the export carriers and on the concentration of albumin in these carriers, which, as noted, depends on the TGN pH. A notable consequence of this is that the export efficiency of other soluble cargos might vary as a function of their propensity to concentrate in the TGN at low pH. The mechanism by which a low pH increases the albumin concentration in the TGN is unknown. On a speculative plane, at low pH albumin might bind with increased affinity to a TGN protein or lipid, resulting in enhanced concentration and sorting into export carriers. Under these conditions albumin might also tend to self-aggregate, increasing concentration and sorting efficiency. Interestingly, under the vesicular transport model, concentration in the TGN could be achieved by directional vesicle-mediated transport in a pH-independent way. Thus, the fact that export is pH-dependent is a further indication in favor of the diffusion-based mechanism.

Finally, one may ask whether the coexistence of diffusion- and maturation-based mechanisms might help to rationalize the observation of different intra-Golgi trafficking patterns for various cargo proteins and under different conditions. Clearly, the trafficking of large non-diffusible cargoes (e.g., PC-I) and that of soluble proteins (e.g., albumin) is explained in a simple way by the above dual transport scheme. The case of VSVG, a trimeric
transmembrane protein, is more complex, in that this cargo has been reported
to either progress gradually through the stack like PC-I (here and (Mironov et 
al., 2001; Trucco et al., 2004), or to spread rapidly from cis to trans cisternae
like albumin (Bergmann and Singer, 1983; Patterson et al., 2008), depending
on experimental conditions. Here, a simple explanation would be that this dual
behaviour might depend on the ability of VSVG to exist in two states, an
'aggregated' one, in which it would form large clusters that behave like PC-I
(as proposed by others (Griffiths et al., 1985), and a diffusible one
(mono/oligomers) that behaves like albumin. Under this assumption, which
remains to be verified, also the dual behaviour of VSVG could be
accommodated by our two-mechanisms scheme.

The coexistence of multiple transport principles, involves a loss of
simplicity and elegance compared to a single general mechanism.
Nevertheless, evidence that multiple cargo transfer strategies are used in the
secretory and endo-lysosomal pathways is emerging (Luzio et al., 2007) and
the observation of different cargo transport rates for different cargoes
(Boncompain et al., 2012) concurs well with the notion of multiple transport
mechanisms. It is unclear whether the mechanisms so far described represent
the full range of the existing transport strategies. The complete scenario will
probably emerge through studies of further cargoes and trafficking steps in
suitable cell types. Highly secreting cells, including certain cancer lines, might
preferentially use one rather than another traffic mechanism. Uncovering the
diversity of the trafficking modes will enhance our ability to understand and
selectively manipulate different cargo classes, for research or therapy
purposes.
Methods

Cells, DNA constructs, antibodies and reagents

HepG2 human hepatoma and HeLa cells (both from ATCC) were grown in Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum, glutamine and antibiotics. Human fibroblasts were grown and used as described previously (Mironov et al., 2001). The following polyclonal antibodies were used: anti-GM130 (M. A. De Matteis, TIGEM, Italy), anti-TGN46 (S. Ponnambalam, Leeds University, UK), anti-albumin and anti-α1-antitrypsin (DAKO), and anti-VSVG (M. A. De Matteis). The following were also used: nanogold-conjugated Fab fragments of anti-rabbit IgG and Gold Enhancer (Nanoprobes, Yaphank, NY); Protein A conjugated with colloidal gold (J. Slot, Utrecht University, The Netherlands); and anti-rabbit, anti-mouse and anti-sheep antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488, Alexa Fluor 546 and Alexa Fluor 633 (Molecular Probes Europe BV, The Netherlands). GTPγS and CHX were from Sigma. The FUGENE6 transfection reagent (used following the manufacturer instructions) was from Roche. To express constructs in human fibroblasts (which were difficult to transfect), microinjection was performed. Albumin was expressed efficiently in 50% of injected cells. Of these, 30% expressed both albumin and PC, while the other 70% expressed only albumin. The other 50% of the injected cells contained PC and very little or no albumin, indicating that these human fibroblasts prefer to express either one or the other of these cargoes. Unless otherwise indicated, all other chemicals and reagents were obtained from previously described sources (Mironov et al., 2001).

The GFP-albumin construct was prepared by PCR amplification and sequential cloning of the pre-pro-signal region of albumin, the GFP cDNA from the pEGFP-N2 vector (Clontech), and the albumin without the signal region, into the pcDNA-4B vector. Specifically, the construct was prepared by subcloning the HindIII-BamHI-digested, PCR-amplified (forward primer, CCCAAGCTTATGAAGTGGGTAACCTTTATTTCCC; reverse primer CGCGGATCCTCGACGAAACACCCCTGG) pre-pro-albumin region into the pcDNA-4B vector, followed by the sub-cloning of the BamHI-EcoRI-digested, PCR-amplified (forward primer,
CGCGGATCCGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGC; reverse primer, CCGGAATTCCTTATACAGCTCGTCCATGCCGAG) GFP cDNA into the pro-albumin-containing construct, and in turn the sub-cloning of the EcoRI-XhoI-digested, PCR-amplified albumin (forward primer, CCGGAATTGATGCACACAAGAGTGACCTTC; reverse primer, CCGCTCGAGTTATAAGCCTAAGGCAGCTTGAC) into the previous construct. The final construct was verified by direct sequencing. The preparation the PC-III construct was as described previously (Perinetti et al., 2009).

**Cell treatments and transport assays**

**Traffic synchronization protocols**

Synchronization protocol 1: (32-15°C): Human fibroblasts were microinjected with a plasmid of interest and then shifted to 15°C for 2 h to accumulate cargo in the IC, and then shifted back to 32°C to monitor cargo transit through the secretory pathway. The cells were then fixed at increasing time interval and processed for IF or EM.

Synchronization protocol 2: (CHX/32-15°C): HepG2 cells were infected with VSV (1 h at 32°C) and then kept at 32°C for 3 h in the presence of CHX. CHX was washed out and the cells were placed at 15°C for 2-3 h to allow the synthesis of new proteins and their transport to the IC. Finally, the cells were shifted to 37°C or 32°C in the presence of CHX, to allow the passage of cargo proteins through the Golgi complex. The cells were then fixed at increasing time interval and processed for IF or EM. Of note, CHX does not affect the trafficking rates, as established using the pulse-chase transport assay.

**FRAP-based ER-to-Golgi transport assay**

HeLa cells (25,000-30,000) were seeded in MatTek CELLocate dishes, transfected with GFP-albumin, and kept at 37°C for 16 h. To determine the rate of GFP-albumin entry into the Golgi complex from the ER at steady-state, the Golgi area was photobleached and the rate of fluorescence recovery into this area was monitored. It is known that after photobleaching, GFP can recover its original conformation and fluorescence, albeit partially and slowly. To verify whether this phenomenon might contribute to the Golgi FRAP and thus ‘contaminate’ the signal due to GFP-albumin entry into the Golgi
complex, we bleached whole cells and monitored the recovery of fluorescence. This recovery was low and contributed to less than 5% of the Golgi FRAP during the time-scale of the experiments. For each cell, the intensity of the Golgi area was recorded and normalized to the intensity of the whole cell, to account for the overall intensity loss during bleaching. The same approach was used to measure the diffusion of albumin along the Golgi ribbon. Since the rate of diffusion of albumin along the Golgi ribbon was an order of magnitude faster than the ER to Golgi transport of albumin (Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 1) we have assumed that the contribution of the latter is negligible. For exit from the Golgi complex, the FRAP-related iFRAP technique was used (Patterson et al., 2008).

**Intra-Golgi transport assay by correlative video-light microscopy**

The cells were handled as above. The Golgi complex was photobleached (with 100% transmission of laser and 50 iterations) and the Golgi FRAP was monitored. At suitable intervals after bleaching, the cells were fixed with 0.05% gluteraldehyde in 150 mM HEPES for 5 min, and then processed for immunofluorescence (i.e., labeled for the Golgi markers GM130 and TGN46). It is important to note here that fixation of monolayers of cells is a rapid process that happens in a time frame 1-2 sec (Polishchuk et al., 2000), and so any artifact due to slow fixation of the samples is nonexistent. This procedure prevented the recovery of fluorescence of the GFP by spontaneous recovery of its fluorescent conformation (see above). The same cells that had been photobleached and monitored were then identified by their spatial coordinates (Mironov and Beznoussenko, 2013) and the degree of co-localization between GFP-albumin that had re-entered the Golgi and the Golgi marker proteins GM130 and TGN46 was determined (see below).

**Confocal microscopy and co-localization analysis by immunofluorescence**

Confocal and time-lapse images were obtained using a Zeiss LSM510 META confocal system (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). To measure co-localization between cargo and the Golgi marker proteins GM130 and TGN46, we used approaches modified from published protocols (Mironov et al., 2001). Co-localization indicates the intensity of cargo staining within the GM130- or
TGN46-stained areas (cargo localized in other cellular or Golgi regions was not considered). Two methods were used:

Method 1: This method is a modification of the weighted co-localization analysis of the Zeiss LSM510 META confocal image analysis software, according to Manders et al. (Manders, 1993). It involves subtracting the background in each channel. The standard Zeiss value for background is arbitrarily set at 40% of the peak value, but this can be changed to reduce overlap between channels. This method was used in parallel with immuno-EM and stereology experiments, and gave results that were in good agreement with the immuno-EM data. Note that because of the resolution limit of the optical system, with this method the degree of overlap calculated between the Golgi markers themselves (GM130 and TGN46, which are in reality completely segregated in the cis-Golgi and the TGN, respectively) is about 20% in HepG2 cells under optimal conditions. This means that the calculated distribution of GM130 itself between the cis-Golgi and the TGN is about 80% in the cis-Golgi and 20% in the TGN. Vice versa, TGN46 is calculated to be about 20% in the cis-Golgi and 80% in the TGN. This defines the maximal dynamic range of the method: a cargo moving from the cis-Golgi to the TGN will shift from a GM130/TGN46 ratio of about 80/20 (in the cis-Golgi) to a ratio of 20/80 (in the TGN). This applies to HepG2 and HeLa cells; however, under specific conditions (i.e., when the Golgi complex is fragmented into separated stacks, and especially in some stacks, which are presumably those oriented with cisternal planes parallel to the beam), the resolution between GM130 and TGN46 can be greater.

Method 2: To eliminate the arbitrariness of the background subtraction in method 1, we developed a modification of the co-localization approach described by Manders et al. (Manders, 1993), using the MATLAB software (The MathWorks, Inc., R2006b). Briefly, an optical section taken through the centre of the Golgi region was recorded and the intensities of the cis-Golgi and trans-Golgi markers and of the cargo were normalized to the maximum of the corresponding channel for each pixel. To calculate three-colour co-localizations, i.e., the co-localization of cargo with each of the cis-Golgi and trans-Golgi markers, the intensity distributions were interpreted as probability distributions for the specific markers. Thus, the probability for a cargo protein
to co-localize with a cis-Golgi or trans-Golgi marker was calculated for each pixel by multiplying the normalized intensities: 

\[ p_{i,\text{cis}} = \frac{l_{i,\text{cargo}}}{l_{i,\text{cis}}} \] and 

\[ p_{i,\text{trans}} = \frac{l_{i,\text{cargo}}}{l_{i,\text{trans}}} \]

where \( l_{i,\text{cargo}} \), \( l_{i,\text{cis}} \), and \( l_{i,\text{trans}} \) are the intensities of the cargo, and the cis-Golgi and trans-Golgi markers at the \( i \)-th pixel, normalized to the corresponding maximum intensity. The sums of all of the \( p_{i,\text{cis}} \) and the \( p_{i,\text{trans}} \) values represent the degree of co-localization of the cargo with the cis-Golgi and trans-Golgi markers, respectively. Using this method, the degree of overlap calculated between the Golgi markers themselves (GM130 and TGN46, which are in reality completely segregated in the cis-Golgi and the TGN, respectively) is about 30%. This means that the calculated distribution of GM130 itself between cis-Golgi and TGN is about 70% in the cis-Golgi and 30% in the TGN, and vice versa for TGN46 (see above). This method was also tested by comparing the GFP-albumin distribution in HeLa cells at steady-state as determined by this method, with that seen by immuno-EM. The degree of co-localization by method 2 of GFP-albumin with TGN46 was about 80% higher than with GM130 in good qualitative agreement with the immuno-EM data (Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2).

Finally, to visually verify the data obtained by Methods 1 and 2, we used a line-scan method. The intensity distributions of the fluorescently tagged proteins, as GM130 (red) and TGN46 (blue) and GFP-albumin (green), were plotted against distance. Importantly, all of these approaches gave results that were in good agreement under all of the conditions tested, and that also agreed well with the immuno-EM data.

Electron microscopy and tomography

Cryo-immuno-EM, immuno-nanogold-labeling, rapid-freezing cryosubstitution, serial sectioning, 3D reconstructions, and electron tomography were all performed as previously described (Mironov et al., 2001; Polishchuk et al., 1999; Trucco et al., 2004). Correlative light-electron microscopy was performed as described previously (Mironov and Beznoussenko, 2013; Polishchuk et al., 2000; van Rijnsoever et al., 2008). All samples were analyzed under a Philips Tecnai-12 electron microscope (FEI/Philips Electron Optics, Eindhoven). High-pressure freezing of samples was carried out according to Nicolas and colleagues (Nicolas et al., 1989). For samples from...
rat liver, CD-COBS Charles River rats were anesthetized with Nembutal and
sacrificed. Liver slices were fixed by immersion in 1% gluteraldehyde in 0.15
M HEPES (pH 7.2) for 1 h and then post-fixed with 1% reduced OsO4 for 2 h
on ice. For cultured cells, cryo-immuno-EM, cryosections of HepG2 cells were
prepared and immunolabeled with antibodies against albumin, antitrypsin,
GM130 and TGN46, and then analyzed as previously described (Mironov et
al., 2001). Quantification of albumin, antitrypsin and VSVG labeling within the
Golgi stacks was performed using the Analysis software (Soft Imaging
Software Corporation ; (Mironov and Mironov, 1998). In studies of intra-Golgi
transport, the number of gold particles were counted per cisterna in 30 stacks
per time point (per experiment, in at least four experiments), and normalized
to the value in the ER. This normalization was necessary to reduce the
labeling variability between different sections and experiments. The TGN was
defined as ribosome-less, tubular-reticular membranes adjacent to the trans-
Golgi cisternae that were positive for TGN46 labeling. The IC elements were
defined as clusters of tubular-vesicular membranes located near the cis side
of a stack that were positive for ERGIC53. Vesicles were defined as round
profiles adjacent (lateral) to Golgi cisternae and not exceeding 65 nm in
diameter, a feature of COPI-derived vesicles. Golgi tubules were defined as
elongated membrane profiles with length:width ratios of at least 1.5. For
electron tomography, the analysis of intercisternal connections in chemically
and cryo-fixed samples was performed on 200-nm-thick sections, passing
roughly perpendicularly to the centre of the Golgi stack, as described
previously (Trucco et al., 2004). The numbers of intercisternal connections
were counted in single tomograms and varied between 0 and 2 per
tomogram. Because the average volume of each Golgi section represented
approximately 20% of the total stack volume (conservatively assuming
‘idealized’ stacks made of round cisternae of about 1 micron in diameter), the
number of connections detected in each tomogram was multiplied by five to
obtain the total number of connections per stack. At least five tomograms
were analyzed per experimental condition. Surfaces of Golgi membranes
were rendered using the IMOD software (http://bio3d.colorado.edu/imod/).

**Photooxidation:**
For photooxidation experiments, HeLa cells transfected with GFP-albumin were fixed with a mixture of 4% formaldehyde and 0.05% gluteraldehyde for 5 min and then post-fixed with 2% formaldehyde for 20 min. Next, cells were washed and incubated with 0.1% DAB in 0.2 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) on ice for 30 min. Then, cells immersed into DAB solution (still kept at 4°C) were placed under the LSM510 laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss) and an area of the Golgi complex exhibiting GFP fluorescence was irradiated with laser-derived blue (405 nm wave length) light with maximal intensity. After each scan of the light beam, there was at least a 10 sec interval, allowing DAB to diffuse to the site of bleaching. When the fluorescent intensity of GFP emanating from the irradiated area had disappeared, we checked whether the expected brownish DAB precipitate was visible over the irradiated Golgi area. If a modest brownish staining was visible, cells were processed for EM using reduced OsO4 and thiocarbohydrazide. Finally, 200 nm sections were subjected to electron tomography. For photo-oxidation experiments following FRAP studies, the Golgi region of the HeLa cells transfected with GFP tagged constructs was photobleached in the presence of culture medium and then after appropriate recovery time, the cells were fixed and subjected to photooxidation as above.

Golgi vesiculation assay in vitro

Purified Golgi membranes were prepared from rat liver and incubated in vitro to induce the formation of vesicles, as described by Rothman and colleagues (Malhotra et al., 1989). All of the membranes were then pelleted and prepared for cryo-immuno-EM.

Radioactive pulse-chase:

The method was essentially as described (Bonifacino, 2001) with small modifications as described below. HepG2 cells infected with VSV were incubated at 32°C for 1h and then kept in methionine/cysteine free DMEM for 30min. After which the media was substituted with one containing 0.2 mCi/mL of radiolabelled (³⁵S) cysteine and methionine for 5min at 32°C and then incorporation of radioactivity was stopped by substituting with cold media. The cells were then incubated at 32°C for indicated times before lysing in RIPA.
buffer (150mM NaCl, 20mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% Sodium deoxycholate and 1% Triton X-100) followed by immunoprecipitation with anti-
antitrypsin and anti-VSVG antibodies for 6h at 4°C. The immunoprecipitate was then subjected to EndoH digestion before being resolved by SDS-PAGE followed by autoradiography.

**Endoglycosidase H treatment:**
The immunoprecipitates from the radioactive pulse-chase assay were eluted by incubating in the elution buffer (0.1 M sodium citrate pH 5.5, 0.5% SDS and 1% β-mercaptoethanol) at 90°C for 3-4 min followed by centrifugation at 13000x g for 5min. The supernatant was then incubated with Endoglycosidase H (1000 units/ml) for 1h at 37°C. The treated samples were then resolved by SDS-PAGE followed by autoradiography.

**Computational modeling**
All the simulations were performed using the MATLAB software together with the DIPimage toolbox [www.diplip.org; Hendriks CLL, Rieger B, van Ginkel M, van Kempen GMP, van Vliet LJ. DIPimage, a scientific image processing toolbox for MATLAB. Delft University of Technology, 1999.]. See legends to Figs. 6, Figure 6 - Figure Supplements 1 and 2 and the 3 Matlab scripts included in the supporting material for details of the individual simulations. The simulations of albumin diffusion through the Golgi stack were run both in 2D and 3D. Since the 2D and 3D concentration profiles were in very good agreement with the difference in equilibration time less than 12%, we only show data for diffusion in 2D. For the simulations we used a mean field approach because we assume that the movements of the proteins in the experiments is mainly driven by diffusion. The diffusion equation \( \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = D \nabla^2 c \), with the albumin concentration \( c \) and the diffusion coefficient \( D \), was solved using the finite difference method with the Forward Time, Centered Space (FTCS) scheme (Ames, 1992). Both the time and space derivatives are replaced by finite differences

\[
c_{ij}(t+ \Delta t) = c_{ij}(t) + D \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} (c_{i-1,j}(t) + c_{i+1,j}(t) + c_{i,j-1}(t) + c_{i,j+1}(t) - 4c_{ij}(t)),
\]
where \( c_{i,j}(t) \) is the concentration at the position \( i \) and \( j \) in \( x\)- and \( y\)-direction, respectively. The factor \( n \) is the number of nearest neighbor pixels \( (n = 4 \) in the interior and less at the boundary). Space and time increments were chosen as 30 nm and 10 µs to ensure the stability criterion \( D^* \Delta t / \Delta x^2 < 1/2 \). The change in the total particle number was monitored by measuring the integrated density (concentration) over time. The changes were between 1 and 4 particles out of about 20,000 particles over simulation times of 20 - 30 s. Dirichlet boundary conditions were applied, i.e. the albumin concentration was set to zero outside the cisternae and the tubules. The diffusion coefficient of albumin in the Golgi complex was taken as 10 µm\(^2\)/s, which is a realistic value for a globular protein of 60 kDa in the cell cytosol (Dauty and Verkman, 2004; Hobbie, 1978). The system was simulated as a closed system with no flux from the ER and no flux out of the Golgi. Since the intra-Golgi diffusion rate of soluble cargoes is an order of magnitude faster than either entry into or exit out of the Golgi (Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 1) excluding them in the simulations does not affect the conclusions. Additionally the following assumptions are implicit in the model:

(i) The protein is distributed homogenously in the first cisternae.

(ii) The initial protein density spreads out everywhere inside the confined volume (to other cisternae and tubules) according to the diffusion equation.

(iii) The diffusion constant for albumin is homogenous throughout the system (inside the cisternae as well as inside the tubules).

(iv) The protein concentration is high enough so that it can be described by density and not by stochastically moving individual proteins. This is not really a limitation because stochastic random walk motion yields the same diffusion behavior as a continuous particle density.

(v) No interactions are considered between the diffusing proteins and the system boundaries (cisternae and tubule walls).

Of note, the use of modeling here was restricted to assess whether the equilibration rates of albumin across the stack are compatible with the continuity- or the vesicle –based model, or with both.

The size of the cisternae was varied and did not significantly change the magnitude of the time to reach equilibrium. Changing the diameter and length of the tubules affected the equilibration times in a way typical for
diffusion processes (see figure legends for results). Also, systems with two tubules instead of one connecting adjacent cisternae were simulated. As mentioned above, three Matlab scripts are included in the supporting material that were used by us to produce the data for Fig. 6 and Figure 6- Figure Supplement 1.

Statistics

All experiments involving immuno-EM and immunofluorescence were performed at least 3 times on different days, and each treatment was carried out as triplicate samples. For quantification, 15-30 individual measurements were made for each sample (for instance of the labeling density of albumin in Golgi stacks, or of the degree of co-localization of cargoes with a Golgi marker in a cell). For correlative light-electron microscopy (Fig. 3), each experiment was carried out at least three times and at least 3 cells were examined. Experiments on the live dynamics of GFP-albumin (Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2) were repeated at least four times. Values are mean ± SD from 30 stacks per time point, in three independent experiments for immuno-EM; and mean ± SD of 10 co-localization measurements per time point for immunofluorescence.
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Figure legends

Figure 1. Kinetic patterns of synchronized transport of albumin and VSVG through the Golgi stack.
VSV-infected HepG2 cells were synchronized according to the CHX/32-15°C protocol (see Methods). Following release of the 15°C block, the cells were examined by immuno-EM (a-h) at the indicated times. Panels i-k show quantification of immuno-EM values as labeling density (LD) normalized to the density in the ER, to avoid labeling variability across samples. l. The amount of albumin or VSVG in indicated compartments were normalized to that present at time 0 in the ER and expressed as percentage. Values are mean ± SD from 30 stacks per time point, in three independent experiments for immuno-EM. Bar: 60 nm (a), 50 nm (b,c,e,g), 100 nm (d,h), 80 nm (f).

Figure 2. Kinetic patterns of synchronized transport of albumin and PC-I through the Golgi stack.
Human fibroblast cells were microinjected in the nucleus with cDNA for albumin and incubated for 2 h before further treatments. Transport was synchronized according to the CHX/32-15°C protocol and the cells were examined by immunofluorescence and immuno-EM. a. Immunofluorescence localization of albumin and PC at the end of the 15°C block. The area in (a) indicated by white rectangle is enlarged in (b) c-e. Co-localization between albumin (c) or PC (d) or of both cargoes (e) with TGN46, 3 min after release of the block. f-g. Localization of PC (f) and albumin (g) 3 min after release of the 15°C block by immuno-EM. PC (indicated by *) localizes selectively to the cis-cisterna. The cis-side of the Golgi is revealed by the presence of GM130 labeled by immuno-nanogold technique (indicated by white arrows) (f). Albumin labeled by immuno-nanogold technique (black dots) shows a diffuse localization throughout the Golgi complex (g). Bars: 5 μm (a), 2 μm (b-e), 125 nm (e, f).

Figure 3. Kinetic patterns of transport of GFP-albumin, VSVG-GFP and PC-III-GFP through the Golgi stack under steady-state conditions.
HeLa cells were transfected with GFP-albumin (a-k) or PC-III-GFP (l-n). After 16 h of transfection, the Golgi area was bleached, and entry of these cargoes from the unbleached periphery (ER) into the Golgi area was monitored by FRAP. The cells were then fixed at different time points, stained for GM130 and TGN46, and re-localized for analysis of co-localization of the GFP-tagged cargoes with these Golgi markers. a-c. Bleaching of the Golgi area, as delineated by the dotted line, with post-bleaching recovery for 1 min (c). d-f. Detail of same Golgi area shown in (c), showing co-localization of GFP-albumin (green) with GM130 (d, red), or TGN46 (e, red) or both (f: GM130, blue; TGN46, red). g-i. Similar experiments carried out on a nocodazole-induced Golgi ministack (see Methods), with 1-min post-bleaching co-localization of GFP-albumin (green) with GM130 (g, red) or TGN46 (h, red) or both (GM130, blue and TGN46, red) (i). j. Quantification of the degree of co-localization of GFP-albumin with GM130 and TGN46 at different time points after bleaching, as illustrated in (a-f). These data are expressed by normalizing the degree of co-localization of GFP-albumin in the TGN46 area to that of albumin in the GM130 area (set to 1). k. Line scan along the arrow across the Golgi ministack shown in (i). The fluorescence intensities from representative points along the distance were plotted. l, m. Cells were transfected with PC-III-GFP. The Golgi area (within the dotted line) was bleached, and the time course of entry of PC-III-GFP to the TGN was monitored. The cells were fixed and stained for TGN46 at 3 min (l) and 9 min (m) post-bleach, and the overlap between PC-III-GFP with TGN46 was examined. n. Quantification of data in (l, m), expressed as mean ± SD from at least three independent experiments. o-s. In order to ascertain the earlier observations of rapid filling of the Golgi stack by GFP-albumin (a-f), we resorted to electron microscopy. HeLa cells were transfected with GFP-albumin (o,r) or VSVG-GFP (p) or PC-III-GFP (q). The Golgi localized fluorescence was bleached as before (time 0; o) and entry of cargo into the Golgi area monitored by FRAP and the cells fixed 2 min after recovery. The GFP fluorescence was then converted to a signal visible at the EM by photooxidation (see Methods Photooxidation) using Diaminobenzidine (DAB). The DAB product is indicated by arrows. At time 0 the DAB product is present only in the ER with Golgi devoid of staining (o). After 2 min of fluorescence
recovery, both VSVG-GFP (p) and PC-III-GFP (q) are restricted to the cis-side of the Golgi, while GFP-albumin (r) is present throughout the Golgi. In the case of VSVG-GFP, DAB precipitate is visible outside of the Golgi cisternae because GFP is attached to the cytosolic tail of VSVG. In addition, nanogold labeling for Mannosidase II was done in (p) that marks the medial-part of the Golgi. The time 0 image shown is from cells expressing GFP-albumin; similar staining was obtained from both VSVG-GFP and PC-III-GFP expressing cells at time 0. The percentage of cells that showed DAB product throughout the Golgi 2 min after recovery was calculated and presented as mean ± SD. Bar: 2 μm (a-m), 220nm (o-r).

Figure 4. EM tomography facilitates the visualization of convoluted intercisternal tubules.

HepG2 cells were high-pressure frozen and prepared for EM tomography (see Methods). a, b. Tomographic model of a stack from a 200-nm-thick section containing an intercisternal connection. Detail of a shown in b; note the complexity of the convoluted connection (follow the arrow to identify the continuity). c. A gallery of tomographic digital slices (panels 1-12) used to construct the model in (a, b) shows a convoluted intercisternal connection, with the small arrow following the connection, and the arrowheads showing the two cisternae that are connected. Note the complexity of the connection, which would be nearly impossible to detect in traditional thin sections. See Video 1 for facilitated visualization of the continuity. Bar: 150 nm.

Figure 5. Albumin distribution in Golgi cisternae, vesicles and tubules in HepG2 cells.
a-d. Albumin and VSVG distribution in the ER, Golgi stack and TGN (a,b, immuno-EM; c,d, immunofluorescence). White arrows in a,b indicate TGN46 labeling. For quantification see (g-j). e. Albumin distribution in cisternae, vesicles (50-60 nm wide round profiles near the Golgi stack; arrows) and tubules (tubular-ovoid profiles; arrowheads), by immuno-EM. f. Albumin present in a connected cis-trans vertical tubule, as visualized by the immuno-nanogold technique. Arrowhead indicates albumin and white arrows highlight the intercisternal connection. For quantification see (k). g,h. Quantification of
labeling density (LD) of albumin and VSVG by immuno-EM, normalized to ER labeling. i,j. Quantification of co-localization (as described in Methods) of the cargoes with GM130 [cis- Golgi] and TGN46 [TGN] markers by immunofluorescence, normalized to their co-localization with GM130. k. Quantification of distribution of albumin in cisternae, peri-Golgi vesicles and tubules expressed as LD. Bars: 120 nm (a), 210 nm (b), 7.5 μm (c,d), 250 nm (e,f).

Figure 6. Computational simulations of intra-Golgi transport of albumin by diffusion via intercisternal tubules.

a. The Golgi stack was modeled as a system of six circular cisternae connected in series by five (one per pair of cisternae) vertical cylindrical tubules. b. The same stack drawn in a ‘distended’ disposition. The size of the cisternae was set to 1.5 μm diameter and 30 nm thickness, and the diameter and length of the tubules to 30 nm and 100 nm, respectively. The simulations started with the first cisterna (cis) filled with albumin (black shading) and all of the others empty (no shading). Albumin was then allowed to diffuse through the connections until it asymptotically reached equilibrium (grey shading in all cisternae). The variations with time in the albumin concentrations in the other cisternae were calculated for the center of the cisternae (see below). For wider tubule diameters of 60 nm and 120 nm, the 90% threshold of equilibrium was reached after 7.8 s and 6.8 s compared to 14.9 s for the 30 nm diameter. For shorter and longer tubules of 30 nm diameter with 50 nm and 150 nm lengths, the 90% threshold of equilibrium was reached after 11.4 s and 19.1 s. When assuming a system composed only of four circular cisternae, the 90% threshold of equilibrium in the fourth cisternae was reached after 3.4 s (60 nm diameter, 100 nm length) and 3.0 s (120 nm diameter, 100 nm length) compared to 6.4 s for the 30 nm diameter tubules. For shorter and longer tubules of 30 nm diameter with 50 nm and 150 nm lengths, the 90% thresholds of equilibrium were reached after 5.0 s and 8.4 s.

c, d. Time-courses of the equilibration process with different Golgi configurations and with stable or transient (flickering) intercisternal tubular connections. c. One stack of six cisternae connected by one stable tubule per pair of adjacent cisternae (five connections in all), or by one transient tubule
per pair of adjacent cisternae. The tubules were set to be open for 50% of their time, with equal average open and closed times as indicated. In these simulations, the individual tubules opened and closed randomly. d. Simulation of diffusion based albumin transport in Golgi ribbons of one (blue), three (red) or five (yellow) stacks (each with 6 cisternae). The ribbons were completely connected horizontally by tubules joining adjacent cisternae. The total number of connections was five in all cases. For instance, the three-stack ribbon had one or two connections per stack. Nevertheless, complete equilibration was reached in less than 60 s. e. Possible diffusion route of a soluble cargo through the Golgi ribbon with 3 stacks where the longitudinal tubules connecting the isolated stacks compensate for the vertical connectivity gaps. f. Diffusion of a soluble cargo across a stack through transient intercisternal tubules.
Table 1: Simulated intra-Golgi transport of albumin by diffusion, via intercisternal continuities, occurs in the timescale of seconds. The size and geometry of the Golgi stack used for the simulations is defined in the legend to Fig.6. The variable parameters used for the simulation are: length of tubules (from 50 to 150 nm), diameter of tubules (from 30 to 120 nm) and number of cisternae (between 4 and 6). The tubules here refer to the intercisternal tubules connecting two cisternae of a Golgi stack. The time needed for 90% equilibration of albumin across the Golgi stack under varying combinations of the indicated parameters was computed. As can be seen from the data, equilibration across the cisternae happens in seconds across all the conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of tubule (nm)</th>
<th>Diameter of tubule (nm)</th>
<th>Number of cisterna</th>
<th>Time needed for 90% equilibration (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesicle diameter (nm)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cisternae</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steps for 90% equilibration</td>
<td>19617</td>
<td>44230</td>
<td>11352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rim turnover time (sec)</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Simulated intra-Golgi transport of albumin mediated by vesicles, predicts extremely fast turnover of cisternal rims.** The size and geometry of the Golgi stack and vesicles used for the simulations are defined in the legend to Figure 6- Figure Supplement 1. The variable parameters used for the simulation are: diameter of vesicles (from 50 to 70 nm) and number of cisternae (between 4 and 6). Albumin transport is considered to proceed stepwise, where each step is defined as one vesicle detaching from each cisterna and fusing with an adjacent one. For the calculations presented here, the albumin concentration in the vesicles is considered to be 20% of that present in the cisterna (see Fig.5 and also legend to Figure 6- Figure Supplement 1). The number of steps required to achieve 90% equilibration of albumin across the stack was computed and the time required for a single turnover event of the cisternal rim (rim turnover time) was calculated as described in the legend to Figure 6- Figure Supplement 1. The rim turnover time varied from 0.25 to 1.12 sec or in other words, the rim turns over from 4 to 1 times per sec, depending on the condition used for the simulation. The results presented here are for the scenario "a" (discussed in Figure 6- Figure Supplement 1) and the results are very similar even in the case of scenario "b".
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Figure 1 - Figure Supplement 1: Kinetic patterns of synchronised transport of albumin and VSVG through the Golgi stack as determined by immunofluorescence.

VSV-infected cells were synchronised according to the CHX/32-15°C protocol (see Methods). Briefly, the cells were treated with CHX for 3 h, to clear the secretory pathway of all cargo. Following CHX washout, the cells were incubated at 15°C for 2 h, to block newly synthesised protein in the IC. The 15°C block was then released by shifting the cells to 32°C for the indicated times (0, 2, 5, 10 and 20 min). The cells were fixed and labeled for the cargo proteins albumin (a-j) and VSVG (k-t) and the Golgi markers GM130 and TGN46 as indicated. u-w. Quantification of co-localization of albumin, antitrypsin (u) and VSVG (w) with Golgi markers as indicated. v. Total fluorescence of albumin and antitrypsin in the Golgi complex, expressed as arbitrary units (AU). Values are mean ± SD of 10 co-localization measurements per time point for immunofluorescence. Bar: 20 μ (a, k), 10 μ (b, c, l), 4 μ (e-j, m-t), 8 μ (d).

Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 1: Localization, transport behaviour and dynamics of GFP-albumin at steady-state.

a, b. Intra-Golgi distribution of GFP-albumin at steady-state. HeLa cells were transfected with GFP-albumin, kept for 24 h at 37°C, and then fixed and labeled for immuno-EM with an antibody against GFP (10 nm gold) and TGN 46 (5 nm gold; green arrows) (a). The albumin distribution depicted in (a) was quantified and the steady-state GFP-albumin in the cis-Golgi and trans-Golgi is shown as labeling density (LD) normalized to that of the ER (b). c-e. Kinetics of secretion of GFP-albumin. HeLa cells expressing GFP-albumin (lanes 1, 3) and HepG2 cells (lanes 2, 4) were washed with serum free media and incubated at 37°C for indicated times in serum free media. The cell lysate immediately after wash (c) and media after 60 min of incubation (d) were resolved by SDS-PAGE and probed with anti-albumin antibody. Quantification of the secreted protein (as % total) shows that albumin and GFP-albumin are released into the medium with similar kinetics (e). The minor low-molecular-
weight form of GFP-albumin in the intracellular pool (c, lower band in lane 1) is probably a misfolded form of the protein undergoing degradation, and it is not secreted (d, lane 3). (f-h) Dynamics of GFP-albumin at steady-state. HeLa cells were transfected with GFP-albumin and examined using the FRAP approach (see Methods). f. Entry of GFP-albumin into the Golgi area. The fluorescence in the whole Golgi area was bleached, and the recovery of fluorescence into the bleached area was monitored. g. Exit of GFP-albumin from the Golgi area. The fluorescence of the whole cell less the Golgi area was bleached, and the loss of GFP-albumin from the Golgi area was monitored. h. Diffusion of GFP-albumin along the Golgi ribbon. The fluorescence of a part of the Golgi area was bleached, and the recovery of fluorescence into the bleached region was monitored. The data expressed are in mean ± S.D from 3 independent experiments (e) or 5 independent experiments (f-h). Bar: 130 nm (a)

Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2: Kinetics of antitrypsin processing by Golgi enzymes reflects its fast kinetics of transport

Transport of antitrypsin (a) and VSVG (b) along the secretory pathway was monitored by radioactive pulse chase assay. HepG2 cells infected with VSV was pulsed with radioactive aminoacids (35S-methionine and cysteine) for 5 min and then chased for indicated times in cold media. At the end of the chase period the cells were lysed and VSVG or antitrypsin were immunoprecipitated and subjected to Endoglycosidase H digestion as indicated and resolved by SDS-PAGE followed by autoradiography. EndoHr – Endoglycodisase resistant, EndoHs – Endoglycosidase sensitive, immature – EndoHr form of antitrypsin that was not processed by trans-Golgi resident enzymes and mature – EndoHr form of antitrypsin processed by trans-Golgi resident enzymes. It is important to note here that the quantities of antitrypsin and VSVG present are very similar suggesting that the difference in the transport behavior of these proteins is not due to differences in their abundance. In addition, as mentioned in the text, the transport behaviors of antitrypsin and albumin are similar, reiterating further that the differences in the transport behavior between soluble secretory cargoes (albumin and
antitrypsin) and VSVG/PC is possibly not due to the differences in their abundance.

**Figure 5 - Figure Supplement 1: Distribution of cargoes in COPI vesicles.**

a-d. As noted in the main text, Golgi vesicles appear depleted of albumin *in vivo*, while vesicles prepared *in vitro* have been reported to contain albumin (see Malhotra et al 1989). We sought to resolve this discrepancy between *in vivo* and *in vitro* data by examining the conditions of the *in vitro* preparation. COPI vesicles were purified exactly as described by Rothman and colleagues (Malhotra et al. 1989). Their study used the non-hydrolysable GTP analogue GTPγS to increase the yield of vesicles *in vitro*. It was later shown, however, that GTPγS also affects the sorting of cargo into vesicles (Lanoix et al., 1999). We thus examined the effects of GTPγS, and we show here that while COPI-coated vesicles that are formed in the presence of GTPγS contained significant levels of albumin, those that are formed in the absence of GTPγS were depleted of albumin, in line with our *in vivo* data. The exclusion of soluble proteins from vesicles has been reported before (e.g., of proinsulin from KDEL-receptor-containing vesicles; (Orci et al., 1997)); however, the mechanism of exclusion remains unclear. Experimental details: Golgi membranes were isolated from rat liver (see Methods) and treated with (a) or without (b, c) GTPγS, as described by Rothman and colleagues (Malhotra et al., 1989). The Golgi membranes were then pelleted, and processed for cryoimmuno-EM and labeled with an anti-albumin antibody. After GTPγS treatment, albumin can be seen in COPI vesicular profiles (round, 50-60 nm in diameter) (a, arrows), while in the absence of GTPγS, the vesicles (less numerous) were not labeled for albumin (b, c; arrows). In both cases, albumin was concentrated in large pleomorphic structures, which were probably cisternal remnants. (d) Quantification of the percentage of vesicles containing albumin from (a) and (b) expressed as mean ± SD (n=3). e-f. VSV-infected HepG2 cells at steady state were labeled with antibody against VSVG according to cryo-immuno EM protocol (see methods). e. Lack of VSVG labeling within elongated tubule-like (arrow) and round vesicle-like (arrowhead) profiles. f. Morphometric analysis shows that VSVG labeling
density (mean ± SD; n=30 stacks) is significantly less in tubules and vesicles than in cisternae. Bar: 120 nm (a, e); 90 nm (b, c).

**Figure 5 - Figure Supplement 2: Gallery of cryo-immuno-gold EM images indicating the presence of albumin in intercisternal tubules.**

HepG2 cells were labeled for albumin according to the cryo-immuno EM protocol (see Methods). Black arrows in all images indicate the convex sides of the intercisternal tubules; black arrowheads indicate the concave sides, and red arrows indicate the luminal continuities generated by the connections. (a) The concave part of the bent membrane appears to be clearly continuous across two successive cisternae. Moreover, the position of the albumin-associated gold particles distributed along the bend (please note that albumin is inside a tubule here, even if part of the convex tubular membrane is not clearly visualized) as well as the general disposition of the cisterna are clearly consistent with the presence of a connecting albumin-filled tubule. The external (convex) membrane of the tubule (black arrow) cannot be easily seen, probably due to its oblique orientation with respect to the plane of the section. (b) Here, the tubular loop is clearly visible, but its continuity with the cisternae in the stack is not visible probably due to the brighter areas (asterisks) caused by heterogeneous UA-methylcellulose deposition. (c) Here, the tubular loop exhibits a convex membrane (black arrow) connected to the lower cisterna in the stack. The membrane on the concave side of the tubular bridge (black arrowhead) and its connection to the upper cisterna in the stack are less evident, probably due to their oblique orientation with respect to the section plane. (d) The bent membrane is well preserved and the ‘connecting’ tubule makes a complete (180°) turn from the level of the ‘upper’ cisterna to the level of the ‘lower’ one. However, after the bend there is a gap between the bent membrane and the rest of the ‘lower’ cisterna. This gap almost certainly represents a perforation of the connected cisterna, as indicated by the following considerations: a) perforations in HepG2 cisternae are very common, and they look very similar to this image; several examples of these perforations can be found in the tomography in Fig 4; b) the bent tubule, if it is not connected to the cisterna, would have to be open ended. These open-ended tubules are nearly never observed in Golgi tomographies. In addition, it
is very hard to imagine a tubule turning downward and backward 180° and pointing precisely to the rim of the cisterna. Bars: 75nm (a), 110nm (b), 130nm (c), 100 nm (d).

**Figure 5 - Figure Supplement 3: Presence of albumin in intercisternal tubules revealed by serial sectioning followed by cryo-immuno-gold EM and DAB photooxidation followed by tomography.**

a. Serial sectioning by cryo-immuno EM indicates the presence of albumin in intercisternal tubules. HepG2 cells were labeled for albumin according to the cryo-immuno EM protocol (see Methods). Each image contains the green arrows showing that two cisternae that are not connected in sections 1 and 3 (a1, a3) are connected in section 2 (a2). A tubular hairpin (or loop) that joins these two cisternae located at different levels of the stack is visible in panel a2. The gold particles distributed over the tubular connection indicate the presence of albumin in the lumen. Black arrows indicate fiducial marks used for alignment of serial sections. b1-b4. Gallery of tomographic virtual sections of a Golgi stack with GFP-albumin in intercisternal tubules, as revealed by DAB photooxidation. HeLa cells were transfected with GFP-albumin and 24h later subjected to photooxidation procedure, embedded in resin and prepared for EM tomography (see Methods). White arrows show the intercisternal connection (the connection is filled with green arrows) across two consecutive cisternae. The interpretation here is complicated by the membrane damage induced by the photooxidation procedure, and by the presence of the dark patches of oxidized DAB (red arrows). Nevertheless, the image strongly suggest the presence of a tubule (white arrow) connecting cisternae located at different levels of the Golgi stack, as can be visualized in sequential digital slices extracted from the tomogram (panels b1-b4). Dark patches of oxidized DAB product indicate the presence of GFP-albumin within the tubular bridge and the connected cisternae. Precipitates of DAB are visible also in other cisternae (black arrow). b5. 3D model of the connected cisternae and of the tubular bridge indicated by red arrow. Bars: 150 nm (a); 80 nm (b).
**Figure 5 - Figure Supplement 4: Presence of albumin in intercisternal tubules revealed by cryo-immuno EM followed by tomography.**

a-c. HepG2 cells were labeled for albumin according to the cryo-immuno EM protocol (see methods). Here thick sections (200nm) were used instead of the usual thin (70nm) sections. The Golgi profiles showing albumin labeling were subjected tomographic reconstruction (see Methods) (a). b,c. Serial sections from the tomogram shown in (a) that demonstrates the complicated nature of intercisternal connections that contain albumin. **Bar:** 90nm.

**Figure 6 - Figure Supplement 1: Computational simulation of the intra-Golgi equilibration of albumin argues against the classic vesicular transport model.**

To simulate albumin transport as mediated by vesicles travelling between adjacent cisternae, the geometry of the Golgi cisternae was set to the same parameters as in the standard configuration used for the diffusion model (see Fig. 6). Each stack contained 4 to 6 cisternae, each with a diameter of 1.5 microns and a thickness of 30 nm. The vesicles were spherical, with a diameter of 50-70 nm. The simulations started with only the first cisterna filled with albumin (black), and all the others empty (white). Albumin transport is considered to proceed stepwise, where each step is defined as one vesicle detaching from each cisterna and fusing with an adjacent one. The albumin concentration in the vesicles is always assumed to be the same as in the cisterna from where they originated. The albumin concentration in the various cisternae is expressed as a function of the numbers of steps (per cisterna, as defined above). Two scenarios were considered. a. In the first, cargo is exchanged between the neighbouring cisternae by anterograde as well as retrograde vesicles. This means that the first and last cisternae exchange one vesicle each at every step, while the other cisternae exchange two vesicles. This is required for the cisternal volumes to be kept constant. In this simulation, 50% and 90% equilibrium were reached after 3,948 and 8,846 steps per cisterna, respectively (for comparison, 282 and 933 steps are required for 50% and 90% equilibrium across only two cisternae). For larger vesicles with diameters of 60 and 70 nm 90% equilibrium is reached with
fewer steps (5119 and 3224, respectively). However, experimental observations indicate a range of vesicle diameter from 50 to 70 nm, so for our calculations described below we considered the vesicle diameter to be 60 nm.

b. The second scenario represents the steady-state situation where only anterograde transport vesicles are considered. Here, in each step, one vesicle detaches from each cisterna and fuses with the next one. The volume constancy of the system is maintained by a vesicle coming into the first cisterna at each step (containing the same albumin concentration as the first cisterna), and by an identical vesicle exiting the last cisterna. Here, 50% and 90% equilibrium are reached after 3,785 and 6,474 steps using 50 nm diameter vesicles (compared to 563 and 1,865 steps for only two cisternae).

c, d. Changes in albumin concentration in cisternae 2, 4 and 6, expressed as fractions of the concentrations in the same cisterna at equilibrium, as a function of the number of steps, for the scenarios in a and b, respectively. All these simulations were performed using the MATLAB software and the script Skript3_Golgi_vesicular_transport.m is provided as supporting material.

Based on the above numbers, the model (scenario “a” is considered here and the results are similar also with scenario “b”) predicts that close to 5119 vesicle budding/fusion steps per cisterna are required for 90% equilibration of albumin across the stack, considering 60 nm diameter vesicle, 6 cisternae per stack and the concentration of albumin in vesicles as same as that of the cisterna. Our own observations indicate that the concentration of albumin in the vesicles is 20% of that present in cisterna (see Fig.5), so approximately 25000 steps per cisterna are needed for 90% equilibration of albumin across the stack. Since such equilibration happens in less than 2 min (we consider 2 min here, for simplicity), each cisterna has to bud (and fuse with) close to 12500 vesicles per min or about 200 per sec. Based on these numbers one can calculate the turnover of a cisternal rim assuming that: a) the diameter of an ‘ideal’ cisterna in the mammalian Golgi to be 1500 nm, and therefore the perimeter of the cisterna to be approximately 4700 nm, and, b) vesicles are formed from the whole perimeter (or rim) of the cisterna, then a maximum of 78 vesicles of 60 nm diameter (4700/60) can bud from the rim of each cisterna at any given time. Thus, the budding (and fusing) of 78 vesicles can be considered one event of turnover of the cisternal rim. Thus, a rim, in
order to produce 12500 vesicles per min, has to turnover \((12500/78)\) 160
times per min i.e., once every 400 msec, or in other words, the rim turns over
2.5 times per sec. On varying the diameter of the vesicle from 50 to 70 nm
this value ranges from 4 to 2 times per second for a stack with 6 cisternae
(see Table 2 for the results of these calculations). Since the typical Golgi of
the HeLa cells that we used for live imaging studies contained 4 cisternae, we
also simulated vesicle-mediated transport across such a Golgi. Based on the
results of this simulation described earlier, the calculated turnover rate of
cisternal rims varies between 2 and 1 times per second for a stack with 4
cisternae (see Table 2). Thus for a range of parameters used for the
simulation (variations in number of cisterna, vesicle diameter and bidirectional
or unidirectional vesicle transport), the calculated turnover time of a cisternal
rim ranges between 250 and 1000 msec (see Table 2) i.e., turnover of the
cisternal rims ranges from 4 to 1 times per sec.

Regardless of the mode of intra-Golgi transport, if we match these
numbers with published observations about the Golgi, we can then ask
whether this vesicle-based turnover rates are possible/realistic or else.
Actually, there are a few experimental observations, which, in the light of
these calculations, indicate that a role of vesicles in albumin transport is not
tenable:

1) One is qualitative and is about the images of budding/fusing vesicles in
Golgi stacks observed by electron microscopy. Thus, if each cisternal rim
generates 200 vesicles (and fuses with 200 vesicles) per sec then one would
expect to see signs of this enormous budding and fusion activity at the
cisternae. This is, however, clearly not the case. The Golgi cisternae look
rather 'quiet', with fairly rare images of budding or fusion.

2) Another observation is quantitative and is based on the association-
dissociation rate of the Arf/COPI complex at the Golgi in living cells. The life
cycle of a COPI-dependent vesicle is schematically comprised of the following
steps: exchange of GDP/GTP on Arf, association of Arf-GTP and COPI with
the membrane of cisternal rims, budding, fission, and uncoating of the vesicle
(which is initiated by GTP hydrolysis on Arf and the consequent dissociation
of Arf from the vesicle membrane), and then docking and fusion of the vesicle
with the next cisterna. At the uncoating stage, Arf and COPI are shed from the
vesicle and become cytosolic. Thus, the on- and off-rate of Arf/COPI at the
Golgi (or at least of the portion of Arf/COPI that is involved in forming vesicles
at the rims) should reflect the overall turnover of the vesicles. Hence, if the
rimmal membrane turns over (i.e., turns into vesicles and fuses with an
equivalent number of vesicles) 2.5 times per sec, then the portion of Arf/COPI
coat that is located at the rims should cycle, i.e., detach from (and attach to)
the rim membrane at the same rate, namely, 2.5 times per second. As noted,
these considerations are valid only for the fraction of Arf and COPI that
participate in vesicle formation at the rim, rather than for the total Golgi Arf
and COPI pool; however, the available evidence indicates that a sizable
fraction of the Golgi-associated Arf/COPI is located at the cisternal rims
(Oprins et al., 1993; Weidman, 1995). Hence, a substantial portion of the
Golgi-associated Arf/COPI pool would be predicted by the vesicular model of
albumin transport to associate with and detach from the rimmal membrane 2.5
times per second. Hence, the vesicular model of albumin transport predicts
that a substantial portion of Golgi-associated Arf/COPI pool would associate
with and detach from the rimmal membrane 2.5 times per second.

The rate of association/dissociation of Arf and COPI with/from the Golgi
complex has been measured in living cells by FRAP and FLIP video
microscopy (Presley et al., 2002), and its value has been used as a descriptor
of the molecular process of Arf and COPI binding to, and dissociating from,
the Golgi membrane (Presley et al., 2002). It turns out that the Arf/COPI
dissociation/association rate at the Golgi is mono-exponential with a half time
of 15 sec for Arf and 35 sec for COPI, and that similar values apply to the
dissociation of Arf/COPI in the presence of BFA (Presley et al., 2002). These
rates are orders of magnitude slower than those predicted by the vesicular
model of albumin transport (notably, the process underlying these slow rates
remains unclear (Presley et al., 2002)). Hence, the major fast cycling
subpopulation predicted by the vesicular model of albumin transport is not
detected. We conclude that this subpopulation of Arf and COPI does not exist,
and that the vesicular model of albumin transport is incompatible with the
available data.

These data and arguments rule out, or at least strongly militate against,
the possibility that Golgi vesicles turn over fast enough to mediate the rapid
intra-Golgi transport of albumin. A further consideration that argues against a
vesicular turnover rate as fast as that predicted by the vesicular model of
albumin transport is based on the comparison between this predicted rate and
the rate that is experimentally observed for the formation of other coated (e.g,
clathrin- or COPII-coated) vesicles, in other cellular locations. The rates of
formation of these vesicles (Thor et al., 2009) (Steinman et al., 1976) and
even of synaptic vesicles at one of the fastest brain synapses (Fernandez-
Alfonso and Ryan, 2006), are order of magnitudes lower than those predicted
by the vesicular model of albumin transport. Such a difference, while formally
possible, would be quite surprising.

Given the above considerations, we conclude that the most
parsimonious interpretation of the data is that the bulk of the trafficking of
albumin through the Golgi is mediated not by the vesicles but by intercisternal
tubules.

Figure 6 - Figure Supplement 2: Computer-simulated model of the
formation of a pH gradient between continuous cis- and trans-Golgi
cisternae.
a. The model is based on three proton-handling components in the stack (the
size and geometry of which are as defined in the legend to Fig. 6): proton
pumps located in the trans-most cisterna (cisterna 1: swirls), proton channels
located in all of the other cisternae (cisternae 2-6: red circles), and a proton
buffering system (made up of proteins and/or lipids; dashes) that decreases
the diffusion coefficient of protons moving through the system. For modelling,
the initial luminal pH was set to be identical to the cytosolic pH (set at 7.0).
When the pumps start working (activity of pumps: 10 protons/s in total;
modelled on four pumps), the proton concentration in the lumen of the trans-
most cisterna (cisterna 1) starts increasing locally. Protons then start diffusing
towards the cis-Golgi pole (cisterna 6). Their diffusion is slowed down by the
proton buffering system (proteins and lipids). The diffusion coefficient for
protons here is set to 10 µm²/s (for comparison: diffusion coefficient for
protons in bulk water is 9.3 × 10³ µm²/s (Pines, 1988)). Moreover, as the
protons move, they encounter the proton channels present in cisternae 2 to 6,
through which they can leak out into the extra-luminal space, resulting in a pH
gradient across the Golgi stack. The rate of leakage is assumed to be linearly dependent on the difference between the proton concentrations in the lumen and in the cytosol (zero leakage for an internal pH of 7.0, and a leakage of 1.4 protons/s/channel for an internal pH of 6.0; the model assumes two channels per cisterna). The energy needed to generate the gradient comes from the ATP consumed by the proton pumps. The steepness and shape of the gradient depend on the interplay among these three defined proton-handling mechanisms. 

b. Time-course of generation of steady-state pH in the cisternae according to this model (final pH of cisternae 1 to 6: 6.00, 6.17, 6.32, 6.45, 6.55, 6.60). (Assuming a diffusion coefficient of 100 µm²/s would result in a proton pump rate of 100 protons/s and a leakage rate of 14 protons/s at an internal pH of 6.0, and the time scale in the Figure would range from 0 to 6 s. The final pH values would not change.)

Video 1. A tomographic reconstruction of the Golgi stack shown in Fig. 4.

Scripts used to simulate the transport of albumin across the Golgi stack (Supplement to Fig. 6):

Supplementary file 1: Diffusion of albumin across the Golgi stack stable intercisternal tubules

Supplementary file 2: Diffusion of albumin across the Golgi stack via flickering intercisternal tubules

Supplementary file 3: Transport of albumin across the Golgi stack by vesicles
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