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**Figure 1.** The epidemiology of Ebola virus transmission in Africa. Of the suspected reservoir species, 1, 2 and 3 represent the three bat species from which Ebola virus has been isolated (Hypsiprymnathus monstrosus, *Myonycteris torquata* and *Epomops franqueti*) and *n* represents unknown reservoirs of the disease yet to be discovered. Of the susceptible species, *A* represents *Pan troglodytes*, *B* *Gorilla gorilla* and *m* represents other organisms susceptible to the disease, such as duikers. *H* represents humans. Blue arrows indicate unknown transmission cycles or infection routes and red arrow routes have been confirmed or are suspected. Adapted from Groseth et al. (2007).
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Figure 2. The locations of Ebola virus disease outbreaks in humans in Africa. (A) Illustrates the 23 reported outbreaks of Ebola virus disease through time, with the area of each circle and its position along the y-axis representing the number of cases. The onset year is represented by the colour as per (B). (B) Shows a map of the index cases for each of these outbreaks. (C–H) Show these outbreaks over a series of time periods. Numbers refer to outbreaks as listed in Table 1. In (B–H) the species of Ebola virus responsible for the outbreak is illustrated by the symbol shape, the number of resulting cases and onset date by symbol colour. The most recent outbreak (#23) is indicated in orange. Countries in which zoonotic transmission to humans has been reported or is assumed to have occurred are coloured in blue. In each map the Democratic Republic of Congo is outlined for reference.
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Figure 3. The locations of reported Ebola virus infection in animals in Africa. (A) Shows the locations of reported Ebola virus infection in animals. (B–D) Show these records in animals over three different time periods. Numbers refer to records as listed in Table 2. In all panels, the species in which infection was detected is given by symbol shape and the year recorded by symbol colour. Blue countries represent locations where zoonotic transmission to humans has been reported or is assumed to have occurred. In each map the Democratic Republic of Congo is outlined for reference.
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Figure 4. Predicted geographical distribution of the three species of Megachiroptera suspected to reservoir Ebola virus. (A) Shows the distribution of the hammer-headed bat (*Hypsignathus monstrosus*), (B) The little collared fruit bat (*Myonycteris torquata*) and (C) Franquet’s epauletted fruit bat (*Epomops franqueti*). In each map, the locations of reported observations of each species, extracted and curated from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF, 2014) and used to train each model are given as grey points (*H. monstrosus*, n = 67; *E. franqueti*, n = 120 and *M. torquata*, n = 52). Expert opinion maps of the known range of each species, generated by the IUCN (Schipper et al., 2008), are outlined in grey. The colour legend represents a scale of the relative probability that the species occurs in that location from 0 (white, low) to 1 (green, high). Area under the curve statistics, calculated under a stringent ten-fold cross validation procedure, are 0.63 ± 0.04, 0.59 ± 0.04 and 0.58 ± 0.03 for *H. monstrosus*, *M. torquata* and *E. franqueti* respectively. (D) Is a composite distribution map giving the mean, relative probability of occurrence from (A–C).
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Figure 5. Predicted geographical distribution of the zoonotic niche for Ebola virus. (A) Shows the total populations living in areas of risk of zoonotic transmission for each at-risk country. The grey rectangle highlights countries in which index cases of Ebola virus disease have been reported (Set 1); the remainder are countries in which risk of zoonotic transmission is predicted, but in which index cases of Ebola have not been reported (Set 2). These countries are ranked by population at risk within each set. The population at risk Figure in 100,000 s is given above each bar. (B) Shows the predicted distribution of zoonotic Ebola virus. The scale reflects the relative probability that zoonotic transmission of Ebola virus could occur at these locations; areas closer to 1 (red) are more likely to harbour zoonotic transmission than those closer to 0 (blue). Countries with borders outlined are those which are predicted to contain at-risk areas for zoonotic transmission based on a thresholding approach (see ‘Materials and methods’). Figure 5. Continued on next page.
Figure 5. Continued

The area under the curve statistic, calculated under a stringent 10-fold cross-validation procedure is 0.85 ± 0.04. Solid lines represent Set 1 whilst dashed lines delimit Set 2. Areas covered by major lakes have been masked white. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04395.009
Figure 5—figure supplement 1. Covariates used in predicting zoonotic transmission niche of Ebola. (A) Displays elevation across Africa measured in metres, relative to sea level. (B and C) Show enhanced vegetation index (EVI) values (mean and spatial range respectively) on a scale from 0 to 1. (D–G) Display land surface temperature (LST) (mean and spatial range for day and night respectively) measured in degrees Celsius. (H) Shows potential.
Evapotranspiration (PET) for Africa, in millimetres per month and (I) gives the composite, relative probability of occurrence of the three suspected reservoir bat species. For details of how each of these covariate layers was derived see ‘Materials and methods’.
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Figure 5—figure supplement 3. Comparison of predictions for zoonotic niche of Ebola virus excluding the Guinea outbreak. (A) Shows the predicted zoonotic niche excluding the index case for the Guinea outbreak from the dataset used to train the model. (B) Shows the prediction when including the Guinea data in the model (the model
Figure 5—figure supplement 3. Continued

presented in Figure 5). The circle depicts the location of the Guinean index case (#23 in Table 1). As per Figure 5, the scale reflects the relative probability that zoonotic transmission of Ebola virus could occur at these locations; areas closer to 1 (red) are more likely to harbour zoonotic transmission than those closer to 0 (blue).

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04395.012
Figure 6. Changes in national population for countries predicted to contain areas at-risk of zoonotic Ebola virus transmission. For each country the population (in millions) is presented for three time periods (1976, 2000 and 2014) as three bars. Each stacked bar gives the rural (green) and urban (blue) populations of the country. The grey rectangle highlights countries in which index cases of Ebola virus diseases have been reported (Set 1); the remainder are countries in which risk of zoonotic transmission is predicted, but where index cases have not been reported (Set 2). The fractional change in population between 1976 and 2014 is given above each set of bars.
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Figure 7. Changes in international flight capacity and traveller volumes for countries predicted to contain areas at-risk of zoonotic Ebola virus transmission. The grey rectangle highlights countries in which index cases of EVD have been reported (Set 1). The remainder are countries in which risk of zoonotic transmission is predicted, but where index cases have not been reported (Set 2). (A) Shows changes in annual outbound international seat capacity (between 2000 in red and 2013 in blue). (B) Depicts changes in annual outbound international passenger volume by country (between 2005 in red and 2012 in blue). For each country, the fractional change in volume is given above each set of bars. Note that only one bar is presented for South Sudan as data for this region prior to formation of the country in 2011 were unavailable.
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Figure 8. Numbers of airline passengers arriving from at-risk countries to other countries stratified by major geographic regions and national income groups. (A) Shows the locations of WHO regions (AFRO–African Region; AMRO–Region of the Americas; EMRO–Eastern Mediterranean Region; EURO–European Region; SEARO–South-East Asian Region; WPRO–Western Pacific Region). (B) Displays the numbers of passengers arriving in each of these regions from countries predicted to contain areas at risk of zoonotic Ebola virus transmission (Sets 1 and 2) in 2005 and 2012. (C) Shows the income tiers of all countries as defined by the World Bank. (D) Displays the total numbers of passengers arriving in countries in each of these income strata from at-risk countries in 2005 and 2012. The number above each pair of bars indicates the fractional change in these numbers of incoming passengers between 2005 and 2012.
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