Transcription of a 5' extended mRNA isoform directs dynamic chromatin changes and interference of a downstream promoter
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Abstract Cell differentiation programs require dynamic regulation of gene expression. During meiotic prophase in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, expression of the kinetochore complex subunit Ndc80 is downregulated by a 5' extended long undecoded NDC80 transcript isoform. Here we demonstrate a transcriptional interference mechanism that is responsible for inhibiting expression of the coding NDC80 mRNA isoform. Transcription from a distal NDC80 promoter directs Set1-dependent histone H3K4 dimethylation and Set2-dependent H3K36 trimethylation to establish a repressive chromatin state in the downstream canonical NDC80 promoter. As a consequence, NDC80 expression is repressed during meiotic prophase. The transcriptional mechanism described here is rapidly reversible, adaptable to fine-tune gene expression, and relies on Set2 and the Set3 histone deacetylase complex. Thus, expression of a 5’ extended mRNA isoform causes transcriptional interference at the downstream promoter. We demonstrate that this is an effective mechanism to promote dynamic changes in gene expression during cell differentiation.

Introduction Cell fate programs are driven by underlying gene regulatory networks. The budding yeast gametogenesis program, also known as sporulation, provides an ideal model for understanding principles of cell fate progression. In sporulation a diploid cell exits the mitotic program and produces four haploid gametes packaged as spores. Gametogenesis is driven by a specialized cell division called meiosis (Marston and Amon, 2004). After entering meiosis, diploid cells undergo a single round of DNA replication, and then recombination of homologous chromosomes in a long-lasting prophase. Completion of meiotic prophase is followed by two rounds of chromosome segregation as well as development and packaging of meiotic gametes into spores. In the budding yeast S. cerevisiae, meiosis can be induced synchronously, allowing the study of stage-specific regulation of gene expression.

The yeast meiotic program is dynamically regulated by sequential waves of gene expression (Chu et al., 1998; Primig et al., 2000). Two master transcription factors, Ime1 and Ndt80, control gene expression during meiosis (Kassir et al., 1988; Xu et al., 1995). Ime1 regulates the early genes controlling S phase and prophase, whereas Ndt80 induces sets of genes controlling meiotic chromosome segregation and spore formation (Mitchell et al., 1990; Chu and Herskowitz, 1998). These two transcription factors are critical for stage specific gene expression during meiosis. Noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) and alternate mRNA isoforms are expressed throughout yeast meiosis (Brar et al., 2012; Kim Guisbert et al., 2012; Lardenois et al., 2015). In addition, ncRNAs are transcribed from the 3’ end of genes important for meiosis and sporulation (Zhang et al., 2011).
However, only a limited number of these ncRNAs have been assigned a biological function. For example, in cells with a single mating type locus (MATa or MATα) transcription of ncRNAs represses IME1 and IME4, two regulators of entry in meiosis (Hongay et al., 2006; van Werven et al., 2012). Throughout meiosis a subset of genes show stage specific expression of mRNA isoforms with often reduced translational capabilities (Brar et al., 2012). However, it is not well understood how ncRNAs and mRNA isoforms contribute to dynamic control of gene expression during yeast meiosis.

One gene with a dynamic expression pattern during yeast meiosis encodes for the kinetochore subunit Ndc80. Ndc80 is downregulated during meiotic prophase and is rapidly induced during meiotic chromosome segregation (Miller et al., 2012; Meyer et al., 2015). In the presence of a spindle, mis-expression of Ndc80 during meiotic prophase causes aberrant meiotic chromosome segregation (Miller et al., 2012). Thus, the dynamic control of Ndc80 expression is critical for meiotic divisions. How Ndc80 is repressed during the early stages of meiosis remained elusive. In the accompanying paper, we show that the transcription of a 5′ extended NDC80_long undecoded transcript isoform (NDC80\textsuperscript{uni}) is responsible for repressing the coding-competent NDC80 isoform (NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF}) during meiotic S-phase and prophase (Chen et al., 2017). Furthermore, NDC80\textsuperscript{uni} cannot be translated into Ndc80 protein due to translation of the upstream open reading frames in this mRNA isoform. Altogether, these results demonstrate that NDC80\textsuperscript{uni} functions in a regulatory manner, in which its transcription is both necessary and sufficient to downregulate NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} levels during meiotic prophase.

In this work we describe the mechanism by which the 5′ extended NDC80\textsuperscript{uni} mRNA isoform represses NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF}. NDC80\textsuperscript{uni} transcription interferes with the downstream NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter by establishing a repressive chromatin state. This repression requires both Set3 and Set2. NDC80\textsuperscript{uni}, mediated repression can be rapidly reversed to suit the physiological needs of the cell. Furthermore, the repression mechanism described here can be adapted to fine-tune gene expression. Thus, transcription of a 5′ extended mRNA isoform mediates transcriptional interference of the downstream promoter, allowing dynamic control of gene expression.

Results

Transcription of a NDC80 mRNA isoform with an extended 5′ leader represses the canonical NDC80 mRNA

Genome wide transcriptome data indicated that there are at least two different mRNA isoforms expressed from the NDC80 locus (Brar et al., 2012; Kim Guisbert et al., 2012; Lardenois et al., 2015). During mitotic growth, an NDC80 mRNA is produced from its core promoter. However, in early meiosis a longer NDC80 mRNA is transcribed from approximately 500 base pairs (bp) upstream of the NDC80 start codon. To investigate the expression pattern of the NDC80 mRNA isoforms more closely in cells undergoing meiosis, we performed northern blot analyses using a probe that recognizes both the ORF and upstream region of NDC80. Cells were first grown in rich medium overnight and then shifted to pre-sporulation medium. After a second overnight growth, cells were transferred to sporulation medium (SPO) and samples were taken at specific intervals throughout meiosis. In wild-type cells entering meiosis, both NDC80 mRNA isoforms were co-expressed (Figure 1A, left panel [control]). After 3 hr in SPO, the expression of the short mitotic isoform decreased, whereas the levels of the longer NDC80 mRNA isoform increased. At 5 hr the short NDC80 form was induced (Figure 1A, left panel [control]), which corresponds to cells entering meiotic divisions (Chen et al., 2017). To control for changes in RNA levels, the expression of unrelated RNA polymerase II and III genes, CIT1 and SCR1 respectively, was measured (Figure 1—figure supplement 1A and B). We observed that CIT1 levels fluctuated throughout the meiotic time course, while SCR1 expression was more constant (Figure 1A and Figure 1—figure supplement 1A and B).

Hence, the expression levels of NDC80 isoforms were normalized to SCR1 (Figure 1B and Figure 1—figure supplement 1C). We also measured NDC80 expression in a strain in which the IME1 and IME4 genes were fused to a copper inducible promoter (pCUP-IME1 and pCUP-IME4). The induction of pCUP-IME1 and pCUP-IME4 ensures that cells can enter meiosis synchronously (Berchowitz et al., 2013; Chia and van Werven, 2016). Only the short mitotic isoform was clearly detected in cells arrested before entry into meiosis (Figure 1A, right panel [induced], and Figure 1B). Strikingly, soon after IME1 and IME4 were induced at two hours in SPO, expression...
Figure 1. NDC80\textsuperscript{C Champion} transcription represses the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter. (A) Expression pattern of two NDC80 mRNA isoforms during starvation and early meiosis. Diploid control cells (FW4644) or cells harboring the CUP1 promoter fused with IME1 and IME4 (pCUP-IME1/pCUP-IME4) (FW1902) were grown overnight in rich medium, shifted to pre-spore medium, and subsequently transferred to spore medium (SPO). These cells also harbored NDC80 tagged at the carboxy-terminus with three copies of the V5 epitope. After two hours in SPO, IME1 and IME4 were induced with CuSO\textsubscript{4} (50 \textmu M) to set in motion synchronous in pCUP-IME1/pCUP-IME4 cells. Samples were taken at the indicated time points for northern and western blot analyses. To detect the two different NDC80 mRNA isoforms, RNA was extracted, separated by gel electrophoresis, blotted, and hybridized with a probe that spans the NDC80 promoter and the 5' end of the coding region. As a loading control, we also hybridized the blot with a probe specific for SCR1. Ndc80 protein levels were determined by western blot using anti V5 antibodies. As a loading control, we also detected Hxk1 levels with anti-Hxk1 antibodies. A schematic of the NDC80 locus is shown over the northern blot. The single asterisk denotes the transcription start site of the short mitotic NDC80 mRNA isoform. The double asterisk denotes the transcription start site of the distal 5' extended long NDC80 mRNA isoform. The distance in base pairs from the NDC80 start codon is also displayed. (B) Quantification of expression of the short mitotic NDC80 mRNA isoform from the proximal transcription start-site (labelled with one asterisk) up till the 4.5 hr time point. The mean of two independent repeats plus the
Figure 1 continued

standard error of the mean are displayed. The signal was normalized over SCR1. To control for technical variation between experiments and blots, the 0 hr time point was set to one. (C) NDC80<sup>orf</sup> transcription correlates with reduced TFIIB (Sua7) binding at the NDC80<sup>orf</sup> promoter. The two NDC80 isoforms described in A were defined as long undecoded transcript isoform (NDC80<sup>orf</sup>) and Ndc80 coding mRNA isoform (NDC80<sup>orf</sup>), respectively. Cells harboring Sua7 tagged with three copies of V5 (Sua7-V5) and the pCUP-IME1/pCUP-IME4 alleles (FW2957) were induced to undergo meiosis synchronously as described in A. Samples for chromatin immunoprecipitation were taken at two hours (2 hr (premeiotic), no NDC80<sup>orf</sup> transcription) and four hours after transfer to sporulation medium (SPO) (4 hr (S + prophase), NDC80<sup>orf</sup> transcription). Cells were fixed with formaldehyde, chromatin extracts were prepared and Sua7-V5 bound DNA fragments were immunoprecipitated using agarose beads coupled with anti-V5 antibodies. The recovered DNA fragments were quantified by qPCR using ten different primer pairs scanning the NDC80 locus, and were normalized over a primer pair directed against the HMRR locus. The midpoint position of each primer pair is indicated in the x-axis. The mean normalized signal from three independent experiments plus the standard error of the mean for each primer pair is displayed. ‘rel.’ means relative; ‘occup.,’ occupancy. (D) NDC80<sup>orf</sup> transcription is required for inhibiting Sua7 binding in the NDC80<sup>orf</sup> promoter during meiotic prophase. Similar analysis as C except that a mutant strain harboring a deletion upstream in the NDC80 promoter region (nrdc80<sup>600-300</sup>; FW5530) and untagged strains (FW1902 and FW1868) were included in the analysis. A primer pair directed against a NDC80<sup>orf</sup> core promoter was used for the quantification of Sua7 binding at the NDC80<sup>orf</sup> promoter. (E) NDC80<sup>orf</sup> transcription correlates with the establishment of repressive chromatin in the promoter of NDC80<sup>orf</sup>. Chromatin structure at the NDC80 locus was determined by ChiP of histone H3 on micrococcal nuclease (MNase) treated extracts in cells that also harbored pCUP-IME1/pCUP-IME4 (FW1902). Samples were taken at two hours (2 hr (premeiotic), no NDC80<sup>orf</sup> transcription) and four hours after transfer to SPO (4 hr (S + prophase), NDC80<sup>orf</sup> transcription), fixed with formaldehyde, and chromatin extracts were treated with micrococcal nuclease. Extracts that predominantly contained mononucleosomes were used for ChiP assays with histone H3 antibodies (see Materials and methods for details). The recovered DNA fragments were quantified by qPCR using ten different primer pairs directed against the NDC80 locus relative to a no MNase input. The signals from each primer pair were then normalized over a primer pair directed against the PHO5 core promoter. The midpoint position of each primer pair is indicated in the x-axis. The mean signal from three independent experiments plus the standard error of the mean for each primer pair is displayed.
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The following figure supplement is available for figure 1:

**Figure supplement 1.** NDC80<sup>orf</sup> transcription represses the NDC80<sup>orf</sup> promoter.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.27420.003

of the long NDC80 isoform increased and levels of the mitotic NDC80 isoform decreased (Figure 1A, right panel (induced), and Figure 1B and Figure 1—figure supplement 1C). The mitotic NDC80 isoform was repressed throughout meiotic S- and prophase. Mirroring changes in the levels of the mitotic NDC80 transcript, Ndc80 protein levels also decreased during entry into meiosis, meiotic S-phase and prophase (Figure 1A and Figure 1—figure supplement 1D). As expected, expression of the mitotic NDC80 isoform and Ndc80 protein remained relatively constant when meiosis was not induced (Figure 1A, middle panel (uninduced), Figure 1B and Figure 1—figure supplement 1D). We conclude that during meiotic entry, meiotic S phase and prophase, the expression of a longer NDC80 form is induced and the mitotic form of NDC80 is repressed.

Our observation that the expression of the mitotic and longer NDC80 mRNA isoforms are inversely correlated during early meiosis, suggests that there may be a direct effect of the longer NDC80 isoform on mitotic NDC80 repression. The accompanying paper by Chen et al. showed by a series of experiments that expression of the longer NDC80 mRNA isoform is responsible for the decline in mitotic NDC80 levels during early meiosis (Chen et al., 2017). Furthermore, Chen et al. showed that nine short upstream open reading frames in the extended 5’ region of the long isoform inhibit translation of Ndc80 protein from this mRNA isoform (Chen et al., 2017). Thus, the long NDC80 mRNA isoform is translationally inert. Hence, this transcript has been defined as the NDC80<sup>orf</sup> transcript isoform (NDC80<sup>orf</sup>). The short NDC80 protein coding mRNA isoform is called NDC80<sup>orf</sup>. This nomenclature is used thereafter.

**Transcription of NDC80<sup>orf</sup> correlates with reduced binding of TFIIB and repressive chromatin in the NDC80<sup>orf</sup> promoter**

The mechanism by which NDC80<sup>orf</sup> represses the downstream NDC80<sup>orf</sup> promoter might be related to a transcriptional interference mechanism during which intergenic transcription or transcription over promoter regions establishes a repressive chromatin state and prevents transcription factors from binding (Martens et al., 2004; Hainer et al., 2011; van Werven et al., 2012). Similar to transcriptional interference, NDC80<sup>orf</sup>-mediated repression of NDC80<sup>orf</sup> is exclusively cis-dominant (Martens et al., 2004; van Werven et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2017). To further investigate whether the mechanism of NDC80<sup>orf</sup>-mediated gene repression also shares other features of
transcriptional interference, we tested whether NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}} transcription alters the association of transcription factors with the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter. The binding of the basal transcription factor Sua7 (TFIIB), which is homologous to human TFIIB, changed during meiosis across the NDC80 locus (Figure 1C). Before entry into meiosis, Sua7 was bound to the core promoter of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF}. However, after IME1 and IME4 induction (four hours in SPO) when NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}} transcription occurred and cells underwent meiotic S phase, Sua7 binding to the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} core promoter (around −100 bp from AUG) was reduced while binding to the NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}} promoter (around −600 bp from AUG) increased (Figure 1C). It is worth noting, that the signal for Sua7 binding also showed a peak at −800 bp, which may be due to fluctuation in expression of the adjacent PAN6 gene in the divergent direction. Next, we examined Sua7 binding at the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter in a mutant that does not transcribe NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}} (ndc80\textsuperscript{600-300}) (Chen et al., 2017). In the ndc80\textsuperscript{600-300} mutant, no change in Sua7 binding around the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter was observed after induction of IME1 and IME4 (Figure 1D). This result shows that NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}} prevents TFIIB recruitment at the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter during early meiosis.

The reduction in TFIIB recruitment to the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter could be due to the establishment of a repressive chromatin state. For example, transcription of an intergenic ncRNA across the SER3 promoter directs nucleosome assembly in the promoter, which is essential for SER3 repression in budding yeast (Hainer et al., 2011). Therefore, we examined how the chromatin structure in the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter is modified by NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}} transcription. To identify where the nucleosomes stably associate with the NDC80 locus, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) of histone H3 on micrococcal nuclease (MNase) treated chromatin extracts (see material and methods for details) (Figure 1E and Figure 1—figure supplement 1E). In premeiotic cells (labeled ‘2 hr’ in Figure 1E) we detected a relatively low signal around the core promoter of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF}, which is indicative of a nucleosome free region (NFR) and consistent with active transcription. During meiotic prophase (labeled ‘4 hr’ in Figure 1E), when NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}} was transcribed, the signal around the core promoter increased, indicating that nucleosome occupancy was increased. These findings are consistent with the notion that transcription of NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}} inhibits TFIIB recruitment and establishes a repressive chromatin state at the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter.

NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}} transcription promotes Set1-dependent histone H3 lysine 4 dimethylation and Set2-dependent lysine 36 trimethylation in the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter

Co-transcriptional recruitment of chromatin modifying enzymes regulates the chromatin state of genes in the wake of elongating RNA polymerase II. For example, repressive chromatin marks, such as histone three lysine 4 dimethylation (H3K4me2) and lysine 36 trimethylation (H3K36me3) are deposited co-transcriptionally within gene bodies by the Set1 and Set2 methyltransferases (Hampsey and Reinberg, 2003; Kim and Buratowski, 2009). The histone deacetylase complexes Set3C and Rpd3S recognize H3K4me2 and H3K36me3, respectively, and repress cryptic transcription from chromatin carrying these modifications (Carrozza et al., 2005; Keogh et al., 2005; Kim and Buratowski, 2009; Govind et al., 2010). Set1/Set3C and Set2/Rpd3S have also been implicated in transcription-coupled repression of gene promoters (Houseley et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2012; van Werven et al., 2012; Ard and Allshire, 2016a). To investigate whether NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}} mediated repression of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} also requires Set1/Set3C and Set2/Rpd3S, we measured the distribution of H3K4me2 and H3K36me3 marks at the NDC80 locus (Figure 2A and B). We observed almost no enrichment in the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter of either marks in premeiotic cells (labelled ‘2 hr’ in Figure 2A and B), but H3K36me3 and to a lesser extent, H3K4me2 increased at the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter in meiotic prophase cells (labeled ‘4 hr’ in Figure 2A and B). As expected, the enrichment of H3K4me2 and H3K36me3 depended on Set1 and Set2, respectively (Figure 2A and B, set1\textsuperscript{\textit{A}} and set2\textsuperscript{\textit{A}}). In ndc80\textsuperscript{600-300} cells that do not express NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}}, the deposition of the H3K36me3 and H3K4me2 marks in the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter was reduced (Figure 2C and D). Thus NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}} transcription promotes the deposition of repressive H3K4me2 and H3K36me3 marks within the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter.

Next, we examined whether deposition of the H3K36me3 and H3K4me2 marks was dependent on the identity of the promoter that makes NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}} mRNA. We replaced the NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}} promoter with an inducible GAL1-10 promoter, and expressed NDC80\textsuperscript{\textit{luti}} in rich nutrient conditions in cells harboring the Gal4-ER chimeric transcription factor, which responds to β-estradiol. We observed a
Figure 2. Transcription of NDC80\textsuperscript{LUTI} promotes H3K4me2 and H3K36me3 in the promoter and 5' region of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF}.  
(A) NDC80\textsuperscript{LUTI} transcription promotes histone H3 lysine 4 dimethylation (H3K4me2) in the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter. Wild-type (FW1902) and set1\textsuperscript{D} (FW3033) cells harboring the pCUP-IME\textsuperscript{1}/pCUP-IME\textsuperscript{4} were induced to undergo meiosis synchronously (see Materials and methods for details). Samples for chromatin immunoprecipitation were taken at two hours (2 hr (premeiotic), no NDC80\textsuperscript{LUTI} transcription) and four hours in sporulation medium (SPO) (4 hr (S + prophase), NDC80\textsuperscript{LUTI} transcription). Cells were fixed with formaldehyde, chromatin extracts were prepared and H3K4me2 or H3 enriched fragments were immunoprecipitated using magnetic Prot A beads coupled with anti-H3K4me2 or anti-H3 antibodies, respectively. The recovered DNA fragments were quantified by qPCR using ten different primer pairs scanning the NDC80 locus. The midpoint position of each primer pair is indicated in the x-axis. The mean enrichment from three independent experiments plus the standard error of the mean for each primer pair is displayed. The H3K4me2 signal was normalized over histone H3.  
(B) Similar to A, except that histone H3 lysine 36 trimethylation (H3K36me3) abundance was determined by ChIP. Wild-type (FW1902) and set2\textsuperscript{D} (FW1472) cells harboring the pCUP-IME\textsuperscript{1}/pCUP-IME\textsuperscript{4} alleles were used for the analysis.  
(C) Similar to A except that the ChIP for H3K4me2 was performed in control cells (FW1902) and cells harboring a deletion upstream in the NDC80 promoter region (ndc80\textsuperscript{600-300}, FW1868). For the analyses we used primer pairs directed against the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter (A), and the 5' region of the NDC80 gene (B). The mean fold enrichment from three independent experiments plus the standard error of the mean for each primer pair are displayed. The signals were normalized to the levels of H3.  
(D) Similar to C except that H3K36me3 levels were determined by ChIP.  
(E) Transcription of NDC80\textsuperscript{LUTI} during mitotic growth leads to increased H3K4me2 levels in the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter. Control cells (UB91) and cells harboring NDC80\textsuperscript{LUTI} driven by the GAL\textsuperscript{1}-10 promoter (pGAL-NDC80\textsuperscript{LUTI})
moderate increase of H3K4me2 in cells that harbored NDC80\textsubscript{luti} compared to control cells, which was independent of NDC80\textsubscript{luti} transcription (Figure 2E). One explanation is that the GAL1-10 promoter is leaky and can increase H3K4me2 levels without induction with β-estradiol. In contrast to H3K4me2, H3K36me3 levels were strongly enriched in the NDC80\textsubscript{ORF} promoter when NDC80\textsubscript{luti} was induced (Figure 2F). Control cells harboring the wild-type NDC80\textsubscript{luti} promoter did not show increased H3K36me3 levels. We conclude that the deposition of H3K36me3, but not H3K4me2, is independent of the identity of the promoter that directs NDC80\textsubscript{luti} transcription. Taken together, deposition of repressive chromatin marks in the NDC80\textsubscript{ORF} promoter requires NDC80\textsubscript{luti} transcription.

**Set2 and Set3 contribute to NDC80\textsubscript{luti} mediated repression of NDC80\textsubscript{ORF}**

Because H3K36me3 and H3K4me2 marks localize to the NDC80\textsubscript{ORF} promoter when NDC80\textsubscript{luti} is transcribed, we examined whether Set1/Set3C and Set2/Rpd3S contribute to NDC80\textsubscript{ORF} repression. Since Set1 also plays an important role in meiotic recombination, we deleted SET3 to test how the Set1/Set3C pathway regulates the NDC80 locus (Borde et al., 2009; Acquaviva et al., 2013; Sommermeyer et al., 2013). In the set2\textDelta set3\Delta double mutant, but not the single mutants, both NDC80\textsubscript{luti} and NDC80\textsubscript{ORF} transcripts were detected throughout multiple time points in early meiosis, and the steady-state level of Ndc80 protein remained high (Figure 3A and Figure 3—figure supplement 1A, compare the time points from two to five hours between control and mutant cells). The set2\textDelta set3\Delta double mutant cells entered and underwent meiosis with delayed kinetics (Figure 3—figure supplement 1B). Thus, it is possible that a population of cells never entered meiosis and continued to express the mitotic NDC80\textsubscript{ORF} mRNA isoform. We improved the kinetics of meiosis by adopting a different meiotic synchronization protocol (Figure 3B). Instead of growing cells in pre-sporulation medium, we shifted them directly to sporulation medium after they reached saturation in nutrient rich conditions. We then induced IME1 and IME4. This synchronization procedure reduced the delay in meiotic divisions (compare Figure 3—figure supplement 1B and C). In addition, meiotic S phase was completed in more than 75 percent of cells after 6 hr, indicating that the majority of cells had entered meiosis (Figure 3C). Importantly, NDC80\textsubscript{luti} mediated repression was still compromised in set2\textDelta set3\Delta double mutant cells despite improved synchrony of meiosis (Figure 3D and Figure 3—figure supplement 1D, compare the time points from three-to six hours for the mutant cells). Further analyses of selective time-points (3.5 and 4.5 hr) confirmed that there were significant differences in NDC80\textsubscript{ORF} levels between the control and the set2\textDelta set3\Delta double mutant, but not the single mutants (Figure 3E).

Previous work showed that the set2\textDelta mutant exhibits increased nucleosome dynamics leading to de-repression of cryptic promoters (Venkatesh et al., 2012). In addition, the set3\Delta mutant displays reduced histone H3 density in the 5’ region of transcribed genes (Kim and Buratowski, 2009). Set2 and Set3 are also required for transcription coupled chromatin changes in the IME1 promoter by the long noncoding RNA IRT1 (van Werven et al., 2012). These findings prompted us to examine whether Set2 and Set3 are necessary for NDC80\textsubscript{luti} mediated nucleosome assembly in the NDC80\textsubscript{ORF} promoter. We found that even though NDC80\textsubscript{luti} was efficiently transcribed in set2\textDelta set3\Delta cells during early meiosis (Figure 3E, Figure 3—figure supplement 1D, right panel, and Figure 1E), repressive chromatin was not established at the NDC80\textsubscript{ORF} promoter (Figure 3F and Figure 3—figure supplement 1F).

We also tested whether Set2 and Set3 are required for repression of NDC80\textsubscript{ORF} when NDC80\textsubscript{luti} is expressed ectopically during vegetative growth. Although NDC80\textsubscript{luti} transcription was lethal in wild-type control cells, there was a partial growth rescue in either set2\textDelta or set3\Delta cells. Importantly,
Figure 3. Set2 and Set3 mediate NDC80<sup>luti</sup> induced gene repression of NDC80<sup>ORF</sup>. (A) Set2 and Set3 are required for repression of NDC80<sup>ORF</sup> expression during early meiosis. Control (FW1902), set2Δ (FW2929), set3Δ (FW2928) and set2Δset3Δ (FW1922) cells harboring pCUP-IME1/pCUP-IME4 and NDC80-V5 were grown in rich medium, transferred to pre-sporulation medium, and then shifted to SPO medium. After 2 hr, IME1 and IME4 expression were induced, and samples for northern and western blot analyses were taken at the indicated time points. Northern blot membranes were prepared and hybridized with a probe that detects both NDC80<sup>luti</sup> and NDC80<sup>ORF</sup> transcripts. As a loading control, membranes were also hybridized with SCR1. Ndc80 protein was detected with anti-V5 antibodies and Hxk1 levels were determined with anti-hexokinase antibodies. (B) Scheme of the synchronous meiosis protocol in which cells were shifted directly from rich medium to SPO medium. Cells were grown in rich medium (YPD) to OD<sub>600</sub> of 1–2, shifted to reduced glucose medium (YPD, 1% glucose) grown overnight to saturation, and then transferred to SPO. After 2 hr, IME1 and IME4 were induced. (C) Flow cytometry analysis of DNA content in control (FW1902) and set2Δset3Δ (FW1922) strains. Synchronous meiosis was induced as Figure 3 continued on next page.
described in B. Samples were taken at the indicated time points after transfer to SPO and were stained with propidium iodide. (D) Similar to A except that meiosis was induced as described in B. (E) Strains described in A were grown to undergo a synchronous meiosis as described in B, and selective time points were taken for northern blot analysis of NDC80 ORF and NDC80orf transcripts on the same membrane. As a loading control, the northern membranes were hybridized with SCR1. The NDC80orf levels were quantified (right panel) and data from three independent experiments plus the standard error of the mean (SEM) is displayed. One-tailed, unpaired t-tests were conducted to test if the differences in NDC80orf levels were statistically significant. A single asterisk * denotes p-value<0.05. A double asterisk ** denotes p-value<0.01. ‘n.s.’ means ‘not significant’. To control for technical variation between different northern blots, the same membrane was hybridized with the ubiquitin (UB1217) or β-actin (UB1218) mRNA, which were taken at the indicated time points. Ndc80 protein levels were determined by western blot using anti-V5 antibodies. Hxk1 levels were detected by northern blot analysis of the HXK1 locus relative to a no MNase input. The signals from each primer pair were then normalized over a primer pair directed against the PHOS core promoter. The midpoint position of each primer pair is indicated in the x-axis. The mean signal from three independent experiments plus the standard error of the mean for each primer pair is displayed. (G) Ectopic expression of NDC80 ORF is lethal in mitosis, but is rescued in a set2δ set3Δ mutant. Spot assays of control cells, which harbor a wild-type NDC80 locus, with SET2 SET3 (FW1902), set2δ (UB1922), and set2δ set3Δ (UB1922) cells as described in A. Samples were taken prior to IME1/IME4 induction at 2 hr in SPO (2 hr, premeiotic) and after induction at 4 hr in SPO (4 hr, S + prophase), fixed with formaldehyde, and mononucleosome fragments were isolated. The recovered DNA fragments were quantified by qPCR using ten different primer pairs directed against the NDC80 locus relative to a no MNase input. The signals from each primer pair were then normalized over a primer pair directed against the PHOS core promoter. The midpoint position of each primer pair is indicated in the x-axis. The mean signal from three independent experiments plus the standard error of the mean for each primer pair is displayed. (H) The accompanying paper showed that Ime1 is the major transcriptional activator of NDC80 ORF promoter. Cells were grown overnight on YP-glycerol plates, diluted in sterile water, and spotted on YP + raffinose + galactose (YP-RG) plates in the absence or presence of 2 μM 17β-estradiol (E2). Cells carrying the pGAL-NDC80-estradiol ORF (UB3545), set2Δ (UB3547), and set2Δ set3Δ (UB3549); as well as cells expressing NDC80 ORF from the heterologous GAL promoter (pGAL-NDC80 ORF) with SET2 SET3 (UB1218), set2Δ (UB1236), set3Δ (UB1237), and set2Δ set3Δ (UB1235). These cells also expressed the Gal4 fused to estrogen receptor (Gal4-ER), which translocates to the nucleus in the presence of β-estradiol to activate the GAL1-10 promoter. Cells were grown overnight on YP-glycerol plates, diluted in sterile water, and spotted on YP + raffinose + galactose (YP-RG) plates in the absence or presence of β-estradiol. (I) Ectopic expression of NDC80 ORF fails to downregulate Ndc80 in the set2Δ set3Δ mutant. Cells expressing NDC80 ORF from the GAL promoter with SET2 SET3 (UB1217) or set2Δ set3Δ (UB8114) were grown to exponential phase in YP-RG, and they were induced to express NDC80 ORF with β-estradiol. Samples were taken at the indicated time points. Ndc80 protein levels were determined by western blot using anti-V5 antibodies. Hxk1 levels were detected with anti-hexokinase antibodies. Ndc80 and Hxk1 were quantified and the relative expression (Ndc80/Hxk1) with respect to the 0 hr time point is displayed.
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The following figure supplement is available for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1. The Set2 and the Set3 mediate NDC80 ORF induced gene repression of NDC80 ORF.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.27420.006

growth was restored almost completely in set2Δ set3Δ cells (Figure 3G), due to de-repression of Ndc80 protein expression despite elevated transcription of the NDC80 ORF mRNA (Figure 3H, Figure 3—figure supplement 1G–I). Altogether, these results show that both Set2 and Set3 are necessary for efficient repression of the NDC80 ORF promoter by NDC80 ORF transcription.

Gene repression by NDC80 ORF is reversible

Ndc80 is an essential kinetochore protein required for chromosome segregation in both mitosis and meiosis (Ciferri et al., 2007). Thus, Ndc80 levels must be restored when cells either transit from prophase to meiotic divisions or when cells re-enter the mitotic cycle before meiotic commitment. This transition necessitates that the repression by NDC80 ORF transcription be rapidly reversible so that cells can resume cell divisions in a timely manner. Indeed, NDC80 ORF levels swiftly increase prior to meiotic divisions to facilitate chromosome segregation (Chen et al., 2017). To examine how gene repression by NDC80 ORF transcription can adapt to changes in cell fate, we measured NDC80 ORF and NDC80 ORF expression kinetics in meiotic prophase cells that re-entered the mitotic cell cycle (Figure 4A). Cells carrying the ndt80Δ mutation were arrested in meiotic prophase, and returned to growth by providing them with rich medium. Strikingly, almost no NDC80 ORF mRNA could be detected 15 min after return to growth (Figure 4C and Figure 4—figure supplement 1A). Concomitantly, NDC80 ORF was almost fully re-expressed at the same time and Ndc80 protein levels increased after 30 min (Figure 4B–D). Therefore, NDC80 ORF mediated repression of NDC80 ORF is rapidly reversible, allowing for adaptation to physiological needs and re-entry into the mitotic cell cycle.

One explanation for the swift shut down of NDC80 ORF transcription is that its transcriptional activator is degraded or depleted. The accompanying paper showed that Ime1 is the major transcriptional activator of NDC80 ORF transcription (Chen et al., 2017). Under nutrient rich conditions, IME1 transcription shuts down and Ime1 protein translocates to the cytoplasm (Colomina et al., 2003; van Werven and Amon, 2011). In addition, we found that Ime1 has a half-life of only a few minutes,
Figure 4. NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} mediated repression is reversible. (A) Schematic overview of the experimental set-up. (B) Cells repress NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} and induce NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} when returned to a nutrient rich environment (YPD). Cells harboring ndt80\textsuperscript{Δ} and pCUP-IME1/pCUP-IME4 (FW3856) were grown in rich medium, shifted and grown in pre-sporulation medium, and subsequently transferred to SPO. Samples were taken prior to induction of IME1 and IME4 at 0 hr.
and 2 hr (premeiotic [pre]), and after induction at 4 hr (S-phase) and 6 hr (prophase) in SPO. After 6 hr, cells were either transferred to rich medium or kept in SPO medium. Samples for RNA and protein were taken at the indicated time points. To detect NDC80<sup>luti</sup> and NDC80<sup>ORF</sup> expression, RNA was extracted, separated by gel electrophoresis, blotted, and hybridized with a probe that spans the NDC80 promoter and coding region. As a loading control for northern blots, we also probed membranes for SCR1. Ndc80 protein levels were determined by western blot using anti-V5 antibodies. As a loading control we also detected Hxk1 levels with anti-Hxk1 antibodies. (C) Quantification of NDC80<sup>ORF</sup> and NDC80<sup>luti</sup> levels as described in B. The signals were normalized over SCR1. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean from two independent experiments. To control for technical variation between experiments the 0 hr and 4 hr time points were set to one for NDC80<sup>ORF</sup> and NDC80<sup>luti</sup>, respectively. (D) Quantification of Ndc80 protein levels during return to rich medium as described in B. The Ndc80 protein levels were normalized to Hxk1 protein abundance. The relative levels with respect to the 6 hr time point are displayed. The mean from two independent experiments plus the standard error of the mean is displayed. (E) H3K36me3 is rapidly lost from the NDC80<sup>ORF</sup> promoter and 5′ region after return to growth. Growth conditions were similar to B, except that histone H3 lysine 36 trimethylation (H3K36me3) levels were quantified at the NDC80<sup>ORF</sup> promoter during return to growth in a nutrient rich environment. Samples for chromatin immunoprecipitation were taken at the indicated time points. Cells were fixed with formaldehyde, chromatin extracts were prepared and H3K36me3 and histone H3 enriched fragments were immunoprecipitated with anti-H3K36me3 or anti-H3 antibodies, respectively. The recovered DNA fragments were quantified by qPCR using a primer directed against the NDC80<sup>ORF</sup> promoter (primer A) and a primer directed against the 5′ region of the NDC80 gene (primer B). The mean enrichment from three independent experiments plus the standard error of the mean for each primer pair is displayed. We also analyzed the signal at the 3′ end of the ACT1 open reading frame. The H3K36me3 signals were normalized to the histone H3 signal.
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The following figure supplement is available for figure 4:

**Figure supplement 1.** NDC80<sup>luti</sup> mediated repression is reversible.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.27420.007

facilitating rapid clearance of Ime1 protein during return-to-growth (**Figure 4—figure supplement 1B**). We propose that during return-to-growth, Ime1 is rapidly inactivated, resulting in a quick decrease in NDC80<sup>luti</sup> transcription.

We hypothesized that the dynamic changes of NDC80<sup>luti</sup> mediated repression during return to growth would be reflected in the chromatin state of the NDC80<sup>ORF</sup> promoter. We found that H3K36me3 at the 5′ end of NDC80<sup>ORF</sup> was strongly reduced within 15 min and almost completely lost within 30 min after cells returned to a nutrient rich environment (**Figure 4E**). The loss was specific to the NDC80 locus because the levels at the 3′ end of the ACT1 gene increased slightly while bulk H3K36me3 levels did not change (**Figure 4E** and **Figure 4—figure supplement 1C**). Thus NDC80<sup>luti</sup>-mediated gene repression is reversible, allowing for rapid and dynamic changes in gene expression and chromatin state.

**Gene repression by NDC80<sup>luti</sup> transcription is tunable**

Work from *Escherichia coli* showed that gene regulation by transcriptional interference is not binary with an on or off state, but can be utilized to fine-tune gene expression levels (*Bordoy et al., 2016; Hao et al., 2016*). The work prompted us to investigate whether transcriptional interference by NDC80<sup>luti</sup> could also be tunable, thus enabling incremental changes in NDC80<sup>ORF</sup> expression levels. To scale the level of NDC80<sup>luti</sup> expression, we used a tightly controlled, inducible system. The system utilizes a heterologous, chimeric transcriptional activator (LexA-ER-AD) whose activity is induced in a concentration-dependent manner by β-estradiol (*Ottoz et al., 2014*). Varying the number of LexA-binding sites (lexO) in the NDC80<sup>ORF</sup> promoter and titrating the concentration of β-estradiol, enabled scalable transcriptional induction of NDC80<sup>luti</sup> (**Figure 5—figure supplement 1A**). The growth defect caused by NDC80<sup>luti</sup> expression in mitosis was more severe with elevated concentrations of β-estradiol and higher number of lexO sites in the NDC80<sup>luti</sup> promoter (**Figure 5A**). The higher the luti transcription, the greater the inhibition of NDC80<sup>ORF</sup> expression. Thus, modulating NDC80<sup>luti</sup> transcription levels allows scalable transcriptional repression of NDC80<sup>ORF</sup> in a population of cells.
During transcription nucleosomes are disassembled and reassembled by histone chaperones that associate with RNA polymerase (Venkatesh and Workman, 2015). Therefore, higher levels of NDC80\textsuperscript{isv} transcription could lead to an increased rate of nucleosome deposition in the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter and thus scalable NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} repression. If so, then sufficiently high levels of NDC80\textsuperscript{isv} transcription should be sufficient for repressing NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} without requiring Set1/Set3C and Set2/Rpd3S to maintain repressive chromatin. Cells with both pathways compromised (set2Δset3Δ) and harboring three or eight lexO sites did not show a growth defect when exposed to intermediate levels of β-estradiol (15 nM), whereas control cells did (Figure 5B). This result was expected because in the set2Δset3Δ mutant background NDC80\textsuperscript{isv} mediated repression is impaired (also see Figure 3). Surprisingly at higher concentrations of β-estradiol (25 nM), set2Δset3Δ mutant cells harboring three lexO sites exhibited a moderate growth defect while cells with eight lexO sites exhibited a severe growth defect. We also measured the Ndc80 protein levels in control and set2Δset3Δ mutant cells harboring 0 or 8 copies of lexO sites. The growth defects observed in Figure 5B were reflected in the Ndc80 protein levels (Figure 5—figure supplement 1B and C). These data suggest that high levels of NDC80\textsuperscript{isv} transcription could bypass the requirement for Set2 and Set3 in NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} repression.

Since increased expression of NDC80\textsuperscript{isv} leads to stronger repression of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF}, we tested whether the strength of the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter influenced the effectiveness of NDC80\textsuperscript{isv} mediated repression. To examine this, we increased the levels of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} by ectopically expressing the
meiotic transcription factor Ndt80 in mitotic cells. Ndt80 induces the expression of NDC80**orf** via the middle sporulation element (MSE) in the NDC80**orf** promoter (Chen et al., 2017). In the presence of Ndt80 expression, the growth defect caused by NDC80**luti** transcription was suppressed (Figure 5C). This suppression is dependent on the presence of the MSE site in the NDC80**orf** promoter (Figure 5C, compare MSE positive versus negative in the presence of pGAL-NDT80 and pGAL-NDC80**luti**). In addition, the growth changes as observed in the spot assays correlated well with Ndc80 protein levels (Figure 5-figure supplement 1D and E). Thus, increased transcription from the NDC80**orf** promoter can bypass NDC80**luti** mediated repression. Taken together, transcriptional interference by expression of a 5′ extended transcript can be tuned by adjusting the relative strengths of the distal and proximal promoters. Hence, this mechanism can be adapted as a regulatory module to generate a range of gene expression outputs.

**Discussion**

Eukaryotic cells have evolved various mechanisms to achieve dynamic control of gene expression during cell fate progression. Here we demonstrate how Ndc80 levels are temporally regulated during the budding yeast meiotic program. Together with an accompanying paper, we show that NDC80 transcription is repressed by an unusual mechanism during the prophase stage of meiosis (Chen et al., 2017). Transcription of a 5′ extended mRNA isoform interferes with transcription of the downstream canonical NDC80 mRNA isoform. The mechanism of gene repression described here is tunable, rapidly reversible, and an effective way to generate changes in gene expression.

**The transcriptional mechanism of NDC80 repression**

Repression of Ndc80 protein production during meiotic prophase is critical for setting up faithful chromosome segregation during meiosis (Miller et al., 2012). Two NDC80 mRNA isoforms were identified: the Ndc80 coding isoform (NDC80**orf**), and the 5′ extended long undecoded transcript isoform (NDC80**luti**), which cannot be translated into Ndc80 protein (Chen et al., 2017). We showed that NDC80**luti** transcription interferes with the downstream NDC80**orf** promoter. First, the expression of NDC80**luti** and NDC80**orf** are anti-correlated in cells undergoing meiosis synchronously. Second, mutants defective in NDC80**luti** transcription cannot repress NDC80**orf** (Chen et al., 2017). In particular transcription of truncated NDC80**luti** fails to repress NDC80**orf**, thus excluding promoter competition as a mechanism for repression. Third, transcription of NDC80**luti** inhibits TFIIB binding to the NDC80**orf** promoter (Figure 1). Fourth, repression by NDC80**luti** only works in cis and NDC80**luti** RNA does not localize to a discrete region in the nucleus, making it unlikely that the NDC80**luti** RNA itself is important to the repression mechanism (Chen et al., 2017). However, we cannot rule out that the nascent NDC80**luti** RNA contributes to repression of NDC80**orf** expression.

How does NDC80**luti** interfere with NDC80**orf** transcription? Our data show that Set2 and Set3 is essential for establishing a repressive chromatin state and for inhibiting NDC80**orf** transcription. We propose a two-step mechanism (Figure 6). First, transcription of NDC80**luti** deposits Set1 mediated H3K4me2 and Set2 mediated H3K36me3 in the NDC80**orf** promoter. Second, co-transcriptional deposition of these marks facilitates the recruitment of the histone deacetylase complexes Set3C and Rpd3S (Carrozza et al., 2005; Keogh et al., 2005; Kim and Buratowski, 2009). Notably, the two marks localize to the same area of the NDC80**orf** promoter, perhaps indicating that there is redundancy between the two pathways (see following section in the discussion). Previous work established a role for Set2 in suppressing histone exchange and promoting nucleosome stability through chromatin remodelers (Venkatesh et al., 2012; Smolle et al., 2012). Hypo-acetylated histones are also associated with increased nucleosome stability (Venkatesh and Workman, 2015). Hence, cells lacking both Set2 and Set3 show reduced nucleosome occupancy in the NDC80**orf** promoter, and NDC80**orf** transcription is unimpeded despite active NDC80**luti** transcription (Figure 3). Taken together, NDC80**luti** repression of NDC80**orf** is mediated by co-transcriptional chromatin reorganization of the NDC80**orf** promoter.

The Set1/Set3C and Set2/Rpd3S pathways have well characterized roles in preventing cryptic transcription and regulating gene expression via long noncoding RNA transcription (Carrozza et al., 2005; Keogh et al., 2005; Kim and Buratowski, 2009; Kim et al., 2012; van Werven et al., 2012; Ard and Allshire, 2016b; Venkatesh et al., 2016). It has been reported that Set2 and Set3 modulate the expression of different genes based on the length of adjacent ncRNAs, which overlap with
their promoters. \cite{Kim2012, Kim2016}. Kim et al. demonstrate that during a series of carbon source shifts, genes whose promoters overlap with longer transcripts (~2.0 kb) are repressed by Set2/Rpd3S whereas those with shorter overlapping transcripts (~0.9 kb), are repressed by Set1/ Set3C \cite{Kim2016}. According to their classification, NDC80\textsuperscript{lut1} is a short overlapping transcript. Strikingly, NDC80\textsuperscript{lut1} mediated repression of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} was compromised in the set2\textDelta set3\textDelta double mutant cells, but not in the single mutants. (Figure 3). We propose that Set1/ Set3C and Set2/Rpd3S act redundantly during NDC80\textsuperscript{lut1} mediated repression of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF}.

The mechanism that we have described here has clear similarities with transcriptional interference mediated by intergenic or promoter transcription. Like NDC80\textsuperscript{lut1}, transcription of the intergenic/promoter ncRNA, SRG1, leads to increased nucleosome occupancy and lower binding of activators in

![Figure 6. Model for NDC80\textsuperscript{lut1} mediated repression of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF}. During mitosis the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter has an open chromatin structure and NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} is transcribed. During meiotic S-phase and meiotic prophase, transcription of NDC80\textsuperscript{lut1} deposits Set1-dependent H3K4me2 and Set2-dependent H3K36me3 in the same area of the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter. Co-transcriptional deposition of these marks facilitates the recruitment of the histone deacetylase complexes Set3C and Rpd3S. This leads to increased nucleosome occupancy in the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter and NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} transcription is repressed. The set of reversing arrows indicates that NDC80\textsuperscript{lut1} mediated repression of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} is reversible. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.27420.011](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.27420.011)
the downstream SER3 promoter (Martens et al., 2004; Hainer et al., 2011). Transcriptional interference is also important for mating-type control of sporulation. In cells with a single mating type, transcription of a long noncoding RNA IRT1, in the promoter of the master regulatory transcription factor Ime1, is critical for IME1 repression (van Werven et al., 2012). Similar to NDC80\textsuperscript{utl}, IRT1 transcription establishes repressive chromatin throughout the IME1 promoter via a mechanism requiring both Set2 and Set3. Interestingly, while Set1 and Set2 act on different parts of the IME1 promoter, the H3K4me2 and H3K36me3 marks overlap in the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter suggesting that both modifications control the same promoter region. Perhaps, H3K4me2 and H3K36me3 occur on the same nucleosome as part of a repressive combinatorial histone code.

**NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} mediated repression of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} is dynamic**

Our synchronous meiosis and return to growth experiments have shed light on the dynamics of NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} mediated repression of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF}. During meiotic prophase Ndc80 levels decline, but as soon as cells enter meiotic divisions, Ndc80 levels increase. Chen et al. showed that the transcription factor Ndt80 activates the meiotic wave of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} expression (Chen et al., 2017). Expression of Ndt80 can bypass NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} induced repression suggesting that repression is not refractory to increasing levels of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} transcription (Figure 5). This ensures that NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} can be rapidly produced after meiotic prophase, when Ndc80 becomes essential for proper meiotic divisions. Interestingly, the MRE site (approximately –200 bp upstream from the AUG) in the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter is not protected by nucleosomes even during NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} transcription (Figure 1E), which may explain the ability of Ndt80 to activate NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} even while NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} is expressed.

The dynamic nature of the regulation is also illustrated by our finding that NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} mediated repression can be rapidly reversed (Figure 4). We propose that the reversibility of the repression may provide two main benefits. First, it allows for temporal control of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} expression during meiosis as NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} mediated repression of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} specifically occurs during meiotic S-phase and meiotic prophase. Cells that progressed into meiotic divisions were able to bypass NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} mediated repression and re-expressed NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} (Chen et al., 2017). Second, rapid adjustments to NDC80 expression in response to changing environmental cues can be directly integrated at the NDC80 promoter. When we exposed cells arrested in meiotic prophase to a nutrient rich environment and allowed cells to re-enter the mitotic cell cycle, NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} expression was lost and NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} was rapidly induced (Figure 4). The mechanism for the reversibility of NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} mediated repression is not fully understood. We speculate that transcriptional activators and chromatin remodelers stimulate nucleosome eviction in the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter during its activation. In line with this idea, levels of the repressive H3K36me3 in the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter are rapidly lost when the repression is reversed (Figure 4E). This is despite the purported stability of the H3K36me3 mark due to its role in reducing histone turnover (Smolle et al., 2012; Venkatesh et al., 2012; Sein et al., 2015). More work is needed to fully characterize the molecular mechanism of reversing NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} mediated repression.

In addition to its reversibility, NDC80\textsuperscript{utl}-mediated repression can be adapted to fine-tune gene expression. Using a scalable expression system, we showed that modulating the levels of NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} affects the efficiency of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} repression (Figure 5). The higher the levels of NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} transcription, the better the repression of NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} becomes. Notably, Set2 and Set3 are no longer required for repressing NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} when NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} is highly expressed. One possible explanation is that the rate of nucleosome deposition at the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter is increased during higher levels of NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} transcription. In this situation, the requirement for histone deacetylase complexes to stabilize nucleosomes becomes obsolete. Alternatively, elongating RNA polymerase might physically interfere with the NDC80\textsuperscript{ORF} promoter when NDC80\textsuperscript{utl} is highly expressed.

Whereas most studies have reported a binary switch for transcription interference mechanisms (Martens et al., 2004; Hongay et al., 2006; Camblong et al., 2007; Bumgarner et al., 2009; van Werven et al., 2012), we propose that transcriptional interference by expression of a 5′ extended transcript is tunable. This principle could be further adapted and used in synthetic genetic circuits to modulate gene expression levels. Indeed, mechanisms of transcriptional interference have been applied to coordinate activities of adjacent genes in both *E. coli* and budding yeast (Buetti-Dinh et al., 2009; Bordoy et al., 2016; Hao et al., 2016; Hoffmann et al., 2016).
Concluding remarks

Transcriptional interference by 5’ extended isoforms might be widespread in yeast and across species. Transcript isoform sequencing in yeast revealed that the 5’ and 3’ ends of mRNAs are extremely heterogeneous (Pelechano et al., 2013). During budding yeast meiosis, more than 190 genes express an extended 5’ leader sequence (Brar et al., 2012). Some examples have been further confirmed and show a clear inhibitory effect on expression from the downstream promoter (Liu et al., 2015; Xie et al., 2016). However, how the expression of different mRNA isoforms regulate gene expression remains unexplored at the genome-wide level. In higher eukaryotes including human cells, a wide range of 5’ extended mRNA isoforms are also expressed often in a cell type-specific manner (Wang et al., 2008; Aanes et al., 2013; Brown et al., 2014). Understanding the principles underlying gene regulation by 5’ extended mRNA isoforms during yeast meiosis will deepen our understanding of how complex differentiation programs in higher eukaryotes are regulated.

Materials and methods

Yeast strains

Yeast strains used in this paper were derived from the sporulation proficient SK1 strain background, except for the strains that harbored the LexA/lexO system for which the W303 strain background was used. The genotypes are listed in Supplementary file 1. Gene or promoter deletions were generated using the one-step deletion protocol as described previously (Longtine et al., 1998). Tagging Sua7 with three copies of V5 epitope at C-terminus was performed using a one-step integration protocol using a V5-tagging cassette. The LexA/lexO system was described previously (Ottoz et al., 2014).

Growth and conditions

The synchronous meiosis procedure using pCUP-IME1 and pCUP-IME4 was described, previously (Benchowitz et al., 2013; Chia and van Werven, 2016). In short, cells were grown to saturation overnight in YPD (1.0% (w/v) yeast extract, 2.0% (w/v) peptone, 2.0% (w/v) glucose, and supplemented with tryptophan (9.6 mg/l), uracil (2.4 mg/l) and adenine (1.2 mg/l)). These cells were then shifted to pre-sporulation medium (BYTA) (1.0% (w/v) yeast extract, 2.0% (w/v) tryptone, 1.0% (w/v) potassium acetate, 50 mM potassium phthalate) where they were grown for 16–18 hr. Subsequently, cells were centrifuged, washed with sterile milliQ water, centrifuged again and suspended in sporulation medium (SPO) (0.3% (w/v) potassium acetate and 0.02% (w/v) raffinose) at OD$_{600}$ = 2.5. After two hours, copper (II) sulphate (50 mM) was added to induce IME1 and IME4 expression from the CUP1 promoter and initiate meiosis synchronously. For the synchronous experiments described in Figure 3B–3E, we shifted cells directly from saturated YPD culture to SPO medium, and induced pCUP-IME1/pCUP-IME4 after two hours in SPO (Chia and van Werven, 2016).

For meiotic time-courses not synchronized by pCUP-IME1/pCUP-IME4 (Figure 1A, left panel), cells were grown and treated as described previously (Falk et al., 2010). In short, cells were grown to saturation overnight in YPD. These cells were then shifted to BYTA where they were grown for another 16–18 hr. Subsequently, cells were washed with sterile milliQ water, and transferred to SPO medium (0.3% (w/v) potassium acetate and 0.02% (w/v) raffinose)).

For the return to growth experiments, ndt80Δ cells were left for six hours in SPO to arrest them in meiotic prophase. Cells were then transferred to an equivalent volume of pre-warmed YPD. All experiments were performed at 30°C in a shaker incubator at 300 rpm.

For Figure 2E–F, cells were grown for 6–8 hr in YPD at 30°C, diluted to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.002, transferred to YP (1.0% (w/v) yeast extract and 2.0% (w/v) peptone)+2% raffinose+2% galactose (YP-RG) and grown for another 16–18 hr. The cells were then shifted to YPD where they were grown for another 2.5–3 hr, diluted back to OD 0.2 and induced to express NDC80 by the addition of 1 μM β-estradiol. 25–30 OD$_{600}$ units of cells were collected for ChIP analyses at 0 hr and at 3 hr after induction.

For the time courses in Figure 3H, Figure 3—figure supplement 1H and I, cells were grown for 6–8 hr in YPD at 30°C, diluted to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.002, transferred to YP-RG and grown for another 16–18 hr. Cells were diluted to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.2 in YP-RG and NDC80 expression was induced by the addition of 1 μM β-estradiol. Samples were taken at 0, 3, 4.5, and 6 hr after β-estradiol addition.
For Figure 4—figure supplement 1B, cells were grown to saturation overnight in YPD. These cells were then shifted to BYTA, in which they were grown for another 16–18 hr. Subsequently, cells were washed with sterile milliQ water, and transferred to SPO medium. After two hours in SPO, copper (II) sulphate (50 μM) was added to induce IME1 and IME4 from the CUP1 promoter. At four hours in SPO, cells were either treated with ethanol or cycloheximide (0.2 mg/ml). Samples for western blotting were taken at 15, 30, 45, 60 and 90 min after adding ethanol or cycloheximide.

For Figure 5—figure supplement 1A, cells were grown for 16–18 hr in YPD at 30˚C and then diluted to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.2. Subsequently, the cells were grown for 2.5–3 hr to reach exponential phase. The cells were diluted again to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.2 and induced to express NDC80lute with either 10 or 20 nM of β-estradiol. Cells were collected for qPCR analysis at 0 hr and at 3 hr after induction.

For Figure 5—figure supplement 1B and C, cells were grown in YPD at 30 ˚C overnight to saturation, diluted to OD$_{600}$ of 0.1, and then grown to OD$_{600}$ of 0.3–0.5 at 30˚C. Three OD$_{600}$ units of cells were taken as the pre-induction samples. Cells were then diluted to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.1 in YPD and split into three flasks. Subsequently, β-estradiol was added to the cells with a final concentration of either 15 nM or 25 nM. Ethanol was added as to the uninduced cells. 2 hr after β-estradiol induction, ~3 OD$_{600}$ units of cells were taken for western analysis, and at 4 hr, another ~3 OD$_{600}$ units of cells were collected. All the samples were processed according to the western blotting protocol. The OD$_{600}$ of each culture was also measured when the samples were taken. Equal OD$_{600}$ units of samples was loaded during the gel electrophoresis.

**Nuclei/DAPI counting**

DAPI staining was used to monitor meiotic divisions throughout meiotic time courses. Cells were fixed in 80% (v/v) ethanol, pelleted by centrifugation and re-suspended in PBS with DAPI (1 μg/ml). Cells were sonicated for a few seconds and left in the dark at room temperature for at least 5 min. The proportion of cells containing one, two, three, or four DAPI masses was counted using a fluorescence microscope. At least two independent biological experiments were performed for each meiotic time-course experiment.

**Flow cytometry analysis**

Flow cytometry was used to monitor meiotic DNA replication as described previously (Chia and van Werven, 2016). Cells were fixed in 80% (v/v) ethanol and re-suspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5. Cells were sonicated for a few seconds and were treated with 0.2 mg/ml ribonuclease A in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 at 37˚C overnight. Cells were stained with 50 μg/ml propidium iodide in FACS buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 211 mM NaCl and 78 mM MgCl$_2$) for one hour at room temperature before flow cytometry analysis (BD LSRFortessa, BD Biosciences). Propidium iodide stained cells were excited with a 561 nm yellow-green laser and signals were detected using a 610/20 yellow filter. Pulse shape analysis (pulse height against pulse area) was used to exclude clumps and doublets. DNA content from single cells was estimated with a histogram of counts against pulse area. At least 50,000 cells were used for the analysis.

**Western blotting**

Western blotting was used to determine Ndc80 protein levels. Protein extracts were prepared by using trichloroacetic acid (TCA) extraction protocol. In short, cells were pelleted by centrifugation (~2400 g, 1 min, room temperature) and re-suspended in 5% w/v TCA for at least 10 min. For vegetative samples, pellets were washed with TE50 buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA), then with acetone, and completely dried. For meiotic samples, the pellets were only washed with acetone and dried. Furthermore, pellets were mixed with lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 2.75 mM dithiothreitol (DTT)) and cells were disrupted using a mini beadbeater (BioSpec). Lysates were mixed with SDS loading buffer (187.5 mM Tris pH 6.8, 6.0 % v/v β-mercaptoethanol, 30% v/v Glycerol, 9.0 % w/v SDS, 0.05% w/v Bromophenol Blue) and boiled for 5 min for denaturation. After polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, proteins were transferred onto PVDF or nitrocellulose membranes using the Mini Trans-Blot Cell (Bio-Rad (CA, USA)). The membranes were blocked for 60 min in blocking buffer (1% w/v BSA, 1% w/v milk) before incubation with mouse anti-V5 (R96025, Sigma-Aldrich (MO, USA)) at a 1:2000 dilution overnight at 4˚C. Hxk1 loading control was detected using a rabbit anti-hexokinase antibody (H2035, Stratech (Newmarket, UK)) at a 1:8000 dilution overnight at
4°C. Membranes were then washed in PBST (phosphate buffered saline with 0.01% (v/v) Tween-20) and incubated with IRDye 800CW goat anti-mouse and IRDye 680RD donkey anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (LI-COR (NE, USA)) at a 1:15000 dilution. Protein levels were detected using an Odyssey Imager (LI-COR). To detect HA tagged Ime1, a mouse anti-HA antibody was used at a 1:2000 dilution (901501, Biolegend (CA, USA)). All other steps were performed as above. At least two independent biological experiments were performed for each western blot experiment.

To measure bulk histone H3 levels, membranes were blocked for 60 min in blocking buffer (5% w/v milk) before incubation with rabbit anti-H3, C terminus (07–690, Millipore (MA, USA)) at a 1:3000 dilution overnight at 4°C. To measure bulk H3K36me3 levels, membranes were blocked for 60 min in blocking buffer (1% w/v BSA, 1% w/v milk) before incubation with rabbit anti-H3K36me3 (Ab9050, Abcam (Cambridge, UK)) at a 1:10000 dilution overnight at 4°C. Membranes were then washed in PBST and incubated with HRP conjugated ECL donkey anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (GE Healthcare (IL, USA)) at a 1:8000 dilution. After addition of ECL substrate (GE Healthcare), protein levels were detected using an ImageQuant RGB 600 machine (GE Healthcare). At least two independent biological experiments were performed for each western blot experiment.

**RT-qPCR**

To quantify NDC80 full mRNA levels as described in Figure 4—figure supplement 1A, we used a reverse transcription combined with quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) protocol. Total RNA was isolated, purified and treated with DNase (Macherey-Nagel (Düren, Germany)). 750 ng of total RNA was reverse-transcribed using random primers and Protoscript II (NEB (MA, USA)), and single-stranded cDNA was quantified by real-time PCR using SYBR green mix (Life Technologies). The signals were normalized to ACT1 transcript levels. The oligonucleotide sequences used for RT-PCR experiments are displayed in Supplementary file 2.

For the RT-qPCR in Figure 5—figure supplement 1A, RNA was isolated by acid phenol-chloroform extraction, treated with DNase (TURBO DNA-free kit, Thermo Fisher (MA, USA)), and reverse transcribed into cDNA (Superscript III Supermix, Thermo Fisher). The cDNA was quantified using the Absolute Blue qPCR Mix (Thermo Fisher). The NDC80 full signals were normalized to ACT1 transcript levels. The oligonucleotide sequences used for RT-qPCR experiments are displayed in Supplementary file 2.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation**

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments were performed as described previously. Cells were fixed in 1.0% v/v of formaldehyde for 15–20 min at room temperature and quenched with 100 mM glycine. Cells were broken using a mini beadbeater (BioSpec) and crosslinked chromatin was sheared by sonication using a Bioruptor (Diagenode (Seraing, Belgium), 7 cycles of 30 s on/off). Extracts were incubated for 2 hr or overnight at 4°C with magnetic Prot A beads (Sigma) coupled with a polyclonal antibody against Histone H3 trimethyl lysine 36 (Ab9050, Abcam), Histone H3 dimethyl lysine 4 (Ab32356, Abcam) or Histone H3 (Ab1791, Abcam). Subsequently, reverse cross-linking was done in Tris-EDTA buffer (100 mM Tris pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 1.0% v/v SDS) at 65°C overnight. After 2 hr of proteinase K treatment, samples were cleaned up and H3K36me3 enrichment was measured by real-time PCR using SYBR green mix (Life Technologies (CA, USA)) and primers corresponding to the NDC80 promoter and the 5’ region of the NDC80 open reading frame. Sua7-V5 binding was measured using similar procedures, except that anti-V5 agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich) were used instead. The oligonucleotide sequences used for ChIP experiments are displayed in Supplementary file 2.

**ChIP on micrococcal nuclease (MNase) treated chromatin extracts**

To determine the chromatin structure at the NDC80 locus, we extracted mononucleosomes using a MNase digestion protocol that was described previously followed by ChIP for histone H3 (Rando, 2010, 2011). Approximately 250 OD600 units of cells were crosslinked for 15 min with formaldehyde (1% v/v) and the reaction was quenched with glycine (125 mM). Subsequently, cells were resuspended in 20 ml of buffer Z (1 M sorbitol, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4) plus β-mercaptoethanol (10 mM) and treated with 250 μg of T100 Zymolase (MP Biomedicals (CA, USA)) for 60 min. Next, cells were resuspended in 2.5 ml NP buffer (0.5 mM spermidine, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (β-ME),
0.075% (w/v) Tergitol solution-type NP-40 detergent (NP-40), 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM CaCl$_2$, and extract was treated with 5, 0.625, 0.2 or 0.04 µl of MNase (2 mg/ml, NEB) for 30 min at 37°C, the reaction was quenched with EDTA (10 mM). The extract was adjusted to 0.1 M HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% w/v sodium deoxycholate, and 1% w/v Triton X-100. To check for the extent of MNase digestion, 60 µl of MNase treated and untreated extracts were reverse crosslinked overnight in SDS-TE (1% (w/v) SDS, 10 mM Tris pH 8, 1 mM EDTA), treated with RNase A and purified DNA fragments were separated by gel electrophoresis. The extracts which showed a mono-nucleosome pattern were used for ChIP with histone H3 antibodies. The ChIP was performed with 600 µl of extract as described in the chromatin immunoprecipitation section of the Materials and methods. ChIP samples were quantified by qPCR on a 7500 FAST Real-Time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems (CA, USA)). Scanning primer pairs covering the NDC80 locus and upstream region were used for the analysis. Signals were quantified relative to untreated genomic DNA, and normalized over a primer pair directed against the PHO5 core promoter (Chang and Vancura, 2012). The oligonucleotide sequences are available in Supplementary file 2.

**Northern blotting**

We adapted a northern blot protocol that was described previously (Koster et al., 2014). In short, RNA was extracted with Acid Phenol:chloroform:I AA (125:24:1) and precipitated in ethanol with 0.3M sodium acetate. RNA samples were denatured in a glyoxal/DMSO mix (1M deionized glyoxal, 50% v/v DMSO, 10 mM sodium phosphate (NaPi) buffer pH 6.5–6.8) at 70°C for 10 min. Denatured samples were mixed with loading buffer (10% v/v glycerol, 2 mM NaPi buffer pH 6.5–6.8, 0.4% w/v bromophenol blue) and separated on an agarose gel (1.1% w/v agarose, 0.01M NaPi buffer) for at least 3 hr at 80 V. RNAs were then transferred onto nylon membranes overnight by capillary transfer. rRNA bands were visualized by methylene blue staining. The membranes were blocked for at least 3 hr at 42°C in ULTRAhyb Ultrasensitive Hybridization Buffer (Thermo Fisher) before hybridization. The radioactive probes specific to NDC80, SCR1 and CIT1 were synthesized using a Prime-It II Random Primer Labeling Kit (Agilent (CA, USA)), a DNA template and ATP ($\alpha$–$\psi$-32P) (Perkin-Elmer (MA, USA)). The oligo-nucleotide sequences used for amplifying the NDC80, CIT1 or SCR1 templates are displayed in Supplementary file 2. At least two independent biological experiments were performed for each northern blot experiment.

**Quantification of northern and western blots**

NDC80$^{ORF}$, NDC80$^{luti}$, CIT1 and SCR1 levels were estimated from northern blots using ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012). The net intensity of each band of interest was determined by subtracting the mean background intensity of the areas immediately above and below the band. Signals were first normalized to SCR1 levels and further normalized to a specific band on the same membrane (usually the first time point when either NDC80$^{ORF}$ or NDC80$^{luti}$ appeared) For Figure 3E, one-tailed, unpaired t tests were conducted to determine if the difference in NDC80$^{ORF}$ levels between mutant and control strains were statistically significant.

Intensities of Ndc80 and Hxk1 bands on western blots were quantified using Image Studio Lite (LI-COR). Ndc80 levels were first normalized to Hxk1 levels and further normalized to that of the first time point on the same membrane.

**Spot growth assay**

For strains harboring NDC80$^{luti}$ under control of the GAL1-10 promoter, cells were first grown on YP plus 2% glycerol (YPG) plates overnight, and then re-suspended in milliQ H$_2$O to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.2. Next, 5-fold serial dilutions were performed and diluted cells were spotted onto either YP-RG plates with no $\beta$-estradiol or YP-RG plates supplemented with1 µM $\beta$-estradiol. The cells were incubated at 30°C for 1–2 days. Note that the GAL1-10 promoter in the SK1 strain background does not directly respond to galactose. At least two independent biological experiments were performed for each spot assay experiment.

For strains harboring constructs in which NDC80$^{luti}$ expression is driven by LexA/lexO, cells were grown on YPD plates, re-suspended in milliQ water to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.2, serially diluted as above, and then spotted onto either YPD plates with no $\beta$-estradiol or YPD plates with different concentrations...
of β-estradiol (10, 15, 20, 25, or 30 nM). The cells were incubated at 30°C for 1 day before imaging. At least two independent biological experiments were performed for each spot assay experiment.
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