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Summary
A new method, termed Single-Cell Magneto-Optical Capture (scMOCa), uses laser illumination to efficiently capture individual cells from large populations based solely on visual characteristics observable in microscopy images. This technique, requiring only simple reagents, permits clonal expansion of chosen cells for subsequent in-depth analyses.

Abstract
The ability to isolate rare live cells within a heterogeneous population based solely on visual criteria remains technically challenging, due largely to limitations imposed by existing sorting technologies. Here we present a new method that permits labeling cells of interest by attaching streptavidin-coated magnetic beads to their membranes using the lasers of a confocal microscope. A simple magnet allows highly-specific isolation of the labeled cells, which then remain viable and proliferate normally. As proof of principle, we tagged, isolated, and expanded individual cells based on three biologically-relevant visual characteristics: i) presence of multiple nuclei, ii) accumulation of lipid vesicles, and iii) ability to resolve ionizing radiation-induced DNA damage foci. Our method constitutes a rapid, efficient, and cost-effective approach for isolation and subsequent characterization of rare cells based on observable traits such as movement, shape, or location, which in turn can generate novel mechanistic insights into important biological processes.
Introduction

Characterization of biological samples relies heavily on microscopy where, in response to various stimuli, molecular probes and a myriad of contrast reagents are routinely used to identify and label individual live cells of interest. These methods often require prior knowledge of cellular markers or use of elaborate reporter constructs. On the other hand, based solely on visual inspection or using image processing algorithms, it is possible to distinguish rare cells which exhibit distinct biological properties from among thousands of counterparts within a microscopy field. Such visually-distinguishable traits include movement, shape, intracellular protein distribution, and location within the sample, and in turn can reflect important physiological features of individual cells. For example, cell migration (movement) is an essential determinant in normal embryonic development, wound healing, immune responses, tumor progression, and vascular disease. Moreover, changes in cellular morphology (shape) constitute biomarkers of cellular growth, division, death, and differentiation, as well as of tissue morphogenesis and disease. Cell-to-cell contacts (location) or distance to sources of chemical cues such as senescent cells, inflammation or necrotic tissue are critical factors in chemokinesis, differentiation, neural function, and immune responses. Finally, expression and visualization of fluorescent fusion proteins permits the identification of cells presenting molecular behaviors of interest, such as differential relocalization of proteins to subcellular compartments or structures upon various stimuli. Unfortunately, however, isolation and expansion of single cells characterized by such easily-observable features is technically challenging, and indeed has not been accomplished to date.

We recently developed a method termed Cell Labeling via Photobleaching (CLaP) allowing the arbitrary tagging of individual cells among a heterogeneous population within a microscopy field. This is accomplished by crosslinking biotin molecules to their plasma membranes with the lasers of a confocal microscope, followed by use of fluorescent streptavidin conjugates to reveal the marked cells. In this manner, the same instrument used for imaging can also be adapted to label particular cells based on any visible trait that distinguishes them from the ensemble. Importantly, previous knowledge of surface markers or transfection of reporter genes is not required. Tags can be added with single cell precision and the incorporated label displays convenient tracking properties to monitor location and movement. The mark is stable, non-toxic, retained in cells for several days, and moreover does not engender detectable changes in cell morphology, viability, or proliferative capacity. Moreover, gene expression profiling indicated no major changes associated with the procedure. Nevertheless, a technology for the efficient isolation and expansion of CLaP-tagged cells is still lacking.

The fact that cell populations are often highly heterogeneous underscores the need for new approaches to capture and clonally expand individual cells of interest for further characterization. However, as mentioned above, current sorting techniques cannot efficiently isolate such rare cells; indeed classical protocols like Fluorescence and Magnetic Activated Cell Sorting (FACS and MACS) are typically optimized for high throughput at the expense of capture efficiency and specificity, and require large numbers of cells. Small cell populations representing $10^{-3}$ of the total, which have been defined as rare, or ultrarare in the case of $10^{-5}$, can only be effectively captured and purified with repeated cycles of sorting and cell expansion protocols. Starting with rare and hence precious cell populations, highly conservative gating strategies are needed, which can at best achieve approximately 45% purity. Time-consuming manipulations, cost, hardware footprint, and handling
complexity\textsuperscript{8} make approaches based on microfluidics ill-suited for capturing small numbers of cells, which are often masked within tens of thousands.

Here we report a novel technology, termed Single-Cell Magneto-Optical Capture (scMOCa), for isolating cells based purely on visual traits from within large heterogenous populations. After tethering biotin moieties to their membranes, cells of interest are targeted with streptavidin-coated ferromagnetic beads and captured with high efficiency using a simple magnet. The procedure is fast, uses low-cost commercially-available reagents and only requires access to a standard confocal microscope. As proof-of-principle for the utility and power of this novel approach, we used scMOCa to i) capture and expand individual cells that differ in their capacity to resolve ionizing radiation (IR)-induced foci of the DNA repair protein 53BP1, ii) purify rare multinucleated cells, and iii) isolate cells that differentiated into adipocytes and accumulated lipid vesicles. Overall, the ease of use and affordability of our method is expected to facilitate the characterization of phenotypes of interest occurring in a small fraction of cell populations.

Results

\textit{scMOCa: efficient magnetic sorting of cells using ferromagnetic streptavidin-coated beads}

\textit{Cell membrane biotinylation and ferromagnetic functionalization}

We set out to evaluate whether individual cells illuminated with a low-power laser can be labeled with ferromagnetic beads, thereby facilitating their purification and clonal expansion. Adherent cells were incubated in medium supplemented with biotin-4-fluorescein (B4F), and a small area inside the cells of interest was illuminated with a 473nm excitation laser at low power (<100 μW) for two seconds using a confocal microscope. This operation effectively crosslinks biotin molecules to plasma membranes and was repeated for all targeted cells. After washing, streptavidin-coated ferromagnetic beads were added to the medium, and then allowed to settle and attach specifically to illuminated cells (Figure 1A).

The high strength of the biotin streptavidin bond (K\textsubscript{s}=10^{-15}M) allows stringent rinsing and efficient removal of unbound magnetic beads, which is key to obtaining specific tagging allowed by the accurate laser pointing (Figure 1B). Depending on their size, beads may later be internalized (nanometer-size beads), or retained at the cell surface and shared between daughter cells after mitosis (micron-size beads). If needed, special beads, which integrate a DNA spacer between the streptavidin and their magnetic core to allow enzymatic cleavage, are commercially available (Figure 1-supplement 1). This permits detachment from cells in cases where beads can compromise downstream experiments, e.g. analysis of migration, or single-cell RNA sequencing.

\textit{Rare cells can be sorted and expanded with high efficiency and specificity}

We used trypsin to detach cells from the substrate before subjecting the entire population to a magnetic field that attracts labelled (positive) cells upwards to a collection chamber, while non-labeled (negative) cells remain in the original chamber. Specifically, two home-made chambers cast with silicone were filled with cell culture medium and positioned one on top of the other (Figure 1C). The top (receiving) chamber is also filled with trypsin and slowly brought together with the bottom...
chamber until both liquid drops merge. On top of the receiving chamber, a nail is placed to guide the magnetic field generated by a pile of ten N35 magnets, each generating a 1.18 Gauss magnetic field at its surface (Figure 1C). Importantly, the nail must have high iron-alloy content for strong ferromagnetism. Only positive cells coated with ferromagnetic beads are pulled upwards to the top chamber, whereas negative cells are held down by gravity.

Magnets only attract positive cells with beads from the bottom well to the top well, regardless of the total number of cells in the sample. Repetition of the magnetic capture up to four times yields optimal selectivity: the collection (top) chamber can be simply flipped to replace the original donor chamber, while a new clean collection chamber is placed on top. The entire procedure takes only a few minutes and a detailed protocol is provided in materials and methods and Figure 1-supplement 2. We note that a number of experimental parameters from this protocol need to be fine-tuned for specific cell types which exhibit different binding strengths and adhesion kinetics. In particular, the duration of the trypsin incubation, the number of times the capture is repeated, the time of exposure to the magnetic field, and the concentration of beads must be experimentally optimised.

Chamber dimensions can be critical for effective sorting, as their diameter (5 mm) and thickness (2 mm) determine the surface tension that holds liquid in the collection chamber and prevents it from falling. Furthermore, turbulence and movement must be avoided to prevent negative cells from reaching the collection chamber when both chambers are pulled apart. The distance that separates the two chambers while cells are being magnetically transferred must be maintained at approximately 6 mm such that gravity attracts negative cells as far away as possible from the collection chamber. The more distant the chambers are, the stronger the magnetic field must be to attract positive cells into the collection chamber; however, this could in turn affect the viability of transferred cells subjected to high pressure from beads pushing towards their cytoplasm.

We quantified the capacity of scMOCa to tag and isolate single cells from large populations. For this, we illuminated individual cells from chambers where approximately 50,000 cells had been seeded the day before and assessed capture efficiency. Figure 2 shows examples where 1 or 5 cells were successfully sorted. Cells were non-specifically stained with WGA-Alexa-555 to facilitate detection and images were obtained before (Figure 2, left panels) and immediately after sorting (Figure 2, right panels). The right panels of Figure 2 display both captured cells (visible in red) as well as unbound beads often aligned with the magnetic lines of force emanating from the head of the nail.

We have repeated these experiments and obtained similar results using both glass and Aclar (plastic) substrates, which vary significantly in their ability to promote cell adhesion. In every experiment a given number of fluorescently labeled U2OS cells (1 to 50) were illuminated with a laser, sorted, and the receiving chamber examined to count captured cells. Cells in the receiving chamber with no visible beads attached to their membrane were considered as negative captured cells. Figure 3A demonstrates the high capture efficiency and selectivity of scMOCa, where blue dots correspond to experiments performed on Aclar substrates (higher cell adhesion) and red dots to glass (lower cell adhesion). Out of 23 experiments, starting from samples of 50,000 cells, the largest deviation from perfect recovery corresponds to one test where only 3, instead of 5 positive cells, were captured (2 positive cells lost).

To further demonstrate the high specificity of our capture technique, i.e. to determine the ratio of false positive cells to the total number of chosen cells, 50,000 cells originating from two different
species were co-cultured: MDCK (dog kidney cells) and IMCD (mouse kidney cells) at a 1:1 ratio. IMCD cells were incubated in WGA-Alexa 555 prior to mixing, to add a species-specific fluorescent marker. After one day in co-culture, the sample was brought to the microscope where 10 (non-fluorescent) MDCK cells were illuminated. We sorted the cells using scMOCa and performed PCR with primers specific for the cytochrome C gene from both dog and mouse. The results show that both cell types were present in the original mix, but only dog DNA was detected after magnetic sorting (Figure 3B). We also show by qPCR that these samples respectively contain an amount of DNA that corresponds to 10 and 9 dog cells, whereas mouse DNA is essentially undetectable (Figure 3C). We also note that since we amplified a mitochondrial gene present at hundreds of gene copies per cell, one negative cell or even a DNA dilution corresponding to less than one cell is expected to be detectable (DNA dilutions corresponding to less than one cell give readily detectable signals; see calibration curves in Figure 3-supplement 1). These experiments demonstrate that scMOCa isolates individual cells with high specificity. Indeed within a heterogeneous population, i.e. starting with a ratio 1:10,000 (positive: negative cells) in the source chamber, the method yields pure samples in the collection chamber. Our examples represent a five-orders-of-magnitude enrichment, as pure samples originating from a rare cell population (0.02% of the total) can be generated.

As a comparison to other capture methods based on magnetic fields, we prepared samples in which we sought to isolate 30 U2OS cells arbitrarily tagged amongst 30 000 by using commercially available separation columns (MACS, Miltenyi Biotec). These columns are optimised for high throughput enrichment of large samples and are not designed for rare cells. In three independent experiments we could isolate 5.3 ± 1.5 positive cells on average, while also capturing 17.6 ± 7.3 negative cells. This represents a population in which approximately 75% of the captured cells are contaminating false-positive cells with no beads attached, while scMOCa generates pure samples (Fig. 3A). These results underscore the importance of the design of the home-made chambers and capture protocol, which prevents turbulent movement of cells.

Cells can be placed back in culture and expanded after sorting. Immediately after capture cells are round (as expected after trypsin treatment), but after one day in culture they display normal elongated shapes (Figure 4). Upon proliferation the number of cells with beads attached is reduced exponentially as cells divide (Figure 4, right panels). In addition to immortalized cell lines, we have tested and successfully sorted three different types of primary cells: human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs), human lung fibroblasts, and mice dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons dissected and plated 24 hours before the assay. We specifically chose primary cells as these are known to be more fragile during manipulation than cell lines. Importantly, HUVECs and lung fibroblasts proliferated normally for several days and primary DRG neurons actively extended cellular processes, as shown in Figure 4. Finally, we tested mouse embryonic stem cells which, after capture and replating, displayed similar growth and morphological features relative to the original population. Indeed, cells sorted using gelatin coated plastic chambers migrated and regrouped into small colonies which proliferated normally during 10 days. Sorted cells formed small poorly adherent spherical structures (figure 4D) which is expected from embryonic stem cells as they are known to spontaneously form embryonic bodies in culture. Upon addition of 1uM retinoic acid and removal of the leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) from their medium, they started differentiating during 5 additional days (figure 4D, right panel) and became more adherent cells spread on the culture substrate.
High plating efficiency is important when only one sample with very few cells needs to be expanded. Therefore, chamber culture conditions must be optimized for low cell numbers. Cell viability and proliferative potential can be improved by the use of conditioned medium \(^9,11\), i.e., medium collected from an exponentially growing cell culture and passed through a 0.2 \(\mu\)m filter. This is attributed to secreted factors that in turn facilitate cell growth at very low density \(^9,11\). The top collection chamber can be coated with collagen to further improve cell attachment and viability \(^12\).

**Cells can be captured based on their ability to resolve ionizing radiation-induced DNA damage foci.**

To demonstrate the utility of scMOCa, we sought to isolate and expand cell populations based on their ability to resolve ionizing radiation (IR)-induced 53BP1 DNA damage foci, a well-characterized indicator of DNA double strand break (DSB) repair capacity \(^13\). For this, we used U2OS osteosarcoma cells harboring a construct permitting doxycycline-inducible expression of 53BP1 fused to Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP). 53BP1 is directly involved in DSB repair and is rapidly recruited to DSB sites where it forms foci that can be readily detected by fluorescence microscopy in live-cells \(^14\) when fused with GFP. Foci of 53BP1 are resolved gradually as cells repair DSB, and within approximately 3 hours post-irradiation with 0.5 Gy most are expected to disappear \(^14\).

We exposed cells to 0.5 Gy of IR and imaged GFP-53BP1 foci. We first characterized focus formation and resolution by measuring the average number of foci before and after IR in 500 cells. At 45 minutes post-irradiation an average of 10.2±2.5 (mean ± standard deviation) foci per cell was detected. At two hours post-irradiation, a second set of images was acquired, and the average number of foci was reduced to 7.6±2.3. Since on average cells resolved approximately 25% of their foci within two hours, we defined cells in which more than 85% of foci have disappeared after two hours as “fast resolving”. Such fast resolving cells, represented approximately 1% of the population.

In all following experiments, we compared both sets of images to search for fast-resolving cells (two such cells are shown in Figure 5A) and used scMOCa to tag, capture and expand them.

We emphasize that FACS or similar approaches are not suitable for sorting based on focus resolution, even if the fraction of target cells was relatively large, as the overall fluorescence signal originating from cell nuclei does not reflect the local distribution of protein. Indeed, we observed no change in global protein abundance or average intensity of GFP-53BP1 upon focus resolution: the average intensity of nuclei showed no correlation with the number of 53BP1 foci (Pearson coefficient of -0.15). Because we used very stringent selection criteria for focus resolution, we tagged only 5 and 3 “fast-resolving” cells in two independent experiments, which were subsequently isolated using scMOCa, pooled and expanded to generate Populations #1 and #2.

**The ability to quickly resolve 53BP1 foci is transmitted from parental to daughter cells**

We next compared the kinetics 53BP1 focus resolution in Populations #1 and #2 vs. the parental cell population. The resolution of foci was quantified using (i) live-cell imaging of GFP-53BP1 (Figure 5B) and also (ii) following immunostaining with anti-53BP1 antibody (when GFP-53BP1 expression was
not induced) to evaluate focus formation involving the endogenous untagged protein (Figure 5C). Images were acquired at 45, 60, 75, 90 and 120 minutes post irradiation with 1Gy for the two populations and the distribution of DNA foci per cell compared with that of the parental cell line. We used Matlab to program a fully automated algorithm for focus quantification (Figure 5D) and analyzed approximately 1800 cells per time-point. This allowed the unbiased evaluation of large datasets as Figure 5B and C taken together represent the behavior of more than 21,000 cells.

Figure 5B and C shows normalized histograms (probability density functions) of the number of foci per cell at each time-point. Importantly, all three populations exhibited similar numbers of foci per cell 45 minutes after irradiation, indicating that the initial formation of 53BP1 foci is comparable between all cell populations. However, we found that the progeny of captured cells (Populations #1 and #2) retained the original visually-detected phenotype of fast focus resolution. These cells resolved foci at least 1.5 times more rapidly than parental counterparts, as the median number of GFP-53BP1 foci per cell 60 minutes post-IR for Populations#1 and #2 (17 and 15 respectively) is equal to the median number of foci that parental cells exhibit at 90 minutes post-IR. After 75 minutes these numbers of foci are already statistically different (p-values from student T-tests comparing the parental cells to Populations #1 and #2 are respectively $10^{-75}$ and $10^{-39}$). Such differences in focus resolution dynamics is particularly striking in cells for which the expression of GFP-53BP1 is induced (Figure 5B) but is clearly observable as well using immunofluorescence of the endogenous protein in non-induced fixed cells (Figure 5C).

To rule out the possibility that resolution of 53BP1 foci might be due to increased degradation upon IR or to globally decreased levels of the protein, we monitored 53BP1 levels by immunoblotting at different time points post-IR. No changes in the levels of either endogenous 53BP1 or GFP-tagged version was observed (Figure 5E). Finally, FACS analysis shows that all populations exhibit similar ratios of cells in each cell cycle phase (Figure 5F). Therefore, the observed focus resolution differences between populations is unlikely to be attributable to cell cycle-related effects.

Cells can be purified based on morphology

We next sought to illustrate of the utility of scMOCa to capture cells based on their morphology, which have so far proven challenging to sort using currently available technologies. For example, multinucleated cells constitute a rare subpopulation$^{15,16}$ that does not express specific markers and cannot be differentiated from mononucleated polypliod cells using DNA-specific stains in a FACS experiment. However, multinucleated cells can be easily identified visually even without DNA staining. In the context of cancer, such cells have been (i) described as generally being more aggressive and metastatic than mononucleated counterparts, and (ii) proposed to be prone to acquisition of drug resistance and cancer relapse$^{15,17,19}$. Moreover, even though multinucleated cells do not undergo classical cytokinesis, they can generate mononucleated progeny by budding$^{15,18}$ and influence neighboring cells by secreting factors that promote stemness, as well as by transmitting sub-genomes$^{15}$.

Multinucleated cells were isolated using scMOCa and kept in culture for 4 days to evaluate their viability and metabolic activity (Figure 6). We used WGA-alexa647 to stain plasma membranes, and Hoechst for the nuclei (Figure 6) and Mitotracker green FM to tag polarized mitochondrial
membranes, indicating that scMOCa preserves the viability of isolated cells (see Figure 6-supplement 1).

As another example of a visual phenotype that can be sorted using scMOCA, we evaluated the differentiation of 3T3 cells into adipocytes. These cells are amongst the most common models to study metabolic disorders, e.g., obesity\textsuperscript{20,21}. When cultured for two days in medium containing dexamethasone, insulin and isobutylmethylxanthin (IBMX), an inhibitor of cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases, and 3 days in medium containing insulin, a fraction of 3T3 cells differentiate and lipid vesicles accumulate in their cytoplasm. In order to obtain pure adipocyte cultures, flow cytometry sorting based on granularity requires several steps to select cells of interest and then remove false positives, such as debris and cell aggregates\textsuperscript{22}, whereas scMOCA may provide a much simpler approach to isolated live adipocytes, especially when these are present in very low abundance. We used scMOCA to capture differentiated adipocytes and then kept them in culture for a week (Figure 6). Sorted cells remained viable and maintained their ability to store lipids in vesicles that appear as clear spheres on figure 6, while the magnetic beads that remained attached to cells membranes appear as dark spheres.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, scMOCa is the only technology that permits isolation, and subsequent clonal expansion, of extremely small numbers of cells from relatively large heterogeneous populations based solely on visual criteria. scMOCa is highly efficient, as the fraction of tagged cells collected in the top chamber exhibits minimal capture losses and high specificity. Rare false positive cells, presumably attached by cell junctions to true positive cells, can be eliminated by repeating the sorting procedure to reach 100% purity. The most widely used cell sorting technique, FACS, is not optimized for sorting rare cells. Adaptations needed for capturing cell populations representing <1% of the sample with high specificity make FACS experiments cumbersome and inefficient. Moreover, repetition of flow cytometry sorting to obtain pure samples of a given cell type imposes can only be performed with robust cell types due to reduced survival and proliferation capacity\textsuperscript{5}. More refined procedures have been developed to sort rare cells via binding to microfluidic channels coated with antibodies against specific surface markers of interest\textsuperscript{21}. However, this requires high affinity antibodies that are specific to the target cell types and leads to dilution of cells in laminar flows within microfluidics chips\textsuperscript{24,25}, which can become a drawback for downstream applications. Techniques based on magnetism display an improved capacity to isolate rare cells without dilution\textsuperscript{26}. Nevertheless, while the majority of protocols that use magnetic fields can capture cells of interest with efficiency near 90%, their specificity remains a major challenge, as published results vary between 10 and 80% purity for captured cells\textsuperscript{27}, generally closer to 50\%\textsuperscript{28-31}. Finally, only a handful of approaches allow label-free cell sorting, where intrinsic physical properties, such as size\textsuperscript{22,33} or magnetic susceptibility\textsuperscript{24,29,34} differentiate the target population. Filtration, for example, relies on porous membranes to capture cells based on size and deformability\textsuperscript{35,36} and can achieve 80% efficiency. Dielectrophoresis exploits natural differences in dielectric properties of cell types for discrimination and circulates cells in microfluidics channels, deviating target cells within an electric field\textsuperscript{37,38}. 
The application we introduced here is focused on magnetic separation, but the same concept of adding particles to individual live cells may open the door to novel strategies where other actionable properties can be exploited in a simple and straightforward manner. For example, fluorescence or electron density can be manipulated on single cells, and recent advances in cellular nanotechnologies such as scattering and plasmon resonance using gold nanoparticles, thermal capacity with nanoshells, or electrical properties using carbon nanotubes can now be modulated only on chosen cells using low-cost commercially available reagents.

ScMOCa presents critical advantages over more traditional sorting techniques. It allows isolation of live cells without previous knowledge of surface markers and can simply be based on morphological traits such as the presence of nuclear foci or lipid vesicles and the number nuclei. More importantly it has the potential to sort based on time-dependent characteristics such as migration speed or foci resolution. In addition, because sorting is carried out in small chambers of similar size, there is no sample dilution. This prevents cells from sustaining strong shear stress upon passing through microfluidic tubing, and allows their use in downstream applications such as cell culture, reinjection, or even lysis prior to transcriptomic or proteomic analysis. ScMOCa crosslinks biotin to cell membrane and the strength of the ensuing biotin-streptavidin bond is extremely high (K_d = 10^{15} M). In comparison, the bonds utilized in immunochemistry are much weaker, from 10^{-12} to 10^{-9}, which may cause tags to detach from cells because of shear stress within the microfluidics tubing.

Another example is provided by ligands targeting the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) on immune cells where binding strength is so weak that ligands usually need to be grouped in tetramers for increased strength. Finally, while the precise mechanisms influencing 53BP1 focus resolution was not investigated in our proof-of-principle experiments, our data demonstrates that markers used for identification need not be exposed on the membrane since the spatial distribution of fluorescent signal originating from the nucleus were used here as reporters.

Simplicity is a key advantage of scMOCa, as it does not require highly specialized software, or hardware such as microfluidic chips. Indeed, a standard confocal microscope with no modification, simple handmade chambers and low-cost magnets are all that is needed to sort single cells of choice from among tens of thousands. The main limitation of scMOCa is that high throughput implementations would depend on efficient image processing tools for cell detection. While automated detection and tagging are possible on motorized microscopy systems, the duration of the procedure is roughly proportional to the number of target cells. Thus, even if laser illumination of a single cell typically requires one second, this might become a limitation for applications that deal with large cell numbers.

The capacity of scMOCa to isolate and profile individual cells within a large population based purely on visual phenotypes constitutes a powerful tool for understanding cellular heterogeneity. We envision that one potential application of high interest would combine scMOCa with single cell sequencing to characterize the molecular basis of differential metastatic potential among particular cells within a tumour. Indeed, scMOCa can easily be combined with currently available techniques that allow sequencing RNA from single cells captured in wells and microfluidic chips. More generally, it is becoming increasingly obvious that the capacity to analyze rare cells in heterogeneous populations will be useful in designing personalized treatments for cancer as well as for inflammatory, autoimmune, and neurologic disorders.
Materials and methods

### Key resource table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent type (species) or resource</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
<th>Identifiers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cell line (Homo sapiens)</td>
<td>U2OS</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>RRID: CVCL_0042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cell line (Canis familiaris)</td>
<td>MDCK</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>RRID: CVCL_0422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cell line (Mus musculus)</td>
<td>IMCD</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>RRID: CVCL_0429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cell line (Homo sapiens)</td>
<td>h226</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>RRID: CVCL_1544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cell line (Homo sapiens)</td>
<td>LF-1</td>
<td>Dr John Sedivy</td>
<td>RRID: CVCL_C120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cell line (Homo sapiens)</td>
<td>HUVECS</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>TCC® PCS-100-013™</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cell line (Mus musculus)</td>
<td>3t3-L1</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>RRID: CVCL_0123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chemical compound, drug</td>
<td>IMBMX</td>
<td>sigma-aldrich</td>
<td>cat #: 15879-100MG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chemical compound, drug</td>
<td>Dexamethasone</td>
<td>sigma-aldrich</td>
<td>cat #: D1756-25MG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chemical compound, drug</td>
<td>magnetic beads</td>
<td>thermofisher</td>
<td>cat #: 65305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chemical compound, drug</td>
<td>b4f</td>
<td>sigma-aldrich</td>
<td>cat #: B9431-5MG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial assay or kit</td>
<td>2X SYBR Green Master Mix</td>
<td>Bimake</td>
<td>cat #: B21203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody</td>
<td>rabbit anti-53BP1</td>
<td>Santa-cruz</td>
<td>cat #: sc-22760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody</td>
<td>rat anti-tubulin</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>cat #: ab6161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cell culture

U2OS osteosarcoma cells, MDCK (dog) cells, and IMCD (mouse) cells were grown in DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotics, all purchased from Thermofisher Scientific. One day prior to the experiment, cells were detached and seeded on either collagen-coated glass coverslips or circular pieces of Aclar (polychlorotrifluoroethylene) coated with collagen, onto which polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) chambers had been placed (see below).

A U2OS cell line with inducible expression of GFP-tagged 53BP1 was constructed as previously described\(^7\) using pcDNA5-FRT/TO-eGFP-53BP1\(^13\) (Addgene plasmid #60813) and the U2OS Flip-In TREX host cell line\(^58\) (both generous gifts from Dr. Daniel Durocher, University of Toronto). Cells were selected in medium supplemented with 200µg/mL hygromycin and 5µg/mL blasticidin. GFP-53BP1 expression was induced by addition of 5 µg/mL doxycycline for 48 hours.

H226 cells were grown in RPMI medium supplemented with 5% FBS and antibiotics (Thermofisher Scientific). Four days prior to the experiment, cells were exposed to 6 µg/mL cytochalasin B for 24 hours. Low-passage primary human lung fibroblasts (LF-1) were a kind gift from Dr John Sedivy\(^59\).
Cells were grown in Eagle's MEM (Corning) containing 15% FBS, essential and nonessential amino acids, vitamins, L-glutamine, and antibiotics (Life Technologies). HUVECS were grown in Endogro TM (Millipore) supplemented with VEGF. Primary dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons were harvested from IslI-Gcamp6 x TRPV1-cre mice and cultured in plastic bottom dishes (as detailed elsewhere\(^6\)) one day prior to the sorting.

**3T3-L1 cell culture and adipogenic differentiation**

Pre-adipocyte 3T3-L1 cells were grown in DMEM medium supplemented with 10 % FBS (Gibco), 2 mM glutamine (Wisent) and 1 % Penicillin/Streptomycin (Biobasic). For adipogenic differentiation of 3T3L1, the cells were plated at confluency and media was changed to induction media containing 10 % FBS, 1 % Penicillin/Streptomycin, 1 μM Dexamethasone, 1 μg/ml Insulin and 500 μM IBMX (Sigma). Two days post-induction, the medium was changed to maintenance media containing 10 % FBS (Gibco), 1 % Penicillin/Streptomycin (Biobasic), 1 μg/ml Insulin. After three days post-induction, 10 000 cells were plated on homemade chambers for sorting.

**Mouse Embryonic Stem cell (mES) culture**

mES cells were grown in DMEM medium supplemented with 15 % FBS (embryonic stem cell qualified, Wisent), 1 X non-essential amino acids (Sigma), 100 μM 2-Mercaptoethanol (Gibco), 1000 Units/mL Leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF, Stemcell), 2 mM glutamine (Wisent) and 1 % Penicillin/Streptomycin (Biobasic) on 0,1 % porcine gelatin coated plastic dishes (Sigma). About 10 000 cells were plated for sorting as above.

**PDMS chambers**

PDMS chambers were prepared by pouring a mix of resin and curing agent (10:1 ratio) in a petri dish to achieve a gel thickness of 2 mm. The dish was degassed overnight in a vacuum chamber and the resin allowed to polymerize at room temperature for 2 days. Square pieces were cut with a blade, circular wells of 5 mm diameter were made using a biopsy punch from Miltex® (33-38) (see Figures 1B and C) and placed on either glass or Aclar coverslips (onto which PDMS naturally adheres).

**scMOCa protocol**

Cells were incubated in regular medium with 40 μg/mL biotin-4-fluorescein (Sigma) on glass coverslips or Aclar substrates. A spot within each cell of interest was illuminated at 473 nm with the laser of a confocal microscope at 75 μW for 2 seconds with 10X 0.4NA objective. The sample was then thoroughly rinsed in PBS, and medium containing 8 μL of streptavidin-coated ferromagnetic beads of 2.8 μm in diameter (Thermofisher, 65305 and 11533D) was added. When beads were attached to a whole area rather than a single cell (Figure 1B and figure 1-supplement 1) the sample was scanned with a 700 μW laser scanned at 0.2 mm/s with a 0.4 NA objective in a succession of lines 0.005 mm apart to form a pattern generated from a binary image. Beads were pulled down in contact with the cells and re-suspended 3 times, attracted by a magnet placed alternatively below or above the sample. Cells were then rinsed 3 times with PBS and a
magnet was positioned above the sample to remove unbound beads. After this, very few beads remain in the dish (Figure 1C).

Cells are detached using 0.25% trypsin (Thermofisher, 25200072) for magnetic capture. The resulting cell suspension is then subjected to a magnetic field that attracts positive cells upwards to a collection chamber, while negative cells settle by gravity in the original chamber, regardless of the total number of cells in the sample.

More specifically, once the original PDMS culture chamber contains a suspension of individual cells in trypsin, a second identical PDMS culture chamber is placed on top of the first one as depicted in Figure 2A. The structure that holds the top chamber in place can be built with Lego bricks (Figure 1-supplements 3 and 4): the collection chamber is positioned between two Lego bricks that maintain it at 6 mm above the cells (Figure1C). While magnetic attraction of tagged cells towards the collection chamber is quick, negative cells require four minutes to settle down to the original chamber before the top chamber is separated, flipped, and the magnets removed. This procedure needs to be performed slowly to minimize turbulence and to avoid capture of negative cells.

These manipulations are repeated three times to attain maximum specificity (Figure 2C). The collection chamber is always filled with trypsin solution to avoid rapid cell adhesion, and gentle up and down pipetting can be performed to prevent cell clumping. Only for the last capture is the collection chamber filled with medium in which the cells will be expanded. The entire procedure is summarized in figure 2C.

Experimental conditions need to be fine-tuned for different cell types. The most important parameters that need to be optimised are surface coating of both donor and collection chambers, duration and number of repeats of the sorting steps. The collection chamber should provide optimal plating efficiency to maximize cell survival of very few cells while the donor chamber should allow strong adhesion of the cells to allow thorough rinsing of free magnetic beads. In our experience collagen coating provides strong cell attachment, but also generates extracellular fibers where beads and negative cells can be entangled and captured. Gelatin solves the issue of collagen fibers, but cell adhesion is slightly reduced, which may cause cell loss during rinsing. Uncoated substrates are an easy solution for cells like U2Os but many cell types including primary cells do not proliferate well on such surfaces. Plastic bottom chambers allow better cell adhesion and survival, but their reduced optical quality may hamper the precise observation of selection criteria. In this respect Aclar possesses excellent optical properties and represents an excellent alternative. For most cell types, longer incubations (approximately 4 minutes) allow negative cells to settle down in the donor chamber, reducing the number of repeats required for optimal purity. On the contrary, experimentation with cells that adhere rapidly (e.g. MDA-MB-231), require the capture protocol to be performed as quickly as possible and more repeats may be needed.

In our hands the best results were obtained using 10 magnets each generating a 1.2 Gauss magnetic field and 2 mm deep PDMS wells. In this condition, it is important that the distance between the bottom of each chamber is kept at 6 mm to allow the magnetic field to attract all tagged cells against gravity to the collection chamber while preventing the turbulence generated by the separation of the chambers to bring negative cells into the collection chamber. Increasing this distance requires a stronger magnetic field, which in turn reduces viability of captured cells. The diameter of the
chambers should also be 5-6 mm, to ensure the necessary surface tension that allows merging and splitting the media in both donor and collections chambers.

Cell sorting using commercial magnetic cell separation columns

30,000 U2Os cells were plated in our homemade chambers one day prior to sorting. On the day of the experiment, 30 cells were arbitrarily chosen and tagged in 3 independent experiments. We manually counted and verified that the right number of cells (30) were covered with magnetic beads in each dish. Commercial MACS columns were washed with PBS containing 0.5% BSA and 2 mM EDTA as indicated by the manufacturer. Cells were detached using 60 µL trypsin and then diluted in 500 µL of the same buffer and placed in the column in the magnets from Miltenyi Biotec. Columns were rinsed three times with buffer, then removed from magnets and washed with 5 mL buffer. Cells were then centrifuged, resuspended in 70 µL medium and placed in new homemade chambers for observation and counting under the microscope. Any cell that had visible magnetic beads on its membrane was considered as a positively selected cell, while cells free of beads were counted as negative cells.

Identification and isolation of “fast resolving” live cells

Forty-eight hours after induction of GFP-53BP1 with doxycycline, U2OS cells were irradiated with 0.5 Gy of IR. A first set of images was acquired with a 40X, 0.95 NA objective 45 minutes post irradiation, to detect focus formation. Cells that displayed a > 85% reduction in the number of foci at the second time point (2 hours) were considered “fast-resolving”. Biotin-4-fluorescein (0.04 mg/mL) was then added to the medium, and such cells were illuminated for 2 seconds through a 10X 0.4NA objective with 75 µW of laser intensity at 473 nm.

Immunofluorescence and automated detection of nuclear GFP-53BP1 foci

Immunofluorescence was performed to evaluate levels of endogenous 53BP1 foci. Briefly, cells were rinsed with PBS, and fixed 15 min with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Cells were then permeabilized for 10 min with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS, rinsed twice in PBS and twice in PBS + 0.05% Tween-20 and then blocked in PBS + 3% BSA and 0.05% Tween20. Rabbit anti-53BP1 antibody (Santa-Cruz) was diluted 1:500 and incubated on the cells for 3 hours. Cells were rinsed in PBS + 0.05% Tween-20 and incubated with Alexa-488 anti-rabbit for 1 hour, washed 3 additional times, and finally imaged for focus quantification.

An image processing pipeline was programmed to fully automate DNA focus detection as we have previously done61,62. Cell nuclei were detected using the background signal of remaining free GFP-53BP1 protein by Otsu thresholding63. This initial detection was used to create a mask, where objects were filtered for their size, signal saturation, and shape. A band-pass filter was used to enhance the signal generated by objects the size of a 53BP1 focus. Local maxima were then detected using a threshold automatically calculated for each nucleus.

Mitochondria staining and imaging
Sorted multinucleated H226 cells were stained 2 and 4 days after their isolation. Mitotracker green FM (Thermofisher Scientific, M7514) was used at 150 mM for 20 minutes, followed by a 5 minutes incubation in Hoechst 33342 to stain nuclei, and WGA-alexa 647 to stain plasma membranes. Images were acquired with a 60X 1.35NA objective.

**Imaging**

Cell selection and CLaP were performed on an Olympus IX71 microscope (Olympus Corp.) with the appropriate epifluorescence filters, in medium at 37 degrees, 5% CO2, with a 10X 0.4NA objective and an Orca Flash 4.0 camera (Hamamatsu Photonics).

Images of irradiated GFP-53BP1 expressing cells were taken at two time points using a 40X, 0.85NA objective and compared to identify outliers. Since laser tagging was performed with a 10X 0.4NA objective, cells were identified in a new live image at different magnification during tagging.

Automatic acquisition of immunostained samples for characterization of large numbers of cells from purified cell populations was performed with an automated Zeiss AxioObserver Z1 Epifluorescence microscope, at room temperature in PBS with Zen Blue software and a 20X 0.85NA objective.

**Cell cycle analysis**

Exponentially growing cell cultures were trypsinized, fixed with 70% ethanol, and stored at -20°C until use. Fixed cells were washed with PBS and treated with 0.5% triton X-100 for 10 minutes at room temperature. After washing with PBS, cells were resuspended in PBS containing 2 μg/ml propidium iodide and 0.2 mg/mL RNase A and incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature. Samples were analysed by flow cytometry on a FACSCalibur instrument (Becton-Dickinson). Data was analyzed with FlowJo v10 software, and cell cycle phases were determined using the Watson algorithm.

**Conditioned medium**

U2OS cells were plated at a density of 2 million cells per 10 cm dish. 24 hours later, medium was removed and filtered through a 0.2 μm filter to ensure sterility and remove any floating cells. Conditioned medium was always prepared fresh.

**Polymerase chain reaction**

10 cells were resuspended in 40 μL of water and boiled for 10 minutes. Samples were subjected to 24 PCR cycles using Agilent Herculase II with primer sets specific for the mitochondrial gene Cytb of either dog or mouse. 2μL of each reaction were then used for PCR or qPCR with each primer set. Total genomic DNA from either dog or mouse cells were used as controls. The primers used are Cytb1L(5’- CATAGCCACAGCATTCCATGG -3’), Cytb1R(5’- GGATCCGGTTTCGTAGAA -3’), and Cytb2L(5’- CCTCAAAGCAAGCCCTA -3’), Cytb2R(5’- TCTTCGATAATTCTCTGATTGG -3’), which respectively amplify fragments of 247 nt and 196 nt from the Cytb gene of dog and mouse. Quantitative PCR was
performed with the above primer pairs using the 2X SYBR Green Master Mix (Bimake) and an ABI7500 instrument (ThermoFisher). The amount of dog and mouse DNA in each sample was calculated using standard curves made from serial dilutions of genomic DNA isolated from each cell type.

**Immunoblotting**

Immunoblotting was performed with total cellular extract using standard protocols. Antibodies used were rabbit anti-53BP1 (Santa-Cruz, sc-22760) and rat anti-tubulin (Abcam, ab6161).
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Figure legends

Figure 1. A. Outline of scMOCa. Biotin-4-fluorescein is crosslinked to cell membranes with a laser. Biotin-tagged cells are labeled with streptavidin-coated ferromagnetic beads and captured with a magnet. B. Example of a confluent U2OS cell culture where only cells illuminated with the lasers of a confocal microscope are densely decorated with magnetic particles. Beads appear in white, and all cellular membranes in red, tagged with WGA-Alexa647. Scale bar: 500µm. C. Schematic illustrating the simple tools needed to implement the protocol. Two small cell culture chambers cast in silicone and adhered to coverglasses are positioned one on top of the other. Cells in the bottom chamber are attracted to the top collection chamber by a magnetic field. A nail is placed above the collection chamber to guide the field generated by magnets to the donor chamber in which the cell suspension is kept. The collection chamber is held between two Lego bricks, filled with a solution of Trypsin (held in place by surface tension), and then slowly approached 6 mm above the bottom chamber, at which point the two drops merge.

Figure 2. Images of cells functionalized with magnetic beads before (left, original chamber) and after (right, collection chamber) sorting. Beads appear in white (transmission image), and plasma membranes, tagged with WGA-Alexa555, in red (fluorescence image). Experiments were performed by tagging and sorting 1 cell (A) or 5 cells (B). In each case, it is apparent that all selected cells (left) are efficiently extracted (right) without contamination as the number of cells on the images on the right corresponds to the number of cells tagged. Tagged cells are easily recognized as they are covered with beads in both images. Scale bars: 50µm.

Figure 3. A. Capture efficiency for 1, 5, 10, and 50 selected cells for a total of 27 experiments. Red dots represent experiments performed with glass as a cell culture substrate and blue dots correspond to experiments using Aclar as a substrate. The horizontal axis represents the number of target cells, considered as the number of cells illuminated with the laser. Ordinate axis shows the number of cells detected on the collection chamber after capture, and the line corresponds to 100% success rate. A linear fit of the data yielded a slope of 0.99, demonstrating that scMOCa is highly efficient in retrieving all target cells, after testing 1 to 50 cells. B, C. Mouse (fluorescent) and dog (non-fluorescent) cell lines were co-cultured and only dog cells were illuminated and captured. PCR on a mitochondrial gene shows that all extracted cells form a pure sample and are exclusively dog cells. Table C shows the number of cells detected in each condition in three repeats of the experiment. These numbers are calculated from the amount of detected DNA normalized to the expected amount in one cell. A and B are independent experiments in which two different dishes were prepared, tagged and sorted prior to PCR.

Figure 4. A. Images showing scMOCa-captured cells stained with WGA-Alexa-647. A. LF-1 fibroblasts 1 (left) and 4 (right) days after sorting. Scale bar 80µm. B. Primary DRG neurons 2 (left) and 4 right) days after sorting. Scale bars: 25µm (left) and 80µm (right) C. HUVECs 3 (left) and 6 (right) days after sorting. Scale bar: 80µm. D. Mouse embryonic stem cells seven days after sorting (left) and 5 days after starting differentiation (15 days after sorting) (right). Prior to differentiation, only a bright field image is shown to preserve cell viability. After
differentiation, we stained cells with WGA-Alexa647, and merged the image with a bright field photo to increase contrast and better see cellular extensions. Scale bar: 40 µm.

**Figure 5.** Capture and expansion of individual cells that differ in their capacity to resolve ionizing radiation-induced 53BP1 foci. **A.** Nuclei from irradiated cells 40 min (top) and 90 min (bottom) post-irradiation. Two cells (red arrows) resolved 53BP1 foci more rapidly and were selected for capture. Scale bar: 14 µm. **B, C.** Smoothed normalized histograms showing the fraction of cells detected as a function of the number of induced GFP-53BP1 (B) or endogenous 53BP1 (C) foci for 5 time points. Sorted Populations #1 and #2 resolve foci faster than their parental counterpart as illustrated by the more rapid shift towards the left (zero foci per cell) observed for these two populations. **D.** Illustration of automatic nuclei segmentation and detection of foci (top) and source image (bottom). Objects detected as nuclei are circled in red, segmented foci appear as green circles. Scale bar 25 µm. **E.** Immunoblot showing the amount of 53BP1 at 0, 60, 90 minutes post-irradiation in doxycycline induced cells (+) and non-induced cells (-). 53BP1 levels are not altered in Populations #1 or #2 compared to the parental cells. **F.** Cell cycle profiles of U2OS GFP-53BP1 parental cell lines and two extracted populations. Cultures were induced with Dox for 48h and cell cycle was analyzed by DNA content flow cytometry (see material and methods). Values represent the means +/- SEM of three independent experiments. All focus quantification graphs represent the average of 3 experiments, where in each case at least 200 cells were scored.

**Figure 6.** Examples of sorted multinucleated H226 cells one (A, C) and two (B, D) days after scMOCa. Active mitochondria (Mitotracker) appear in green, plasma membrane (WGA-Alexa 647) in red, an nuclei (Hoechst) in white. Scale bar: 15 µm. **E:** 3T3 cell population partially differentiated into adipocytes. Two cells (pointed by arrows) have been tagged with magnetic beads. Three other cells are also differentiated in adipocytes in this field of view but were not selected. **F:** Cells were captured using scMOCA and kept in culture for 6 days before imaging. Cells were stained with WGA-Alexa 647 to highlight membranes. Small black circles are magnetic beads while lipid vesicles appear as small clear circles. Scale bar: 30 µm.

**Supplementary figure legends**

**Figure 1-supplement 1.** Left: A fraction of cells in a culture was tagged with cleavable magnetic beads using scMOCa. Right: after incubation with DNAse, beads are cleaved and cells remain free of beads. Scale bar: 200 µm.

**Figure 1-supplement 2.** Step by step protocol to tag and isolate cells using scMOCa.
**Figure 1-supplement 3.** Instructions to create a simple platform to hold both chambers, the magnets and the nail.

**Figure 1-supplement 4.** Three magnets were inserted inside a hollow Lego brick to magnetically hold the rest of the pile in position for sorting.

**Figure 3-supplement 1.** Calibration curves used to calculate the number of cells from qPCR product. Abscises values are in the range of $1/10^{th}$ of a cell to 100 cells which highlight that our experimental conditions allow detection of an amount of DNA corresponding to less than a cell.

**Figure 6-supplement 1.** Two examples of cells that were killed with sodium azide then stained with mitotracker green and Hoechst. This negative control confirms the cells shown in figure 6 are live cells. When cells are alive, mitotracker green stains filament shaped mitochondria, whereas when cells are dead, the spotty signal it generates is distributed everywhere within the cytoplasm.
Figure 1. A. Outline of scMOCa. Biotin-4-fluorescein is crosslinked to cell membranes with a laser. Biotin-tagged cells are labeled with streptavidin-coated ferromagnetic beads and captured with a magnet. B. Example of a confluent U2OS cell culture where only cells illuminated with the lasers of a confocal microscope are densely decorated with magnetic particles. Beads appear in white, and all cellular membranes in red, tagged with WGA-Alexa647. Scale bar: 500µm. C. Schematic illustrating the simple tools needed to implement the protocol. Two small cell culture chambers cast in silicone and adhered to coverglasses are positioned one on top of the other. Cells in the bottom chamber are attracted to the top collection chamber by a magnetic field. A nail is placed above the collection chamber to guide the field generated by magnets to the donor chamber in which the cell suspension is kept. The collection chamber is held between two Lego bricks, filled with a solution of Trypsin (held in place by surface tension), and then slowly approached 6 mm above the bottom chamber, at which point the two drops merge.
Figure 2. Images of cells functionalized with magnetic beads before (left, original chamber) and after (right, collection chamber) sorting. Beads appear in white (transmission image), and plasma membranes, tagged with WGA-Alexa555, in red (fluorescence image). Experiments were performed by tagging and sorting 1 cell (A) or 5 cells (B). In each case, it is apparent that all selected cells (left) are efficiently extracted (right) without contamination as the number of cells on the images on the right corresponds to the number of cells tagged. Tagged cells are easily recognized as they are covered with beads in both images. Scale bars: 50µm.
Figure 3. A. Capture efficiency for 1, 5, 10, and 50 selected cells for a total of 27 experiments. Red dots represent experiments performed with glass as a cell culture substrate and blue dots correspond to experiments using Aclar as a substrate. The horizontal axis represents the number of target cells, considered as the number of cells illuminated with the laser. Ordinate axis shows the number of cells detected on the collection chamber after capture, and the line corresponds to 100% success rate. A linear fit of the data yielded a slope of 0.99, demonstrating that scMOCa is highly efficient in retrieving all target cells, after testing 1 to 50 cells. B, C. Mouse (fluorescent) and dog (non-fluorescent) cell lines were co-cultured and only dog cells were illuminated and captured. PCR on a mitochondrial gene shows that all extracted cells form a pure sample and are exclusively dog cells. Table C shows the number of cells detected in each condition in three repeats of the experiment. These numbers are calculated from the amount of detected DNA normalized to the expected amount in one cell. A and B are independent experiments in which two different dishes were prepared, tagged and sorted prior to PCR.
Figure 4. A. Images showing scMOCa-captured cells stained with WGA-Alexa-647. A. LF-1 fibroblasts 1 (left) and 4 (right) days after sorting. Scale bar 80µm. B. Primary DRG neurons 2 (left) and 4 right) days after sorting. Scale bars: 25µm (left) and 80µm (right) C. HUVECs 3 (left) and 6 (right) days after sorting. Scale bar: 80µm. D. Mouse embryonic stem cells seven days after sorting (left) and 5 days after starting differentiation (15 days after sorting) (right). Prior to differentiation, only a bright field image is shown to preserve cell viability. After differentiation, we stained cells with WGA-Alexa647, and merged the image with a bright field photo to increase contrast and better see cellular extensions. Scale bar: 40 µm.
Figure 5. Capture and expansion of individual cells that differ in their capacity to resolve ionizing radiation-induced 53BP1 foci. A. Nuclei from irradiated cells 40 min (top) and 90 min (bottom) post-irradiation. Two cells (red arrows) resolved 53BP1 foci more rapidly and were selected for capture. Scale bar: 14µm. B, C. Smoothed normalized histograms showing the fraction of cells detected as a function of the number of induced GFP-53BP1 (B) or endogenous 53BP1 (C) foci for 5 time points. Sorted Populations #1 and #2 resolve foci faster than their parental counterpart as illustrated by the more rapid shift towards the left (zero foci per cell) observed for these two populations. D. Illustration of automatic nuclei segmentation and detection of foci (top) and source image (bottom). Objects detected as nuclei are circled in red, segmented foci appear as green circles. Scale bar 25 µm. E. Immunoblot showing the amount of 53BP1 at 0, 60, 90 minutes post-irradiation in doxycycline induced cells (+) and non-induced cells (-). 53BP1 levels are not altered in Populations #1 or #2 compared to the parental cells. F. Cell cycle profiles of U2OS GFP-53BP1 parental cell lines and two extracted populations. Cultures were induced with Dox for 48h and cell cycle was analyzed by DNA content flow cytometry (see material and methods). Values represent the means +/- SEM of three independent experiments. All focus quantification graphs represent the average of 3 experiments, where in each case at least 200 cells were scored.
Figure 6. Examples of sorted multinucleated H226 cells one (A, C) and two (B, D) days after scMOCa. Active mitochondria (Mitotracker) appear in green, plasma membrane (WGA-Alexa 647) in red, an nuclei (Hoechst) in white. Scale bar: 15µm. E: 3T3 cell population partially differentiated into adipocytes. Two cells (pointed by arrows) have been tagged with magnetic beads. Three other cells are also differentiated in adipocytes in this field of view but were not selected. F: Cells were captured using scMOCA and kept in culture for 6 days before imaging. Cells were stained with WGA-Alexa 647 to highlight membranes. Small black circles are magnetic beads while lipid vesicles appear as small clear circles. Scale bar: 30µm.
**Figure 1-supplement 1.** Left: A fraction of cells in a culture was tagged with cleavable magnetic beads using scMOCa. Right: after incubation with DNAse, beads are cleaved and cells remain free of beads. Scale bar: 200µm.
Figure1-supplement 2: Step by step protocol to tag and isolate cells using scMOCa.
Figure 1 Supplement 3. Instructions to create a simple platform to hold both chambers, the magnets and the nail.
Figure 1-supplement 4: Three magnets were inserted inside a hollow Lego brick to magnetically hold the rest of the pile in position for sorting.
Figure 3 Supplement 1. Calibration curves used to calculate the number of cells from qPCR product. Abscises values are in the range of 1/100th of a cell to 100 cells which highlight that our experimental conditions allow detection of an amount of DNA corresponding to less than a cell.
Figure 6-supplement 1. Two examples of cells that were killed with sodium azide then stained with mitotracker green and Hoechst. This negative control confirms the cells shown in figure 6 are live cells. When cells are alive, mitotracker green stains filament shaped mitochondria, whereas when cells are dead, the spotty signal it generates is distributed everywhere within the cytoplasm.