Distinct mechanisms of microRNA sorting into cancer cell-derived extracellular vesicle subtypes
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ABSTRACT

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) encompass a variety of vesicles secreted into the extracellular space. EVs have been implicated in promoting tumor metastasis, but the molecular composition of tumor-derived EV sub-types and the mechanisms by which molecules are sorted into EVs remain mostly unknown. We report the separation of two small EV sub-populations from a metastatic breast cancer cell line, with biochemical features consistent with different sub-cellular origins. These EV sub-types use different mechanisms of miRNA sorting (selective and non-selective), suggesting that sorting occurs via fundamentally distinct processes, possibly dependent on EV origin. Using biochemical and genetic tools, we identified the Lupus La protein as mediating sorting of selectively packaged miRNAs. We found that two motifs embedded in miR-122 are responsible for high-affinity binding to
Lupus La and sorting into vesicles formed in a cell-free reaction. Thus, tumor cells can simultaneously deploy multiple EV species using distinct sorting mechanisms that may enable diverse functions in normal and cancer biology.

INTRODUCTION

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are membranous compartments that consist of a lipid bilayer with a unique set of transmembrane proteins enclosing soluble contents that include nucleic acids and proteins (Colombo, Raposo, and Thery 2014). EVs are found in biofluids as well as in conditioned media of cultured cells (Pisitkun, Shen, and Knepper 2004; Caby et al. 2005; Admyre et al. 2007; Vella et al. 2007). Cells release an array of EV sub-populations, which can be broadly classified into two categories: exosomes and shedding vesicles, distinguished by the cell membrane of origin. Exosomes are 30-150 nm vesicles that originate in the endocytic pathway. Their secretion to the extracellular space occurs upon multivesicular body (MVB) fusion with the plasma membrane resulting in the release of intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) to the extracellular space (Harding, Heuser, and Stahl 1983; Pan et al. 1985). Shedding vesicles, or microvesicles, refer to a more heterogeneous group of EVs, with sizes ranging from 30 to 1,000 nm, which originate by budding directly from the plasma membrane (Cocucci, Racchetti, and Meldolesi 2009). Shedding vesicles and exosomes are also termed as large and small EVs respectively. Their isolation is generally achieved by differential ultracentrifugation. Evidence, however, that multiple EV species are being co-isolated as small EVs (sEVs) has arisen, proving that the sEV fraction represents a crude mix of different EV species. Studies further purifying different sEVs sub-populations have shown that they carry different protein signatures (Kowal et al. 2016).
Moreover, distinct sEV sub-populations have been implicated in mediating various physiological responses (Willms et al. 2016). Despite the current knowledge of sEV heterogeneity, most current methods used to isolate sEVs do not distinguish between sEV sub-populations, nor do they separate sEVs from protein and RNA-protein complexes (RNPs) that are not EV encapsulated (Shurtleff, Temoche-Diaz, and Schekman 2018). New methods to facilitate the purification of sEV sub-populations are needed in order to evaluate the physiological role and mechanism of macromolecular sorting into each species.

The lipid membrane of EVs confers protection to its soluble contents against extracellular hydrolases, thus increasing their stability in the extracellular space. The EV soluble content consists of a variety of proteins as well as small RNA molecules (Raposo and Stoorvogel 2013). Among the EV resident transcripts, miRNAs have garnered special attention. MiRNAs are ~22 nucleotide transcripts that modulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level (Bartel 2004). Although the functional importance of EV-miRNAs, specially sEV-miRNAs, as signaling molecules has received some support, including in immunologic response and metastatic tumor cell growth (Mittelbrunn et al. 2011; Montecalvo et al. 2012; Pegtel et al. 2010; Fong et al. 2015; Dickman et al. 2017; Zhou et al. 2014; Tominaga et al. 2015; Hsu et al. 2017), the molecular mechanisms and regulation of sorting miRNAs into sEVs remain poorly understood. Both, non-selective (Tosar et al. 2015) and selective (Shurtleff et al. 2016; Santangelo et al. 2016; Villarroya-Beltri et al. 2013) mechanisms of miRNA sorting into EVs have been described. One study using two breast epithelial cell lines found that non-selective miRNA sorting occurs in large EVs,
referred to as “large-exosomes”, whereas a selective mechanism of sorting occurs in sEVs (Tosar et al. 2015). The sEV fraction however was not further purified into sEV sub-populations. Therefore the conclusion that selective sorting occurs in the sEV fraction could have been the result of only one or few sEV sub-populations skewing the results.

Cancer cells produce more EVs than their non-transformed counterparts (Szczepanski et al. 2011; Rodriguez et al. 2014). Thus, EVs have been the focus of attention in the context of cancer biology. Several studies have implicated EVs in cancer metastasis (Peinado et al. 2017). EVs, especially sEVs, have been proposed to increase the metastatic potential of cancer cells and to prepare the pre-metastatic niche prior to tumor cell arrival. Importantly, some studies suggest these effects are due to exosomal-mediated miRNA transfer (Fong et al. 2015; Zhou et al. 2014; Tominaga et al. 2015; Rana, Malinowska, and Zoller 2013; Hsu et al. 2017). However, due to the heterogeneity of sEVs and the procedures used to isolate them, it is unclear which vesicle subtypes may mediate these processes.

To gain knowledge of the molecular mechanisms behind miRNA sorting into distinct sEV sub-populations, we used as a model the highly invasive breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231. We used buoyant density gradient centrifugation to resolve two distinct sEV sub-populations and found they contain different subsets and levels of enrichment of various miRNAs. With the aid of a cell-free reaction that recapitulates the sorting of miRNAs into vesicles formed \textit{in vitro} (Shurtleff et al, 2016), we found that the Lupus La protein (La protein) is required for the selective sorting of miRNAs found in the high buoyant density
sEV species, a conclusion that was confirmed in cells repressed for the expression of the La protein. At least two La-dependent miRNAs were previously reported to be selectively sorted into sEVs to promote cancer metastasis (Fong et al. 2015; Dickman et al. 2017). Further, using the cell-free reaction, we identified two La-binding motifs that are required for the selective sorting of miR-122 \textit{in vitro}. Overall, our use of biochemical fractionation and \textit{in vitro} reconstitution enabled us to identify La as another one of the RNA-binding proteins required for the selective packaging of some miRNAs into EVs.

\section*{RESULTS}

\textbf{Two biochemically distinct small extracellular vesicle sub-populations are released by MDA-MB-231 cells}

To examine the miRNA content of sEVs released by the metastatic breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231, we first sought to separate sEVs from contaminating RNA and protein species that co-sediment during common EV isolation procedures (Shurtleff, Temoche-Diaz, and Schekman 2018). To achieve this goal, we developed an EV purification strategy involving differential ultracentrifugation followed by buoyant density flotation on a linear iodixanol gradient (Figure 1a and figure 1 – figure supplement 1). This approach allowed the resolution of two distinct sEV species, termed the vesicular low density (vLD) and vesicular high density (vHD) sub-populations (Figure 1b). Both sEV sub-populations fractionated away from non-vesicular RNPs, as shown by the presence of Ago2 and dicer in the denser areas of the gradient (Figure 1b). This result is in accordance with previous studies finding the presence of Ago2 in the crude 100,000g pellet, but not associated with
vesicular fractions when more stringent methods of purification were applied (Shurtleff et al. 2016; Van Deun et al. 2014; Jeppesen et al. 2019). Quantification of each protein marker along the gradient showed that classical EV reporters exhibited a differential distribution to one or the other of the sEV sub-populations, whereas Ago2 and dicer did not float and remained in the denser fractions (Figure 1c).

A recent study reported the buoyant density separation of vesicular and non-vesicular particulate material in the high-speed pellet fraction of cell culture-conditioned medium (Jeppesen et al. 2019). Low buoyant density vesicles and non-vesicular high density fractions were resolved on a 12-36% iodixanol gradient. Our results document that Ago2 and dicer remain in the higher density (bottom) of the gradient (Figure 1b and Figure 4 - supplement 1), separated from membrane protein markers of EVs. The use of a slightly modified iodixanol gradient (5-25%), and the collection of 30 fractions (Figure 1b) permitted a higher level of membrane resolution including the separation of two distinct buoyant density vesicle species, termed vLD and vHD.

Nanoparticle tracking analysis of the two sEV sub-populations demonstrated that vesicle sizes largely overlapped, with vHD vesicles (114.1 nm mean diameter) being slightly smaller on average than vLD vesicles (121.8 nm mean diameter) (Figure 1d). Quantification of the total particle number of both sEV sub-populations showed that there were approximately 30% more vLD vesicles released into the medium in comparison to the vHD counterpart (Figure 1e). The vHD sub-population had densities ranging from 1.14-1.16 g/ml as was reported for exosomes (Colombo, Raposo, and Thery 2014).
Furthermore, CD63, a tetraspanin enriched in MVBs and an exosomal marker, was highly enriched in the vHD fraction (Figure 1b and 1c) as were other endosome-associated proteins identified by mass spectrometry (Figure 2 – source data 1). The vLD sub-population had a density ranging from 1.09-1.11 g/ml, had greatly reduced CD63 signal (Figure 1b) and lacked endosome-associated proteins as judged by mass spectrometry (Figure 2 – source data 1).

Gene ontology analysis for sub-cellular localization of the proteins detected in the vHD sub-population by mass spectrometry clustered the terms exosomes and endosomes together (Fig 2a). The vLD-detected proteins showed exosomal marker proteins, but endosomal markers were not found at statistically significant levels (Fig 2b). We then analyzed the sub-cellular localization of two tetraspanins enriched in each sEV sub-population by immunofluorescence microscopy: CD9 and CD63, enriched in vLD and vHD respectively (figure 1b). The two analyzed tetraspanins showed a distinctive sub-cellular localization, with CD9 mostly localizing in the plasma membrane (Figure 2c) and CD63 displaying an intracellular localization (Figure 2d). This result is in accordance with a recent study showing that CD9 has a mainly a plasma membrane sub-cellular localization, whereas CD63 localizes to endosomes (Gould, Fordjour, and Daaboul 2019). Thus, we hypothesize that the vHD and vLD vesicles have different sub-cellular origins.

The Rab27a protein is involved in the fusion of MVBs to the plasma membrane, regulating in this way exosomal secretion (Ostrowski et al. 2010). We created a Rab27a knock out cell line using CRISPR-Cas9 technology (Fig 2e). Extracellular vesicles were
isolated from conditioned medium of WT and Rab27a knock out cells and analyzed by immunoblot for the levels of two tetraspanins defining the two sEV sub-populations: CD63 as a protein marker defining the vHD sub-population and CD9 defining the vLD sub-population (Figure 1b). sEVs derived from the Rab27a KO background showed a dramatic decrease of CD63 signal, while secreting seemingly similar levels of CD9-positive vesicles (Figure 2f) in comparison to the WT levels. Our results are in accordance with a previous study showing that the secretion of CD63-positive, but not of CD9-positive vesicles, is Rab27a dependent (Bobrie et al. 2012). However, despite the dramatic reduction of secretion of CD63 positive vesicles under the Rab27a KO background, there was only a subtle reduction for the vHD enriched marker flotillin-2 (Figure 2g). Thus suggesting that the vHD vesicle fraction may comprise at least two different types of sEV sub-populations, with at least one of them having its origin in the endocytic pathway. This possibility is consistent with our previous demonstration that sEVs secreted by HEK293 cells contain a population of vesicles that bind to immobilized CD63 antibody and a fraction containing flotillin-2 that does not (Shurtleff et al., 2017).

The Rab35 protein has also being implicated in mediating exosomal secretion in oligodendrocytes (Hsu et al. 2010). Thus, we created a Rab35 knock out cell line (Figure 2c). When sEVs derived from the Rab35 KO background were probed for CD63 and CD9 signals, no apparent difference was observed in comparison to EVs derived from WT cells (Figure 2e). Thus, Rab27a but not Rab35, is involved in the secretion of CD63-positive vesicles derived from MDA-MB-231 cells. Collectively, our data are most consistent with
vHD vesicles having at least one type of vesicle originating in the endocytic pathway, whereas vLD vesicles may bud directly from the plasma membrane.

We next probed the small RNA content of the separated vesicle fractions. An initial qualitative assessment of RNA profiles using a Bioanalyzer showed that both vLD and vHD sub-populations share a predominant peak at ~70 nucleotides (Figure 1e), which later proved to correspond to tRNAs (Figure 3 – figure supplement 2d). However, there was an apparent enrichment of transcripts smaller than 70 nucleotides in the vHD sub-population (Figure 1e) that we hypothesized represented miRNAs. As such, we considered the possibility that the distinct sEV sub-populations might differ in their miRNA content.

Distinct molecular mechanisms of miRNA sorting govern the discrete small extracellular vesicle sub-populations.

To further analyze the miRNA content of the vHD and vLD vesicles, we used two orthogonal approaches: targeted miRNA profiling and an unbiased sequencing approach. Using the commercially available Firefly particle technology, Discovery Panel (Abcam), we compared the abundance of 408 miRNAs in the vLD and vHD sEV sub-populations. Out of the ~400 tested miRNAs, 312 showed a detectable signal in the vHD sub-population and 217 were detected in the vLD counterpart. We found 21 miRNAs present in the vHD vesicles that were enriched at least 10-fold in comparison to their intracellular levels (Figure 3a). In contrast, only 2 miRNAs detected in the vLD sub-population showed over a 10-fold change relative to cell lysate (Figure 3b). Both of the apparent vLD-enriched miRNAs were also present as highly enriched in the vHD sub-population (Figure 3a). Their
enrichment in the vLD sub-population was much lower than in the vHD sub-population (Figure 3–figure supplement 2), suggesting their detection in the vLD sub-population may have been the result of small amounts of vHD vesicles co-fractionating with the vLD vesicles during flotation. Validation by RT-qPCR suggested that these enriched miRNAs were highly specific to the vHD sub-population (Figure 3c), confirming our initial suspicion.

As an orthogonal and unbiased approach to profile EV miRNA, we used thermostable group II intron reverse transcriptase sequencing (TGIRT-seq). The TGIRT enzyme is a thermostable, highly processive reverse transcriptase with a proficient template-switching activity used for RNA-seq adapter addition (Mohr et al. 2013). This enzyme's properties allowed us to generate comprehensive cDNA libraries that included highly structured and modified transcripts. Also due to its properties, TGIRT allows the generation of cDNA libraries from low input material (Qin et al. 2016a; Shurtleff et al. 2017). The data obtained from TGIRT-seq was normalized to total number of small non-coding RNA reads per sample. As previously described for EVs using TGIRT-seq (Shurtleff et al. 2017), we found that miRNAs represented a relatively low proportion of total reads (3.4% and 1% of the mapped reads for vHD and vLD, respectively), resulting in detection of fewer miRNAs by TGIRT-seq than by Firefly profiling. Nevertheless, TGIRT-seq recapitulated the general trends observed by Firefly: multiple miRNAs enriched by at least 10-fold in the vHD sample compared to cells (Figure 3–figure supplement 2a) and fewer enriched miRNAs in the vLD sample compared to cells (Figure 3–figure supplement 2b). Out of the 4 apparent vLD enriched miRNAs detected by TGIRT-seq, 3 were also detected as highly enriched in the vHD sub-population, suggesting again that their presence was a
result of carryover material overlapping the buoyant density gradient fractions. The total number of uniquely detected vHD miRNAs by TGIRT-seq (detectable in the vHD sample, but absent in the intracellular lysate) was 21. Comparatively, only 5 miRNAs were uniquely found in the vLD sub-population (Figure 3 – figure supplement 2c).

In agreement with our previous findings (Shurtleff et al. 2017), we found that the most abundant small non-coding transcripts in EVs are tRNAs (representing 88.6% of vHD and 96.3% of vLD transcripts – Figure 3 – figure supplement 2d). We found that most of the tRNA reads in both EV sub-populations start at approximately position 16 (Figure 3- figure supplement 2e), which may represent a reverse transcription stop at the same unidentified EV-enriched D-loop modification described previously (Shurtleff et al. 2017). Thus, both sEV sub-populations share a modified tRNA version as their most abundant small non-coding transcript.

We next validated the top EV-enriched/unique miRNAs per vesicle sub-population using RT-qPCR. Relative levels for each EV sub-population were compared to intracellular levels. We found that all of our top vHD candidates were validated by this method. As expected, the tested vHD miRNAs were highly enriched in the vHD EV sample relative to the intracellular levels. The vHD/intracellular lysate ratio ranged from ~50-fold to ~1,000-fold (Figure 3c). In contrast, none of our top vLD miRNA candidates were validated as being highly enriched in comparison to their intracellular levels. The vLD/intracellular lysate ratio ranged from ~0.5-fold to ~5-fold (Figure 3d). We then compared relative levels for each EV sub-population to each other in order to test for miRNA vesicle specificity. vHD-
enriched miRNAs were highly depleted in the vLD vesicles, as seen by >10-fold increase in their vHD/vLD ratio (Figure 3c). In contrast, the tested vLD miRNAs had roughly the same abundance or were depleted in comparison to their relative levels in vHD vesicles (Figure 3d). This proved to be true not only for the few miRNAs tested by RT-qPCR, but was also a general trend for multiple miRNAs detected by Firefly profiling, which demonstrated that many miRNAs were more abundant in the vHD vesicles than in their vLD counterpart (Figure 3e). All of this together suggested that a selective mechanism of miRNA sorting occurs in the vHD sub-population with much less or no miRNA selective sorting in the vLD pool.

Highly enriched (>50-fold change vHD/cellular lysate) and specific (>20-fold change vHD/vLD) vHD miRNAs were then tested to confirm that they were bona fide EV residents, and not simply EV-associated. The high-speed pellet fraction was exposed to ribonuclease in the absence or presence of non-ionic detergent. All of the highly enriched, specific vHD miRNAs tested proved to be EV encapsulated: they were resistant to RNase in the absence but not the presence of detergent (Figure 3f).

These results suggest that a selective miRNA sorting mechanism into sEVs occurs in the biogenesis of the vHD sub-population but less so or not at all in the vLD sub-population. Thus, we identified two distinct sEV sub-populations that are released by MDA-MB-231 cells that utilize fundamentally different miRNA sorting mechanisms (selective vs non-selective).
Selective miR-122 sorting into vesicles is recapitulated in vitro

We selected miR-122 for further biochemical analysis as it has been shown that circulating miR-122 can serve as a prognostic biomarker for metastasis in patients with breast cancer (Wu et al. 2012) and very specifically that MDA-MB-231 cell-derived exosomal miR-122 may promote metastasis by reprogramming glucose metabolism in the premetastatic niche (Fong et al. 2015). Because miR-122 is present in the fetal bovine serum (FBS) used to culture cells (Wei et al. 2016), we employed clarified FBS depleted of sedimentable particles to confirm that miR-122 was released by MDA-MB-231 cells. We observed an accumulation of miR-122 over time in conditioned media exposed to MDA-MB-231 cells, whereas the level of miR-122 slightly decreased over time when conditioned media was incubated in the absence of cells (Figure 3 – figure supplement 3). This suggested that newly synthesized MDA-MB-231 cell-derived miR-122 was secreted into the extracellular space. Moreover, when the levels of mir-122 were tested across the iodixanol linear gradient, we observed co-fractionation of mir-122 with the vHD fraction, substantially separated from RNP particles at the bottom of the gradient (Figure 3 – figure supplement 4a, b and c). Thus confirming our previous observation that mir-122 is a vHD specific transcript (Figure 3c).

To explore the mechanism(s) by which miR-122 is selectively sorted into the MDA-MB-231 vHD EV sub-population, we first sought to recapitulate miR-122 packaging in vitro. An assay for the cell-free packaging of a miRNA into putative exosomal vesicles was previously developed in our laboratory (Shurtleff et al. 2016). The reaction includes sedimented membranes and clarified cytosol, obtained from mechanically ruptured
HEK293T cells, along with synthetic miRNA and ATP. After an incubation of 20 min at 30°C, RNA incorporated into vesicles was monitored by protection against degradation by exogenous RNase. Protected RNA was then extracted and quantified by RT-qPCR. The outcome of the reaction is represented as the percentage of initial input miRNA that has become protected over the incubation period (Figure 4a). Using a modified version of our previously published reaction, we found that maximum protection of miR-122 in lysates of MDA-MB-231 cells required membranes, cytosol and incubation at a physiological temperature (Figure 4b), as was previously observed for miR-223 in lysates of HEK293T cells (Shurtleff et al. 2016). Therefore, miR-122 packaging into vesicles in lysates of MDA-MB-231 cells may be recapitulated in vitro.

Identifying in vitro miR-122 RNA-binding protein partners

Having detected miR-122 packaging in vitro, we next sought to utilize this approach to study the mechanism of miR-122 sorting into MDA-MB-231 sEVs. We first tested if miR-122 packaging was YBX1-dependent. YBX1 is a RNA-binding protein (RBP) previously found to be required for miR-223 packaging into vesicles in vitro and into sEVs secreted by HEK293T cells (Shurtleff et al. 2016). Using membranes and cytosol from WT and ybx1 null HEK293T cells, we repeated the demonstration that miR-223 packaging was YBX1-dependent, but found that miR-122 was packaged nearly normally in lysates devoid of YBX1 protein (Figure 4c).

Several RBPs have been implicated in miRNA sorting into sEVs from different cell types (Shurtleff et al. 2016; Mukherjee et al. 2016; Santangelo et al. 2016; Villarroya-Beltri
et al. 2013). As such, in order to study the RBP(s) that might mediate miR-122 packaging in
MDA-MB-231 cells, we performed an in vitro packaging reaction employing a 3’-biotinylated form of miR-122 to allow the capture of the miRNA and any bound proteins.

Briefly, following in vitro packaging, reactions were treated with RNase, the RNase activity was quenched and the membranes solubilized with Triton X-100. Once the luminal content was released, biotinylated miR-122, along with its protein interactors, was captured with streptavidin beads. Proteins were eluted with Laemmli buffer, extracted from a SDS-polyacrylamide gel and the eluted fraction used for mass spectrometry. The proteins detected by mass spectrometry were curated for RBPs, except that any ribosomal proteins were excluded (Figure 4d). We decided to focus on the top three candidates, nucleolin (NCL), Lupus La (La) and nucleophosmin (NPM1). These three RBPs have been reported to be present in crude high-speed pellet preparations from conditioned media from different carcinoma cell lines (Liang et al. 2013; Demory Beckler et al. 2013), including from our model cell line MDA-MD-231 (Skottvoll et al. 2018).

Notably, Ago2 was not detected bound to miR-122 in our mass spectrometry results. This was not simply an artifact of our in vitro system, as Ago2 was also undetectable in immunoblots of the buoyant density fractionated sEV membranes (Figure 1 b and Figure 4 – figure supplement 1). Both, Ago2 and Dicer were present in the high-speed pellet, but not as buoyant species, suggesting they are associated with co-purifying RNP complexes that are not vesicle-associated. This finding is in accordance with other published data (Shurtleff et al. 2016; Van Deun et al. 2014; Jeppesen et al. 2019) where Ago2 is detected in
the high-speed pellet but absent in the vesicle sample after more stringent purification methods are used.

To test the relevance of the three RBPs in miR-122 packaging into sEVs, we used CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) (Gilbert et al. 2013; Horlbeck et al. 2016) to systematically knock down each protein in MDA-MB-231 cells. CRISPRi promotes gene silencing by repressing transcription of the target gene. Importantly, unlike siRNA or shRNA, CRISPRi silences genes independently of the RISC machinery. Because the RISC machinery binds to miRNAs and is responsible for miRNA-mediated gene silencing (Bartel 2004), we avoided any artificial overload of the RISC machinery that might result in unpredictable effects on the miRNA sorting in our system.

Using CRISPRi, we prepared cytosols from MDA-MB-231 cells depleted of nucleophosmin and La that were then used in the in vitro packaging reactions. Both nucleophosmin and La were efficiently knocked down using this system (Figure 4–figure supplement 2a). However, nucleolin knock-down resulted in apparent cellular arrest with subsequent cell death. Thus, nucleolin functional analysis was not possible by knock-down. Nucleophosmin-depleted cytosol produced a mild packaging phenotype only at reduced cytosol concentrations (3 mg/ml) (Figure 4–figure supplement 2b). In contrast, La-depleted cytosol showed severely reduced miR-122 packaging efficiency at cytosolic concentrations of 3 and 6 mg/ml in the cell-free reactions (Figure 4–figure supplement 2b and Figure 5a). Thus, these experiments suggested a role for La in the packaging of miR-122 into vesicles formed in vitro.
Packaging of miR-122 \textit{in vitro} is La-dependent

La is an abundant RNA-binding protein that shuttles between the nucleus and cytoplasm. Within the nucleus, La helps stabilize RNA polymerase III (PolIII) transcripts by binding to poly-uridine tails in their 3’ termini (Stefano 1984; Rinke and Steitz 1985; Wolin and Cedervall 2002). In the cytoplasm, La has been suggested to bind and regulate the translation of 5’ terminal oligopyrimidine (TOP) mRNAs (Intine et al. 2003; Cardinali et al. 2003; Crosio et al. 2000). Moreover, in the context of cancer, La has been shown to shuttle from the nucleus to the cytoplasm at higher efficiencies in invasive cells undergoing epithelial to mesenchymal transition in order to control the translation of mRNAs bearing internal ribosome entry sites (IRES) (Petz, Them, Huber, Beug, et al. 2012; Petz, Them, Huber, and Mikulits 2012). La has also been indirectly implicated in the exosomal secretion of a small Epstein-Barr viral RNA, EBV-EBER1. EBV-EBER1 interaction with La in the cytoplasm masks the viral RNA from recognition by cytoplasmic surveillance machinery and facilitates its later EV-mediated secretion (Baglio et al. 2016).

In order to establish whether La itself, rather than a La-associated protein, is necessary for miR-122 incorporation into EVs \textit{in vitro} (Figure 5a), recombinant His-tagged human La was expressed and purified from insect Sf9 cells (Figure 5 – figure supplement 1). La-depleted cytosol was reduced ~4-fold in the packaging of miR-122 but was restored to normal on addition of purified, recombinant La to the combination of membranes and La-depleted cytosol (Figure 5b). However, addition of La alone with or without membranes and no cytosol resulted in only background protection of miR-122 (Figure 5b), suggesting
that additional cytosolic proteins are necessary for \textit{in vitro} vesicle biogenesis and/or miRNA sorting process. The level of exogenously added La protein in the \textit{in vitro} reaction was adjusted to approximate the level found in an aliquot of the normal cytosol (Figure 5c).

We next examined the requirements for co-packaging of La and miR-122 in the cell-free reaction. An immunoblot was used to test the relative amount of La co-isolated with biotinylated miR-122 in conditions that sustain or fail to result in miR-122 packaging. We observed maximal La recovery in incubations conducted with cytosol and membranes at 30°C but much less so at 4°C (Figure 5d). Thus, the sorting of La bound to miR-122 requires the presence of both, membranes and cytosol, and a physiological temperature of incubation.

**MiR-122 packaging into sEVs \textit{in vivo} is La-dependent**

Our \textit{in vitro} data suggested that La was at least one of the RBPs responsible for miR-122 packaging. However, in order to confirm this data, we first examined the effects of depletion of the three RBP candidates \textit{in vivo}. Previous work has demonstrated that selected miRNAs accumulate in the cytoplasm in cells deficient in RBPs required for RNA sorting into EVs (Shurtleff et al. 2016; Santangelo et al. 2016; Villarroya-Beltri et al. 2013). We assessed the level of intracellular miR-122 and of another highly enriched miRNA (miR-142) upon CRISPRi depletion of each RBP candidate. Greater accumulation of miR-122 was seen upon La depletion (2.7-fold change La CRISPRi/WT) compared to nucleophosmin depletion (1.7-fold change NPM1 CRISPRi/WT) (Figure 6a). CRISPRi of nucleolin resulted in less cellular miR-122, which could be an indirect result of the more toxic effects of this
depletion. As a control for selectivity of miRNA sorting and export, we assed the
intracellular levels of non-selectively sorted miRNAs miR-574 and miR-320a (Figure 3d). In
contrast to the selectively sorted miRNAs, we did not observe intracellular accumulation of
miR-574 and miR-320a under any of the knock-down conditions (Figure 6a). At least in
relation to La and nucleophosmin, the depletion results in cells confirmed our previous
observations on the role of these proteins in our cell-free reaction.

We next examined the relative content of miR-122 and of a non-selectively sorted
miRNA, miR-320a, in EVs in relation to their accumulation in cells depleted of La. WT and
La-depleted cells had approximately the same number of purified EVs as quantified using a
Nanosight particle tracking instrument. A significant reduction in secretion of miR-122
with no change in miR-320a was accompanied by intracellular accumulation of miR-122 in
the La-depleted background (Figure 6b). Thus, La plays a role in the selective sorting of
miR-122 into EVs, without affecting EV biogenesis. We then tested whether La plays a
broader role in the selective sorting of vHD miRNAs. We tested the secretion of validated
vHD miRNAs (Figure 2c) that proved to reside inside vesicles (Figure 2f) and the secretion
of non-selectively sorted miRNAs (Figure 2c) under La depletion vs WT conditions. All the
selectively sorted miRNAs tested showed some dependence on La for their secretion, as
seen by at least a 50% reduction of their secretion into EVs in comparison to WT levels
(Figure 6c). By contrast, all of the non-selectively sorted miRNAs tested showed little to no
change under La depletion (Figure 6c). Thus La may play a broader role in mediating the
secretion of selectively sorted miRNAs into vHD sEVs.
To test for the presence of La in sEVs isolated from cultured MDA-MB-231 cells, we first purified vesicles by buoyant density flotation. The high-speed pellet was subjected to flotation in a step sucrose gradient. Buoyant membranes were permeabilized with non-ionic detergent and soluble proteins were precipitated/concentrated with acetone in order to facilitate detection by immunoblot (Figure 6d). We successfully detected the presence of La in the buoyant sample. Its detection was improved upon acetone precipitation/concentration (Figure 6e). Moreover, when we analyzed the La distribution across the iodixanol linear gradient (as in Figure 1a), we observed La co-fractionating with the vHD sub-population (Figure 6 – supplement 1), thus confirming the observation that La is required for the selective sorting of vHD miRNAs (Figure 6c). Interestingly, nucleolin, one of the other RBP candidates that pulled-down with biotinylated miR-122 in the in vitro packaging reaction (Figure 4d) was also detected in the vHD fraction (Figure 6 – supplement 1). Nucleolin may also be involved in RNA secretion into vHD transcripts.

In order to confirm that La was a soluble protein residing inside EVs, and not simply vesicle-associated, we performed proteinase K protection assays on crude high-speed pellet material. The high-speed pellet fraction from conditioned medium was exposed to proteinase K in the presence or absence of detergent and the exposure of La was assessed by immunoblot. The La protein was resistant to proteinase K digestion and rendered sensitive upon membrane permeabilization with non-ionic detergent (Figure 6f). Flotillin-2, a membrane protein associated with the inner leaflet of the EV, served as a positive control and was degraded only in the presence of detergent. In contrast, Dicer, previously shown to be present in the high-speed pellet but not as an EV-encapsulated RNP (Figure 1b,
Figure 4 – figure supplement 1), was susceptible to degradation independent of the addition of non-ionic detergent (Figure 6f). We conclude that the La protein is packaged into vesicles in our cell-free reaction as well as into sEVs secreted by cells and is required for packaging of miR-122 into vesicles in vitro and in vivo.

**La directly interacts with miR-122 in vivo and in vitro**

Given our results on the role of La in miR-122 sorting in cells and in the cell-free reaction, we next examined the intracellular distribution of La and the selectivity of its interaction with miR-122 versus a control miRNA that was detected, but not enriched, in vLD and vHD vesicles (miR-182; Figure 3c). At steady-state, La was reported to be largely confined to the nucleus (Wolin and Cedervall 2002). However, other evidence supports a role for La function in the cytoplasm and its shuttling between the nucleus and cytoplasm (Cardinali et al. 2003; Intine et al. 2003; Petz, Them, Huber, Beug, et al. 2012; Petz, Them, Huber, and Mikulits 2012). Our observation of La as an EV resident protein (Figure 6e, f and Figure 6 – supplement 1) is consistent with at least some residence time in the cytoplasm.

Endogenous La was visualized by standard and structured illumination fluorescence microscopy (SIM) in fixed MDA-MB-231 cells. Immunofluorescence confirmed the presence of nuclear and cytoplasmic La (Figure 7 – figure supplement 1a). The specificity of the antibody was affirmed by a significant depletion of the immunofluorescence signal in cells depleted of La by CRISPRi (Figure 7 – figure supplement 1a, b). We observed that most of the La puncta were smaller than ~100nm, however when bigger La structures were
present (>500nm), they could often be observed co-localizing with late endosomal marker Rab7 (Figure 7 – supplement 2a). This co-localization was further explored at a higher level of resolution, SIM (Figure 7 – supplement 2b). Standard fluorescence microscopy and SIM revealed cytoplasmic puncta of La, some of which co-localized with the late endosomal marker, Rab7 (Figure 7 – figure supplement 2a, b).

We evaluated the interaction of La with two miRNAs by co-immunoprecipitation from mechanically ruptured MDA-MB-231 cells. A post-nuclear supernatant fraction of cells was mixed with La antiserum and the IP sample was split for protein and RNA analysis by immunoblot and RT-qPCR, respectively (Figure 7a). Approximately 15% of the La protein and miR-122 was precipitated (Figure 7b and 7c). MiR-182, which is present but not enriched in vLD and vHD sEVs, was used as a negative control and did not co-immunoprecipitate with La (Figure 7c).

In order to explore the interaction of La and miR-122 directly, we used purified, recombinant La incubated with miR-122 and evaluated the binding affinity by electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs). Purified La was titrated, mixed with 5’ fluorescently-labeled miR-122 and incubated at 30°C. After incubation, complexes were separated by electrophoresis and detected by in-gel fluorescence (Figure 7d). As negative and positive controls for La interaction we used 22 nt RNAs comprised of alternating purines or poly-uridine, respectively (Figure 7 – figure supplement 3a and 3b). The measured $K_d$ for La:miR-122 was 4.8 nM (Figure 7e). Notably, this measurement indicates that the affinity of miR-122 for La is greater than the affinity previously reported for Ago2.
and miRNAs, with $K_d$s from 10-80 nM (Tan et al. 2009). We therefore conclude that the La:miR-122 complex displays high affinity and specific interaction \textit{in vitro}.

\textbf{Finding the miR-122 motif responsible for its packaging}

Lastly, we explored the miR-122 motif responsible for its association with La and packaging into EVs in the cell-free reaction. La has been shown to bind to UUU sequences at the 3' end of PolIII transcripts (Stefano 1984) and miR-122 contains a UUUG at that terminus (Figure 8a). We designed a variant of miR-122 where A residues replaced the 3'U bases and found that this species is poorly packaged into vesicles in the cell-free reaction (3% efficient incorporation vs. 15% for WT) and displays a greatly reduced (~100-fold) affinity for La in the EMSA analysis (WT $K_d$ 4.8 nM vs 3'mut $K_d$ 538 nM) (Figure 8a, b and c and figure supplement 1a). We conclude that the UUU sequence at the 3' end of miR-122 is necessary for a high affinity interaction with La, which may correlate to the requirement for these residues in the packaging of miR-122 into vesicles in the cell-free reaction.

To identify possible motifs beyond the literature-based UUU candidate, we also performed a multiple expectation maximization (EM) for motif elicitation (MEME) analysis for 49 vHD EV miRNAs. We considered miRNAs that were at least 10-fold enriched, as detected by profiling and sequencing, as well as those unique miRNAs in the vHD subpopulation that were detected by sequencing. This approach identified “UGGA” as an EV-enriched miRNA motif. This motif was present in 13 (26%) of the 49 analyzed miRNAs, including the 5' sequence of miR-122 (Fig. 8a), and it was by far the most overrepresented motif detected by this analysis. The UGGA sequence appeared to be sEV specific, as it did
not emerge when similar analyses were performed with exclusively cellular miRNAs (miRNAs detected in cellular lysates but absent in the EV samples). We then manually assessed the pool of exclusively cellular miRNAs for this motif where it appeared in 5 out of 97 analyzed miRNAs (5%). A variant of this 5’ sequence motif impaired miR-122 incorporation in the in vitro packaging reaction (Figure 8b) and decreased the affinity ~10-fold for La compared to the WT sequence (Figure 8c and figure supplement 1b). Thus, we conclude that a bipartite, or possibly even more complex motif directs recognition of miR-122 by La and consequently results in its selective sorting into EVs and secretion from MDA-MB-231 cells.

**DISCUSSION**

**Different molecular mechanisms of miRNA sorting**

Here we document the separation of two sEV populations, likely of different subcellular origin, which appear to employ different molecular mechanisms of miRNA sorting (Figure 9). We found that sEVs with a density ranging from 1.09-1.11 g/ml (vLD) may originate in the plasma membrane, whereas the sEVs with a density ranging from 1.14 to 1.16 g/ml (vHD) are more characteristic of exosomes, originating in the endocytic pathway. Some miRNAs are non-selectively sorted into both sEV sub-populations, as shown by their similar relative abundances in the cellular lysate and both sEV sub-populations (Figure 3d). We observed a slight enrichment for non-selectively sorted miRNAs in the vHD sub-population (Figure 3a) and a minor depletion of non-selectively sorted miRNAs in the vLD sub-population (Figure 3b).
Non-selective sorting may correlate to the fraction of miRNAs unbound to RISC. Association of miRNAs with RISC inside cells varies greatly: >1,800-fold for different miRNAs present in the same cell line (Flores et al. 2014). As a result, some miRNAs may exist in the cytoplasm as mostly RISC-free species. Our data show that Ago2 and Dicer are not present in sEVs derived from MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 1b and figure 4 - figure supplement 1). Thus, we suggest that the non-selectively sorted miRNAs may represent the cytosolic pool of RISC-free miRNAs, not actively engaged in silencing, and their presence in sEVs is the result of a passive mechanism of sorting.

P-bodies have been shown to be closely associated with MVBs (Gibbings et al. 2009) and the levels of target mRNAs can influence the levels of miRNA association with RISC (Flores et al. 2014), as well as miRNA secretion as detected in the high-speed pellet fraction sedimented from conditioned media (Squadrito et al. 2014). Thus, miRNAs released from P bodies and freed from RISC may be proximal to endosomes engaged in the production of vHD vesicles and distant from the site of vLD vesicle biogenesis, thus resulting in a slight enrichment and slight depletion of these miRNAs in the vHD and vLD vesicles, respectively.

We focused the bulk of our investigation on a set of miRNAs selectively packaged in the vHD sub-population. These selectively sorted miRNAs may belong to the pool of pre-existing RISC-free miRNAs, or may be displaced from RISC prior to or concomitant with sorting into vHD vesicles. Importantly, we found that this mechanism of selective miRNA sorting is specific for the vHD sub-population. We speculate that the sorting machinery required to enrich certain miRNAs in exosomes is specific for MVBs, and it is absent at the
site of origin of the vLD vesicles. However, enveloped viruses that bud from the cell surface clearly do sort their RNA genomes in a selective manner (D’Souza and Summers 2005; Swanson and Malim 2006) and the same may be true of other RNA biotypes in the vLD sub-population, especially in other cell lines. We previously showed that tRNAs with an unknown post-transcriptional modification in the D-loop are highly enriched in EVs in comparison to the cells from the same culture (Shurtleff et al. 2017). Similarly, we detected apparently similarly modified tRNA species in both EV sub-populations secreted from MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 3-supplemental 2e). The machinery for the active sorting of the modified tRNA may be shared for both sEV sub-populations.

It is widely suggested that sEV miRNAs play a role in intercellular communication (Fong et al. 2015; Zhou et al. 2014; Tominaga et al. 2015; Rana, Malinowska, and Zoller 2013; Hsu et al. 2017). Thus, purifying sEV sub-populations, with differential miRNA content, is critical in order to accurately assess their role in intercellular communication. One study purified different sEVs based on their buoyant densities and showed that the vLD sub-population triggered the differential expression of 257 genes in recipient cells, whereas the vHD sub-population caused the differential expression of 1,116 genes (Willms et al. 2016). Although this study did not determine if any gene expression differences were the result of miRNA transfer, the effect could be partially explained by the distinct miRNA composition of the two EV sub-populations. Further studies using purified sEV sub-populations are needed to understand the physiological consequence of selective vs. non-selective sorting mechanisms. Additionally, it needs to be determined if the effects are directly attributable to miRNAs or some other constituents of the two sEV populations.
The role of La in selective sorting of miRNAs

Here we show in vitro and in vivo evidence for the requirement of La for packaging of miR-122 and other selectively sorted miRNAs into vHD sEVs derived from MDA-MB-231 cells. The La protein is an abundant RBP that is upregulated in some cancers (Sommer, Rossa, et al. 2011; Trotta et al. 2003; Al-Ejeh, Darby, and Brown 2007; Sommer, Dittmann, et al. 2011). Its presence in the cytoplasm has been linked to the translational regulation of mRNAs bearing IRES (Petz, Them, Huber, Beug, et al. 2012; Petz, Them, Huber, and Mikulits 2012), as well as 5'TOP mRNAs (Intine et al. 2003; Cardinali et al. 2003; Crosio et al. 2000). Interestingly, it was previously reported that 5'TOP mRNAs are enriched in sEVs derived from HEK293T cells in comparison to their relative cellular levels (Shurtleff et al. 2017), although we have not yet evaluated the abundance of 5'TOP mRNAs in EVs isolated from MDA-MB-231 cells.

Little is known about how cytoplasmic RNA binding proteins, such as La, along with bound RNA molecules are targeted and recognized for secretion. The phosphorylation status of La has been suggested to be important for its intracellular localization (Intine et al. 2003). In addition, sumoylation of La has been shown to be important for La-dependent mRNA transport in neurons (van Niekerk et al. 2007) and sumoylation of another RNA-binding protein in sEVs (hnRNPA2B1) has been shown to be important for export (Villarroya-Beltri et al. 2013). Post-translational modifications such as phosphorylation, ubiquitylation and sumoylation may serve to direct RNPs to the ESCRT machinery and thus into membrane buds that are internalized into MVBs.
The La protein binds to and stabilizes poly-uridine stretches of PolIII transcripts that mature in the nucleus (Wolin and Cedervall 2002). Likewise in the cytoplasm, La may serve a similar function in the stabilization of polyuridine tracks in 5’TOP mRNAs (Intine et al. 2003). Here we show that La directly binds to, and promotes the membrane enclosure of miR-122 in a cell-free reaction. We obtained evidence that a 3’ poly-uridine sequence of miR-122 is required for this interaction. This role of La may extend to miRNAs secreted by other cell lines. B cell-derived EVs are enriched for 3’ uridylylated miRNA isoforms in comparison to their intracellular counterparts (Koppers-Lalic et al. 2014), and La has been detected by mass spectrometry in the high-speed pellet fraction from B cell conditioned medium (Meckes et al. 2013).

In addition to the known poly (U) binding site, sequence motif analysis of the most highly enriched miRNAs in the vHD vesicles isolated from MDA-MB-231 conditioned medium identified a second, previously unreported motif required for La:miR-122 high affinity interaction and sorting into sEVs in our cell-free reaction. A 5’ UGGA sequence resembles the motif shown to be required for miRNA sorting into hepatocyte sEVs, dependent on the SYNCRIP protein (Santangelo et al. 2016). However, we did not detect SYNCRIP in our mass spectrometry analysis for RBP partners responsible for miR-122 sorting in vitro (Figure 4d). Thus, it is possible hepatocytes and breast cancer epithelial cells differ in their mechanisms of miRNA sorting. The presence of both motifs, UUU and UGGA, in miR-122 may allow for an exceptionally high affinity interaction with La. The measured $K_d$ for the La:miR-122 complex is 4.8 nM, indicating tighter binding than that
reported for Ago2 to miRNAs using a similar assay (Tan et al. 2009). This high affinity interaction may explain how selected miRNAs are sequestered into exosomes away from RISC.

As we have shown with La, other RBPs (YBX1 (Shurtleff et al. 2016), hnRNPa2b1 (Villarroya-Beltri et al. 2013) and SYNCRIP (Santangelo et al. 2016)) are involved in the selective sorting and secretion of miRNAs in different cell lines. Conceivably, this process could be organized and controlled in a cell-specific manner by the assembly of RNA granules including other more abundant RNAs such as tRNA, Y-RNA and vault RNA (Shurtleff et al., 2017). In this respect, we find that most of the La protein in the cytoplasm is localized in punctate structures, some of which closely associate with the late endosomal marker Rab7 (Figure 7 – figure supplement 2a, b). It will be instructive to examine the cytoplasmic co-localization of La with the other RBPs implicated in miRNA secretion in EVs.

**Significance of selective miRNA sorting into EVs in cancer**

Intercellular communication mediated by miRNAs is a subject of considerable interest, but in most cases, it remains unproven. Many studies using cancer cells suggest EV-mediated miRNA transfer to recipient cells (Fong et al. 2015; Zhou et al. 2014; Tominaga et al. 2015; Rana, Malinowska, and Zoller 2013; Hsu et al. 2017), possibly to promote metastasis. In the context of breast cancer for example, sEV resident miR-122 has been suggested to promote metastasis through reprogramming glucose metabolism in the resident cells of the premetastatic niche (Fong et al. 2015). In another example, sEV-associated miR-105 may promote invasiveness in metastatic breast cancer by disruption of
tight junctions, thus promoting vascular permeability and tumor cell invasion (Zhou et al. 2014). For sEV miRNAs to be functionally transferred to recipient cells, fusion of the EV membrane with a recipient membrane (either plasma membrane or endosomal membrane) must precede the presentation of an exogenous miRNA to the target cell cytoplasm. We find that the highly-selected miRNAs in exosomes are not bound to Ago2. Thus, the usual function of a miRNA would require loading from the EV interior to a cytoplasmic RISC. Unfortunately, nothing is known about the cytoplasmic presentation of miRNAs derived from EVs.

Alternatively, tumor cell invasiveness may depend on the selective secretion and disposal of tumor suppressor miRNAs. Such a suggestion has been made for miR-23b (Ostenfeld et al. 2014) and miR-100 (Cha et al. 2015), which are secreted at high efficiency by cancer cells. These two mechanisms, intercellular communication and disposal, are not mutually exclusive. It is possible that sEVs containing detrimental miRNAs have been repurposed to serve as shuttles for intercellular communication, as has been suggested for the secretion of miR-142 (Dickman et al. 2017). Of relevance to the work we report here, miR-122 has been suggested as a tumor suppressor with cells developing greater invasiveness on loss of this miRNA (Coulouarn et al. 2009; Wang, Wang, and Yang 2012). Nonetheless, the importance of sEV resident miR-122 as a molecule to convey a message to promote metastasis has also been suggested (Fong et al. 2015).

A bigger challenge in the field of cancer and EV biology is that claims for a role of EV-associated miRNAs are based on crude preparations of sedimentable particles or co-
culture experiments. Here we show that Ago2 is secreted to the extracellular space as a RNP, not vesicle-associated, that co-isolates with sEVs in the high-speed pellet. Thus, clear demonstration that the implicated miRNAs in cancer development are enclosed within a membrane vesicle is still missing. Studies purifying, characterizing, understanding the cellular biology behind different sEV sub-populations and their functional effects in the context of cancer will prove important in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
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Cell lines, media and general chemicals

MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). MDA-MB-231 cells were confirmed by short tandem repeat profiling (STR) and tested negative for mycoplasma contamination. For EV production, cells were seeded at ~10% confluency in 10% exosome-depleted FBS (System Biosciences, Palo Alto, CA) in 150mm CellBIND tissue culture dishes (Corning, Corning, NY) containing 30ml of growth medium. EVs were collected when cells reached ~80% confluency (~72h). Unless otherwise noted, all chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

Extracellular vesicle purification

Conditioned medium (720 ml for TGIRT-seq and miRNA profiling and 420 ml for all other experiments) was harvested from 80% confluent MDA-MB-231 cultured cells. All subsequent manipulations were performed at 4°C. Cells and large debris were removed by centrifugation in a Sorvall R6+ centrifuge (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 1,000xg for 15 min.
followed by 10,000xg for 15 min in 500 ml vessels using a fixed angle FIBERlite F14-6X500y rotor (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The supernatant fraction was then centrifuged at ~100,000xg (28,000 RPM) onto a 60% sucrose cushion in buffer C (10mM HEPES pH 7.4, 0.85% w/v NaCl) for 1.5 h using two SW-28 rotors. The interface over the sucrose cushion was collected and pooled for an additional ~130,000xg (32,500 RPM) centrifugation over a 60% sucrose cushion in a SW41 rotor for 15 h. The collected interface from the first sucrose cushion should not exceed a sucrose concentration of 21%, as measured by refractometry, for the second centrifugation in the SW41 to be successful. Higher concentrations of sucrose cause the EVs to equilibrate at the ambient buoyant density, impeding sedimentation. For purification of EV sub-populations based on their distinct buoyant density, the cushion-sedimented vesicles were collected and mixed with 60% sucrose to a final volume of 4ml (sucrose final concentration ~40%). Layers of 1.5 ml of 25%, 20%, 15%, 10% and 5% iodixanol (Optiprep) solutions in buffer C were sequentially overlaid and samples were centrifuged at ~160,000xg (36,000 RPM) for 15 h in a SW41 rotor. Fractions (400ul) from top to bottom were then collected and mixed with Laemmli buffer for immunoblot analysis or RNA was extracted using a mirVana miRNA isolation kit (Thermo Fischer Scientific). In some cases, such as the detection of CD63, La or nucleolin, the floated fractions were centrifuged in a SW41 rotor at ~130,000g in order to concentrate them and improve their detection. This method produced a linear density gradient from 1.03 to 1.24 g/ml (figure 1 – figure supplement 1 – source data 1).

For the initial detection of Ago2 and Dicer (as in Figure 4 - figure supplement 1), vesicles were not sedimented over a 60% sucrose cushion. Sedimented EVs from the SW28
centrifugation were resuspended in 800µl of 30% iodixanol and layers of 800µl of 25%, 20%, 15%, 10% and 5% iodixanol were layered on top and centrifuged in a SW55 rotor at ~140,000g (38,000RPM) for 15 h. Fractions (200µl) were collected from top to bottom and mixed with Laemmli buffer for immunoblots analysis.

For EV purification in bulk (without discriminating among EV sub-populations), the EVs collected after the first SW41 centrifugation were mixed with 60% sucrose to a final volume of 9 ml (sucrose concentration ~50%). Layers of 1.5 ml of 40% and 10% sucrose were overlaid and the sample was centrifuged at ~160,000g (36,000 RPM) for 15 h in a SW41 rotor. Buoyant vesicles spanning from the 40% sucrose region and the 10/40% interface were collected and mixed with Laemmli buffer or RNA was extracted with a mirVana miRNA isolation kit. For further processing to improve protein detection, buoyant vesicles were first diluted in buffer C (10mM HEPES pH 7.4, 0.85% w/v NaCl) to a final volume of 5 ml and soluble content was released by adding 10% Triton X-100 (TX-100) to a final concentration of 1%. Samples were then homogenized by the use of a vortex mixer for 1 min, cooled on ice for 10 min and homogenized again for an extra min. To precipitate proteins, we added 4 volumes of cold acetone (previously stored at -20°C) and the mixture was incubated at -20°C overnight. Precipitated proteins were collected using a Sorvall RC 6+ centrifuge by centrifugation at 16,000g (11,600 RPM) for 30 min at 4°C in a FIBERlite F211-8X50Y rotor (Thermo Fischer Scientific). The pellet fraction was then resuspended in Laemmli buffer for analysis by immunoblotting.

For proteinase K protection assays, the EVs were collected by centrifugation at ~100,000g
(28,000 RPM) for 1.5 h using two SW-28 rotors. Pellet fractions resuspended in buffer C were pooled and centrifuged at ~160,000xg (36,000 RPM) in a SW55 rotor for 1 h. The pellet was resuspended in buffer C and split into 3 equal aliquots. One sample was left untreated, another sample treated with 5ug/ml proteinase K on ice for 20 min, and the last was mixed with TX-100 to a final concentration of 1% prior to proteinase K treatment. Proteinase K was inactivated with 5mM phenylmethane sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (5 min on ice) and samples were then mixed with Laemmli buffer for immunoblot analysis.

Mass spectrometry analysis of EV sub-populations
EV sub-populations purified from the iodixanol gradient (as described above) were diluted with buffer C to a final volume of 30ml. The diluted samples were then centrifuged at ~100,000xg (28,000RPM) in a SW28 rotor for 1.5 h. Pellet samples were resuspended in Laemmli buffer without bromophenol blue and electrophoresed in a 4-20% acrylamide Tris-Glycine gradient gel (Life Technologies) for ~3 min. The bulk of proteins were stained with Coomassie and the stained band was excised from the gel with a new razor blade. Samples were submitted to the Vincent J. Coates Proteomics/Mass Spectroscopy laboratory at UC Berkeley for in-gel tryptic digestion of proteins followed by liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry analysis according to their standards.

For sub-cellular localization analysis of the detected proteins, the list of proteins detected by mass spectrometry was analyzed first using the GoTermFinder developed at the Lewis-Sigler Institute at Princeton (Boyle et al. 2004) followed by REVIGO analysis (Supek et al. 2011) to obtain the scatterplots of sub-cellular localization along with their organelle
Nanoparticle tracking analysis

Extracellular vesicles purified on linear iodixanol gradients were diluted 1:100 with PBS filtered with a 0.02um filter (Whatman GmbH, Dassel, Germany). The mixture was drawn into a 1 ml syringe and inserted into a Nanosight LM10 instrument (Malvern, UK). Particles were tracked for 60 s using Nanosight nanoparticle tracking analysis software. Each sample was analyzed 5 times and the counts were averaged.

Immunoblots

Exosomes were prepared by mixing sedimented vesicles with 1X Laemmli buffer, or 1X Laemmli buffer without DTT when detection of CD63 was performed. Cell lysates were prepared by adding lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol) to cell pellets. Protein was quantified using a BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fischer Scientific), and the selected amount was mixed with Laemmli buffer. Samples were heated at 95°C for 5 min and separated on 4-20% acrylamide Tris-Glycine gradient gels (Life Technologies).

Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (EMD Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany), blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin in TBST and incubated overnight with primary antibodies. Blots were then washed with TBST, incubated with anti-rabbit or anti-mouse secondary antibodies (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA) and detected with ECL-2 reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Primary antibodies used in this study were anti-CD9 #13174S (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), anti-alpha
integrin alpha 3 #ab190731 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA), anti-Mfg-e8 #MAB2767 (R&D systems, Minneapolis, MN), anti-flotillin-2 #610383 (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA), anti-TSG101 #GTX70255 (Genetex, Irvine, CA), anti-CD63 #BDB556019 (BD Biosciences), anti-Ago2 #2897 (Cell Signaling Technology), anti Ago2 ab#186733 (abcam), anti-Dicer #sc-30226 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-Lupus La #TA500406 (Origene Technologies, Rockville, MD), anti-vinculin #ab129002 (Abcam), anti-Rab27a ab55667 (Abcam), anti-nucleophosmin1 #ab10530 (Abcam), anti-nucleolin #ab22758 (Abcam), anti-tubulin #ab7291 (Abcam), and anti-Hsc-70 #ab19136 (Abcam).

Quantitative real-time PCR

Cellular and EV RNAs were extracted with a mirVana miRNA isolation kit (Thermo Fischer Scientific), unless otherwise specified. Taqman miRNA assays for miRNA detection were purchased from Life Technologies. Assay numbers were: hsa-miR-193b, #002366; hsa-miR-29b-1, #002165; hsa-miR-486, #001278; hsa-miR-574-3p, #002349; hsa-miR-320a, #002277; hsa-miR-142-3p, #000464; hsa-miR-126, #000451; hsa-mir-145-5p, #002278; hsa-mir-122-5p, #002245; hsa-mir-451a, #001141; hsa-mir-182-5, 002334; hsa-miR-429, #001024; hsa-miR-675, #121124_mat; hsa-mir-193a-5p, #002281, hsa-miR-185 #002271. As there are no well-accepted endogenous control transcripts for EVs, the relative quantification was normalized to equal amounts of starting RNA material. RNA was quantified by an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA (1ng) was used for reverse transcription according to the manufacturer's instructions. Relative quantification was calculated from the expression $2^{\Delta\Delta Ct}=2^{(Ct_{(control)}-Ct_{(experimental)})}$. Taqman qPCR master mix with
no amperase UNG was obtained from Life Technologies and quantitative real-time PCR was performed using an ABI-7900 real-time PCR system (Life Technologies).

Immunofluorescence

MDA-MB-231 cells on 12mm round coverslips (Corning) were fixed by adding 4% EM-grade formaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) for 20 min at room temperature. Subsequently, cells were washed 3 times with PBS and permeabilizedblocked by adding blocking buffer (0.1% TX-100 in 2 FBS% for La validation experiments as well as for CD63 and CD9 immunofluorescence, or 0.02% saponin in 2% FBS for superresolution structured illumination microscopy for La and Rab7 analysis (SIM)) for 20 min. Cells treated with 0.02% saponin retain intact nuclear envelopes and endoplasmic reticulum membranes (Sirkis, Aparicio, and Schekman 2017; Gorur et al. 2017), which allowed us to visualize cytoplasmic La. Cells were then incubated with 1:100 dilution of anti-La (Origene Technologies, #TA500406), 1:100 dilution of anti-Rab7 (Santa Cruz, sc-6563), 1:100 dilution of CD9 (Biolegend, #312102) and 1:100 dilution of CD63 (DSHB, #H5C6) in blocking buffer for 1.5 h at room temperature, extensively washed and incubated in secondary antibodies diluted 1:1,000 in blocking buffer and Alexa Fluor 488 and 647 (Thermo Fischer Scientific), for 1.5 h. Cells were extensively washed, rinsed briefly in dH₂O and mounted on slides with ProLong Gold with DAPI (Thermo Fischer). For validation experiments, WT and La-depleted cells were imaged keeping all the settings constant in an Axio Observer Z1 (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). For superresolution microscopy, images were taken with an Elyra P.1 (Zeiss)
We used the Discovery Panel from Firefly Service at Abcam to profile 408 miRNAs. Aliquots of 40 µl of 270pg/µl of RNA (samples included vHD, vLD and CL) were shipped to the Abcam service and the profiling was done according to their standards. Samples were normalized to total amount of RNA. The data used for the analysis was raw data with background subtracted. Only data with more than 0.1 arbitrary units of fluorescence (AUF) was considered for further analysis.

RNA extraction and TGIRT-seq library preparation
Cellular and EV RNAs were extracted by using a mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit. Cellular RNA was extracted using a modified version of the mirVana protocol, enriching for <200nt transcripts, in order to reflect EV RNA composition by transcript size. EV RNA was extracted using the standard miRVana protocol. TGIRT-seq libraries were prepared from 2-10ng of starting material.

TGIRT-seq libraries were prepared essentially as described (Qin et al. 2016b), with a modification in the starting molecule (see below). Reverse transcription reactions contained purified RNAs, buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.5, 450 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂), 5 mM DTT, 100 nM starting molecule (see below) and 1 µM TGIRT-III (Ingex). Reverse transcription by TGIRT-III is initiated by template switching from a starting molecule consisting of a DNA primer (5’-GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCTTAN-3’) encoding the reverse complement of the Illumina Read2 sequencing primer binding site (R2R) annealed to a complementary RNA oligonucleotide (R2) such that there is a single
nucleotide 3’ DNA overhang composed of an equimolar mixture of A, G, C and T. The RNA oligonucleotide is blocked at its 3’ end with C3Sp (IDT) to inhibit template switching to itself. Reactions were pre-incubated at room temperature for 30 min and then initiated by addition of 1 mM dNTPs. Reactions were then incubated at 60°C for 15 min and terminated by adding 5 N NaOH to a final concentration of 0.25 N and incubated at 95°C for 3 min to degrade RNAs and denature protein. The reactions were then cooled to room temperature and neutralized with 5 N HCl. cDNAs were purified by using a Qiagen MinElute Reaction Cleanup Kit and then ligated at their 3’ ends to a modified DNA oligonucleotide (5’Phos-CCTGTTATCCCTAGATCGTCGGACTGTAGAACTCTGAACGTGTAC-3’-C3Sp) encoding the reverse complement of the Illumina Read1 primer binding site (R1R) using Thermostable 5’ AppDNA/RNA Ligase (New England Biolabs). Ligated cDNAs were re-purified with MinElute Reaction Cleanup Kit and amplified by PCR for 12 cycles using Phusion DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with overlapping multiplex and barcode primers that add sequences necessary for Illumina sequencing. PCR reactions were purified using a Select-a-size DNA Clean and Concentrator (Zymo) to remove adapter dimers. Libraries were sequenced on a NextSeq 500 instrument (75-nt, single end reads) at the Genome Sequencing and Analysis Facility at the University of Texas at Austin.

RNA sequencing analysis

Illumina TruSeq adapters and PCR primer sequences were trimmed from the reads with cutadapt 1.16 (Martin 2011) (sequencing quality score cut-off at 20) and reads <15-nt after trimming were discarded. Reads were then mapped using HISAT2 v2.0.2 (Kim, Langmead, and Salzberg 2015) with default settings to the human genome reference sequence...
(Ensembl GRCh38 Release 76) combined with additional contigs for 5S and 45S rRNA genes and the *E. coli* genome sequence (Genbank: NC_000913) (denoted Pass 1). The additional contigs for the 5S and 45S rRNA genes included the 2.2-kb 5S rRNA repeats from the 5S rRNA cluster on chromosome 1 (1q42, GeneBank: X12811) and the 43-kb 45S rRNA repeats that contained 5.8S, 18S and 28S rRNAs from clusters on chromosomes 13,14,15,21, and 22 (GeneBank: U13369). Unmapped reads from Pass 1 were re-mapped to Ensembl GRCh38 Release 76 by Bowtie 2 v2.2.6 (Langmead and Salzberg 2012) with local alignment to improve the mapping rate for reads containing post-transcriptionally added 5' or 3' nucleotides (e.g., CCA and poly(U)), short untrimmed adapter sequences, or non-templated nucleotides added to the 3' end of the cDNAs by the TGIRT enzyme (denoted Pass 2). The mapped reads from Passes 1 and 2 were combined using Samtools 1.8 (Li et al. 2009) and intersected with gene annotations (Ensembl GRCh38 Release 76) supplemented with the RNY5 gene and its 10 pseudogene sequences, which were not annotated in this release, to generate the counts for individual features. Coverage of each feature was calculated by Bedtools (Quinlan and Hall 2010). To avoid mis-mapping reads with embedded sncRNAs, reads were first intersected with sncRNA annotations and the remaining reads were then intersected with the annotations for protein-coding genes, lincRNAs, antisense, and other lncRNAs. To further improve the mapping rate for tRNAs and rRNAs, we combined reads mapped to tRNAs or rRNAs in the initial alignments and re-mapped them to tRNA reference sequences (Genomic tRNA Database, and UCSC genome browser website) or rRNA reference sequences (GeneBank: X12811 and U13369) using Bowtie 2 local alignment. Because similar or identical tRNAs with the same anticodon may
be multiply mapped to different tRNA loci by Bowtie 2, mapped tRNA reads were combined according to their anticodon \( (N = 48) \) prior to calculating the tRNA distributions.

For miRNA analysis, sequences were mapped to miRbase using miRdeep2. Reads were normalized by dividing the numbers or reads per miRNA to the total number of miRNA reads in each sample and then this value was multiplied by one million (reads per million miRNA mapped reads – RPM).

CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing

A pX330-based plasmid expressing Venus fluorescent protein (Shurtleff et al. 2016) was used to clone the gRNAs targeting Rab27a and Rab35. Two CRISPR guide RNAs targeting each gene were selected using the CRISPR design tool (Hsu et al. 2013). For Rab27a, gRNAs targeting exon 4 and exon 5 were selected. For Rab35 both gRNAs targeted exon 1. Both gRNAs targeting each gene were cloned simultaneously in the pX330-Venus plasmid according to the PrecisionX Multiplex gRNA Kit instructions (SBI). MDA-MB-231 cells at 25% confluency were transfected for 48h, and then, they were trypsinized and sorted for single Venus-positive cells in a 96 well plate using a BD Influx cell sorter. Wells containing clones were allowed to expand (30 clones for Rab27a and 25 for Rab35) and knockouts for each gene were confirmed by immunoblots.

CRISPR interference

MDA-MB-231 cells expressing dCas9-KRAB, as in (Gilbert et al. 2013), were generated using lentivirus. A modified version of the transfer plasmid, as in (Gilbert et al. 2013), UCOE- EF1α-dCas9-BFP-KRAB, was kindly provided by Jonathan Weissman (UCSF). Cells
were bulk sorted for BFP signal 3 d post transduction and selected cells were expanded by
growth for a few generations, and then frozen and stored as parental cells (these cells are
referred to as WT throughout the manuscript). Sequences for gRNAs targeting the
promoter of the genes of interest were extracted from (Horlbeck et al. 2016). gRNAs were
cloned in plasmid pu6-sgRNA EF1Alpha-puro-T2A-BFP (Gilbert et al. 2014), plasmid
#60955 obtained from Addgene, following the cloning protocol as in (Gilbert et al. 2014).
The 3 top gRNAs from the V.2 library (Horlbeck et al. 2016) were chosen per gene of
interest. Lentiviruses with the gRNAs targeting the genes of interest were use to transduce
the parental cells. Three days post transduction cells were selected with 2ug/ml puromycin
for 3 d. Post puromycin selection, cells were collected for up to 3 generations (~ 72 h) for
best levels of protein depletion. More doubling times showed reduced protein depletion.

In vitro packaging reactions

Membrane and cytosol preparation

Fractionation of cells and membranes was done as in (Shurtleff et al. 2016) with some
modifications as indicated. MDA-MB-231 cells were harvested at ~80% confluency by
adding cold PBS and physically removing the cells by the use of a cell scrapper. Cells were
then centrifuged at 1,000xg for 10min at 4°C and cell pellets were frozen at -80°C until use.
Cells were thawed and resuspended in 2 volumes of HB buffer (20mM HEPES pH 7.4,
250mM sorbitol) containing protease inhibitor cocktail 1 (1mM 4 aminobenzamidine
dihydrochloride, 1 mg/ml antipain dihydrochloride, 1 mg/ml aprotinin, 1 mg/ml leupeptin,
1 mg/ml chymostatin, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 50 mM N-tosyl-L-phenylalanine
chloromethyl ketone and 1 mg/ml pepstatin). Cells were passed 21-25 times through a 22
gauge needle until >80% of cells were lysed as assessed by microscopy and trypan blue
staining. All steps from hereon were done on ice and 4°C, unless otherwise specified. The
homogenized cells were centrifuged at 1,500xg for 20 min and the supernatant fraction
was subsequently centrifuged at 15,000xg for 15 min to collect donor membranes using a
FA-45-30-11 rotor and an Eppendorf 5430 centrifuge (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany).
The supernatant fraction was centrifuged again at ~150,000xg (49,000 RPM) in a TLA-55
rotor and Optima Max XP ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter) to generate the cytosol
fraction (5-6 mg/ml). The 15,000xg membrane fraction (pellet) was resuspended in 1
volume of HB buffer and an equal volume of 0.8M LiCl. Donor membranes were then
centrifuged again as before and the pellet fraction was resuspended in 1 volume of original
starting material HB buffer.

In vitro miRNA packaging reaction

The in vitro packaging reaction was performed as described in (Shurtleff et al. 2016).
Briefly, miR-122-5p and the variant versions were purchased from Integrated DNA
Technologies (IDT, Coralville, IA). Membranes and cytosols were prepared from MDA-MB-
231 cells as described above, with the exception of assays using YBX1 KO cells, in which
case HEK293T cells WT and YBX1 KO were used as described (Shurtleff et al. 2016).
Complete miRNA packaging assays consist of 10ul membranes, 16ul cytosol (the cytosolic
concentration was 5.5 mg/ml, unless otherwise specified), 4ul 10X ATP regeneration
system (400mM creatine phosphate, 2mg/ml creatine phosphokinase, 10mM AT, 20mM
HEPES pH 7.2, 250mM sorbitol, 150mM KOAc, 5mM MgOAc), 8ul 5X incorporation buffer
(400 mM KCl, 100 mM CaCl2, 60 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.4, 6 mM, MgOAc), 1ul of 10nM synthetic single stranded RNA and 1ul RNAsin (Promega, Madison, WI). For rescue experiments with purified La, ~0.1ug of total purified La was added per reaction. Reactions were incubated for 20 min at 30°C, then 1ul of RNase If (50,000units/ml) (NEB, Ipswich, MA) and 4ul of 10X NEB buffer 3 (NEB) was added to the reactions and incubated for an extra 20 min at 30°C. Following incubation, RNA was extracted using Direct-Zol (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA) according to manufacturer’s instructions and miRNA was quantified using TaqMan miRNA assays as described above. The output was represented as a percentage of protection by comparing the level of miRNA left in the RNase-treated samples relative to an ice control which was not RNase-treated. The ice, no RNase control was set to 100%.

Streptavidin pull-down of miR-122 and interacting proteins

The in vitro packaging reaction was performed as described above with a modified version of miR-122, 3’ biotinylated miR-122 (IDT), which was used to mediate its capture with streptavidin beads. Post-incubation with RNase If, the reactions were heated to 65°C for 15 min to inactivate RNase If and then mixed with 10% TX-100 for a final concentration of 1% and kept on ice for 30 min. Dynabeads Myone Streptavidin T1 (Thermo Fischer Scientific) were washed three times with 1X incorporation buffer and then added to the reactions. The reaction was incubated for 1.5 h at 4°C with constant rotation. Beads were washed with 1X incorporation buffer 5 times and bound proteins were eluted with 1X Laemmli buffer without bromophenol blue. Proteins were electrophoresed in a 4-20% acrylamide Tris-Glycine gradient gel (Life Technologies) for ~3 min. The bulk of proteins were stained with
Coomassie and the stained band was excised from the gel using a fresh razor blade. Samples were submitted to the Vincent J. Coates Proteomics/Mass Spectroscopy laboratory at UC Berkeley for in-gel tryptic digestion of proteins followed by liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry analysis according to their standards. The list of detected proteins was then curated for RNA binding proteins, excluding any structural ribosomal protein.

Protein purification

Human La protein was expressed in Sf9 cells as a N-terminal 6X His tagged version. pLJM60-Ssb (Thoreen et al. 2012), plasmid #38241 obtained from Addgene, served as the La sequence backbone. La was cloned in the pFastBac vector from the Bac-to-Bac Baculovirus expression System (Thermo Fischer Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s specifications. The generation of bacmids and the production of baculoviruses was performed as indicated by the manufacturer. For La purification, 1 liter of Sf9 cells was infected with baculovirus. Cells expressing La were harvested 2 d post infection by centrifugation at 1,000xg for 15min at 4°C using a Sorvall RC 6+ centrifuge with a FIBERlite F10-6X500Y rotor. Cellular pellet fractions were stored at -20°C until use. The cellular pellet was thawed and resuspended in 40ml of lysis buffer (20mM HEPES, pH8, 0.1mM EGTA, 500mM NaCl, 5mM DTT, 10mM imidazole, 10mM MgCl₂, 250mM sorbitol, 5% glycerol, 1mM PMSF, and protease inhibitor cocktail 1mM 4-aminobenzamidine dihydrochloride, 1 μg/ml antipain dihydrochloride, 1 μg/ml aprotinin, 1 μg/ml leupeptin, 1 μg/ml chymostatin, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 50 μM N-tosyl-L-phenylalanine chloromethyl ketone and 1 μg/ml pepstatin)), homogenized and then sonicated. Post-lysis, the material was centrifuged at ~40,000xg (20,000 RPM) using a FIBERlite F211-8X50Y
rotor for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant fraction was centrifuged again at ~42,500xg for 1 h using a Ti70 rotor. In preparation for the sample, 2 ml of Ni-NTA resin beads (Thermo Fischer Scientific) were washed twice with lysis buffer. The supernatant then was mixed with Ni-NTA beads and the suspension incubated with constant rotation at 4°C for 2.5 h. Beads were washed 3 times with washing buffer (recipe for washing buffer was the same as lysis buffer (above) but with 50mM imidazole instead of 10mM imidazole). Proteins were eluted with 4ml of elution buffer (elution buffer similar recipe to lysis buffer, but the imidazole concentration was 250mM). Eluted proteins were applied to a Sephadex G25 column (Thermo Fischer Scientific). Buffer was exchanged to Buffer B (10mM Tris, pH 7.4, 150mM NaCl, 3mM MgCl2, 5% glycerol). Purified fractions were pooled, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays

In-gel fluorescence was detected in order to assess the free and protein-bound RNA. Fluorescently labeled (5’) RNAs (IRD800CWN) were ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT, Coralville, IA). EMSAS were performed following (Rio 2014), with some modifications. In brief, 1nM of fluorescently labeled RNA was mixed and incubated with increasing amounts of purified La, ranging from 250pM – 2uM. Buffer E was used in this incubation (25mM Tris pH8, 100mM KCl, 1.5mM MgCl2, 0.2mM EGTA, 0.05% Nonidet P-40, 50ug/ml heparin). Reactions were incubated at 30°C for 30 min and then chilled on ice for 10 min and mixed with 6X loading buffer (60mM KCl, 10mM Tris pH 7.6, 50% glycerol, 0.03% (w/v) xylene cyanol). Polyacrylamide gels (6%), acrylamide:bisacrylamide (29:1) (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), made with Tris-glycine buffer were prerun for 30min at 200V in
the cold room. Samples were resolved in the prerun gels at 200V for 50 min in a 4°C cold
room. Fluorescence was detected by using an Odyssey CLx Imaging System (LI-COR
Biosciences, Lincoln, NE). The software of the Odyssey CLx Imaging System was used to
obtain quantification of fluorescence. To calculate Ka, we fit Hill equations with quantified
data points. Fraction bound was calculated as a function of exhaustion of free-miRNA.

Immunoprecipitation of La and La-RNA complexes

Approximately 40 million cells were harvested as described in the section “Membrane and
Cytosol preparation.” Cells were homogenized in 2 volumes of HB buffer and physically
disrupted as previously described. Non-lysed cells and nuclei were centrifuged at 1,500xg
for 20 min. The supernatant fraction was used as source of cytoplasmic La for
immunoprecipitation and was divided into 3 equal parts: input, La-IP, beads only.

Dynabead Protein G (Thermo Fischer Scientific) was washed 3 times in polysome lysis
buffer (Peritz et al. 2006). A 5X polysome lysis buffer was made and mixed with 1,500xg
post-nuclear supernatant to a final 1X concentration. Anti-La (Origene Technologies,
#TA500406) was added to the post nuclear supernatant to a final concentration of
2ug/500ul and the mixture was incubated with rotation overnight at 4°C. Dynabeads
Protein G (Thermo Fischer) beads were added to the mixture and this mixture was
incubated for an additional 3 h at 4°C with constant rotation. Beads were washed 5 times
with 1X polysome buffer and the content was divided for protein or RNA analysis. Beads
for protein analysis were incubated with Laemmli buffer and heated at 95°C for 10 min and
beads for RNA analysis were exposed to TRI reagent (Zymo Research) for RNA extraction
using Direct-Zol (Zymo Research) as indicated by the manufacturer. Protein and miRNAs were analyzed by immunoblots and TaqMan miRNA qPCR analysis, respectively.

Motif analysis

For motif analysis, we used the MEME Suite 5.0.2 (Bailey and Elkan 1994). MiRNAs detected uniquely in the vHD sub-population by TGIRT-seq were pooled together with miRNAs that were found to be enriched by at least 10-fold (vHD/cellular lysate) through TGIRT-seq and Firefly profiling. A total of 49 miRNAs met those requirements and were used for further analysis. A 0 and 1 Markov background model was used to find 3-5 nucleotide motifs. The total mature Homo sapiens miRNAs from miRBase were used as background.

**FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure 1. Two biochemically distinct sEV sub-populations are released by MDA-MB-231 cells. a) Schematic showing the two-step purification methodology. Differential ultracentrifugation was followed by buoyant density flotation in a linear iodixanol gradient (Figure 1 – figure supplement 1). b) Immunoblot across the iodixanol gradient for classical sEV markers as well as other non-vesicular RNP components. The two discrete sub-populations are indicated. CD63, a glycosylated protein, migrates heterogeneously. c) Quantification of individual proteins as in 1b. The black line delineates the maximum signal across the gradient showing 3 distinctive areas. The first, second and third peak represent the vLD, vHD and non-vesicular RNP fractions. d) Nanoparticle tracking analysis showing
the size distribution of the vHD and vLD sub-populations. The high-speed pellet is also shown. d) Quantification of the particle number per sEV sub-population using Nanoparticle tracking analysis. Data plotted are from 2 independent experiments; error bars represent standard deviation from independent samples. e) Bioanalyzer analysis of the vHD and vLD RNA. The high-speed pellet RNA is also shown.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1. Linearity of iodixanol density gradient. Calculated iodixanol fraction densities collected from the top to bottom of the gradient (fractions 1 to 25) as measured by refractometry (figure 1 – figure supplement 1 – source data 1). Fractions were collected post 160,000g ultracentrifugation (Figure 1a). In red, fractions corresponding to the vLD sub-population. In blue, fractions corresponding to the vHD sub-population.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1 – source data 1. Refraction index and calculated densities of the fractions across the gradient (31 fractions in total).

Figure 2. The two biochemically distinct sEV sub-populations co-fractionate with membranes of different sub-cellular origin. a, b) Gene ontology analysis for sub-cellular localization of proteins that coincide with vHD and vLD proteins detected by mass spectrometry. The gene ontology analysis platform compares the list of proteins given per group to the human genome frequency. The detection of enrichment for a certain organelle/localization is represented as circles, with the size of circles correlating to log10 p-value. c, d) Immunofluorescence for two tetraspanins enriched in each sEV sub-
population. CD9 and CD63 enriched in vLD and vHD respectively. e) Analysis of WT, CRISPR-Cas9 Rab27a KO and CRISPR-Cas9 Rab35 KO by immunoblot. Rab27a, Rab35 and vinculin are shown. f) Immunoblots for CD63 and CD9 in sEVs secreted by WT, Rab27a KO cells and Rab35 KO cells. The amounts of loaded sEVs were normalized by total cell number. g) Immunoblots for CD63, CD9 and flotillin-2 in sEVs secreted by WT and Rab27a KO cells. The amounts of loaded sEVs were normalized by total cell number.

Figure 2 - source data 1. List of proteins detected by mass spectrometry in both EV sub-populations.

Figure 3. MicroRNA profiling of high buoyant density and low buoyant density sEV sub-populations. a, b) Scatterplots showing the relative abundance (as arbitrary units of fluorescence (AUF)) for miRNAs detected in vHD and vLD sub-populations relative to cellular levels, as detected by Firefly profiling and normalized per ng of total RNA. In blue, vHD miRNAs that were selected for further validation by RT-qPCR. Circled miRNAs in vLD represent those also found to be enriched in the vHD-subpopulation. c, d) Log2 fold change for top vHD and vLD candidate miRNAs in vHD or vLD relative to cells (vHD/CL or vLD/CL, respectively) and vHD relative to vLD or vice versa (vHD/vLD or vLD/vHD, respectively). MiRNA species were quantified by RT-qPCR and normalized per ng of total RNA. Data plotted for miR-122 are from 3 independent experiments (biological replicates), each independent experiment with triplicate qPCR reactions; error bars represent standard deviation from independent samples. e) Scatterplots showing relative abundance of miRNAs (AUF) detected in vHD and vLD sub-populations normalized per ng of total RNA, as
detected by Firefly profiling. f) RNase protection of highly enriched vHD miRNAs quantified by qPCR. Isolated sEVs were treated with or without RNase If and or Triton X-100. Data plotted are from 2 independent experiments, each independent experiment with triplicate qPCR reactions; error bars represent standard deviation from independent samples. Statistical significance was performed using Student’s t-test (**p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ns = not significant). CL: cellular lysate. Dashed red lines in a, b and e, represent 10-fold differences.

Figure 3 – figure supplement 1. MiR-122 and miR-451 are highly enriched in the vHD sub-population. Fold difference vHD/CL or vLD/CL for miR-451a and miR-122. Data extracted from Firefly profiling. CL: cellular lysate.

Figure 3 – figure supplement 2. TGIRT-sequencing of high buoyant density and low buoyant density sEV sub-populations. a, b) Scatterplots showing the relative abundance (as reads per million (RPM)) for miRNAs detected in vHD and vLD sub-populations relative to CL levels. Normalized per total number of miRNA reads. In blue, vHD miRNAs that were selected for further validation by qPCR. In red, vLD miRNAs selected for further validation by qPCR. Circled miRNAs in vLD represent those also found to be enriched in the vHD-subpopulation c) Venn Diagram showing the total number of vHD and vLD uniquely found miRNAs (miRNAs with non-detectable signal in cellular lysates) detected by TGIRT-seq. d) Bar graph showing the distribution of all small non-coding RNA transcripts found in vHD and vLD sub-populations. e) tRNA start site distribution showing the majority of tRNA reads start at position 16, previously described as the site of an EV-specific D-loop modification (Shurtleff et al. 2017). The putative D-loop modification is present at
relatively same levels in both the vHD and vLD sub-populations. CL: cellular lysate. Dashed red lines in a and b represent 10-fold differences.

Figure 3 – figure supplement 3. MiR-122 accumulates in conditioned media (CM) over time. Quantification of total number of miR-122 molecules in CM. CM was incubated with or without MDA-MB-231 cells for a period of 48 h. Samples were collected at the indicated time points. Cell debris and apoptotic bodies were removed by low and medium speed centrifugation (as in steps 1-2 shown in Fig. 1a).

Figure 3 – figure supplement 4. MiR-122 co-fractionates with the vHD sub-population. a) Iodixanol linear gradient showing the distribution of EV and non-vesicular RNP markers. b) Quantification of miR-122 by qPCR across the linear gradient. The majority of MiR-122 co-fractionates with the vHD sub-population. c) Quantification of individual proteins as in a and miR-122 as in b across the gradient. The black line delineates the maximum signal across the gradient showing the 3 distinct components. The first, second and third peak represent the vLD, vHD and non-vesicular RNP fractions.

Figure 4. MiR-122 packaging is recapitulated in a cell-free reaction. a) Schematic depicting the in vitro packaging reaction. Image reproduced from the original manuscript developing the cell-free reconstitution assay (Shurtleff et al. 2016). b) Quantification of the in vitro packaging reaction of miR-122. Reactions with or without membranes (15,000xg pellet), cytosol (150,000xg supernatant) prepared from MDA-MB-231 cells and incubated at 30°C or 4°C are shown. Data plotted are from 3 independent experiments, each independent
experiment with triplicate qPCR reactions; error bars represent standard deviation from independent samples. c) Quantification of the in vitro packaging of miR-122 and miR-223. Cytosols from WT or YBX1 KO HEK293T cells were used. Incubations at 30°C or 4°C are shown. Data plotted are from 2 independent experiments, each independent experiment with triplicate qPCR reactions; error bars represent standard deviation from independent samples. d) List of RNA binding proteins pulled down with biotinylated miR-122 after a modified in vitro packaging reaction was performed (see materials and methods). Statistical significance was performed using Student's t-test (*p<0.05, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, ns = not significant).

Figure 4 – figure supplement 1. Ago2 and Dicer are not sEV-associated in MB-MDA-231 cells. Immunoblot for sEV markers across the linear ioxidanol gradient as depicted in Fig 1a, except that the sucrose cushion step to sediment and concentrate the vesicles was not included. Therefore the amount of sedimentable material subjected to flotation was diminished.

Figure 4 – figure supplement 2. CRISPRi efficiently depletes the RNA binding protein candidates. a) Analysis of RBP depletion by immunoblots. Immunoblots for La, NPM1 and vinculin are shown. b) Relative quantification of miR-122 in vitro packaging. Cytosols from WT, La or NPM1-depleted backgrounds were tested in the reactions (cytosols from (a) were used). Two cytosolic protein concentrations were tested: 3 and 6mg/ml.
Figure 5. Sorting of miR-122 into EVs in vitro requires La. a) Quantification of miR-122 in vitro packaging. WT and La-depleted cytosols were titrated from 1.5 to 6mg/ml. Data plotted are from 2 independent experiments, each independent experiment with triplicate qPCR reactions; error bars represent standard deviation from independent samples. b) Heterologously expressed La rescues miR-122 in vitro packaging. Quantification of miR-122 in vitro packaging is shown. Cytosols from WT or La-depleted cells with or without complementation using purified La were used. Reactions without cytosol, with or without membranes and with added purified La are also shown. Data plotted are from 3 independent experiments, each independent experiment with triplicate qPCR reactions; error bars represent standard deviation from independent samples. c) Immunoblots showing the levels of endogenous or heterologously expressed added La used in the in vitro reactions as in (b) are shown. d) Immunoblots for La following miR-122 in vitro packaging performed as for Fig 3d according to the conditions indicated. Statistical significance was performed using Student’s t-test (**p<0.01, ns = not significant).

Figure 5 – figure supplement 1. Purification of heterologously expressed La. Coomassie staining of purified La fractions. Fractions shown were collected post gel filtration on Sephadex G25 columns.

Figure 6. MiR-122 sorting into sEVs requires La in vivo. a) Log2 fold change for intracellular levels of miRNAs of interest post-depletion of the shown RBPs by CRISPRi. MiR-122 and miR-142 were used as representatives of selectively packaged miRNAs. MiR-574 and miR-320a were used as representatives of non-selectively packaged miRNAs. Data quantified by
RT-qPCR, normalized per ng of total RNA. b) Log2 fold change of intracellular and secreted levels of miRNAs of interest quantified by RT-qPCR. sEVs were purified from WT and La-depleted cells. Cellular lysates were isolated at the moment of EV collection. MiR-320a was used as a control for non-selectively packaged miRNAs. Data plotted are from 3 independent experiments (biological replicates); each independent experiment with triplicate qPCR reactions, error bars represent standard deviation from independent samples. c) Log2 fold change of secreted levels of miRNAs of interest quantified by RT-qPCR. sEVs were purified from WT and La-depleted cells. MiRNAs in pink represent miRNAs selectively sorted into vHD sEVs, miRNAs in blue represent non-selectively sorted miRNAs present in both vLD and vHD sEVs. Data plotted are from 3 independent experiments (biological replicates) for miR-122, miR-142 and miR-320a and from 2 independent experiments (biological replicates) for the rest; each independent experiment with triplicate qPCR reactions; error bars represent standard deviation from independent samples. d) Schematic representation of the flotation assay and acetone precipitation as in (e). The high-speed pellet of conditioned medium was floated in a step sucrose gradient (input) and the soluble content was released by the addition of TX-100 and then concentrated by acetone precipitation (acetone precipitated). e) sEV samples post-flotation and acetone precipitation/concentration were tested. Immunoblots for flotillin-2 and La are shown. Acetone precipitation captures mostly soluble proteins (Feist and Hummon 2015), thus flotillin-2 is only detectable in the floated sample, prior to acetone precipitation, whereas La detection improves post precipitation/concentration. f) Proteinase K protection assays in high-speed pellet fractions. Samples were treated with or without proteinase K and or Triton X-100. Immunoblots for flotillin-2, La and Dicer are
shown. Statistical significance was performed using Student’s t-test (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ns = not significant).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 1. The La protein co-fractionates with the vHD sub-population. Immunoblots across the optiprep linear gradient for classical sEV markers as well as for La and nucleolin are shown.

Figure 7: La interacts with miR-122 in vitro and in vivo. a) Schematic representing immunoprecipitation of endogenous La shown in (b) and (c). b) Immunoblot for La post-La IP. c) Quantification of the La immunoblot post La IP. The relative levels, as percentage of input, of miR-122 and miR-182 co-IP with La are also shown. MiR-182, a non-selectively sorted miRNA, served as a negative control for La binding. Data plotted are from 2 independent experiments (biological replicates), for qPCR data each independent experiment with triplicate qPCR reactions; error bars represent standard deviation from independent samples. d) EMSA assays using 5’ fluorescently labeled miR-122. Purified La was titrated from 250pM to 2uM. In gel fluorescence was detected. e) Quantification of (d) showing the calculated K_d. Fraction bound was quantified as a function of exhaustion of free miRNA. Statistical significance was performed using Student’s t-test (*p<0.05, ns = not significant).

Figure 7 – figure supplement 1. Detection of nuclear and cytoplasmic La by immunofluorescence. a) Immunofluorescence for endogenous La was performed. WT and La-depleted cells were fixed and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100. La and DAPI
staining are shown. b) Cells with arrows in (a) are shown side by side to confirm antibody specificity.

Figure 7 - figure supplement 2. La associates with Rab7-positive vesicles. a) Immunofluorescence for endogenous La and Rab7 was performed. WT cells were fixed and permeabilized with 0.02% saponin in order to preserve the nuclear envelope intact and avoid detection of nuclear La. b) Structured illumination microscopy (SIM) was used to achieve higher resolution.

Figure 7 - figure supplement 3. Controls for La specificity during EMSA. a) 22nt RNA oligonucleotide consisting of alternating purines was incubated with different concentrations of purified La. Negative control for binding. b) 22nt poly-uridine oligonucleotide was incubated with different concentrations of purified La as a positive control for binding.

Figure 8. A bipartite motif in miR-122 is required for its packaging and interaction with La in vitro. a) The sequences of miR-122 WT and mutated versions are shown. b) Quantification of in vitro packaging of miR-122 WT and mutated versions. Data plotted are from 3 independent experiments, each with triplicate qPCR reactions; error bars represent standard deviation from independent samples. c) Binding affinity curves (as calculated from EMSAs) for miR-122 and the mutated versions are shown. Fraction bound was quantified as a function of exhaustion of free miRNA. Statistical significance was performed using Student's t-test (**p<0.01).
Figure 8 – figure supplement 1. EMSA for miR-122 mutated versions. a) miR-122 3’ mutated oligonucleotide incubated with increasing amounts of La. b) miR-122 5’ mutated oligonucleotide incubated with increasing amounts of La.

Figure 9: Diagram representing the current model of miRNA sorting into extracellular vesicles derived from MDA-MB-231 cells. At least one vesicular species within the vHD sub-population has its origin in the endocytic pathway, representing the classical exosomes. We propose a selective mechanism of miRNA sorting occurring at the site of vHD vesicle biogenesis where La, along with bound miR-122 (which interaction is mediated by at least two RNA motifs), is targeted for capture into a bud invaginating into the interior of an endosome. vLD vesicles may originate at the plasma membrane, representing shedding vesicles. There may be no selective miRNA sorting occurring at the site of vLD vesicle biogenesis. Non-selective miRNA sorting occurs in both the vHD and vLD sub-populations.

MVB: multivesicular body, PM: plasma membrane.
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Figure 1. Two biochemically distinct sEV sub-populations are released by MDA-MB-231 cells.
Figure 1 - figure supplement 1. Linearity of iodixanol density gradient.
Figure 2. The two biochemically distinct sEV sub-populations co-fractionate with membranes of different sub-cellular origin.
Figure 3. MicroRNA profiling of high buoyant density and low buoyant density sEV sub-populations.
Figure 3 - Figure supplement 1. MiR-122 and miR-451a are highly enriched in the vHD sub-population.
Figure 3 - figure supplement 2. TGIRT-sequencing of high buoyant density and low buoyant density EV sub-populations.
Figure 3 - figure supplement 3. MiR-122 accumulates in conditioned media over time.
Figure 3 - figure supplement 4. MiR-122 co-fractionates with the vHD sub-population
Figure 4. miR-122 packaging is recapitulated in a cell-free reaction.
Figure 4 - Figure supplement 1. Ago2 and Dicer are not sEV-associated in MB-MDA-231 cells.
Figure 4 - figure supplement 2. CRISPRi efficiently depletes the RNA-binding protein candidates.
Figure 5. Sorting of miR-122 into EVs \textit{in vitro} requires La.
Figure 5 - figure supplement 1. Purification of heterologously expressed La.
Figure 6. MiR-122 sorting into sEVs requires La \textit{in vivo}. 
Figure 6 – figure supplement 1. The La protein co-fractionates with the vHD sub-population
Figure 7: La interacts with miR-122 in vitro and in vivo.
Figure 7 - figure supplement 1. Detection of nuclear and cytoplasmic La by immunofluorescence.
Figure 7 – figure supplement 2. La associates with Rab7-positive vesicles.
Figure 7 - figure supplement 3. Controls for La specificity during EMSA.
Figure 8. A bipartite motif in miR-122 is required for its packaging and interaction with La in vitro.
Figure 8 - figure supplement 1. EMSA for miR-122 mutated versions.
Figure 9: Diagram representing the current model of miRNA sorting into small extracellular vesicles derived from MDA-MB-231 cells.