

Identification and characterization of early human photoreceptor states and cell-state-specific retinoblastoma-related features

Reviewed Preprint

v1 • November 22, 2024

Not revised

Dominic WH Shayler, Kevin Stachelek, Linda Cambier, Sunhye Lee, Jinlun Bai, Mark W Reid, Daniel J Weisenberger, Bhavana Bhat, Jennifer G Aparicio, Yeha Kim, Mitali Singh, Maxwell Bay, Matthew E Thornton, Eamon K Doyle, Zachary Fouladian, Stephan G Erberich, Brendan H Grubbs, Michael A Bonaguidi, Cheryl Mae Craft, Hardeep P Singh, David Cobrinik 

The Vision Center, Department of Surgery, and Saban Research Institute, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA • Development, Stem Cell, and Regenerative Medicine Program, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA • Cancer Biology and Genomics Program, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA • Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA • Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA • Maternal-Fetal Medicine Division of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA • Department of Radiology and The Saban Research Institute, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA • Department of Radiology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA • Department of Development, Stem Cell, and Regenerative Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA • Department of Integrative Anatomical Sciences, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA • USC Roski Eye Institute, Department of Ophthalmology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA

 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open_access

 Copyright information

eLife Assessment

In this paper, the authors use single-cell RNA sequencing to understand post-mitotic cone and rod developmental states and identify cone-specific features that contribute to retinoblastoma genesis. The work is **important** and the evidence is generally **convincing**. The findings of rod/cone fate determination at a very early stage are intriguing.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.101918.1.sa3>

Abstract

Human cone photoreceptors differ from rods and serve as the retinoblastoma cell-of-origin. Here, we used deep full-length single-cell RNA-sequencing to distinguish post-mitotic cone and rod developmental states and cone-specific features that contribute to retinoblastomagenesis. The analyses revealed early post-mitotic cone- and rod-directed populations characterized by higher THRB or NRL regulon activities, an immature

photoreceptor precursor population with concurrent cone and rod gene and regulon expression, and distinct early and late cone and rod maturation states distinguished by maturation-associated declines in RAX regulon activity. Unexpectedly, both L/M cone and rod precursors co-expressed *NRL* and *THRB* RNAs, yet they differentially expressed functionally antagonistic *NRL* isoforms and prematurely terminated *THRB* transcripts. Early L/M cone precursors exhibited successive expression of lncRNAs along with *MYCN*, which composed the seventh most L/M-cone-specific regulon, and *SYK*, which contributed to the early cone precursors' proliferative response to *RB1* loss. These findings reveal previously unrecognized photoreceptor precursor states and a role for early cone-precursor-intrinsic *SYK* expression in retinoblastoma initiation.

Introduction

Vertebrate photoreceptors develop from optic vesicle retinal progenitor cells (RPCs) through progressive RPC lineage restriction, fate determination, and post-mitotic maturation (1, 2). While several transcription factors that govern these events have been identified, important aspects of photoreceptor fate determination and maturation remain unclear. For example, it is unclear if fate is determined in RPCs, where OTX2 and ONECUT1 are thought to control the post-mitotic expression of long- or medium-wavelength (L/M) cone determinant TRβ2 and rod determinant NRL (3), or is determined in post-mitotic photoreceptor precursors with concurrent TRβ2 and NRL expression (4). Following fate commitment, post-mitotic developmental stages have been defined based on morphologic features and phototransduction-related gene or protein expression (5, 6), but it is unclear if progression through such stages is subdivided into distinct cell states governed by unique transcription factor combinations or represents a developmental continuum.

An improved understanding of photoreceptor development may provide insight into the pathogenesis of retinal dystrophies, retinal degenerations, and the retinal cone precursor cancer, retinoblastoma (7, 8). In the latter case, L/M cone precursors lacking the retinoblastoma protein (pRB) were shown to proliferate in a manner dependent on the L/M-cone lineage factors RXRγ and TRβ2 and the intrinsically highly expressed MDM2 and MYCN oncoproteins, likely representing the first step of retinoblastoma tumorigenesis (8–11). Similarly, retinoblastoma cell proliferation depends on RXRγ, TRβ2, MDM2, and MYCN (9), implying that intrinsic L/M-cone factors contribute to the oncogenic state. However, retinoblastoma cells also express rod lineage factor *NRL* RNAs, which – along with other evidence – suggested a heretofore unexplained connection between rod gene expression and retinoblastoma development (12, 13). Improved discrimination of early photoreceptor states is needed to determine if co-expression of rod- and cone-related genes is adopted during tumorigenesis or reflects the co-expression of such genes in the retinoblastoma cell of origin.

The cone precursors' propensity to form retinoblastoma is a human-specific feature whose study requires analysis of developing human retina (8). Single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) is well suited to such analyses as it enables discrimination of cell type-specific fate determination and maturation features. scRNA-seq studies employing 3' end-counting have defined age-related post-mitotic transition populations, fate-determining features of post-mitotic photoreceptor precursors (14–16), and gene expression changes associated with the cone fate decision and early development (14–19). However, 3' end-counting cannot be used to interrogate transcript isoforms, and the relatively low number of genes detected per cell limits the ability to distinguish closely related states in individual cells.

In this study, we sought to further define the transcriptomic underpinnings of human photoreceptor development and their relationship to retinoblastoma development. We generated deep, full-length single-cell transcriptomes of human retinal progenitor cells (RPCs) and

developing photoreceptors from fetal week 13–19 retinæ, with enrichment of rare cone precursor populations, and applied long-read cDNA sequencing, RNA velocity, pseudotemporal trajectory reconstruction, and single-cell regulatory network inference and clustering (SCENIC) to interrogate individual cell states. These analyses discriminated previously unrecognized photoreceptor developmental states, identified photoreceptor precursor states with cone and rod-related RNA co-expression, uncovered cell type-specific expression of RNA isoforms of photoreceptor fate-determining genes, elucidated post-mitotic photoreceptor developmental trajectories, and defined retinoblastoma cell-of-origin features that contribute to retinoblastoma genesis.

Results

Regulon-defined RPC and photoreceptor precursor states

To interrogate transcriptomic changes during human photoreceptor development, dissociated RPCs and photoreceptor precursors were FACS-enriched from 18 fetal week 13–19 retinæ and isolated using microfluidics or direct sorting into microliter droplets, followed by full-length cDNA synthesis, paired-end sequencing, and alignment to Ensembl transcript isoforms (**Figure 1A** [↗](#)).

The FACS enrichment was based on a prior cone isolation method ([10](#) [↗](#)) but with wider gating to include rods and RPCs. Exclusion of all cells with <100,000 reads and 18 cells expressing markers of retinal ganglion, amacrine, and/or horizontal cells (*POU4F1*, *POU4F2*, *POU4F3*, *TFAP2A*, *TFAP2B*, *ISL1*) and lacking photoreceptor lineage marker *OTX2* yielded 794 single cells with averages of 3,750,417 uniquely aligned reads, 8,278 genes detected, and 20,343 Ensembl transcripts inferred (**Figure S1A–C** [↗](#)). Sequencing batches were normalized and transcriptomes clustered and visualized in uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) plots that integrated cells across different retinæ, isolation methods, and sequencing runs (**Figure 1B** [↗](#), S1D–F).

Low resolution Louvain clustering generated six clusters that segregated into mostly distinct UMAP domains (**Figure 1B** [↗](#)). One cluster was comprised of RPCs and Müller glia (MG), with specific expression of *LHX2*, *VSX2*, *SOX2*, and *SLC1A3*, while five clusters were comprised of cells with photoreceptor features, with wide expression of *OTX2* and *CRX* and cluster-specific rod- and cone gene expression (**Figure 1C** [↗](#), S2). In UMAP space, the cluster designated immature photoreceptor precursors (iPRPs) intermixed with the RPC/MG population, extended towards early LM cone precursors, and was predominantly comprised of cells expressing the L/M cone determinant *THRB* (**Figures 1B,C** [↗](#)).

Two clusters expressing the rod determinant *NR2E3* were designated early maturing rod (ER) and late maturing rod (LR) (**Figure 1B** [↗](#)), based on the latter's increased expression of rod phototransduction genes *GNAT1*, *CNGB1*, *PDE6G*, *GNGT1* and *RHO* (**Figure 1D** [↗](#), S2C).

Differential expression analysis revealed other genes upregulated in late rods (*GNB1*, *SAMD7*, *NTSE*) ([20](#) [↗](#), [21](#) [↗](#)) as well as the downregulated *CRABP2*, *DCT*, and *FABP7* (**Figure S3A** [↗](#), Table S1). Genes upregulated in the LR cluster were enriched for photoreceptor and light sensing gene ontologies (**Figure S3B** [↗](#)) including spectrin binding, likely relating to proteins that control photoreceptor polarity and synapse formation ([22](#) [↗](#), [23](#) [↗](#)), and purine biosynthesis and ribonucleotide metabolic processes, potentially related to the developing photoreceptors' high NAD⁺ requirements ([24](#) [↗](#)).

Cones segregated into distinct S- and L/M-cone clusters, with differential expression of cone subtype markers (*OPN1SW*, *THRB*), previously identified S-cone enriched genes (*CCDC136*, *UPB1*) ([25](#) [↗](#)–[27](#) [↗](#)), novel S cone enriched genes (*MEGF10*, *NRXN3*, *ACKR3*), and the L/M cone transcription factor *ISL2* ([15](#) [↗](#)), among others (**Figure 1B,C** [↗](#), S3C, Table S2). Gene ontology




Figure 1

Photoreceptor-enriched full-length scRNA-seq of developing human retina.

A. Overview of sample collection and sequencing. **B.** UMAP plot and low-resolution cell type clusters. **C.** Expression of marker genes for RPC/MGs (*LHX2*), rods (*NR2E3*), S cones (*OPN1SW*), L/M cones (*THR*). *Insets:* Gene expression violin plots (from *left to right*): RPC/MG (red), iPRP (brown), LM cone (green), S cone (teal), early rod (blue), late rod (pink). **D.** Expression of markers of rod maturation (*PDE6G*, *RHO*) and cone maturation (*PDE6H*, *OPN1LW*). Arrowheads: Late maturing *RHO*⁺ rods (*top*), late maturing *OPN1LW*⁺ cones (*bottom*). See [Figure S1](#) for additional examples. UMAP and violin plots for any gene or Ensembl transcript isoform can be produced at <https://docker.saban.chla.usc.edu/cobrinik/app/seuratApp/>.

analysis did not reveal relevant terms enriched in S cones, whereas L/M cones were enriched for protein translation related ontologies (**Figure S3D**) due to increased expression of ribosomal protein genes *RPL23A*, *RPLP0*, *RPS19*, *RPS27*, *RPS27A*, *RPS29*, *RPS3A* (Table S2).

In UMAP space, the *THRB*+ L/M-cone cluster segregated into a large 363-cell proximal population and a 5-cell distal population inferred to represent early maturing and late maturing stages, respectively, based on the latter's increased expression of cone phototransduction genes *OPN1LW* (encoding L-opsin), *PDE6H*, and *GUCA1C* (**Figure 1D**, S3E,F), analogous to *RHO*, *PDE6G*, and *GNGT1* upregulation in late rods. Compared to the early L/M population, late L/M cones had upregulation of three M-opsin genes, *TTR*, encoding the retinol-binding protein transthyretin, *PCP4*, a small protein that binds calmodulin previously noted in foveal cones (28), and *MYL4*, a myosin light chain gene upregulated in retinal organoid L/M cones (27), among others (**Figure S3E,F**, Table S3). The low proportions of *OPN1MW*+ and *OPN1LW*+ late maturing L/M cones is consistent with a prior analysis of similar-age retinæ and with the further upregulation of these proteins in later maturation (15).

To further interrogate cell identities, we used SCENIC to identify cluster-specific transcription factor regulons, which represent the overall expression of single transcription factors and their likely coregulated target genes (29). The highest specificity regulons defined major cell populations including RPC/MG-specific *E2F2*, *E2F3*, *VSX2*, and *PAX6*; pan-photoreceptor *NEUROD1*, *OTX2*, and *CRX*; rod-specific *NRL*; and L/M cone-specific *THRB* and *ISL2* (**Figure 2**, Table S4). SCENIC also distinguished ER and LR states via the latter's increased *NRL*, *CRX*, *ATF4*, and *LHX3* and decreased *HMX1* and *RAX* activities ($p < 0.0005$ for each, Dunn test). *RAX* activity also decreased in the 5-cell late maturing L/M cone group (**Figure 2B**), supporting its distinct transcriptomic identity and suggesting a similar mode of late cone and late rod maturation. Additionally, iPRPs expressed their most specific regulons, *LHX9* and *OLIG2*, at levels similar to RPC/MGs along with photoreceptor-related regulons, consistent with the transitional nature of this population (**Figure 2A**, Table S4). However, SCENIC did not identify S cone-specific regulons, in keeping with the notion that S cones represent a default photoreceptor state induced by pan-photoreceptor factors such as *OTX2*, *CRX*, and *NEUROD1* in the absence of *NRL* and *THRB* (30). Thus, deep, full-length scRNA-seq enabled identification of regulons underlying RPC and developing photoreceptor states at the single cell level.

Differential expression of *NRL* and *THRB* isoforms in rod and cone precursors

Although cone and rod precursors segregated into distinct clusters, mRNAs encoding rod-determining factor *NRL*, L/M cone-determining factor *TRβ*, and cone marker *RXRγ* were co-expressed in both rod and cone precursor populations, with mean *NRL* expression only 4.3-fold higher in the ER vs LM cluster, mean *THRB* expression 5.0-fold higher in LM vs LR, and mean *RXRγ* expression 4.3-fold higher in LM vs ER (**Figure S2C,D** and below). Cone *NRL* expression was unexpected given *NRL*'s role in rod fate determination (27) and rod-specific *NRL* regulon activity (**Figure 2A,D**). Similarly, rod *RXRγ* and *THRB* expression were unexpected given their roles in cone gene expression and fate determination (31, 32) and L/M cone-specific *THRB* regulon activity (**Figure 2A,D**). Accordingly, we used full-length scRNA-seq data to determine if cone and rod precursors differentially express *NRL*, *THRB*, and *RXRγ* transcript isoforms.

For *NRL*, the most highly assigned transcript isoform (*ENST00000397002*) encoded the canonical full-length *NRL* (FL-*NRL*) (RefSeq NP_001341697.1), while the second-most assigned isoform (*ENST00000560550*) encoded a previously uncharacterized transcript that used an alternative "P2" promoter and first exon, here termed exon 1T (**Figure 3A-C**). The novel transcript was predicted to encode an N-terminally truncated *NRL* (Tr-*NRL*) retaining the leucine zipper DNA binding domain but lacking the minimal transactivation domain (33) (**Figure 3C**).




Figure 2

Regulon-defined RPC and photoreceptor precursor states

A. Ward-clustered heatmap of the highest scoring SCENIC regulons in each cluster, displaying Z-score normalized regulon activities. Late = late maturing L/M cones. **B.** Box plot of RAX regulon area under the curve (AUC) values for early and late L/M cones and rods. *, $p < 0.005$; ***, $p < 0.0005$, Dunn test. **C, D.** UMAP plots of regulon AUC values for (C) PAX6 (RPC/MG) and E2F2 (RPC), and (D) OTX2 (photoreceptors and photoreceptor-committed RPCs), NRL (rod) and THR and ISL2 (L/M cone).




Figure 3

Differential expression of *NRL* isoforms in rod and cone precursor populations.

A. Expression of *NRL* gene and the most highly assigned Ensembl isoforms *ENST00000397002* (FL-*NRL*) and *ENST00000560550* (Tr-*NRL*). **B.** Mean *NRL* isoform assignments for clusters defined in [Figure 1B](#), presented as total counts (*top*) and percentage of total counts (*bottom*). Significance for LM vs. ER fold change, colored by isoform. ****, $p < 0.0002$; *****, $p < 0.000001$ (bootstrapped Welch's t-test). **C.** *Top*: Mean read counts across Ensembl *NRL* exons for each cluster. *Bottom*: Transcript structures numbered according to amino acid positions. Minimal transactivation domain (MTD) in green. Arrowheads: Red/black: First exons where red is higher of two peaks. **D.** Relative difference box plot of raw reads mapping to truncated (Tr) and full length (F) transcript first exons in each cell, according to cluster. Relative difference is the difference in reads mapping to truncated and full-length *NRL* first exons (Tr-F) divided by the sum of both (Tr+F). Values >0 indicate more reads assigned to truncated isoform, values <0 indicate more reads assigned to full-length isoform. ***, $p < 0.0001$ (post-hoc Dunn test). **E.** *NRL* and RXRy immunostaining and RNA FISH with probes specific to truncated Tr-*NRL* exon 1T (green puncta) and FL-*NRL* exons 1 and 2 (orange puncta) in FW16 retina. Boxed regions enlarged at right show a RXR^{lo}, *NRL*⁺ rod with one Tr-*NRL* and six FL-*NRL* puncta (*top*) and an RXRy^{hi}, *NRL*⁻ cone with one Tr-*NRL* and no FL-*NRL* puncta (*bottom*), indicated with same-color arrows. Scale bar: 10 μ m. **F.** Ratio of fluorescent puncta observed in experiment depicted in (E) for *NRL*⁺ or RXRy^{hi} cells where Tr puncta >0 . ***, $p < 0.0005$ (Welch's t-test). **G.** *Top*: PDE6B-luciferase reporter activity in NIH-3T3 cells transfected with indicated amounts (ng) of pcDNA4C-EF1 α and derived FL-*NRL* and Tr-*NRL*. *Bottom*: Expression constructs. Error bars = standard deviation of triplicate measurements. *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.005$ (Student's t-test). Data representative of two experiments in NIH-3T3 and one in 293T. **H.** Long-read nanopore sequencing of pooled 5' RACE reactions initiated with *NRL* exon 3 primers and performed on cDNA libraries from 23 ER cells (*top*) and 21 LM cells (*bottom*). Each schematic shows total exon coverage (*above*) and individual transcript structures (*below*), where expressed sequences are grey and introns light blue. Full-length (FL), alternatively spliced or internally initiated exon 2 (Δ ex2), and truncated (Tr) transcripts are indicated by brackets. Red arrow: Transcripts resembling DD10, with internal exon 2 transcription initiation and premature splicing to exon 3. Ensembl FL-*NRL* and Tr-*NRL* transcript isoforms and RACE primer positions are shown below.

While both isoforms were inferred to be more highly expressed in the ER vs LM cluster, the average FL-*NRL* (*ENST00000397002*): Tr-*NRL* (*ENST00000560550*) ratio was 2.8:1 in early rods and 0.72:1 in L/M cones ([Figure 3B](#)). Consistent with the assigned isoform ratios, mean Tr-*NRL* exon 1T coverage was higher in S and L/M cones while FL-*NRL* exon 1 coverage was higher in rods ([Figure 3C](#), red vs. black arrowheads). Comparing the reads mapped to each first exon relative to total reads further confirmed that the Tr-*NRL* exon 1T predominated in individual cones whereas the FL-*NRL* exon 1 predominated in rods ([Figure 3D](#)). The cone cells' higher proportional expression of Tr-*NRL* first exon sequences was validated by RNA fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) of FW16 fetal retina ([Figure 3E,F](#)).

While the Tr-*NRL* isoform was not to our knowledge previously described, another *NRL* isoform that initiated within exon 2 and lacked the *NRL* transactivation domain due to alternative exon 2 splicing, termed DD10 ([Figure 3C](#)), was previously identified in adult retina ([34](#)). Concordantly, we detected reads spanning the unique DD10 splice junction, yet at lower levels than the unique Tr-*NRL* junction (5,942 versus 57,048). As both Tr-*NRL* and DD10 lack the *NRL* transactivation domain and as DD10 interferes with FL-*NRL* transactivation ([35](#)), we examined if Tr-*NRL* similarly opposes FL-*NRL* transcriptional activity. In luciferase assays, Tr-*NRL* suppressed FL-*NRL* activation of a *PDE6B* promoter ([Figure 3G](#)), demonstrating that the cone-enriched Tr-*NRL* antagonized FL-*NRL*-mediated transactivation.

To further define *NRL* isoforms, we performed *NRL* 5' rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) on the already generated single cell cDNA libraries from 23 early rod (ER) and 21 early L/M cone (LM) cells, followed by nanopore long-read sequencing of the pooled RACE products. The long-read

sequencing revealed isoforms consistent with FL-NRL, Tr-NRL, DD10, and several other NRL isoforms with alternative transcription initiation and alternative splicing within exon 2 as well as within the Tr-NRL exon 1T (**Figure 3H**, **Figure S4**). In keeping with the computationally assigned isoforms and differential exon usage, ER libraries had a higher proportion of FL-NRL exon 1 and exon 2 reads and LM libraries had a higher proportion of Tr-NRL exon 1T reads (**Figure 3H**, **Figure S4**). Moreover, alternative splicing within NRL exon 2 was more prevalent in LM libraries, affecting 6,788 of 29,177 (23 %) of exon 2 reads compared to 5,378 of 85,860 (6 %) in ER cells ($p < 0.0001$; Chi-square with Yates correction), resulting in rarer full-length (exon 1-2-3) transcripts than inferred from short-read sequencing. Thus, long-read sequencing revealed cell type-biased NRL isoform expression with a preponderance of FL-NRL in early rods and disrupted FL-NRL and Tr-NRL isoforms in LM cones.

Despite our detection of L/M cone RNAs encoding Tr-NRL and FL-NRL, cone expression of NRL protein has not been reported. To assess endogenous Tr-NRL expression, we performed immunoblot analysis of CHLA-VC-RB-31 retinoblastoma cells (**36**), which express FL-NRL- and Tr-NRL transcripts in a cone-like 0.57:1 ratio, with an antibody that recognizes both FL- and Tr-NRL proteins (**Figure S5A,B**). As in other retinoblastoma cells (**13**), FL-NRL increased in response to retinoic acid and proteasome inhibition, whereas Tr-NRL was not detected (**Figure 5C**). Similarly, Tr-NRL was not detected in EGFP⁺, RXRy⁺ cones following lentiviral transduction of an explanted fetal retina with an EGFP-P2A-Tr-NRL cassette (**Figure S5D-G**). These findings suggest that Tr-NRL is poorly translated or unstable in most cone precursors.

For *THRB*, the most highly assigned transcript isoforms included those encoding the L/M cone-specific TRβ2 (*ENST00000280696*) and the more widely expressed TRβ1 (*ENST00000396671* and others) (**Figure 4A-C**, **S6**). While both TRβ1 and TRβ2 promote L/M cone fate determination (**37**), isoforms encoding TRβ2 predominated in L/M cones while those encoding TRβ1 predominated in early rods (**Figure 4B**). Moreover, late rods preferentially expressed *THRB* exons 1-6 and the *THRB* 3' untranslated region (UTR), implying that RNAs encoding full-length TRβ proteins were rare (**Figure 4B,C**). Notably, a higher percentage of reads extended from the exon 4 and exon 6 splice donor sequences into the subsequent introns in LR versus LM cells (**Figure 4D,E**) ($p \leq 0.001$ for both, two-tailed Chi square test), suggesting that premature transcription termination (PTT) in introns 4 and 6 preferentially limits TRβ expression in the LR population. The inferred PTT events are consistent with structures of the assigned Ensembl isoforms (**Figure 4B**, **S6**).

To further evaluate PTT events, we used *THRB* 3' RACE and long-read sequencing on single cell cDNA libraries from 23 L/M cone cells and five LR cells selected for high *THRB* expression. RACE reactions were performed separately with primers complementary to the TRβ1-specific exon 4 and to the TRβ2-specific first exon (**Figure 4F**). Sequencing of LM and LR RACE products corroborated pronounced PTT in introns 4 and 6, with greater intron 6 PTT in LR versus LM cells (**Figure 4F**, **Figure S7**). However, we did not corroborate the late rods' proportionately higher intron 4 PTT, likely due to the small number of LR cells examined and heterogeneity in PTT frequency in individual cells. Long read sequencing also revealed PTT following the TRβ2-specific exon and a novel transcription-terminating exon following the canonical exon 5 solely in TRβ2 transcripts (**Figure 4F**, **S7**). 3' RACE transcripts rarely extended into the 3' UTR in LM or LR cells, suggesting that reads mapping to this region do not reflect protein-coding RNAs and confound the assessment of *THRB* expression. These analyses demonstrate that *THRB* is regulated by multiple PTT events in rod and cone precursors as well as by cell type-specific promoter utilization and independent 3' UTR expression.

For *RXRG*, short read sequencing reads were assigned to several isoforms that differed in their 5' promoter position and exon utilization (**Figure S8A-C**). However, 5' RACE and long-read sequencing did not support differential isoform expression (**Figure S8D**), and quantitative




Figure 4

Differential expression of *THRβ* isoforms in rod and cone precursors.

A. Expression of *THRβ* and highly assigned isoforms *ENST00000280696* (encoding TRβ2) and *ENST00000396671* (TRβ1). **B.** Mean *THRβ* isoform assignments for each cluster presented as counts (*top*) and percentage of counts (*bottom*); β1 PTT isoforms indicated. **C. Top:** Mean read counts across Ensembl *THRβ* exons. **Bottom:** Transcript structures for TRβ1, TRβ2, and two TRβ1 truncations. Green arrowhead: First TRβ2 exon. *ENST00000396671* exon numbers are indicated above and protein domains (AF1, DNA-binding (DBD), and ligand binding (LBD)) below. **D.** Read coverage for LR cells across *THRβ* exons 4 and 6 splice donor sites. **E.** Percentage of exon splice donor reads that are spliced or readthrough to the subsequent intron. **F.** Long-read sequencing of pooled 3' RACE reactions initiated with exon 4 (*left*) or TRβ2 exon 1 (*right*) performed on cDNA libraries from 21 LM cells (*top*) and 5 LR cells (*bottom*). Schematics show total coverage (*above*) and individual transcripts (*below*). TRβ1 and TRβ2 first exons (green boxes) are enlarged at right. Red arrowheads: intronic premature transcription termination (PTT).




Figure 5

Two post-mitotic photoreceptor precursor populations rapidly expressing rod or cone markers.

A. UMAP plot colored by high resolution clusters. **B.** RNA Velocity plots with cell clusters as in A and cell velocity vectors. **C.** Enlarged view highlighting RPC and MG clusters (left), RPC-localized iPRP and TR clusters (middle), and RNA velocity (right). Black line: limit of the RPC-localized region. Arrows depict inferred trajectories. **D.** Violin plots depicting expression of selected genes in RPC, MG, and RPC-localized iPRP and TR cells. Colored asterisks compare cluster of same color to cluster at right of line. **E.** SCENIC regulon violin and box plots for RPC-localized cells in each cluster, selected from most specific regulons for MG, RPC, ER and LM clusters. ^, $p < 0.1$; *, < 0.05 ; **, < 0.005 ; ***, < 0.0005 (post-hoc Dunn test).

imaging revealed an average ~3.5-fold higher RXR γ protein in cones compared to rods (**Figure S8E**). Thus, long-read sequencing clarified that *RXR γ* expression is moderately higher in human cone versus rod precursors without evidence of cell-type specific isoforms.

Two post-mitotic photoreceptor precursor populations

To further define photoreceptor developmental states, we subdivided the initial six cell populations with higher resolution clustering, identified high resolution cluster-associated genes and regulons, and inferred each cell's rate and direction of transcriptomic change using RNA velocity (38) (**Figure 5A,B**). Increased clustering resolution divided the RPC/MG cluster into separate RPC and Müller glia (MG) groups, divided L/M cones into four subgroups (LM1 – LM4), which partially overlapped in UMAP space, and yielded a new cluster, here designated transitional rods (TR), derived from the low resolution iPRP and rod clusters (**Figure 5C**). Similar clusters were observed at reduced *k*.param values that defines nearest neighbors.

The distinction between RPCs and MGs was corroborated by the expression of known marker genes, with the RPC cluster having increased expression of cell cycle markers (*CCNE2*, *CCNA2*, *CCNB2*) and the cell cycle-associated *PBK* and *E2F7* (**Figure 5D**, S9A,B, Table S5). The MG cluster was spatially segregated, lacked expression of most cell cycle genes, and expressed genes known to be expressed in both populations (*CCND1*, *SLC1A3*, *PAX6*, *LHX2*, *VSX2*) as well as the MG marker *RLBP1* (39–41) (**Figure 5C,D**, S9A). Differential gene expression analyses revealed upregulation of cell cycle-related genes and G2/M checkpoint and E2F target ontologies in the RPC cluster but no significantly upregulated ontologies in the MG population (**Figure S9B,C**), consistent with early MGs resembling quiescent RPCs (42).

High resolution clustering also resolved iPRP and TR cells positioned near the RPCs in UMAP space (**Figure 5C**). RNA velocity suggested that these 'RPC localized' cell groups flowed towards the larger iPRP population and towards early maturing rods, respectively (**Figure 5B,C**). Compared to RPCs and MG, RPC-localized iPRP and TR cells had minimal expression of cyclin RNAs or RPC markers *PAX6*, *LHX2*, and *VSX2*, and had increased expression of photoreceptor determinants *OTX2* and *CRX* (**Figure 5D**), consistent with their being immediately post-mitotic photoreceptor precursors. The iPRP cells in this region also upregulated the early cell fate determinant *ONECUT1* (3, 43), the L/M cone determinant *THRB*, and the cone differentiation marker *GNAT2*, but lacked the rod determinant *NR2E3*, indicative of a cone-bias (**Figure 5D**). In contrast, TR cells in the same region trended towards higher expression of the rod-related *NRL* and the rod-specific *NR2E3* ($p = 0.19$, $p = 0.054$, respectively). Prior droplet scRNA-seq analyses did not resolve early post-mitotic cone versus rod precursors (15, 16), nor could we discriminate early post-mitotic *THRB*⁺ iPRP and *NR2E3*⁺ TR populations through a similar analysis of single cell transcriptomes generated by 3' end-counting. Thus, deep sequencing enabled detection of *THRB*, *NR2E3*, and perhaps other low-expressed RNAs that are important to discrimination of early iPRP and TR populations.

SCENIC regulons further clarified the identities of the four RPC-localized clusters (**Figure 5E**, S10). The MG cluster was best specified (*i.e.*, had highest regulon specificity scores) by *PAX6* and *SOX9*, both previously described in RPCs and MGs (39, 44, 45), whereas RPCs were best specified by *E2F2* and *E2F3*. These RPC and MG regulons were low or absent in the early post-mitotic iPRP and TR cells, suggesting they undergo an abrupt cell state change. Moreover, immediately post-mitotic iPRPs and TRs that colocalized with RPCs showed differential *THRB* and *NRL* regulon activities. iPRP cells had greater *THRB* regulon signal than TR cells and TR cells had higher *NRL* regulon signal than iPRPs ($p < 0.05$ for both), consistent with the bifurcated direction of gene expression change implied by RNA velocity (**Figure 5C,E**). TR cells also had lower activity of the pan-photoreceptor regulons *LHX3*, *OTX2*, *CRX*, and *NEUROD1* (**Figure S10**), consistent with their immature nature.

Overall, high resolution clustering resolved two post-mitotic PR precursor populations with iPRPs having more cone-related and TRs having more rod-related gene expression and regulon activities, consistent with RPC-localized TR cells proceeding towards the ER cluster and iPRP cells continuing towards the larger iPRP population. To our knowledge, this is the first indication of two immediately post-mitotic photoreceptor precursor populations with cone versus rod-biased gene expression (15, 16, 19).

Early cone precursors with cone- and rod-related RNA expression

In addition to the immediately post-mitotic iPRP cells, the iPRP cluster included cells bridging the UMAP region between early maturing cones and early maturing rods (Figure 6A). Cells in this region expressed cone markers (*GNAT2*, *THRB*), rod markers (*GNAT1*, *NR2E3*), or, in many cases, both (Figure 6B), resembling a proposed hybrid cone/rod precursor (4). To determine whether the intermixed cone and rod gene expression reflect a hybrid cone-rod precursor state, we examined bridge region NRL and THRB regulon activities, which embody the overall transcriptomic effects of these factors. Indeed, in the iPRP bridge and adjacent ER regions, cells with NRL and THRB regulon signals intermixed, and some cells showed strong signals for both (Figure 6C, arrows). However, bridge region iPRP cells had low NRL regulon z-scores similar to L/M cones and had THRB z-scores above that of adjacent ER cells (Figure 6D). The difference between regulon signals increased in rod and cone clusters outside the bridge as NRL or THRB regulons became more dominant (Figure 6D).

To determine if cells with early cone and rod RNA co-expression can be detected in the developing retina, we performed multiplex RNA FISH for early cone marker *GNAT2* and rod marker *NR2E3*. To identify cell types with such co-expression, FISH analyses were combined with immunostaining for RXRy, which has high expression in outer neuroblastic layer (NBL) cone precursors and low expression in inner NBL rod precursors (Figure S8E), and for NR2E3, which is detected solely in rods. To infer the spatiotemporal pattern of such expression across human retinal development, we examined *GNAT2* and *NR2E3* RNA co-expression in outer NBL RXRy⁺ cones and in inner NBL RXRy^{lo} rods across 13 peripheral fetal retina regions (Figure 6E,F, S11). The analyses revealed that most of the far peripheral (hence, nascent) outer NBL RXRy⁺ cones (Figure 6E, regions 2 and 13) lacked detectable *GNAT2* and *NR2E3* RNA, whereas those in the more mature central retina (regions 3-12) were uniformly *GNAT2*⁺ (Figure 6G). However, starting with regions 3 and 11, some outer NBL *GNAT2*⁺ cones were also *NR2E3*⁺ (Figure 6G). The proportion of *GNAT2*⁺, *NR2E3*⁺ outer NBL cells increased in the more central retina, yet all of these cells had strong RXRy staining and lacked NR2E3 protein, consistent with their having a cone identity. In contrast, most of the inner NBL RXRy^{lo} cells had either low *NR2E3* RNA without NR2E3 protein, in nascent rods, or prominent *NR2E3* RNA with NR2E3 protein, in maturing rods (Figure 6H). However, we did not detect *GNAT2* puncta in inner NBL RXRy^{lo}, *NR2E3*⁺ cells, including the most peripheral RXRy^{lo} cells with low-level *NR2E3* RNA. In summary, most, if not all, photoreceptor precursors with *GNAT2* and *NR2E3* RNA co-expression had high RXRy, no detectable NR2E3 protein, and outer NBL positions expected of cone precursors. This supports the notion that early bridge region iPRP cells with combined cone- and rod-related RNA expression are likely cone-directed.

To further assess whether iPRP bridge region cells comprise a distinct subpopulation, we sought to identify RNA markers of this region. Among four iPRP marker genes (Figure S9A), *CHRNA1* was mainly expressed in the bridge region and adjacent rods and cones, whereas *ONECUT1* was biased to cone-directed iPRPs, lncRNA *CTC-378H22.2* was expressed in a narrow zone of *THRB*⁺, *GNAT2*⁺ cone precursors, and *S100A6* was more widely expressed (Figure 7A, S12A). Bridge region cells also expressed *ATOH7* and *DLL3*, which were previously proposed to define transitional photoreceptor precursors and promote cone fate determination (14–16). *ATOH7* was largely restricted to cone-directed cells whereas *DLL3* was broadly expressed similar to *S100A6* (Figure 7A, S12A). As *CHRNA1* was the most specific marker of bridge region cone and rod precursors, we evaluated whether *CHRNA1* RNA marked specific cone and rod populations using FISH combined with RXRy and NRL immunofluorescent staining. In a FW12 retina, we detected highest




Figure 6

An iPRP population with cone-rod properties.

A-C. UMAP 'bridge' region cells colored by ER and iPRP cluster and RNA velocity (A), rod and cone marker gene expression (B), and NRL and THRB regulon activity (C, arrows indicate cells with both regulon signals). **D.** Box plot of Z-score-normalized NRL and THRB regulon AUCs for each cluster. **E,F.** Tiled composite fluorescence image of FW14 retinal section after immunofluorescence staining of RXRγ and NR2E3 and RNA FISH of GNAT2 and NR2E3 (E) and diagram of same retina with the section's most peripheral (i.e., youngest and least mature) and most central (i.e., oldest and most mature) rods and cones labeled (F). Boxes indicate regions further evaluated as in [Supplementary Figure S11](#) and quantitated in G and H. **G,H.** Quantitation of (G) outer and (H) inner layer photoreceptor precursors expressing combinations of RXRγ and NR2E3 proteins and GNAT2 and NR2E3 RNAs.

CHRNA1 in the earliest, most peripheral NRL+ rods and RXRy+ cones and fewer *CHRNA1*+ cells in more mature, central regions ($p < 0.005$ in cones) (**Figure 7B-D** [↗](#)), consistent with its expression in bridge region photoreceptor precursors.

To identify factors that regulate transcriptomic states during iPRP cell fate determination, we examined the distribution of the most iPRP-specific regulons identified after high-resolution clustering, OLIG2 and LHX9 (**Figure S12B** [↗](#), Table S6). As Olig2 was previously detected in mouse RPCs in which *Onecut1* enabled *Thrb* expression and cone fate determination ([3](#) [↗](#), [46](#) [↗](#)), the OLIG2 regulon was expected to be most active in RPCs and in early, immediately post-mitotic iPRPs. While the OLIG2 and LHX9 regulons were indeed active in RPCs, both were also active in a narrow zone of *ONECUT1*+ cone-directed iPRP cells distal to the bridge region and immediately preceding the upregulation of the THRB regulon (**Figure S12B** [↗](#)). These data indicate that the OLIG2 and LHX9 regulons are active in and potentially relevant to human cone fate determination in post-mitotic photoreceptor precursors.

An early L/M cone trajectory marked by successive lncRNA expression

After fate commitment, L/M cones initiate a maturation process with upregulation of RNAs and proteins related to phototransduction, axonogenesis, synaptogenesis, and outer segment morphogenesis ([5](#) [↗](#), [6](#) [↗](#)). To evaluate whether early L/M cone maturation is comprised of distinct transcriptomic cell states, we assessed marker gene and regulon differences between high resolution clusters LM1, LM2, LM3, and LM4. While clusters LM1-4 were distinguished by sequential increasing expression of *ACOT7*, *RTN1*, *PDE6H*, *OLAH*, and *NPFF*, they showed only subtle differences among the three regulons with highest LM1-4 specificity scores, THRB, ISL2, and LHX3 (**Figure 8A,B** [↗](#)). The lack of cluster-specific marker genes and regulons suggest that LM1-LM4 represent different stages of a graded maturation process.




Figure 7.

CHRNA1 marks early-born cone and rod precursors.

A. UMAP plots of iPRP bridge region marker genes. **B-D** Combined RXR γ /NRL immunohistochemical staining and *CHRNA1* FISH of FW12 retina. **B.** Tiled images of retina section with nuclei stained with DAPI. White boxes: fields used for quantitative fluorescent imaging. Distances along apical edge of tissue marked in mm from midpoint of central image (0 mm, C). *: Imaged region shown in C. Scale bar = 500 μ m. **C.** *Top*: Retinal nuclear and cellular segmentation and identification of cells as RXR γ + (green outline) or NRL+ (red outline). Yellow box: Field shown below. *Bottom*: RXR γ or NRL immunofluorescence staining with *CHRNA1* FISH. Arrows: RXR γ +*CHRNA1*+ (green), NRL+*CHRNA1*+ (yellow). Scale bars = 15 μ m. **H.** Quantitation of fluorescent puncta in RXR γ + and NRL+ cells by image field. X-axis: Distance from the midpoint of each image to retina center (0 mm, C). **, $p < 0.005$ (Wald test, images from 0-6 mm).




Figure 8

L/M cone subcluster marker genes, regulons, and pseudotemporal trajectory with successive lncRNA gene expression.

A. Violin plots of high-resolution cone cluster marker genes with increasing maturation-associated expression. All significant differences between adjacent clusters are indicated. **B.** Violin plots of regulons with highest LM1-4 cone cluster specificity scores. *, $p < 0.05$; **, < 0.005 ; ***, < 0.0005 (post-hoc Dunn test). **C.** Pseudotemporal trajectory through the L/M cone population derived with Monocle 3. *: Root cell used to define endpoint. **D.** Trendlines of relative count expression (*left*) and UMAP plots for lncRNAs correlating with early or late-upregulating modules. Line color matched to label boxes. **E, F.** Combined RXRy immunohistochemical staining and FISH of lncRNAs on FW16 retina. **E.** Tiled images of retina with nuclei stained with DAPI. White boxes: fields used for quantitative fluorescent imaging. Distances along apical edge of tissue marked in mm from fovea to ciliary margins. Scale bar = 500 μm . Asterisks identify fields shown in **F**. **F.** Combined RXRy immunostaining and multiplex FISH for four lncRNAs, of which two are shown in peripheral and central retina regions. Arrows: White: RXRy/*CTC-378H22.2*+. Magenta: RXRy/*HOTAIRM1*+. Blue: RXRy/*CTD-2034I21.2*+. Green: RXRy/*RP13-143G15.4*+. Scale bar = 15 μm . **G.** Quantitation of lncRNA fluorescent puncta assigned to RXRy+ cells after segmentation. Colored bars mark lncRNA expression regions as described in the text. Arrows indicate significant count differences between images ($p < 0.05$, Wald test).

We further evaluated gene expression changes in maturing cones by pseudotemporally ordering iPRP and L/M cones (Figure 8C). This identified 967 pseudotime-correlated genes ($q\text{-value} < 0.05$, expression > 0.05 in $> 5\%$ of cells; Table S7) in seven gene modules (Figure S13). Among the top 20 pseudotime-correlated genes in each module, we identified four lncRNAs that were sequentially expressed (Figure 8D). To determine if these lncRNAs distinguish developmentally distinct maturing cones *in vivo*, we probed their expression via multiplex RNA FISH in co-stained RXRy+ cone precursors across a FW16 retina (Figure 8E,F). Quantitation of FISH puncta defined four cone maturation zones based on expression peaks and significant count differences for each lncRNA: the most peripheral cones with high *CTC-378H22.2* and *HOTAIRM1*, peripheral cones with high *HOTAIRM1* only, cones with low expression of all four lncRNAs, and parafoveal/foveal cones with upregulated *RP13143G15.4*, *CTD-2034I21.2*, and *CTC-378H22.2* (Figure 8G). The detection of foveal *CTC-378H22.2* by ISH but not in late LM transcriptomes may relate to a lack of the most mature cones in our scRNA-seq analyses. These data support the concept that maturing L/M cones sequentially express specific lncRNAs as they develop.

Cone intrinsic SYK contributions to the proliferative response to pRB loss

We next assessed whether early maturing cone transcriptomic features are conducive to the proliferative response to pRB loss (10, 11). Given the high transcriptomic similarity across the L/M cone population, we compared gene expression between all early maturing L/M cones and early maturing rods. This identified 422 genes upregulated and 119 downregulated in cones ($p < 0.05$, $\log_2\text{FC} > |0.4|$) (Figure S14A, Table S8). Among cone-enriched genes, the top three enriched ontologies related to translation initiation, protein localization to membrane, and MYC targets (Figure S14B). Although *MYC* RNA was not detected, the upregulation of MYC target genes was of interest given the cone precursors' high MYCN protein expression (Figure 9A) and the role of MYCN in the cone precursor response to pRB loss (8–10). Concordantly, the LM cluster had increased *MYCN* RNA ($\log_2\text{FC} = 0.54$) and MYCN regulon activity, representing the seventh highest LM cluster regulon specificity score (Figure 9B,C, Table S4).

Among other differentially expressed genes, we noted cone-specific upregulation of SYK (Figure 9D, Table S8), which encodes a non-receptor tyrosine kinase. Whereas SYK was previously implicated in retinoblastoma genesis and proposed to be induced in response to pRB loss (47),




Figure 9

Cone intrinsic MYCN and SYK contribute to the proliferative response to pRB loss.

A. Immunofluorescent staining of MYCN in ARR3+ cones but not in NRL+ rods in FW18 retina. **B-D.** UMAP plots of MYCN expression (B), MYCN regulon activity (C), and SYK expression (D). **E.** SYK and MYCN gene expression violin plots by cluster. *, p < 0.05; ns = not significant (t-test). **F.** Immunohistochemical staining of SYK and cone arrestin (ARR3, LUMIF-hCAR antibody) in FW18 and FW16 retinas. Green arrow: ARR3+, SYK+. White arrow: ARR3+, SYK-. Scale bar = 25 μ m. **G.** Effect of SYK inhibitor GS-9876 on Ki67+ expression in shRB1- and YFP transduced RXRy+ cone cells from dissociated FW16.5 fetal retina. Values represent means of three immunocytochemical analyses from two treatment replicates. Error bars: standard deviation. *, p < 0.05; **, < 0.005 (Student's T-test with equal variance, 2-tailed). RXRy+ cells: Experiment 1, n=1,340. Experiment 2, n=804. Range 107 – 366 cells per condition. **H.** Model of SYK expression and function in cone maturation and retinoblastoma development.

its expression was not previously reported in developing fetal retina. Indeed, *SYK* RNA expression increased at the iPRP stage and into clusters LM3 and 4 and was abolished during the transition from early-maturing to late-maturing L/M cones, in contrast to its minimal expression in the corresponding rod TR, ER, and LR stages (**Figure 9E** [↗](#)). Similarly, immunohistochemical staining revealed high *SYK* protein expression in immature (ARR3-) and early maturing (ARR3+) cones from the retinal periphery to the maturing foveal cones at FW16, while *SYK* was not detected in the most mature foveal cones at FW18 (**Figure 9F** [↗](#)). The loss of *SYK* protein and RNA expression with cone maturation is consistent with the lack of *SYK* in cones of normal retina adjacent to a retinoblastoma tumors ([47](#) [↗](#)) and implies that *SYK* is a defining feature of the human early cone precursor state. While *MYCN* was also preferentially expressed in early cones, it did not increase as much relative to RPCs nor decline as much in late maturing cone precursors when compared to *SYK* RNA dynamics (**Figure 9E** [↗](#)).

To determine if *SYK* contributes to retinoblastoma initiation, dissociated fetal retinal cells were transduced with an *RB1*-directed shRNA (shRB1-733) known to induce cone precursor proliferation ([10](#) [↗](#)), treated with the highly specific *SYK* inhibitor GS-9876 ([48](#) [↗](#)) for 12 days, and examined for cone precursor cell cycle entry by co-staining for RXRy and Ki67. GS-9876 treatment suppressed the proliferative response to pRB knockdown at all concentrations from 1.0 – 5.0 μ M (**Figure 9G** [↗](#)), indicating that cone precursor intrinsic *SYK* activity is critical to the proliferative response to pRB loss and is retained in retinoblastoma cells (**Figure 9H** [↗](#)).

Discussion

This study evaluated cell state changes associated with human cone and rod photoreceptor development using deep full-length scRNA-seq. Whereas prior scRNA-seq studies provided insights into RPC fate determination and trajectories using 3' end counting ([14](#) [↗](#)–[18](#) [↗](#), [25](#) [↗](#)), deep full-length sequencing enabled more precise resolution of cell states and identification of cell type-specific regulon activities and transcript isoforms. Additionally, our cell enrichment strategy provided insight into the transcriptomic profiles and cancer-predisposing features of developing cones, a rare population with unique cancer cell-of-origin properties ([8](#) [↗](#), [10](#) [↗](#), [11](#) [↗](#)).

One advantage of full-length scRNA-seq is that it enables the detection of differential transcript isoform expression. Here, we show that the rod determinant *NRL*, the L/M-cone determinant *THRB*, and the cone maker *RXRG* are all co-expressed in cone and rod precursors at the RNA level yet use distinct cell type-specific mechanisms to enable appropriate differential protein expression. Long-read cDNA sequencing revealed that L/M cones preferentially express novel *NRL* transcripts predicted to encode a truncated *NRL* (Tr-*NRL*) lacking a transactivation domain as well as *NRL* isoforms with intra-exon-2 transcription initiation and alternative splicing. As with DD10 ([35](#) [↗](#)), Tr-*NRL* opposed transactivation by full-length *NRL* and thus may suppress rod-related transcription. However, our inability to detect intrinsic Tr-*NRL* or to overexpress Tr-*NRL* in cone precursors suggests there are additional layers of regulation, possible effects of untranslated Tr-*NRL* RNA, and alternative contexts in which these isoforms act. A similar assortment of *NRL* isoforms were expressed in rods, yet a far higher proportion of rod *NRL* transcripts encoded full-length *NRL* protein. Thus, L/M cone precursors' greater use of the Tr-*NRL* first exon and *NRL* exon 2 disruptions reveal a multipronged approach to suppress FL-*NRL* function while downregulating overall *NRL* RNA by only ~ 4-fold.

Similarly, we uncovered cell type-specific differences in *THRB* transcript isoforms, albeit generated through premature transcription termination (PTT) and 3' UTR expression in late rod precursors. PTT is widely used to govern expression of transcription regulators ([49](#) [↗](#)), and while PTT-shortened *THRB* transcripts had been identified ([50](#) [↗](#)), their retinal cell specificity was not previously recognized. In contrast, the expression of *THRB* 3' UTR sequences independent of *THRB* protein-coding sequences was not previously reported and may enable additional regulation

(51 [↗](#)). As a general matter, independent 3' UTR transcripts may be inferred from full-length scRNA-seq but may confound the interpretation of scRNA-seq performed with 3' end-counting. To address this issue, our publicly available Shiny app displays exon usage for all genes expressed in the RPC and photoreceptor clusters in this study.

One limitation of these studies is that individual cells may express a small and varying spectrum of transcript isoforms. While this variability was mitigated by combining cDNAs of cells deemed to be in similar states based on short-read sequencing, analysis of more cells might better reveal the spectrum of isoforms expressed by specific cell populations.

Understanding human photoreceptor development requires the identification of photoreceptor lineage developmental states and elucidation of mechanisms underlying their transitions. While cell states may be defined in various ways, at the transcriptome level they are perhaps best defined by unique combinations of transcription factor regulon activities (29 [↗](#)). Our deep sequencing approach uncovered discrete cell states distinguished by gene expression as well as by regulon activities. For example, early and late maturing rod populations were distinguished by the latter's increased expression of the NRL, ATF4, CRX, and LHX3 regulons and decreased HMX1 and RAX regulons. Similarly, L/M cones formed an early maturing cone cluster characterized by high THRB and ISL2 regulons, consistent with THRB and ISL2 driving L/M cone identity (4 [↗](#), 15 [↗](#), 52 [↗](#)), and a late maturing cone group with decreased RAX activity. Downregulation of the RAX regulon in late maturing rod and cone precursors is consistent with decreasing RAX protein during photoreceptor maturation and with RAX-mediated suppression of the cone opsin and rhodopsin promoters (53 [↗](#), 54 [↗](#)).

Increased clustering resolution further divided the L/M cone cluster into four subgroups with subtle maturation-associated changes in marker gene expression and regulon activity, consistent with LM1-4 comprising different stages of a graded maturation process. However, trajectory analyses revealed successive expression of lncRNAs that was validated in developing retinal tissue. Three of these lncRNAs (*HOTAIRM1*, *CTD-2034I21.1* (neighbor gene to *CTD-2034I21.2*), and the mouse ortholog of *CTC-378H22.2* (D930028M14Rik)) were previously observed in cone scRNA-seq (15 [↗](#), 17 [↗](#), 55 [↗](#)). Their sequential expression in the absence of discrete regulon changes suggests that they demarcate early L/M cone precursor substates.

High resolution clustering also segregated the immature photoreceptor precursor (iPRP) and transitional rod (TR) populations. iPRP and TR cells positioned adjacent to RPC/MG cells in UMAP plots showed higher cone-like or rod-like gene expression and regulon activities, respectively, and had RNA velocities directed toward distinct cone and rod populations. Prior droplet-based scRNA-seq analyses have not to our knowledge distinguished immediately post-mitotic cone- and rod-directed photoreceptor precursors. However, scRNA-seq and scATAC-seq analyses showed distinct early neurogenic precursors directed in part towards cones and late neurogenic precursors directed in part towards rods (14 [↗](#), 15 [↗](#), 19 [↗](#)). Thus, as one possibility, early iPRPs may comprise a cone-directed subset of early neurogenic cells while early TRs comprise a rod-directed subset of late neurogenic cells.

Although not identified as a distinct cluster, our analyses also revealed iPRP 'bridge region' cells that co-expressed rod and cone genes and regulons. This population resembled the T3 transition and early neurogenic precursor states identified via 3' end-counting and marked by expression of *ATOH7* and *DLL3* (15 [↗](#), 16 [↗](#)), although the bridge region was more precisely delineated by the new marker *CHRNA1*. Bridge region cells with velocity directed towards early cones expressed *THRB* and *ONECUT1* RNAs and had OLIG2 regulon activity; as these elements were proposed to promote cone fate in lineage-restricted RPCs (3 [↗](#)), their expression in the iPRP population suggests a similar role in post-mitotic photoreceptor precursors. Conversely, the status of bridge region cells with velocity directed towards early rods is less clear; while the velocity vectors and concurrent cone- and rod-related gene expression are consistent with iPRP cells that follow an

alternative route to rods, our finding that only outer NBL, RXR^{hi} cells co-express cone marker *GNAT2* and rod marker *NR2E3* RNAs suggests that most cells with combined cone- and rod-related gene expression are cone-committed. Moreover, the early iPRP and LM cone precursors' expression of *NR2E3* and *NRL* RNAs suggest that their presence in retinoblastomas (12 [↗](#), 13 [↗](#)) reflects their expression in the L/M cone precursor cells of origin.

We also mined gene expression differences in early cone versus rod photoreceptors to identify factors that impact human cone sensitivity to *RB1* loss (10 [↗](#), 11 [↗](#)). We detected upregulation of genes targeted by MYC along with upregulated *MYCN* RNA, MYCN protein, and MYCN regulon activity. As MYCN is required for the proliferative response to pRB loss (10 [↗](#)) and triggers retinoblastoma when amplified and overexpressed (8 [↗](#)), these findings suggest that increased *MYCN* RNA expression and regulon activity contribute to pRB-deficient cone precursor proliferation and retinoblastoma genesis. We further found that cone precursors highly express SYK, an oncoprotein previously detected in human retinoblastomas and *RB1*-null retinal organoids but not in healthy tumor-associated retina (47 [↗](#), 56 [↗](#)). The high SYK expression preceding early cone precursor maturation and its loss during late maturation implies that it is a retinoblastoma cell-of-origin-specific feature. SYK inhibition impaired pRB-depleted cone precursor cell cycle entry, implying that native SYK expression rather than *de novo* induction contributes to the cone precursors' initial proliferation (Figure 9H [↗](#)).

In summary, through deep, full-length RNA sequencing, we identified photoreceptor cell type-specific differences in transcript isoform expression and post-mitotic photoreceptor precursor states with distinctive gene expression and regulon activities. The discrimination of these states enables the identification of developmental stage-specific cone precursor features that underlie retinoblastoma predisposition.

Materials and methods

Primary human tissue

Human fetal samples were provided by Advanced Bioscience Resources, Inc., Alameda, CA, or collected under Institutional Review Board approval at the University of Southern California and Children's Hospital Los Angeles. Following the patient decision for pregnancy termination, patients were offered the option of donation of the products of conception for research purposes, and those that agreed signed an informed consent. This did not alter the choice of termination procedure, and the products of conception from those who declined participation were disposed of in a standard fashion. Fetal age was determined according to the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology guidelines (57 [↗](#)). Each retina subjected to sequencing was from a different donor.

Retinal dissociation and RNA-sequencing

Single cell isolation

Retinae were dissected and dissociated in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) as described (10 [↗](#)). Briefly, retina was removed and placed in 200 μ l Earle's balanced salt solution (EBSS) in a 6-well plate on ice. 2 ml of 10 u/ml papain (Worthington LK003176) solution was added and then incubated at 37°C for 10 min, followed by pipetting with a 1000 μ l pipet tip to break up large pieces and additional 5 min incubations until cells were dissociated to single cell level. An additional 1-2 ml papain was added after 20 min if dissociation was insufficient (~40 min total). Retina culture media [Iscove's Modified Dulbecco's Medium (IMDM; Corning), 10% FBS, 0.28 U/mL insulin, 55 μ M β -mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich), glutamine, penicillin, and streptomycin] was used to stop

enzyme activity and cells were centrifuged in 14 ml round bottom tubes at 300 x g, 4°C for 10 min. Supernatants were centrifuged at 1100 x g for an additional 3 min. After resuspension in HBSS, tubes were recombined and cells counted.

FACS isolation of single cells and cDNA synthesis

Single cells were FACS-isolated as described ([10](#)) with differences as follows. Dissociated cells were centrifuged and resuspended in a 5% fetal bovine serum in PBS (FBS/PBS) with CD133-PE (Miltenyi Biotec 130-080-801), CD44-FITC (BD Pharmingen 555478) and CD49b-FITC antibodies (BD Pharmingen 555498) to a final concentration of 10,000 cells/μl. After incubation at room temperature (RT) for 1 h, samples were diluted in 5% FBS/PBS, centrifuged as above, and resuspended in 300-400 μl 1% FBS/PBS containing 10 μg/ml 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Single cells were sorted on a BD FACSria I at 4°C using 100 μm nozzle in single-cell mode into 1.2 μl lysis buffer droplets on parafilm-covered glass slides (S. Lee et al., *in preparation*). Gating removed low forward- and side-scatter cells before collecting a CD133-high, CD44/49b-low population. Upon collection of eight cells, droplets were transferred to individual low-retention PCR tubes (Bioplastics K69901, B57801) on ice. cDNA was prepared and amplified using the SMART-Seq V4 Ultra Low Input RNA Kit (Takara Bio 634891) in 10x reduced volume reactions using a Mantis liquid handling system (S. Lee et al., *in preparation*). Samples were stored at -20°C until quantitation and library preparation. All RNA/cDNA volumes during FACS and processing were handled using low retention siliconized pipette tips (VWR 53503-800, 535093-794).

C1 isolation of single cells and cDNA synthesis

Dissociated cells were FACS isolated as above and collected into a single 1.5 ml tube. Cells were centrifuged and resuspended at 400-800 cells/μl, combined with C1 suspension reagent, and loaded onto the C1 chip and imaged at each site to define cell number in each well. cDNA was synthesized using SMARTer chemistry (SMARTer Ultra Low RNA Kit for the Fluidigm C1 System, Clontech 634835/634935) (three retinae, Seq 1, FW17 and FW13-1), or SMART-Seq V4 (all others). Final cDNA was harvested into low-retention tube strips and stored at -20°C.

Quality control, library preparation and sequencing

DNA was quantitated using Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA assay and quality checked by Bioanalyzer. Libraries > 0.05 ng/μl were prepared using the Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, FC-131-1096) and sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq 500 (2x75) (Seq 1) or on Illumina HiSeq 4000 (2x75) (all others).]

5' and 3' RACE and nanopore sequencing

1 μl of amplified single cell cDNAs libraries produced as above (23 ER cells, 21 LM cells, and 5 LR cells) were re-amplified using the 5' PCR Primer II A with CloneAmp HiFi PCR Premix (Clontech Laboratories, Inc. Takara Bio #639298, Table S9) by heating to 98°C x 2 min followed by 20 cycles of 98°C x 10 s, 65 °C x 15 s, and 72 °C x 35 s, followed by 72 °C x 5 min. Re-amplified cDNAs were quantified using QubitTM Flex Fluorometer (Invitrogen) and 50 pg of each re-amplified library used for separate RACE PCR reactions with gene-specific *NRL*, *RXRγ*, *TRβ1* and *TRβ2* primers and universal 5' RACE and 3'RACE primers (Table S9) using CloneAmp HiFi PCR Premix by heating to 98°C x 2 min followed by 30 cycles of 98°C x 10 s, 60 °C x 15 s, and 72 °C x 35 s, followed by 72 °C x 5 min. Equal volumes of RACE PCR products were pooled according to cell type, and DNA concentrations of LM (32.8 ng/μl), ER (22.6 ng/μl), and LR (16.5ng/μl) samples determined using Qubit fluorometer. Long read sequencing libraries were prepared and samples barcoded using Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) Native Barcoding Kit 24 V14 as specified by the manufacturer for average DNA fragment lengths of ~ 2kb. 200-300ng of each DNA pool was subjected to repair/dA-tailing (End-Prep) with NEB Ultra II End-Prep Enzyme Mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) followed by Native Barcode Ligation as specified by ONT with NB01 assigned to the

ER pool, NB02 assigned to the LM pool, and NB03 assigned to the LR pool. Ligation reactions were quenched by addition of 4 μ l EDTA and each reaction mixture (24 μ l) pooled for a 72 μ l volume. The final pool was incubated with Native Adapter (NA) and NEB Quick T4 ligase. The adapter-ligated pool was recovered by AMPure XP magnetic beads and then loaded onto a PromethION flowcell (R10.4.1) as specified by the manufacturer. Sequencing was performed on a PromethION 24 system using MinKNOW software for 72 hours. Raw FAST5 data files were converted to FASTQ files using ONT MinKNOW base calling software.

Computational Methods

Software packages used in this study are described in Table S10.

Read processing and dimensionality reduction

Adapter sequences were removed using the *trimalore* wrapper for *Cutadapt* (58 [↗](#)). Trimmed FASTQ files were used as input for *HISAT2* (59 [↗](#)) with a non-canonical splice penalty of 20, maximum and minimum penalties for mismatch of 0 and 1, and maximum and minimum penalties for soft-clipping set to 3 and 1. Aligned bam files were quantified with *StringTie* (60 [↗](#)) and *Tximport* (61 [↗](#)), yielding cell-by-transcript and cell-by-gene count matrices annotated according to Ensembl build 87. All analyses can be reproduced using a custom snakemake (62 [↗](#)) workflow accessible at <https://github.com/whtns/ARMOR> [↗](#).

For short-read sequencing, dimensionality reduction and data visualization were performed using the Seurat (V3) toolset (63 [↗](#), 64 [↗](#)). Expression counts from all sequencing datasets were integrated using Seurat's standard integration workflow. Seven sample sequencing batches were integrated after default normalization and scaling using the top 2000 most variable features in each set to identify anchor features. Normalized read counts for gene expression are reported as raw feature read counts divided by total cell read counts, then multiplied by a scaling factor of 10,000 and natural log transformed. These features of the integrated dataset were used to calculate principal component analysis (PCA) and UMAP embeddings (65 [↗](#), 66 [↗](#)). A nearest-neighbors graph was constructed from the PCA embedding and clusters were identified using a Louvain algorithm at low and high resolutions (0.4 and 1.6) (67 [↗](#)).

Read coverage plots for genes of interest were generated using *wiggleplotr* (68 [↗](#)) to visualize BigWig files with ENSEMBL isoforms. Individual exon counts were identified using *DEXseq* (69 [↗](#)), which takes exons from available isoforms and bins them into intervals before assigning any read counts that overlap a bin to that same bin. These counts were normalized for length for calculation of fold change or relative difference of exon use. Reads crossing target splice donor sites were evaluated as spliced or unspliced from BAM files to determine intron readthrough.

Analysis of long-read sequencing data was performed with the nf-core nanoseq version 3.1.0 pipeline (70 [↗](#)) (<https://nf-co.re/nanoseq/3.1.0> [↗](#)). Quality control on raw reads was conducted using FastQC. Reads were aligned using minimap2 (71 [↗](#)). SAM files were converted to coordinate-sorted BAM files and mapping metrics were obtained using samtools. bigWig coverage tracks were created for visualization using BEDTools and bedGraphToBigWig. bigBed coverage tracks were created using BEDTools and bedToBigBed. Transcripts were reconstructed and quantified using bambu. Quality control results for raw reads and alignment results were presented using MultiQC. All reads whose ends overlapped gene-specific RACE primers were displayed and quantitated using the Integrated Genome Browser (IGV). For *THRB* 3' RACE reactions, reads inferred to initiate through exonic internal oligo(dT) priming were removed based on the following features adjacent to the 3' end of the alignments: six continuous adenines (As), more than seven As in a 10 nucleotide window, AG-runs of six or more nucleotides, eight or more As or Gs in a 10 nucleotide window, eight or more As or high A/T content (27 out of 30 bases), or 12 or more adenines present

in an 18 nt window (72). The proportion of alternative *NRL* exon 2 splicing was calculated based on the maximum read coverage between the exon 2 splice acceptor and the first splice donor and the minimum coverage after the internal splice donor as reported in IGV.

Differential expression and overrepresentation analyses

Marker features for each cluster were identified using the Wilcoxon-rank sum tests and specificity scores were computed using the *genesortR* (73) R package. Only genes with an adjusted p-value (pAdj) <0.05 were considered for further analyses. Differential expression was performed using the Seurat FindMarkers function with the Wilcoxon-rank sum test on log-normalized counts. Results were displayed as volcano plots made with *EnhancedVolcano* (74).

Overrepresentation analyses were performed with WebGestalt (75). Analyses were run with the default settings (5-2000 genes per category, Benjamini-Hochberg multiple-testing correction), gene list enrichment was compared to the genome reference set and ontologies were displayed with a false discovery rate (FDR) <0.05 and ‘weighted set cover’ redundancy reduction. All gene sets evaluated were provided within WebGestalt and the same three were used unless otherwise indicated: GO – Biological Process, KEGG, and Hallmark50.

Transcription factor regulons

Transcription factor regulons were identified using pySCENIC, the python-based implementation of Single-Cell Regulatory Network Inference and Clustering (SCENIC) (29, 76). The tool was run using the basic settings as shown (<https://pyscenic.readthedocs.io/en/latest/tutorial.html>). Initial filtering required a gene to have a minimum of 3 raw counts in 1% of cells to be considered for inclusion in a regulon. AUC scores were z-score normalized for comparison between regulons.

Trajectory analysis

RNA velocity analysis was performed with R package *velocity* (38). Spliced and unspliced count matrices were assembled using the run_smartseq2 subcommand with repeat-masked ENSEMBL build 87. RNA velocity was calculated using cell kNN pooling with a gamma fit based on a 95% quantile and velocities were overlaid on integrated UMAP visualizations using *velocity*. Pseudotemporal trajectories were calculated using Monocle 3 (77). The SeuratWrappers package provided integration between Seurat’s final integrated dimensional reduction and Monocle. The data was subset to include only cone-directed iPRP and L/M cones, then a principal graph was fit across the UMAP plot and a ‘root cell’ chosen to represent the latest maturation point in the *OPN1LW*+ late maturing cone group. After assigning pseudotime values to each cell, genes that significantly changed as a function of pseudotime were identified and those with a correlation q-value of <0.05 with expression > 0.5 in at least 5% of the cells present in the pseudotime were grouped into modules of co-regulation by performing UMAP and Louvain community analysis.

Histology

Fixation and cryosectioning of fetal retina

Retinae were procured and dissected in cold 1x PBS. The cornea and lens were removed with a cut around the limbus of the iris leaving the front of the eye open. The tissue was submerged in ~25 ml of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 1x TBS and placed at 4°C on a rocker at low speed for ~16-18 hrs. The tissue was washed 3 times with 1x PBS before incubation in 30% sucrose [sucrose + 1x PBS] overnight at 4°C. A mold was made by cutting off the top 5 ml of a 50 ml conical tube and placed on dry ice. A solution of 1:2 OCT Compound:30% sucrose was mixed and centrifuged to remove bubbles. The mold was partially filled before adding the dissected eye and then covering fully. 10 µm frozen sections were cut at ~-24°C with the front of the eye facing side on to the blade.

Explanted retinæ were fixed for 15 min in 4% PFA, washed with 1x PBS, incubated in 30% sucrose for 15 min, after which the membrane was cut from its frame and transferred to a mold containing 1:2 OCT:30% sucrose and frozen.

Immunohistochemical staining

For SYK and ARR3 co-staining, tissue sections were warmed at RT until dry and then washed in Coplin jars twice with 1x PBS for 5 min at RT. Samples were permeabilized in 1x PBS-T for 5 min, washed again in 1x PBS twice for 5 min, blocked for 1 hr in blocking solution [1x PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100, 5% normal donkey serum, 5% normal horse serum]. Primary mouse anti-SYK and rabbit anti-ARR3 (LUMIF-hCAR) antibodies were mixed in blocking solution and applied to samples overnight (16–18 h) at 4°C. Slides were washed three times with 1x PBS for 10 min each, probed with secondary antibodies (Key Resources Table) in blocking solution for 1 hr at RT, washed twice 1x PBS for 15 min each, incubated in 1x PBS containing 10 µg/ml DAPI for 10 min, and mounted with Mowiol solution. Additional immunohistological staining of tissue sections and cells on coverslips was performed as described (11) but without initial EDTA wash.

Combined in situ hybridization and immunohistological staining

Human retinal sections were prepared as above but using RNase-free reagents for all stages (PBS, TBS) and ultrapure sucrose (JT Baker, 4097-04) and cryostats cleaned with ELIMINase (Decon Labs, Inc) and 70% ethanol prior to use. RNA FISH hybridization chain reaction probes were designed by and obtained from Molecular Instruments, Inc, with 20 20-bp single strand DNA probes per target RNA sequence except Tr-NRL exon 1 (4 probes), FL-NRL exons 1 and (8 probes), and *HOTAIRM1* (14 probes). The accession numbers for target sequences are shown in Table S11, except for *RP13-143G15.4* which included regions of several isoforms for maximum gene coverage. The *in situ* protocol was performed with fluorescent hairpins and buffers from Molecular Instruments using the manufacturer's instructions for mammalian cells on a slide (<https://files.molecularinstruments.com/MI-Protocol-RNAFISH-MammalianCellSlide-Rev7.pdf>), beginning with a 4% PFA treatment after thawing and drying slides at RT for 10 min, with the following changes: samples were incubated in 70% ethanol overnight in a Coplin jar, probes were added to the final hybridization volume at 4x the recommended concentration for tissue sections (2.4 pmol in 150 µl of hybridization buffer), tissues were pre-hybridized at 42°C and then lowered to 37°C once probes were added, and hairpins were used at a volume of 1 µl (6 pmol) in 50 µl amplification buffer per section. After removing amplification solution and washing, samples were directly used in the immunofluorescence protocol. Briefly, samples were blocked in a basic RNase-free solution (1% BSA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.05% Tween-20 in PBS) for 1 hr and primary antibodies mixed in blocking solution and applied to samples overnight at 4°C. Samples were washed three times for 10 min with 1x PBS, then incubated with secondary antibodies in blocking solution for 40 min at RT. Samples were washed as above with DAPI in the third and final wash, and mounted with Mowiol before imaging using a Leica STELLARIS 5 inverted confocal microscope. For *GNAT2* and *NRL* FISH, samples were washed with DAPI in the third and final wash, mounted and then imaged as above. After this first imaging, coverslip was removed, slides were washed in PBS, and then were used in the immunofluorescence protocol with an alternate blocking solution (2.5% Horse, donkey, human sera, 1% BSA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.05% Tween-20 in TBS).

Quantitation of FISH

For *NRL*, *CHRNA1*, and lncRNAs, QuPath (78) was used to identify nuclei, predict cell expansion, classify cells, and count FISH puncta. Apical retina regions containing RXR γ ⁺ and/or *NRL*⁺ cells were visually selected for evaluation. The StarDist extension (79) was used to outline nuclei and cell expansion zones with deep learning model '*dsb2018_heavy_augment.pb*' on the DAPI image channel (threshold = 0.6, pixelSize = 0.15). Model results were reviewed and cells with partial, multiple, or overlapping nuclei removed from consideration. FISH puncta were thresholded then output, using the subcellular detection function (expected spot size = 0.5 µm², min spot size = 0.2

μm^2 , max spot size = $0.7 \mu\text{m}^2$ (*NRL* isoforms) or $1 \mu\text{m}^2$ (*CHRNA1* and lncRNAs) to capture the most puncta above background. Image positions were determined by tracing the retina apical edge with line segments in FIJI, identifying the closest point on the line to the midpoint of each image, then measuring the distance from the line starting position. For *GNAT2* and *NR2E3* FISH, images were analyzed in FIJI. The outer layer of cells was delimited manually based on the RXR γ ⁺ cells and the number of RXR γ ⁺ and/or *GNAT2*⁺ and/or *NR2E3*⁺ cells were manually counted. For the inner layer, the number of *NR2E3* RNA positive cells and *NR2E3* protein positive cells were counted.

NRL isoform analyses

Proteasome inhibition of CHLA-VC-RB-31

10^6 CHLA-VC-RB-31 cells (36 [DOI](#)) were cultured in 2 ml retina culture medium in a 24-well plate with or without 10 μM retinoic acid (Cayman Chemical 11017) and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂. MG132 (Sigma-Aldrich 474790) was added at 23 h to a final concentration of 10 μM and cells incubated for 4 h. Cells were collected and centrifuged at 300 x g for 4 min, resuspended in 60 μl cold 1x RIPA buffer (Millipore 20-188), 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), protease and phosphatase inhibitors diluted per manufacturer instructions (Sigma-Aldrich 5892970001, 4906837001), incubated on ice for 30 min, then centrifuged at 4°C for 20 min at 14,000 RPM. 15 μl of supernatant were mixed with 3 μl 6X sample buffer (300 mM Tris, 60% glycerol, 12% SDS, 86 mM B-mercaptoethanol, 0.6 mg/ml bromophenol blue), heated to 95°C for 5 min, and separated on a 4-12% Bis-Tris gel (Invitrogen, NP0321) using 1xMOPS running buffer (Life Technologies NP0001). Protein was wet transferred to a PVDF membrane in Towbin transfer buffer (25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine pH 8.3, 10% methanol) at 20 V ON at RT. The membrane was blocked in 1xTBS-T containing 5% w/v nonfat dry milk for 1 hr, washed with TBS-T three times for 5 min, incubated overnight in 0.1% dry milk TBS-T solution containing primary antibody at 4°C with slow rocking, washed three times for 15 min in TBS-T, incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 hr at RT in the 0.1% milk solution, washed six times for 15 min, incubated in chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher, 34094) and imaged.

NRL isoform and reporter constructs

The pcDNA4-His-Max-C-Nrl (80 [DOI](#)) (gift of A. Swaroop) CMV promoter was replaced with EF1 α promoter to produce pcDNA4-His-Max-C-EF1 α -FL-NRL. Using In-Fusion (Takara Bio) the His-Max tag was removed to produce full-length NRL expression plasmid pcDNA4-C-EF1 α -FL-NRL (primers #1,2). The His-Max tag plus first 417 bp of NRL N-terminal coding sequence were removed to produce truncated NRL expression plasmid pcDNA4-C-EF1 α -Tr-NRL (primers #1,2,3,4). pcDNA-C-EF1 α -empty transfection carrier plasmid was made by removing NRL coding sequence from pcDNA4-C-EF1 α -FL-NRL (primers #5,6). pGL3-PDE6B-146-luc was produced by amplifying a 146 bp promoter region of *PDE6B* (81 [DOI](#)) from H9 hESC genomic DNA and inserting into a pGL3-SV40 (Promega, primers #7,8), then removing the SV40 promoter (primers #9,10) to generate pGL3-PDE6B-146. The promoter-less pGL3-empty was produced by removing SV40 sequences from pGL3-SV40 (primers #9,11). pUltra-EGFP-FL-NRL was produced by amplification of the FL-NRL coding sequence from pcDNA4-C-EF1 α -FL-NRL and inserting into *Bam*HI digested pUltra-EGFP (Addgene 24129) (primers #12, 13). All primers are listed in the Key Resources Table.

NRL expression and analysis

pcDNA4-C-EF1 α -NRL constructs were transfected into HEK293T cells. 4 μg plasmid in 1 ml DMEM was combined with 1 ml DMEM + 12 μg PEI and combined with 10^6 trypsinized HEK293T cells, plated into two wells of a 6-well plate with an additional 1 ml of DMEM + 10% FBS, then cultured overnight in a 37°C incubator. Media was replaced with fresh 293T media the next day and after two additional days cells were collected, lysed, and protein quantitated via BCA assay (Thermo

Scientific PI23227). 30 µg of each well was used for western blot as above, with membranes probed with antibody raised against the NRL N-terminus (Santa Cruz SC-374277) or against full-length NRL (R&D AF2945).

Luciferase assays

575 ng of total DNA (50 ng reporter, 100ng FL-NRL and/or 100-300 ng Tr-NRL expression vector, and the remainder pcDNA4C-EF1α empty vector) in 5 µl DMEM was mixed with 2.3 µg PEI in another 5 µl DMEM (per condition, in triplicate) and then plated into one well of a white bottom 96-well cell culture plate with 50,000 NIH3T3 cells in 100 µl 293T media. The luciferase assay was carried out 48 h after transfection with the Nano-Glo Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega N1610) per manufacturer instructions. Luminescence was recorded using the Promega Glomax Multi+ Detection System.

Truncated NRL overexpression in fetal retina

Concentrated pUltra-EGFP-NRL-205 and control pUltra-EGFP lentivirus were produced as described (82 [DOI](#)). At 16-24 h after 293T transfection in 15 cm dishes, media was exchanged for 20 mL UltraCULTURE (Lonza Inc.) containing 1% HEPES, 1% GlutaMax and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin. After 60-64 h, media was harvested, centrifuged at 3000 x g for 10 min at 4°C, filtered through 0.45 µm PVDF flask filter, concentrated via tangential flow filtration using a Midikros 20 cm 500 KD 0.5 mm column (D02-5500-05-S), with both input and final supernatant containers kept in ice. The concentrate was re-filtered using a 0.45 µm PVDF syringe filter (Millipore), stored in aliquots at -80°C, and titered using a p24 ELISA kit (ZeptoMetrix 801002).

As described (11 [DOI](#)), fetal eyes were washed in 70% ethanol, washed three times in 1x sterile PBS, and dissected in cold 1x PBS. The cornea was removed and tissue cut ~one-third of the distance towards the posterior pole along 4 lines approximately equidistant and between attached tendons. The retina was removed in this flattened state after cutting the optic nerve, transferred to a polytetrafluoroethylene culture insert (Millipore, PICMORG50) with photoreceptor side down, and placed in a 6-well plate with 1,200 µl retinal culture medium. Retinae were infected as described, cultured for 7 days with half media changes every other day, then fixed in 4% PFA for 15 min, washed three times in 1x PBS, equilibrated in sucrose (30% in PBS) for 15 min, and embedded and sectioned as above. Immunostaining and imaging were performed as above.

RB1 knockdown and SYK inhibitor treatment

FW18.5 retina was partially dissociated with papain, cultured overnight in retinal culture media at 37°C in a 6-well plate, then frozen in 10% DMSO solution and stored in liquid nitrogen. Samples were thawed and revived in retinal culture media overnight and infected with lentivirus carrying shRNAs targeting *RB1* (sh*RB1*-733) (10 [DOI](#)) or a scrambled control (sh*SCR*) and diluted β-mercaptoethanol, insulin, glutamine, penicillin, and streptomycin to the final retina culture medium concentrations along with 5 µg/ml Polybrene, for 24 hrs. After replacing 2/3 of media in each well with fresh media, cells were treated with GS-9876 (MedChemExpress, CAT# HY-109091) in DMSO at or with the same volume of DMSO vehicle control. After 12 d, cells were attached to poly-L-Lysine-coated coverslips for 3 h, fixed in 4% PFA for 10 min, washed in 1x PBS three times, and stored at -20°C until immunocytological staining.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical methods and packages are cited in the Methods text and figure legends. Statistical comparison between more than two groups of cells for gene expression, regulon activity, or exon count proportions used the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by pairwise post-hoc Dunn tests with Benjamini-Hochberg correction. Mean expression levels of *NRL* and *RXRG* isoforms across cell clusters were evaluated by Welch's t-tests for groups with unequal variance; p-

values for these tests were estimated using bootstrapping with 1,000,000 replications per comparison. Changes in FISH puncta counts were examined within each channel individually using zero-inflated negative binomial regression models with robust standard error terms. Distance across the sample tissue was used to model degenerate zero inflation. P values reported in the manuscript text and legends are Wald Chi-Squared tests that evaluate differences in count between inflection points. Analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel, the R statistical language in RStudio, or Stata SE v14.2.

Acknowledgements

We thank Melissa L. Wilson (USC Department of Preventive Medicine) and Family Planning Associates for assistance in obtaining fetal tissue, the Stem Cell Analytics Core Facility, FACS Core Facility, and Imaging Core Facility of The Saban Research Institute of Children's Hospital Los Angeles, and Biraj Mahato and Sumitha Bharathan for critical reading an earlier version of the manuscript.

Additional information

Funding

National Institutes of Health grant R01EY026661 (DC)

National Institutes of Health grant R01CA137124 (DC)

National Institutes of Health grant 5T32HD060549 to USC Department of Development, Stem Cells, and Regenerative Medicine (DWHS)

USC Provost Fellowship (DWHS)

Saban Research Institute of Children's Hospital Los Angeles fellowship (JB)

Research to Prevent Blindness (unrestricted grant to USC Dept. of Ophthalmology)

Larry and Celia Moh Foundation (DC)

Neonatal Blindness Research Fund (DC)

A.B. Reins Foundation (DC)

Knights Templar Eye Foundation (DC)

Author contributions

Conceptualization: DWHS, DC

Methodology: DWHS, LC, DJW, HPS, DC

Investigation: DWHS, LC, SL, JB, DJW, JGA, YK, HPS, ZF

Computational analyses: KS, BB, MS

Statistical analyses: DWHS, MWR

Bioinformatic approaches: KS, MB, MAB

Computational infrastructure: ED, SGE

Tissue procurement: MT, BHG

Key reagent: CMC

Writing --- original draft: DWHS, DC

Writing --- review and editing: DWHS, KS, JGA, MAB, BHG, CMC, DC

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability

All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. A web portal providing access to a Shiny app for manipulation of the presented single-cell data (including UMAP or tSNE display of clusters, gene or isoform expression, and sample metadata; cluster marker gene and violin plots; exon coverage plots; Ensembl isoform assignments) is available at <https://docker.saban.chla.usc.edu/cobrinik/app/seuratApp/>¹. Single-cell RNA-sequencing files and processed final gene and transcript matrices are publicly available at the GEO database under accession number GSE207802.

Note

This reviewed preprint has been updated to use the correct text; previously, a version prior to the one reviewed was presented here.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1

scrRNA-seq sample and sequencing summary.

A. Retinae and cell numbers examined at each age. **B.** Histogram of total read counts for each cell, colored by sequencing run. Seq 1 cells were isolated by C1, Seq 2 cells by FACS or C1 as indicated, and all others by FACS. Dotted line: 100,000 read cutoff for cell exclusion. **C.** Box plots of read counts, genes detected, and Ensembl transcript isoforms detected per cell ordered by fetal age and specimen number. **D-F.** UMAP plots colored by sequencing run (D), isolation method (E), or retina ID (F).





Figure S2

Expression of marker genes of RPCs and Müller glia (A), photoreceptors (B), rods (C), and cones (D).

Insets: Gene expression violin plots (from left to right): RPC/MG (red), iPRP (brown), LM cone (green), S cone (teal), early rod (blue), late rod (pink). UMAP and violin plots for any gene or Ensembl transcript can be produced at <https://docker.saban.chla.usc.edu/cobrinik/app/seuratApp/>.






Figure S3.

Differential expression between rod and cone maturation states.

A, C, E. Volcano plots of differential expression ($p_{Adj} < 0.05$, $\log_2FC > |0.5|$) between ER and LR (A), LM and S (C), and spatially separated late maturing L/M cones and remaining LM cluster (E). Labels indicate genes with highest significance and fold change. **B, D.** Overrepresentation of gene ontologies for genes upregulated in LR over ER (GO-Molecular Function instead of Hallmark50) (B) or upregulated in LM over S (D). **F.** UMAP plots of upregulated genes in the late maturing L/M cone group.




Figure S4

Differential *NRL* transcript splicing in early rod and cone precursors.

Top: Sashimi plots of *NRL* transcript splicing based on nanopore long read sequencing of 5' RACE reactions from 23 ER cells and 21 LM cells. The minimum number of reads required to display transcript isoforms was set at 20 for ER cells and at 10 for LM cells, proportional to the total *NRL* reads in each sample. *Bottom:* Exon structure of FL and Tr *NRL* isoforms and RACE primer location. The *NRL* gene is oriented relative to chromosome 14 coordinates. Hash marks indicate sequences preceding a rarely used far upstream first exon. Note increased intra-exon 2 splicing and ex1T use in the LM population.




Figure S5

Cell type-specific NRL protein expression.

A. NRL antibody validation by immunoblot (IB) of ectopic FL-NRL and Tr-NRL in HEK293T. *Left:* anti-total NRL. *Right:* anti-N-terminal NRL specific to FL-NRL. **B.** Average mapped NRL isoform expression in scRNA-seq of RB31 retinoblastoma cell line. **C.** Immunoblot of endogenous total NRL in RB31 cells treated with or without 10 μ M retinoic acid (RA) and 10 μ M proteasome inhibitor MG132 and in FL-NRL transfected HEK293T. **D.** pULTRA-EGFP-P2A-Tr-NRL lentiviral vector used for explanted retina transduction. **E.** NRL Immunofluorescent staining of HEK293T cells 48 h after lentiviral transduction of Tr-NRL-GFP. Scale bar = 50 μ m. **F,G.** Immunostaining of total NRL (F) or N-terminal-NRL (G) in explanted FW16.5 fetal retina 7 d after lentiviral transduction of Tr-NRL-GFP. Arrows: Yellow = GFP+, NRL-. Green = GFP+, RXRy+. White = GFP-, FL-NRL+. Scale bar, 25 μ m.

Figure S6

Differential *THR*B isoform assignments in rod and cone precursors.

A. Mean *THR*B isoform assignments for each cluster presented as total transcript counts (*top*) and percentage of total counts (*bottom*) as in **Figure 4B**, with isoforms grouped according to capacity to encode full-length canonical TR β 1 or TR β 2 or to have PTT following ex4, ex5, or ex6 (exons numbered as for *ENST00000396671*) regardless of differences in 5' noncoding exons and 3' poly(A) sites. **B.** *THR*B Ensemble isoforms identified in panel A with *ENST00000396671* exon numbers indicated, accessed June 22, 2024, at: http://useast.ensembl.org/Homo_sapiens/Gene/Summary?g=ENSG00000151090;r=3:24117153-24495756.





Figure S7

*THR*B isoforms and premature transcription termination in cone and rod precursors.

Top: Sashimi plots of *THR*B transcript splicing based on long read nanopore sequencing of 3' RACE reactions from 23 LM cells and 5 LR cells. RACE reactions were initiated from primers specific to TRβ1 exon 4 (*left*) or specific to the unique TRβ2 exon (*right*). Gray arrows: premature transcription termination following TRβ1 exon 4, the TRβ2 first exon, the shared exon 6, and a novel exon used solely in TRβ2 transcripts. *Bottom:* TRβ1 and TRβ2 exon structures and RACE primer positions. The *THR*B gene is oriented relative to chromosome 3 coordinates.






Figure S8

Similar *RXRG* isoform expression in rod and cone precursors.

A. Expression of *RXRG* and the two most highly assigned *RXRG* isoforms (*ENST00000359842* and *ENST00000619224*). **B.** Mean *RXRG* isoform assignments for each cluster presented as total transcript counts (top) and as a percentage of the total counts (bottom). Lines indicate significant LM vs. ER fold change, colored by isoform. *****, $p < 0.000001$ (bootstrapped Welch's t-test). **C. Top:** Mean read counts across Ensembl *RXRG* exons. **Bottom:** Transcript structures for *ENST00000359842* and *ENST00000619224*. Red arrowheads: Extended (Ext) *RXRG* 5' UTR. **D.** Long-read nanopore sequencing of pooled 5' RACE initiated with *RXRG* exon 4 primers from 23 ER cells (top) and 21 LM cells (bottom). Schematic show total exon coverage (above) and individual transcript (below). Panels at left show *RXRG* locus with exon 1 in green box enlarged at right, revealing a similar range of RNA 5' ends in ER and LM populations. **E.** Immunohistochemical analysis of RXR γ and NRL in FW16 retina. Arrows: Yellow = RXR γ -high cone. White = NRL+ rod with weak RXR γ . Scale bar = 25 μ m. Right: Boxplot of RXR γ mean grey values in NRL+, RXR γ^{lo} and NRL-, RXR γ^{hi} cells. ***, $p < 0.0001$ (Welch's T-test).




Figure S9

High resolution cluster marker genes and differential RPC versus MG gene expression.

A. Marker gene dot plot for high resolution Louvain clusters. Dot size: Percent of cells expressing gene. **B.** Volcano plot of differential expression ($p\text{Adj} < 0.05$, $\log_2FC > |0.5|$) between MG and RPC clusters with genes labeled when $p < 10^{-4}$ except for *RPL34*. **C.** Overrepresentation analysis for genes upregulated in RPC above $p\text{Adj}$ and $\log_2FC$ cutoff.





Figure S10

High-resolution regulon-defined RPC and photoreceptor precursor states.

Ward-clustered heatmap of the highest scoring SCENIC regulons in each high-resolution cluster, displaying Z-score normalized regulon activities. Subcluster labels: RPC-I = RPC-localized, Br = Bridge-localized, O = Other/remainder of original cluster, Late = late maturing LM4 cones.

A



B




Figure S11

NR2E3 and GNAT2 co-expression in early-born photoreceptor precursors.

A. Composite images of combined RXR γ and NR2E3 immunofluorescence staining and GNAT2 and NR2E3 RNA FISH in boxed regions 2-13 of **Figure 6E**. **B.** Enlarged images of boxed regions in images 2, 3, 5, and 8 in panel A. Dotted yellow lines demarcate the outer and inner NBL cells analyzed separately in **Figure 6G,H**. White arrows = GNAT2 puncta. Green arrows = NR2E3 puncta. Boxed regions in column 3 (GNAT2 RNA plus NR2E3 protein) and column 4 (NR2E3 RNA) are enlarged in column 6 (GNAT2 RNA plus NR2E3 RNA) and illustrate **region 2**) initial expression of GNAT2 RNA without NR2E3 RNA in outer NBL nascent cones; **region 3**) initial co-expression of GNAT2 and NR2E3 RNA in early outer NBL cones; **region 5**) initial expression of NR2E3 RNA with or without co-expressed NR2E3 protein; and **region 8**) extensive co-expression of GNAT2 and NR2E3 RNA without NR2E3 protein in RXR γ^{hi} outer NBL cones.




Figure S12

iPRP bridge region marker genes and regulon activities.

A. UMAP plots of iPRP marker *S100A6* and photoreceptor transition state marker *DLL3* gene expression. **B.** UMAP plots of *OLIG2*, *LHX9*, and *THR* regulon activities (*left*) and *OLIG2*, *LHX9*, and *ONECUT1* gene expression (*right*), illustrating high *OLIG2* regulon activity with minimal *OLIG2* gene expression, concurrent *LHX9* regulon activity and *LHX9* gene expression, and *ONECUT1* gene expression in cone-directed iPRP cells preceding increased *THR* regulon activity in early LM cones. Boxes indicate bridge region.

Figure S13

Heatmaps of coregulated gene modules across cone precursor pseudotime.

A. Heatmaps of individual genes in each module across the pseudotime trajectory shown in **Figure 6C**. lncRNA genes of interest are labeled. **B.** Heatmap of averaged gene module expression across pseudotime.





Figure S14

Differential gene expression in early cone and rod precursors.

A. Volcano plot of differential expression between early rod cluster ER and cone LM, excluding late-maturing OPN1LW+ population. pAdj cutoff = 0.05, $\log_2FC$ cutoff = $|0.4|$. Labeled genes: pAdj $<10e-32$, except for *SYK* and *MYCN*. **B.** Overrepresentation analysis of cone-enriched genes pAdj <0.05 , $\log_2FC \geq |0.4|$.



Key Resources Table

Information related to strains, cell lines, biological samples, antibodies, recombinant DNA reagents, sequence-based reagents, commercial assays or kits, and software.

Key Resources Table				
Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
strain, strain background (<i>Escherichia coli</i>)	NEB 10-beta	New England Biolabs	C3019H	Competent cells
cell line (<i>Homo-sapiens</i>)	CHLA-VC-RB31	Stachelek <i>et al.</i> , 2023 DOI: 10.1002/gcc.23120		
cell line (<i>Mus musculus</i>)	NIH-3T3	ATCC	CRL-1658.2	.
cell line (<i>Homo-sapiens</i>)	HEK-293T	ATCC	CRL-3216	
biological sample (<i>Human</i>)	Fetal eyes	Family Planning Associates, Los Angeles, CA		Freshly isolated from fetus
biological sample (<i>Human</i>)	Fetal eyes	Advanced Bioscience Resources, Alameda, CA		Freshly isolated from fetus
antibody	anti-NRL (Goat polyclonal)	R&D Systems	CAT# AF2945, RRID: AB_2155098	WB: 1:2000-4000)

antibody	anti-NRL (Mouse monoclonal)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	CAT# SC- 374277, RRID: AB_10991100	WB:1:250, IF:1:50
antibody	anti-RXRy (Mouse monoclonal)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	CAT# SC- 514134, RRID: AB_2737293	IF: 1:200
antibody	anti-SYK (Mouse monoclonal)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	CAT# SC1240, RRID: AB_628308	IF:(1:200)
antibody	anti-ARR3 (Rabbit polyclonal)	Li et al., 2003 DOI: 10.1167/iavs. 02-0434 Zhang et al., 2001 DOI: 10.1007/978- 1-4615-1355- 1_33	LUMI-F - hCAR	IF: (1:5000) Cheryl Craft
antibody	anti-RXRy (Rabbit polyclonal)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	CAT# SC- 555, RRID: AB_2269865	IF: (1:800)
antibody	anti-KI67 (Mouse monoclonal)	BD Bioscience	CAT# 550609, RRID: AB_393778	IF: (1:200)
antibody	anti-GFP (Goat polyclonal)	Abcam	CAT# ab6673, RRID: AB_305643	IF: (1:500)

antibody	anti-CD133-PE (Mouse monoclonal)	Miltenyi Biotec	CAT# 130-113-108, RRID: AB_2725937	FACS: (1:50)
antibody	Mouse monoclonal anti-CD44-FITC (1:50)	BD Biosciences	CAT# 555478, RRID: AB_395870	FACS: (1:50)
antibody	Mouse monoclonal anti-CD49b-FITC (1:10)	BD Biosciences	CAT# 555498, RRID: AB_395888	FACS: (1:10)
antibody	anti-goat IgG Alexa Fluor 488 (1:300)	Jackson Laboratories	CAT# 705-545-147, RRID: AB_2336933	IF: (1:300)
antibody	anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 680 (Donkey polyclonal)	Life Technologies	CAT# A10038, RRID: AB_2534014	IF: (1:500)
antibody	Donkey polyclonal anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 680 (Donkey polyclonal)	Invitrogen	CAT# A11057, RRID: AB_2534104	IF: (1:500)
recombinant DNA reagent	pLKO.1C-YFP	Lee and Cobrinik, 2020 DOI: 10.2144/btn-2019-0155		GFP version of pLKO.1-Puro
recombinant DNA reagent	pLKO.1C-YFP-shRB1-733	Lee and Cobrinik, 2020 DOI: 10.2144/btn-2019-0155	RRID: Addgene_10878	Pol III based shRNA backbone

recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA4-His-Max-C-Nrl	Cheng et al., 2004 DOI: 10.1093/hmg/ddh173		Gift from A. Swaroop.
recombinant DNA reagent	cDNA4-His-Max-C-EF1a-FL-NRL	This paper		See Materials and Methods NRL isoform analyses
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA4-C-EF1a-FL-NRL	This paper		See Materials and Methods NRL isoform analyses
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA4-C-EF1a-Tr-NRL	This paper		See Materials and Methods NRL isoform analyses
recombinant DNA reagent	pcDNA4-c-EF1a	This paper		See Materials and Methods NRL isoform analyses
recombinant DNA reagent	pGL3-SV40	Promega	AT# E1761, RRID:Addgene_173953	
recombinant DNA reagent	pGL3-PDE6B-146	This paper		See Materials and Methods NRL isoform analyses
recombinant DNA reagent	pGL3-empty	This paper		See Materials and Methods NRL isoform analyses
recombinant DNA reagent	pUltra-EGFP-FL-NRL	This paper		See Materials and Methods NRL isoform analyses

recombinant DNA reagent	pUltra-EGFP	Lou et al., 2012 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0033093	RRID: Addgene_24129	
sequence-based reagent	Gipc1_F	This paper	PCR primers	GGGAAAGG ACAAAAGG AACCC
sequenced-based reagent	Gipc1_R	This paper	PCR primers	CAGGGCAT TTGCACCC CATGCC
sequenced-based reagent	siRNA: nontargeting control	Thermo Fisher	4390843	Silencer Select
sequenced-based reagent	Subcloning PCR primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	1) Del-His F	5'- CCGAAACC ATGGCCCT GCCCCCA GC
sequenced-based reagent	Subcloning PCR primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	2) Del-His R	5'- GGGCCATG GTTTCGGA GGCCGTCC G
sequenced-based reagent	Subcloning PCR primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	3) NRL-no-His F	5'- CCGAAACC ATGTCTGT GCGGGAG CTAAACC
sequenced-based reagent	Subcloning PCR primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	4) NRL-no-His R	5'- CAGACATG GTTTCGGA GGCCGTCC G
sequenced-based reagent	Subcloning PCR primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	5) pcDNA del NRL F	5'- CCGAAACC GCCGTTCA GAGCACCT TGTGG

sequenced-based reagent	Subcloning PCR primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	6) pcDNA del NRL R	5'- GAACGGCG GTTTCGGA GGCCGTCC G
sequenced-based reagent	Subcloning PCR primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	7) PDE -93 F IF	5'- TCTTACGC GTGCTAGA GCGCAGGC CCCCATTT G
sequenced-based reagent	Subcloning PCR primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	8) PDE +53 R IF	5'- CTTAGATC GCAGATCG GTGGCTGC CTGTCCCT G
sequenced-based reagent	Subcloning PCR primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	9) pGL3-sv40 SDM F	5'- CTGCGATC AAGCTTGG CATTCCGG TACTG
sequenced-based reagent	Subcloning PCR primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	10) pGL3-sv40 SDM R	5'- CAAGCTTG ATCGCAGA TCGGTGGC TG
sequenced-based reagent	Subcloning PCR primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	11) pGL3 del SV40 R	5'- CAAGCTTG ATCGCAGA TCTCGAGC CC
sequenced-based reagent	Subcloning PCR primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	12) FL-NRL IF pU-G F	5'- GCCTTCTA GAGGATCC ATGTCTGT GCGGGAG CTAAACC
sequenced-based reagent	Subcloning PCR primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	13) FL-NRL IF pU-G R	5'- CGCCGGAG CCGGATCC TCAGAGGA AGAGGTGG GAGGG

sequenced-based reagent	RACE primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	5' PCR Primer II A	5'- AAGCAGTG GTATCAAC GCAGAGT
sequenced-based reagent	RACE primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	5'RACE	5'- AAGCAGTG GTATCAAC GCAGAGTA CGGG
sequenced-based reagent	RACE primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	Rev NRL-Full ex3	5'- GGTTTAGC TCCCGCAC AGACATCG AGAC
sequenced-based reagent	RACE primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	Rev RXR ex5	5'- GAAGAACC CTTTGCAG CCTTCACA ACTG
sequenced-based reagent	RACE primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	3' RACE	5'- AAGCAGTG GTATCAAC GCAGAGTA CTTTT
sequenced-based reagent	RACE primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	Forw TRb1 ex4	5'- GCCTTACA GCCTGGGA CAAACC
sequenced-based reagent	RACE primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	Forw TRb2 ex1	5'- CCCTGGAA ACATGTTTA AAAGCAAG GACT
sequenced-based reagent	<i>In situ</i> hybridization chain reaction probes	Molecular Instruments Inc.	FL-NRL exon 1, 2	Target sequence Ensembl ID: ENST00000619224.1
sequenced-based reagent	hybridization chain reaction probes	Molecular Instruments Inc.	<i>CHRNA1</i>	Target sequence NCBI accession

				number: NM_000079. 4
sequenced-based reagent	hybridization chain reaction probes	Molecular Instruments Inc.	<i>RP13-143G15.4</i>	
sequenced-based reagent	hybridization chain reaction probes	Molecular Instruments Inc.	<i>CTC-378H22.2</i>	Target sequence Ensembl ID: ENST00000559786.1
sequenced-based reagent	hybridization chain reaction probes	Molecular Instruments Inc.	<i>HOTAIRM1</i>	Target sequence NCBI accession number: NR_038366.1
sequenced-based reagent	hybridization chain reaction probes	Molecular Instruments Inc.	<i>CTD-2034I21.2</i>	Target sequence NCBI accession number: XR_001752169.1
sequenced-based reagent	hybridization chain reaction probes	Molecular Instruments Inc.	<i>GNAT2</i>	Target sequence NCBI accession number: NM_005272.5
sequenced-based reagent	hybridization chain reaction probes	Molecular Instruments Inc.	<i>NR2E3</i>	Target sequence NCBI accession number: NM_01249.4
commercial assay or kit	In-Fusion HD Cloning	Clontech	Clontech:639647	

commercial assay or kit	SMARTer Ultra Low RNA Kit for the Fluidigm C1 System	MedChemExpress	CAT# HY-109091	
commercial assay or kit	SMART-Seq V4 Ultra Low Input RNA Kit	Clontech (Takara Bio)	CAT# 634835/634935	
commercial assay or kit	Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit	Takara Bio	CAT# 63891	
commercial assay or kit	Nano-Glo Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System	Illumina	CAT# FC-131-1096	
chemical compound, drug	GS-9876 (SYK inhibitor)	MedChemExpress	CAT# HY-109091	
software, algorithm	TrimGalore	Felix Krueger GitHub		https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore
software, algorithm	Cutadapt	Martin, 2011 DOI: 10.14806/ej.17.1.200		https://cutadapt.readthedocs.io/en/stable/
software, algorithm	HISAT2	Kim et al., 2019 DOI: 10.1038/s41587-019-0201-4		https://github.com/DaehwanKimLab/hisat2
software, algorithm	StringTie	Pertea et al., 2015		minimhttp://ccb.jhu.edu/s

		DOI: 10.1038/nbt.3122		oftware/stringie/
software, algorithm	Snakemake/ARMOR	Orjuela et al., 2019 DOI: 10.1038/nbt.3122		https://github.com/whtns/ARMOR
software, algorithm	Minimap2	Li, 2018 DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191		https://lh3.github.io/minimap2/
software, algorithm	WebGestalt	Liao et al., 2019 DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkz401		http://www.webgestalt.org/
software, algorithm	pySCENIC	Van de Sande et al., 2020 DOI: 10.1038/s41596-020-0336-2		https://github.com/aertslab/pySCENIC
software, algorithm	Tximport	Soneson et al., 2015 DOI: 10.12688/f1000research.7563.1		https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/tximport.html
software, algorithm	Seurat (v3)	Stuart et al., 2019 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.031		https://satijalab.org/seurat/index.html
software, algorithm	EnhancedVolcano	Blighe et al., 2018 Github		https://github.com/kevinblighe/EnhancedVolcano
software, algorithm	genesortR (v0.4.3)	Ibrahim and Kramann, 2019		http://github.com/mahmoudibrahim/genesortR

		DOI: 10.1101/676379		
software, algorithm	Wiggleplotr (v1.13.1)	Alasoo, 2019 Bioconductor package		https://github.com/kauralasoo/wiggleplotr
software, algorithm	DEXSeq	Anders et al., 2012 DOI: 10.1101/gr.133744.111		https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DEXSeq.html
software, algorithm	velocityR	La Manno et al., 2018 DOI: 10.1038/s41586-018-0414-6		https://velocityto.org/
software, algorithm	Monocle3	Cao et al., 2019 DOI: 10.1038/s41586-019-0969-x		https://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/monocle3/
software, algorithm	QuPath	Bankhead et al., 2017 DOI: 10.1038/s41586-019-0969-x		https://qupath.github.io/
software, algorithm	StarDist (QuPath implementation)	Schmidt et al., 2018 DOI: 10.1038/s41586-019-0969-x		https://qupath.readthedocs.io/en/stable/docs/advanced/stardist.html

References

1. Shiau F., Ruzyski P. A., Clark B. S. (2021) **A single-cell guide to retinal development: Cell fate decisions of multipotent retinal progenitors in scRNA-seq** *Dev Biol* **478**:41–58
2. Brzezinski J. A., Reh T. A. (2015) **Photoreceptor cell fate specification in vertebrates** *Development* **142**:3263–3273
3. Emerson M. M., Surzenko N., Goetz J. J., Trimarchi J., Cepko C. L. (2013) **Otx2 and Onecut1 promote the fates of cone photoreceptors and horizontal cells and repress rod photoreceptors** *Dev Cell* **26**:59–72
4. Ng L., Lu A., Swaroop A., Sharlin D. S., Swaroop A., Forrest D. (2011) **Two Transcription Factors Can Direct Three Photoreceptor Outcomes from Rod Precursor Cells in Mouse Retinal Development** *The Journal of Neuroscience* **31**:11118–11125
5. Hendrickson A., Possin D., Vajzovic L., Toth C. A. (2012) **Histologic Development of the Human Fovea From Midgestation to Maturity** *Am J Ophthalmol* **154**:767–778
6. Hoshino A. *et al.* (2017) **Molecular Anatomy of the Developing Human Retina** *Dev Cell* **43**:763–779
7. Georgiou M. *et al.* (2024) **Phenotyping and genotyping inherited retinal diseases: Molecular genetics, clinical and imaging features, and therapeutics of macular dystrophies, cone and cone-rod dystrophies, rod-cone dystrophies, Leber congenital amaurosis, and cone dysfunction syndromes** *Prog Retin Eye Res* **100**
8. Cobrinik D. (2024) **Retinoblastoma Origins and Destinations** *New England Journal of Medicine* **390**:1408–1419
9. Xu X. L., Fang Y., Lee T. C., Forrest D., Gregory-Evans C., Almeida D., Liu A., Jhanwar S. C., Abramson D. H., Cobrinik D. (2009) **Retinoblastoma Has Properties of a Cone Precursor Tumor and Depends Upon Cone-Specific MDM2 Signaling** *Cell* **137**:1018–1031
10. Xu X. L., Singh H. P., Wang L., Qi D. L., Poulos B. K., Abramson D. H., Jhanwar S. C., Cobrinik D. (2014) **Rb suppresses human cone-precursor-derived retinoblastoma tumours** *Nature* **514**:385–388
11. Singh H. P., Wang S., Stachelek K., Lee S., Reid M. W., Thornton M. E., Craft C. M., Grubbs B. H., Cobrinik D. (2018) **Developmental stage-specific proliferation and retinoblastoma genesis in RB-deficient human but not mouse cone precursors** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**:E9391–E9400
12. McEvoy J. *et al.* (2011) **Coexpression of Normally Incompatible Developmental Pathways in Retinoblastoma Genesis** *Cancer Cell* **20**:260–275
13. Khanna H., Akimoto M., Siffron-Fernandez S., Friedman J. S., Hicks D., Swaroop A. (2006) **Retinoic Acid Regulates the Expression of Photoreceptor Transcription Factor NRL** *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **281**:27327–27334

14. Clark B. S. *et al.* (2019) **Single-Cell RNA-Seq Analysis of Retinal Development Identifies NFI Factors as Regulating Mitotic Exit and Late-Born Cell Specification** *Neuron* **102**:1111–1126
15. Lu Y. *et al.* (2020) **Single-Cell Analysis of Human Retina Identifies Evolutionarily Conserved and Species-Specific Mechanisms Controlling Development** *Dev Cell* **53**:473–491
16. Sridhar A. *et al.* (2020) **Single-Cell Transcriptomic Comparison of Human Fetal Retina, hPSC-Derived Retinal Organoids, and Long-Term Retinal Cultures** *Cell Rep* **30**:1644–1659
17. Buenaventura D. F., Corseri A., Emerson M. M. (2019) **Identification of genes with enriched expression in early developing mouse cone photoreceptors** *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **60**:2787–2799
18. Lo Giudice Q., Leleu M., La Manno G., Fabre P. J. (2019) **Single-cell transcriptional logic of cell-fate specification and axon guidance in early born retinal neurons** *Development* **146**
19. Lyu P. *et al.* (2021) **Gene regulatory networks controlling temporal patterning, neurogenesis, and cell-fate specification in mammalian retina** *Cell Rep* **37**
20. Omori Y. *et al.* (2017) **Samd7 is a cell type-specific PRC1 component essential for establishing retinal rod photoreceptor identity** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**:E8264–E8273
21. Gagliardi G. *et al.* (2018) **Characterization and Transplantation of CD73-Positive Photoreceptors Isolated from Human iPSC-Derived Retinal Organoids** *Stem Cell Reports* **11**:665–680
22. Chen T. W., Chen G., Funkhouser L. J., Nam S.-C. (2009) **Membrane domain modulation by Spectrins in Drosophila photoreceptor morphogenesis** *genesis* **47**:744–750
23. Burger C. A., Jiang D., Mackin R. D., Samuel M. A. (2021) **Development and maintenance of vision's first synapse** *Dev Biol* **476**:218–239
24. Sokolov D., Sechrest E. R., Wang Y., Nevin C., Du J., Kolandaivelu S. (2021) **Nuclear NAD⁺-biosynthetic enzyme NMNAT1 facilitates development and early survival of retinal neurons** *Elife* **10**:1–26
25. Lukowski S. W. *et al.* (2019) **A single-cell transcriptome atlas of the adult human retina** *EMBO J* **38**:1–15
26. Peng Y. R., Shekhar K., Yan W., Herrmann D., Sappington A., Bryman G. S., van Zyl T., Do M. T. H., Regev A., Sanes J. R. (2019) **Molecular Classification and Comparative Taxonomics of Foveal and Peripheral Cells in Primate Retina** *Cell* **176**:1222–1237
27. Kallman A. *et al.* (2020) **Investigating cone photoreceptor development using patient-derived NRL null retinal organoids** *Commun Biol* **3**
28. Voigt A. P., Whitmore S. S., Flamme-Wiese M. J., Riker M. J., Wiley L. A., Tucker B. A., Stone E. M., Mullins R. F., Scheetz T. E. (2019) **Molecular characterization of foveal versus peripheral human retina by single-cell RNA sequencing** *Exp Eye Res* **184**:234–242
29. Van de Sande B. *et al.* (2020) **A scalable SCENIC workflow for single-cell gene regulatory network analysis** *Nat Protoc* **15**:2247–2276

30. Swaroop A., Kim D., Forrest D. (2010) **Transcriptional regulation of photoreceptor development and homeostasis in the mammalian retina** *Nat Rev Neurosci* **11**:563–576
31. Ng L., Hurley J. B., Dierks B., Srinivas M., Saltó C., Vennström B., Reh T. A., Forrest D. (2001) **A thyroid hormone receptor that is required for the development of green cone photoreceptors** *Nat Genet* **27**:94–98
32. Roberts M. R., Hendrickson A., McGuire C. R., Reh T. A. (2005) **Retinoid X Receptor γ Is Necessary to Establish the S-opsin Gradient in Cone Photoreceptors of the Developing Mouse Retina** *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science* **46**
33. Friedman J. S., Khanna H., Swain P. K., DeNicola R., Cheng H., Mitton K. P., Weber C. H., Hicks D., Swaroop A. (2004) **The Minimal Transactivation Domain of the Basic Motif-Leucine Zipper Transcription Factor NRL Interacts with TATA-binding Protein** *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **279**:47233–47241
34. Swaroop A., Xu J. Z., Pawar H., Jackson A., Skolnick C., Agarwal N. (1992) **A conserved retina-specific gene encodes a basic motif/leucine zipper domain** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **89**:266–270
35. Rehemtulla A., Warwar R., Kumar R., Ji X., Zack D. J., Swaroop A. (1996) **The basic motif-leucine zipper transcription factor Nrl can positively regulate rhodopsin gene expression** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **93**:191–195
36. Stachelek K. *et al.* (2023) **Non-synonymous, synonymous, and non-coding nucleotide variants contribute to recurrently altered biological processes during retinoblastoma progression** *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* **62**:275–289
37. Eldred K. C. *et al.* (2018) **Thyroid hormone signaling specifies cone subtypes in human retinal organoids** *Science (1979)* **362**
38. La Manno G. *et al.* (2018) **RNA velocity of single cells** *Nature* **560**:494–498
39. Roesch K., Jadhav A. P., Trimarchi J. M., Stadler M. B., Roska B., Sun B. B., Cepko C. L. (2008) **The transcriptome of retinal Müller glial cells** *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **509**:225–238
40. Pereiro X., Miltner A. M., La Torre A., Vecino E. (2020) **Effects of Adult Müller Cells and Their Conditioned Media on the Survival of Stem Cell-Derived Retinal Ganglion Cells** *Cells* **9**
41. Blackshaw S. *et al.* (2004) **Genomic Analysis of Mouse Retinal Development** *PLoS Biol* **2**
42. Walcott J. C., Provis J. M. (2003) **Müller cells express the neuronal progenitor cell marker nestin in both differentiated and undifferentiated human foetal retina** *Clin Exp Ophthalmol* **31**:246–249
43. Finkbeiner C., Ortuño-Lizarán I., Sridhar A., Hooper M., Petter S., Reh T. A. (2022) **Single-cell ATAC-seq of fetal human retina and stem-cell-derived retinal organoids shows changing chromatin landscapes during cell fate acquisition** *Cell Rep* **38**
44. Marquardt T., Ashery-Padan R., Andrejewski N., Scardigli R., Guillemot F., Gruss P. (2001) **Pax6 Is Required for the Multipotent State of Retinal Progenitor Cells** *Cell* **105**:43–55

45. Poché R. A., Furuta Y., Chaboissier M. C., Schedl A., Behringer R. R. (2008) **Sox9 is expressed in mouse multipotent retinal progenitor cells and functions in Müller Glial cell development** *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **510**:237–250
46. Hafler B. P., Surzenko N., Beier K. T., Punzo C., Trimarchi J. M., Kong J. H., Cepko C. L. (2012) **Transcription factor Olig2 defines subpopulations of retinal progenitor cells biased toward specific cell fates** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**:7882–7887
47. Zhang J. *et al.* (2012) **A novel retinoblastoma therapy from genomic and epigenetic analyses** *Nature* **481**:329–334
48. Blomgren P. *et al.* (2020) **Discovery of Lanraplenib (GS-9876): A Once-Daily Spleen Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor for Autoimmune Diseases** *ACS Med Chem Lett* **11**:506–513
49. Kamieniarz-Gdula K., Proudfoot N. J. (2019) **Transcriptional Control by Premature Termination: A Forgotten Mechanism** *Trends in Genetics* **35**:553–564
50. Master A., Nauman A. (2014) **THRB (Thyroid Hormone Receptor Beta)**. *Atlas Genet Cytogenet Oncol Haematol* **18**:400–433
51. Mercer T. R. *et al.* (2011) **Expression of distinct RNAs from 3' untranslated regions** *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**:2393–2403
52. Fischer A. J., Foster S., Scott M. A., Sherwood P. (2008) **Transient expression of LIM-domain transcription factors is coincident with delayed maturation of photoreceptors in the chicken retina** *J Comp Neurol* **506**:584–603
53. Irie S., Sanuki R., Muranishi Y., Kato K., Chaya T., Furukawa T. (2015) **Rax Homeoprotein Regulates Photoreceptor Cell Maturation and Survival in Association with Crx in the Postnatal Mouse Retina** *Mol Cell Biol* **35**:2583–2596
54. Chen C. M. A., Cepko C. L. (2002) **The chicken RaxL gene plays a role in the initiation of photoreceptor differentiation** *Development* **129**:5363–5375
55. Welby E. *et al.* (2017) **Isolation and Comparative Transcriptome Analysis of Human Fetal and iPSC-Derived Cone Photoreceptor Cells** *Stem Cell Reports* **9**:1898–1915
56. Liu H. *et al.* (2020) **Human embryonic stem cell-derived organoid retinoblastoma reveals a cancerous origin** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**:33628–33638
57. Pettker C. M., Goldberg J. D., El-Sayed Y. Y., Copel J. A., D. (2017) **Committee on Obstetric Practice, the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine, and the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Committee Opinion No 700: Methods for Estimating the Due Date** *Obstetrics & Gynecology* **129**
58. Martin M. (2011) **Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads** *EMBnet J* **17**
59. Kim D., Paggi J. M., Park C., Bennett C., Salzberg S. L. (2019) **Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype** *Nat Biotechnol* **37**:907–915
60. Pertea M., Pertea G. M., Antonescu C. M., Chang T.-C., Mendell J. T., Salzberg S. L. (2015) **StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads** *Nat Biotechnol* **33**:290–295

61. Sonesson C., Love M. I., Robinson M. D. (2015) **Differential analyses for RNA-seq: transcript-level estimates improve gene-level inferences** *F1000Res* **4**
62. Orjuela S., Huang R., Hembach K. M., Robinson M. D., Sonesson C. (2019) **ARMOR: An Automated R reproducible Modular Workflow for Preprocessing and Differential Analysis of RNA-seq Data** *G3 Genes | Genomes | Genetics* **9**:2089–2096
63. Butler A., Hoffman P., Smibert P., Papalexi E., Satija R. (2018) **Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species** *Nat Biotechnol* **36**:411–420
64. Stuart T., Butler A., Hoffman P., Hafemeister C., Papalexi E., Mauck W. M., Hao Y., Stoeckius M., Smibert P., Satija R. (2019) **Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data** *Cell* **177**:1888–1902
65. McInnes L., Healy J., Melville J. (2018) **UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction** *ArXiv* <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1802.03426>
66. Becht E., McInnes L., Healy J., Dutertre C.-A., Kwok I. W. H., Ng L. G., Ginhoux F., Newell E. W. (2019) **Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using UMAP** *Nat Biotechnol* **37**:38–44
67. Blondel V. D., Guillaume J.-L., Lambiotte R., Lefebvre E. (2008) **Fast unfolding of communities in large networks** *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2008**
68. Alasoo K. (2019) **wiggleplotr: Make read coverage plots from BigWig files** *R package version 1.8.0. 1.13.1 [Preprint]*
69. Anders S., Reyes A., Huber W. (2012) **Detecting differential usage of exons from RNA-seq data** *Genome Res* **22**:2008–2017
70. Ewels P. A., Peltzer A., Fillinger S., Patel H., Alneberg J., Wilm A., Garcia M. U., Di Tommaso P., Nahnsen S. (2020) **The nf-core framework for community-curated bioinformatics pipelines** *Nat Biotechnol* **38**:276–278
71. Li H. (2018) **Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences** *Bioinformatics* **34**:3094–3100
72. Svoboda M., Frost H. R., Bosco G. (2022) **Internal oligo(dT) priming introduces systematic bias in bulk and single-cell RNA sequencing count data** *NAR Genom Bioinform* **4**
73. Ibrahim M. M., Kramann R. (2019) **genesorteR: Feature Ranking in Clustered Single Cell Data** *bioRxiv* **676379**
74. Blighe K., Rana S., Lewis M. (2018) **EnhancedVolcano: Publication-ready volcano plots with enhanced colouring and labeling**
75. Liao Y., Wang J., Jaehnig E. J., Shi Z., Zhang B. (2019) **WebGestalt 2019: gene set analysis toolkit with revamped UIs and APIs** *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**:W199–W205
76. Aibar S. et al. (2017) **SCENIC: single-cell regulatory network inference and clustering** *Nat Methods* **14**:1083–1086
77. Cao J. et al. (2019) **The single-cell transcriptional landscape of mammalian organogenesis** *Nature* **566**:496–502

- 78. Bankhead P. *et al.* (2017) **QuPath: Open source software for digital pathology image analysis** *Sci Rep* **7**
- 79. Schmidt U., Weigert M., Broaddus C., Myers G. (2018) **“Cell Detection with Star-Convex Polygons”** *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)* Springer Verlag :265–273
- 80. Cheng H. (2004) **Photoreceptor-specific nuclear receptor NR2E3 functions as a transcriptional activator in rod photoreceptors** *Hum Mol Genet* **13**:1563–1575
- 81. Lerner L. E., Gribanova Y. E., Ji M., Knox B. E., Farber D. B. (2001) **Nrl and Sp Nuclear Proteins Mediate Transcription of Rod-specific cGMP-phosphodiesterase β -Subunit Gene** *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **276**:34999–35007
- 82. Lee S., Cobrinik D. (2020) **Improved third-generation lentiviral packaging with pLKO.1C vectors** *Biotechniques* **68**:349–352

Author information

Dominic WH Shayler

The Vision Center, Department of Surgery, and Saban Research Institute, Children’s Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA, Development, Stem Cell, and Regenerative Medicine Program, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA
ORCID iD: [0000-0003-1971-2617](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1971-2617)

Kevin Stachelek

The Vision Center, Department of Surgery, and Saban Research Institute, Children’s Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA, Cancer Biology and Genomics Program, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA

Linda Cambier

The Vision Center, Department of Surgery, and Saban Research Institute, Children’s Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

Sunhye Lee

The Vision Center, Department of Surgery, and Saban Research Institute, Children’s Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

Jinlun Bai

The Vision Center, Department of Surgery, and Saban Research Institute, Children’s Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA, Development, Stem Cell, and Regenerative Medicine Program, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA

Mark W Reid

The Vision Center, Department of Surgery, and Saban Research Institute, Children’s Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

Daniel J Weisenberger

Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA, Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Keck School of

Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA

Bhavana Bhat

The Vision Center, Department of Surgery, and Saban Research Institute, Children's Hospital
Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

Jennifer G Aparicio

The Vision Center, Department of Surgery, and Saban Research Institute, Children's Hospital
Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

Yeha Kim

The Vision Center, Department of Surgery, and Saban Research Institute, Children's Hospital
Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

Mitali Singh

The Vision Center, Department of Surgery, and Saban Research Institute, Children's Hospital
Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

Maxwell Bay

Development, Stem Cell, and Regenerative Medicine Program, Keck School of Medicine,
University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA

Matthew E Thornton

Maternal-Fetal Medicine Division of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Keck
School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA

Eamon K Doyle

Department of Radiology and The Saban Research Institute, Children's Hospital Los Angeles,
Los Angeles, USA, Department of Radiology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern
California, Los Angeles, USA

Zachary Fouladian

The Vision Center, Department of Surgery, and Saban Research Institute, Children's Hospital
Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA, Development, Stem Cell, and Regenerative Medicine Program,
Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA

Stephan G Erberich

Department of Radiology and The Saban Research Institute, Children's Hospital Los Angeles,
Los Angeles, USA, Department of Radiology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern
California, Los Angeles, USA

Brendan H Grubbs

Maternal-Fetal Medicine Division of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Keck
School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA

Michael A Bonaguidi

Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of
Southern California, Los Angeles, USA, Department of Development, Stem Cell, and
Regenerative Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los
Angeles, USA

ORCID iD: [0000-0002-9295-4762](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9295-4762)

Cheryl Mae Craft

Department of Integrative Anatomical Sciences, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA, USC Roski Eye Institute, Department of Ophthalmology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA

Hardeep P Singh

The Vision Center, Department of Surgery, and Saban Research Institute, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA, Department of Development, Stem Cell, and Regenerative Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA

David Cobrinik

The Vision Center, Department of Surgery, and Saban Research Institute, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA, Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA, Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA, Department of Development, Stem Cell, and Regenerative Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA
ORCID iD: [0000-0002-4478-2417](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4478-2417)

For correspondence: dcobrinik@chla.usc.edu

Editors

Reviewing Editor

Robert Johnston

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, United States of America

Senior Editor

Claude Desplan

New York University, New York, United States of America

Reviewer #1 (Public review):

Summary:

The authors have used full-length single-cell sequencing on a sorted population of human fetal retina to delineate expression patterns associated with the progression of progenitors to rod and cone photoreceptors. They find that rod and cone precursors contain a mix of rod/cone determinants, with a bias in both amounts and isoform balance likely deciding the ultimate cell fate. Markers of early rod/cone hybrids are clarified, and a gradient of lncRNAs is uncovered in maturing cones. Comparison of early rods and cones exposes an enriched MYCN regulon, as well as expression of SYK, which may contribute to tumor initiation in RB1 deficient cone precursors.

Strengths:

(1) The insight into how cone and rod transcripts are mixed together at first is important and clarifies a long-standing notion in the field.

(2) The discovery of distinct active vs inactive mRNA isoforms for rod and cone determinants is crucial to understanding how cells make the decision to form one or the other cell type. This is only really possible with full-length scRNAseq analysis.

(3) New markers of subpopulations are also uncovered, such as CHRNA1 in rod/cone hybrids that seem to give rise to either rods or cones.

(4) Regulon analyses provide insight into key transcription factor programs linked to rod or cone fates.

(5) The gradient of lncRNAs in maturing cones is novel, and while the functional significance is unclear, it opens up a new line of questioning around photoreceptor maturation.

(6) The finding that SYK mRNA is naturally expressed in cone precursors is novel, as previously it was assumed that SYK expression required epigenetic rewiring in tumors.

Weaknesses:

(1) The writing is very difficult to follow. The nomenclature is confusing and there are contradictory statements that need to be clarified.

(2) The drug data is not enough to conclude that SYK inhibition is sufficient to prevent the division of RB1 null cone precursors. Drugs are never completely specific so validation is critical to make the conclusion drawn in the paper.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.101918.1.sa2>

Reviewer #2 (Public review):

Summary:

The authors used deep full-length single-cell sequencing to study human photoreceptor development, with a particular emphasis on the characteristics of photoreceptors that may contribute to retinoblastoma.

Strengths:

This single-cell study captures gene regulation in photoreceptors across different developmental stages, defining post-mitotic cone and rod populations by highlighting their unique gene expression profiles through analyses such as RNA velocity and SCENIC. By leveraging full-length sequencing data, the study identifies differentially expressed isoforms of NRL and THRB in L/M cone and rod precursors, illustrating the dynamic gene regulation involved in photoreceptor fate commitment. Additionally, the authors performed high-resolution clustering to explore markers defining developing photoreceptors across the fovea and peripheral retina, particularly characterizing SYK's role in the proliferative response of cones in the RB loss background. The study provides an in-depth analysis of developing human photoreceptors, with the authors conducting thorough analyses using full-length single-cell RNA sequencing. The strength of the study lies in its design, which integrates single-cell full-length RNA-seq, long-read RNA-seq, and follow-up histological and functional experiments to provide compelling evidence supporting their conclusions. The model of cell type-dependent splicing for NRL and THRB is particularly intriguing. Moreover, the potential involvement of the SYK and MYC pathways with RB in cone progenitor cells aligns with previous literature, offering additional insights into RB development.

Weaknesses:

The manuscript feels somewhat unfocused, with a lack of a strong connection between the analysis of developing photoreceptors, which constitutes the bulk of the manuscript, and the discussion on retinoblastoma. Additionally, given the recent publication of several single-cell

studies on the developing human retina, it is important for the authors to cross-validate their findings and adjust their statements where appropriate.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.101918.1.sa1>

Reviewer #3 (Public review):

Summary:

The authors use high-depth, full-length scRNA-Seq analysis of fetal human retina to identify novel regulators of photoreceptor specification and retinoblastoma progression.

Strengths:

The use of high-depth, full-length scRNA-Seq to identify functionally important alternatively spliced variants of transcription factors controlling photoreceptor subtype specification, and identification of SYK as a potential mediator of RB1-dependent cell cycle reentry in immature cone photoreceptors.

Human developing fetal retinal tissue samples were collected between 13-19 gestational weeks and this provides a substantially higher depth of sequencing coverage, thereby identifying both rare transcripts and alternative splice forms, and thereby representing an important advance over previous droplet-based scRNA-Seq studies of human retinal development.

Weaknesses:

The weaknesses identified are relatively minor. This is a technically strong and thorough study, that is broadly useful to investigators studying retinal development and retinoblastoma.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.101918.1.sa0>

Author response:

Public Reviews:

Reviewer #1 (Public review):

Summary:

The authors have used full-length single-cell sequencing on a sorted population of human fetal retina to delineate expression patterns associated with the progression of progenitors to rod and cone photoreceptors. They find that rod and cone precursors contain a mix of rod/cone determinants, with a bias in both amounts and isoform balance likely deciding the ultimate cell fate. Markers of early rod/cone hybrids are clarified, and a gradient of lncRNAs is uncovered in maturing cones. Comparison of early rods and cones exposes an enriched MYCN regulon, as well as expression of SYK, which may contribute to tumor initiation in RB1 deficient cone precursors.

Strengths:

(1) The insight into how cone and rod transcripts are mixed together at first is important and clarifies a long-standing notion in the field.

(2) The discovery of distinct active vs inactive mRNA isoforms for rod and cone determinants is crucial to understanding how cells make the decision to form one or the other cell type. This is only really possible with full-length scRNAseq analysis.

(3) New markers of subpopulations are also uncovered, such as *CHRNA1* in rod/cone hybrids that seem to give rise to either rods or cones.

(4) Regulon analyses provide insight into key transcription factor programs linked to rod or cone fates.

(5) The gradient of lncRNAs in maturing cones is novel, and while the functional significance is unclear, it opens up a new line of questioning around photoreceptor maturation.

(6) The finding that SYK mRNA is naturally expressed in cone precursors is novel, as previously it was assumed that SYK expression required epigenetic rewiring in tumors.

Weaknesses:

(1) The writing is very difficult to follow. The nomenclature is confusing and there are contradictory statements that need to be clarified.

(2) The drug data is not enough to conclude that SYK inhibition is sufficient to prevent the division of RB1 null cone precursors. Drugs are never completely specific so validation is critical to make the conclusion drawn in the paper.

We thank the reviewer for describing the study's strengths and weaknesses. In the upcoming revision, we will:

(1) improve the writing and clarify the nomenclature and contradictory statements, particularly those noted in the Reviewer's Recommendations for Authors; and

(2) scale back the claims related to the role of SYK in the cone precursor response to RB1 loss; we agree that genetic perturbation of SYK is required to prove it's role and will perform such analyses in a separate study.

Reviewer #2 (Public review):

Summary:

The authors used deep full-length single-cell sequencing to study human photoreceptor development, with a particular emphasis on the characteristics of photoreceptors that may contribute to retinoblastoma.

Strengths:

This single-cell study captures gene regulation in photoreceptors across different developmental stages, defining post-mitotic cone and rod populations by highlighting their unique gene expression profiles through analyses such as RNA velocity and SCENIC. By leveraging full-length sequencing data, the study identifies differentially expressed isoforms of *NRL* and *THRB* in L/M cone and rod precursors, illustrating the dynamic gene regulation involved in photoreceptor fate commitment. Additionally, the authors performed high-resolution clustering to explore markers defining developing photoreceptors across the fovea and peripheral retina, particularly characterizing SYK's role in the proliferative response of cones in the RB loss background. The study provides an in-depth analysis of developing human photoreceptors, with the authors conducting thorough analyses using full-length single-cell RNA sequencing. The strength of the study

lies in its design, which integrates single-cell full-length RNA-seq, long-read RNA-seq, and follow-up histological and functional experiments to provide compelling evidence supporting their conclusions. The model of cell type-dependent splicing for NRL and THRB is particularly intriguing. Moreover, the potential involvement of the SYK and MYC pathways with RB in cone progenitor cells aligns with previous literature, offering additional insights into RB development.

Weaknesses:

The manuscript feels somewhat unfocused, with a lack of a strong connection between the analysis of developing photoreceptors, which constitutes the bulk of the manuscript, and the discussion on retinoblastoma. Additionally, given the recent publication of several single-cell studies on the developing human retina, it is important for the authors to cross-validate their findings and adjust their statements where appropriate.

We thank the reviewer for summarizing the main findings and for noting the compelling support for the conclusions, the intriguing cell type-dependent splicing of rod and cone lineage factors, and the insights into retinoblastoma development.

We concur that some studies of developing photoreceptors were not well connected to retinoblastoma, which diminished the focus. However, we suggest that it was valuable to highlight how deep, long read sequencing provided new insights into retinoblastoma. For example, our demonstration of similar rod- and cone-related gene expression in early cones and RB cells addressed concerns with the proposed cone cell-of-origin, adding disease relevance.

We will address the Reviewer's request to cross-validate our findings with those of other single-cell studies of developing human retina and to adjust the related statements in our upcoming revision.

Reviewer #3 (Public review):

Summary:

The authors use high-depth, full-length scRNA-Seq analysis of fetal human retina to identify novel regulators of photoreceptor specification and retinoblastoma progression.

Strengths:

The use of high-depth, full-length scRNA-Seq to identify functionally important alternatively spliced variants of transcription factors controlling photoreceptor subtype specification, and identification of SYK as a potential mediator of RB1-dependent cell cycle reentry in immature cone photoreceptors.

Human developing fetal retinal tissue samples were collected between 13-19 gestational weeks and this provides a substantially higher depth of sequencing coverage, thereby identifying both rare transcripts and alternative splice forms, and thereby representing an important advance over previous droplet-based scRNA-Seq studies of human retinal development.

Weaknesses:

The weaknesses identified are relatively minor. This is a technically strong and thorough study, that is broadly useful to investigators studying retinal development and retinoblastoma.

We thank the reviewer for describing the strengths of the study. Our upcoming revision will address the minor concerns that were raised separately in the Reviewer's Recommendations for Authors.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.101918.1.sa4>