

Reviewed Preprint

v1 • January 29, 2026

Not revised

✉ For correspondence:

daniel.levy@curie.fr

patricia.bassereau@curie.fr

‡, ¶, †, § Author notes: See page 38

Funding: See page 37

Reviewing editor: Randy B

Stockbridge, University of Michigan,
United States

© 2026, Damm et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Conformational Changes of the ABC Transporter BmrA Depend on Membrane Curvature

Alicia Damm^{1,‡}, Kemil Belhadji^{1,§}, Raj Kumar Sadhu^{1,¶,§}, Su-Jin Paik^{1,¶,§}, Aurélie Di-Cicco¹, John Manzi¹, Michele Castellana¹, Raju Regmi¹, Emmanuel Margeat², Maxime Dahan^{1,†}, Pierre Sens¹, Daniel Lévy¹✉, Patricia Bassereau¹✉

¹Institut Curie, Université PSL, Sorbonne Université, CNRS UMR168, Physique des Cellules et Cancer, Paris, France •

²Centre de Biologie Structurale (CBS), Université Montpellier, CNRS, INSERM, Montpellier, France

eLife Assessment

This **valuable** study investigates whether the activity of an ABC transporter, BmrA, can be modulated by mechanical stimuli. The authors develop a single-molecule experimental system to address this question, although aspects of the methodological framework are **incomplete**. This work also develops a **convincing** theoretical model to explain the effect of membrane curvature on the conformational transitions observed during the activity cycle of this membrane protein. This study is of interest to the fields of membrane biophysics and membrane transport.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.109854.1.sa4>

Abstract

While mechanosensitive ion channels' gating has been well documented, the effect of membrane mechanics, in particular membrane curvature, on the function of transporters remains elusive. Since conical shape transmembrane proteins locally deform membranes, conversely membrane bending could impact their conformations and their function. We tested this hypothesis using BmrA, a bacterial ABC exporter that exhibits large conformational changes upon ATP hydrolysis, switching between open and closed states with opposite V-shapes. After reconstitution in liposomes of different curvatures, and at two different temperatures, we showed that BmrA ATPase activity decreases by 2.9-fold when their diameter decreases from 125 to 29 nm. Moreover, using single-molecule FRET, we observed that the fraction of closed conformations is reduced in highly curved vesicles when adding ATP or non-hydrolysable AMP-PNP. Our results are well explained by a theoretical 2-states model including the effect of membrane mechanics on protein shape transition. Our work reveals that the functional cycle of conical transporters is curvature sensitive, to an extent depending on protein geometry.

Significance Statement

Biological membranes actively regulate protein function. While some ion channels are known to sense membrane tension, whether transporters also respond to mechanical cues was unknown. We show that the conical-shaped bacterial exporter BmrA is sensitive to membrane curvature, with both its activity and conformation landscape determined by the surrounding lipid bilayer. This reveals a previously unrecognized mechanism of mechanosensitivity, suggesting that membrane geometry and transporter shape are intrinsically coupled, providing a general principle of regulation across biological systems.

Introduction

Transmembrane proteins, being embedded in cell membranes, are prone to react to continuous mechanical deformations due to remodeling and stresses applied to the lipid bilayers. Cells use a large family of proteins, the mechanosensitive channels, to control the permeability of their membrane in response to mechanical stresses. This is the case with the eukaryotic ion channels Piezo (1, 2), TRAAK/TREK1 (3, 4), that open at high membrane stretching and allow ions to flow through. It has also been proposed on theoretical basis that these channels could also be sensitive to local high membrane curvature (higher than about 1/50 nm) (5, 6), which was confirmed with the Piezo channel (1, 7). The effect of membrane curvature is expected to be enhanced for proteins with an asymmetrical (conical) shape (5), that locally deform membranes (8, 9). Interestingly, some studies have revealed mechanosensitivity upon stretching of proteins with other identified functions, such as the voltage-gated channel KvAP (10). In fact KvAP has a conical shape (11, 12) and locally bends the bilayer (9, 13), but only the effect of membrane tension on its function has been explored. We expect that other conical transmembrane proteins are potentially mechanosensitive to membrane curvature. Among them, a sub-group of ABC transporters (ATP-Binding Cassette), known as type IV exporters. These transporters have a domain-swapped arrangement with a V-shape, and are known to be flexible trans-membrane proteins that undergo large conformational changes within the bilayer (14–17). Moreover, they could locally deform membranes, according to numerical simulations on the human ABC Pgp (9). These transporters are thus good candidates to test their sensitivity to membrane mechanical properties.

ABC transporters are ubiquitous proteins present in organisms from bacteria to human. They hydrolyze ATP for the transport of a plethora of substrates such as drugs, lipids, ions, peptides (reviewed in (15, 18)). They share a commune topology with two transmembrane domains (TMD), two nucleotide-binding sites (NBD) and two intracytoplasmic domains that join TMDs and NBDs. The structure and function of ABCs have been extensively investigated. Type IV ABCs, such as the bacterial lipid exporter MsbA, the Pgp human multidrug resistance protein, or the non-exporter gated chloride channel CFTR, have a “V-shape” apo-conformation and undergo significant conformational changes during their function. A simplified transport mechanism generally involves a two-state mechanism (Fig. 1A [1A](#)): ATP binding to the NBDs induces their dimerisation and switching of the protein from an “open” state - also called “apo”- (referred to as inward facing state in physiological conditions) to a “closed” state (outward facing state respectively) with a tight contact between the NBDs and where the substrate is released. Upon ATP hydrolysis, the protein switches back to its open state and its V-shape. The extent of the conformational change depends on the ABC transporter, but the gap between NBDs can be as large as a few nanometers (16) (Fig. S1A [S1A](#) and S1B [S1B](#)). Importantly, two apo-forms with different NBDs separation have been identified on several ABC exporters, such as Pgp (19), MsbA (20) and BmrA (21–23). These states are referred to as “wide-open” and “open” (Fig. 1A [1A](#)) and demonstrate a certain flexibility of these proteins, that may depend on the transporter, its substrate and its lipidic environment. Similarly, different sub-states of the two NBDs have been identified, revealing a sequential ATP hydrolysis (21, 24).

In this study, based on its large conformational changes, we have selected BmrA, a homodimeric multi-drug resistance exporter from *B. subtilis*, to study the effect of membrane curvature on its function and conformational dynamics. The structures of BmrA in micelle of detergent are known in the closed form (25), highly similar to the closed conformation of other bacterial exporters, with NBDs in close contact and in the wide-open and narrow-open apo conformations (22). We had previously reconstituted BmrA in lipid membrane at high density and determined a low resolution EM structure, showing an apo conformation with NBDs separated by a few nanometers and a post-hydrolytic state with NBDs in contact (23, 26). This experiment also revealed a major morphological remodeling of the membrane, from curved to planar, between BmrA reconstituted in apo and closed form in high protein density, respectively (26). This demonstrates BmrA's ability to bend a membrane depending on its state. The apo- and closed-conformations correspond to two different conical shapes of the transporter with a conicity of 15° to 28° ($\pi/12$ – $\pi/7$) in the apo conformation depending on the separation of the NBDs, and an opposite value of -17° ($-\pi/10$) in the




Figure 1. Increasing membrane curvature reduces BmrA ATPase activity.

(A) Schematic representation of BmrA in *Bacillus Subtilis* (top left) and reconstituted in opposite orientation in liposomes (bottom) in open or apo (inward-facing in bacteria) and closed conformations (outward-facing). The green and red disks indicate the labeling position of sCy3 (donor) and sCy5 (acceptor) for smFRET experiments. The conformational change between apo and closed states occurs actively upon ATP hydrolysis (top box); ATP and Vi covalently trap BmrA in the closed state (middle) while non-hydrolyzable ATP analog, AMP-PNP, binds to NBDs causing reversible protein closing (bottom). **(B)** Left: Cryo-EM images of EPC:bPS (90:10 w/w) apo BmrA proteoliposomes reconstituted at different temperatures: large vesicles (LV) at 20 °C and small vesicles (SV) at 4 °C (scale bar: 50 nm). Right: Size distribution of LV apo BmrA, average diameter $\phi=123 \pm 41$ nm (orange, $n = 594$) and SV with $\phi=29 \pm 6$ nm, regardless of BmrA conformation: open (apo (light blue, $n=262$) or closed (ATP-Vi, dark blue, $n=249$). **(C)** Protein orientation: Fraction of remaining Alexa 488-labeled BmrA after cleavage of the NBD by trypsin, detected with TIRF microscopy. BmrA was reconstituted in LV and SV at 2 different PLR (number of molecules analyzed from left to right: $n = 135, 157, 175$ and 168 , from 20 movies). **(D)** Effect of temperature on BmrA ATPase activity (in μmol of ATP consumed per min per mg of protein), in detergent (Triton X-100) (3 measurements). **(E)** Effect of liposome size on BmrA ATPase activity measured at 20 °C and 37 °C with 5 mM ATP (3 measurements).

closed one (Fig. S1C [↗](#)). Altogether, we expect that substantial conformational changes of individual BmrA within the membrane will lead to local membrane deformations, dependent on its conicity during its ATP cycle. Conversely, the conformational changes and function of BmrA should be modulated by membrane curvature.

Practically, snapshots of ABCs' conformations have been derived with structural techniques (X-Ray, NMR and more recently cryo-EM) ([16](#), [27](#)). Moreover, dynamical conformational changes have been detected on different ABCs, such as the bacterial BmrCD ([28](#)), MsbA ([19](#), [29](#)) and McjD ([30](#)) or the human Pgp ([31–33](#)), CFTR ([34](#)) and MRP1 ([35](#)) with DEER, LRET or smFRET. Most of these studies were performed on proteins solubilized in detergent micelles or embedded in ~ 10 nm lipid nanodiscs, where the effect of membrane curvature cannot be addressed. Only four have reported ABC exporters in liposomes, but have not considered the effect of vesicle size ([29](#), [30](#), [33](#), [34](#)). Here, we have reconstituted BmrA in Small Unilamellar Vesicles (SUVs) in two populations with small and large diameters. First, we have measured a reduction of ATPase activity for the smallest liposomes. Next, after fluorescent labeling of BmrA on specific NBD residues where the distance is the largest in the apo form, we used TIRF microscopy on immobilized liposomes to detect conformational changes with smFRET. We observed an open (apo) conformation, and a closed one in the presence of ATP or of a non-hydrolysable ATP (AMP-PNP). Interestingly, the fraction of proteins in closed conformation decreases in both cases with increasing membrane curvature, consistent with the observed decrease in activity. Moreover, we propose a theoretical model based on membrane mechanics to quantitatively predict the role of membrane shape and elasticity in the control of the ATPase activity of ABC transporters.

Results

BmrA ATPase activity decreases with increasing membrane curvature

We first purified BmrA in detergent (N-dodecyl- β -D-maltoside (DDM)) and reconstituted it in small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) made of 90% (w/w) Egg Phosphatidyl Choline (EPC) doped with 10% of brain Phosphatidyl Serine (bPS), a negatively charged lipid known to stimulate the ATPase activity of some ABC transporters ([36](#)). BmrA (with a molecular weight $M = 130$ kDa for the homodimer) was incorporated at 1:13000 molar (homodimer) Protein Lipid Ratio (PLR). We found that by adjusting the SUVs preparation temperature, we could obtain two different size distributions of the proteoliposomes, which we characterized by cryo-EM (Fig. 1B [↗](#)): i) upon preparation at 20°C, a broad distribution of large vesicles “LV”, with a diameter $\phi = 123 \pm 41$ nm; ii) upon reconstitution at 4°C, a narrow distribution of small vesicles “SV”, with a diameter $\phi = 29 \pm 6$ nm. Importantly, the conformation of the reconstituted protein, in apo form or in the presence of ortho-vanadate (Vi) (a commonly used inhibitor that prevents from the NBDs dissociation) had no impact on the size of the small liposomes ($\phi = 29 \pm 6$ nm with apo BmrA and $\phi = 30 \pm 6$ nm for ATP-Vi BmrA). Moreover, these cryo-electron microscopy images showed that liposomes were unilamellar (Fig. 1B [↗](#)). Finally, we showed that vesicles' size and unilamellarity remained unchanged after being exposed for a long time to different temperatures (between 20°C and 37°C) in the course of our experiments described further (Fig. S2 [↗](#)).

With floatation in sucrose gradient, we measured 90-95 % protein incorporation in both samples (middle and top fractions) without aggregated proteins in the bottom fraction (Fig. S3 [↗](#)). We compared the fluorescence of Alexa 488-BmrA in liposomes, by TIRF microscopy, exposed or not to trypsin that cleaves the NBDs, and we measured that for LV and SV, 80% and 76.15 % of the proteins had their NBDs facing outside the liposomes, respectively, thus accessible upon addition of ATP in the external medium (Fig. 1C [↗](#)). Accordingly, proteoliposomes were similar in lamellarity, amount and orientation of incorporated proteins and differed essentially by their size.

Using a standard enzymatic assay, we measured the ATPase activity A of BmrA in detergent micelles and after reconstitution in proteoliposomes in the presence of 5 mM ATP. Note, we performed our experiments without a substrate since BmrA activity is weakly dependent on its presence ([18](#), [37](#)). The activity was 3.8 μ mol ATP/min/mg of protein in Triton X-100 at 37°C.

Surprisingly, we found out that BmrA activity is reduced by a factor 22 when the temperature decreases from 37°C to 20°C (Fig. 1D [43](#)), in contrast with previous measurements on Pgp ([38](#)) with a factor 4 only on the same temperature range. The activity significantly increased after reconstitution in vesicles, as reported for several ABC transporters ([26](#), [39](#), [40](#)). Note that, in the following, we have corrected the ATPase activities to account for the fraction of proteins not accessible to ATP, as measured with TIRF microscopy (Table S1 [44](#)). However, after reconstitution (PLR=1:13000 molar), the ATPase activity strongly decreased when reducing the liposome size. Indeed, at 37°C, we measured a 2.2-fold lower activity in the highly curved liposomes SV ($A=2.5$ $\mu\text{mol ATP/min/mg}$ of protein), as compared to the larger vesicles LV ($A = 5.6$ $\mu\text{mol ATP/min/mg}$ of protein) (Fig. 1E [45](#)); this corresponds to a turnover $\tau = 365$ ms in SV and $\tau = 165$ ms in LV for the hydrolysis of two ATP molecules per BmrA molecule, respectively ($\tau = 2 \times 60.10^3 / A \times M$, in seconds, with M the homodimer molecular weight). The activity is comparable to previously published data on liposomes containing BmrA (~ 6 μmol of ATP/min/mg) ([37](#)) or the closely-related ABC transporter MsbA (~ 5 μmol of ATP/min/mg) ([39](#)). At 20°C, a similar curvature-dependence of the activity was also measured, with $A = 0.31$ $\mu\text{mol ATP/min/mg}$ of protein for LV and $A = 0.15$ $\mu\text{mol ATP/min/mg}$ of protein for SV, corresponding to turn-overs $\tau = 2,98$ s and $\tau = 6,15$ s, respectively (Fig. 1E [46](#)). Moreover, by measuring the activity at 37°C for ATP concentrations ranging between 0.1 mM to 5 mM, we have confirmed that for both vesicle populations SV and LV, 5mM ATP corresponds to saturating conditions (Fig. S4 [47](#)). Our data show that membrane curvature strongly impacts BmrA activity; however, the protein is able to maintain its cycle in spite of the mechanical stress experienced in the context of the small liposomes. To further decipher the consequences of this strain on the protein conformational changes, we have next investigated the effect of membrane curvature on BmrA structure using single molecule FRET.

Single-molecule FRET assay to monitor the conformational dynamics of BmrA in LV and SV liposomes

For smFRET experiments, BmrA was labeled with sulfo-Cy3/sulfo-Cy5 (SI Appendix; Fig. S5 [48](#)) on the two native cysteines C436 located at the basis of the NBDs, close to the TMDs, for which the distance change during the protein cycle, thus the FRET change, are expected to be the largest (Fig. 1A [49](#), Fig. S1A [50](#) and S1B). Due to the homodimeric nature of BmrA, 25% of the proteins are doubly labeled (Materials & Methods). The activity of the labeled proteins was conserved at 80% as compared to the non-labeled. Proteoliposomes (containing 0.5% of biotin-PEG-DSPE) were immobilized onto custom-made flow cells, extensively cleaned and pre-treated with silane-PEG-biotin and neutravidin (protocol adapted from ([41](#))). The specificity of the tethering strategy, the integrity preservation of the tethered liposomes and the amount of protein per liposomes (to ensure single molecule regime) were extensively controlled (SI Appendix; Fig. S6 [51](#)).

Our FRET experiments were performed using TIRF microscopy with alternating laser excitation (ALEX) at 532 nm (Donor = sCy3) and 638 nm (Acceptor = sCy5) ([42](#)), with an acquisition rate of 1/200 ms^{-1} . We measured the intensities I_D , I_F and I_A of single molecules over time in the Donor, FRET and Acceptor channels, respectively, and the apparent uncorrected FRET efficiency $E_{app} = \frac{I_F}{(I_F + I_D)}$ until either the donor or the acceptor bleaches. The sensitivity of our system was checked with a well-established “DNA ruler” assay ([43](#), [44](#)) (SI Appendix; Fig. S7 [52](#); Table S2 [53](#)). We noted that with the same oxygen scavenger system (Materials and Methods) the dyes’ average fluorescence lifetime was much shorter when linked to BmrA than to DNA, probably due to an enhanced photostabilization of the cyanines dyes upon interaction with the DNA bases ([45](#)).

BmrA exhibits two conformations upon addition of ATP analogs

It is largely reported that type IV ABC exporters switch between two extreme states during the transport cycle: i) a nucleotide-free apo-form where the NBDs are separated by a distance that depends on the ABC and ii) a closed form with dimerized NBDs upon nucleotide binding (e.g. ATP) ([14](#)). The lifetime of the closed conformation can be significantly increased by using ATP analogs in the presence of Mg^{2+} . Two commonly used ones are AMP-PNP and ortho-vanadate (Vi) ([46](#)) (described on Fig. 1A [54](#)). AMP-PNP is a non-hydrolysable form of ATP, which binds to the NBDs

and leads to their dimerization with the protein in a pre-hydrolytic conformation. This binding is reversible, but since it does not involve ATP hydrolysis, the NBDs remain dimerized for a longer time than with ATP. In contrast, in the presence of ATP, Vi is expected to form covalent bonds with the ADP phosphate group bound to the NBD, preventing it from being released and therefore maintaining the protein in a closed post-hydrolytic form and inhibiting its ATPase activity. We first confirmed the effect of both compounds on BmrA in vesicles: BmrA activity in the presence of 5mM ATP is strongly reduced by 80 % upon addition of 2 mM ATP-Vi (well above $K_m=0.05$ mM (37)), and by only 25% while adding 5 mM AMP-PNP (Fig. S8A). The inhibition by the ATP-Vi is conserved between 20°C and 37°C (Fig. S8B).

We have then used our single-molecule assay to measure the FRET efficiency and thus the conformations of single BmrA molecules in the presence of ATP-Vi or AMP-PNP in the SV and LV liposomes, at 20°C. In both cases, the analogs were added *prior to reconstitution* at a concentration of 5 mM to the mix of protein/lipid in detergent. In order to reduce dissociation due to nucleotide depletion, the analogs were kept at 5mM in the observation buffer.

In the presence of Vi, we expected to observe mainly a high FRET conformation, corresponding to the closed state of the dimer. Surprisingly, the FRET efficiency histograms exhibited a two-peak distribution in both LV and SV populations (Fig. 2A; 2D histograms with apparent efficiency E_{app} and stoichiometry S_{app} are available on Fig. S9; Table 1). This demonstrates the co-existence of two states: i) a high FRET peak at $\langle E_{app} \rangle = 0.45$, corresponding to the closed state with an interdyer distance of about 4 nm from known structures (25) (Fig. S1); ii) a low FRET $\langle E_{app} \rangle = 0.10$, corresponding to an open conformation where the NBDs are separated about 7 nm according to the recent structure (22). Note that, as we suspected, we cannot distinguish between a wide- and a narrow-open conformation, due to the smFRET resolution in this distance range. We thus call this low FRET population “open” (Fig. 1A). From the two-Gaussian fit, we extracted the respective weight of the low and high-FRET populations; we found that they have the same weight for both LV and SV, around 50% (Table 2). Importantly, no transition was detected between the open and closed state on individual time traces (Fig. 2C-D). This result is also enforced by measuring the time average FRET efficiency per protein with the same low and high-FRET populations (Fig. S10). Altogether, this shows that half of the proteins are open, and half are closed during the time of our experiments, independent of the size of the liposomes. Considering the high activity of our BmrA preparation (about 3 times higher than in (47)), this is probably not due to a dead fraction. Moreover, since the open fraction is the same for LV and SV, the effect of membrane mechanics can also be discarded. Note that, although surprising, such a co-existence of open and closed conformation in ATP-Vi has previously been reported, in nanodiscs and detergent, for BmrA in ensemble experiments (48), for its bacterial homolog MsbA (19), human homolog Pgp (32), and for the heterodimer BmrCD (28).

For proteins reconstituted in the presence of 5 mM AMP-PNP, we also observed a bimodal distribution with peaks at similar positions, showing the co-existence of the same open and closed states, with no switch between these conformations (Fig. 2B; Table 1; Fig. S9 and S10). However, the relative fractions of these states are different from the ATP-Vi condition. First, the fraction in the closed state P_{cl} is lower in AMP-PNP (35% in LV and 29.5% in SV) (Table 2) than in presence of ATP-Vi (about 50%), in line with our activity measurements in detergent showing a stronger inhibition by ATP-Vi (Fig. S8). Similar differences between these analogs were reported on human ABC Pgp (32, 49) or ABCB7 (50). Second, in contrast with ATP-Vi, the fraction in a closed state is reduced in SV as compared to LV. Altogether, this suggests that, in the presence of AMP-PNP where closed and open forms are in equilibrium, the NBDs dimerization rate depends on membrane curvature, which is not the case when NBDs irreversibly dimerize in complex with ATP-Vi.

Table 1. Two-gaussian fit parameters of BmrA smFRET histograms for the 2 vesicle populations (LV and SV), at 20 °C (RT) et 33 °C (HT).

Amplitude a , mean $\langle E_{app} \rangle$, and width parameter w for the Low-FRET (LF) and High-FRET (HF) peaks

RT		a_{LF}	$\langle E_{app} \rangle_{LF}$	w_{LF}	a_{HF}	$\langle E_{app} \rangle_{HF}$	w_{HF}
LV	ATP Vi	0.052	0.117	0.071	0.026	0.445	0.125
	AMPPNP	0.053	0.149	0.085	0.018	0.458	0.129
	Apo	0.077	0.119	0.087	0.005	0.40	0.139
	ATP	0.063	0.131	0.083	0.011	0.41	0.158
SV	ATP Vi	0.05	0.109	0.071	0.039	0.445	0.088
	AMPPNP	0.054	0.15	0.094	0.014	0.4	0.141
	Apo	0.068	0.145	0.089	0.004	0.451	0.316
	ATP	0.069	0.121	0.081	0.007	0.401	0.214
HT		a_{LF}	$\langle E_{app} \rangle_{LF}$	w_{LF}	a_{HF}	$\langle E_{app} \rangle_{HF}$	w_{HF}
LV	ATP Vi						
	AMPPNP	0.045	0.095	0.07	0.023	0.400	0.154
	Apo	0.104	0.116	0.06	0.003	0.400	0.141
	ATP	0.051	0.096	0.065	0.023	0.402	0.143
SV	ATP Vi						
	AMPPNP	0.063	0.092	0.06	0.016	0.400	0.18
	Apo	0.092	0.110	0.06	0.007	0.403	0.158
	ATP	0.068	0.079	0.06	0.016	0.400	0.16

Table 2. Fractions of proteins in closed (P_{cl}) states for the two vesicle populations LV and SV, at 20 °C (RT) et 33 °C (HT).

P_{cl} corresponds to the non-corrected High-FRET fraction calculated from the parameters of Table 1, P_{cl}^* to the fraction corrected of the High-FRET fraction in the corresponding apo state $P_{cl}(apo)$.

RT	Condition	P_{cl} (%)	P_{cl}^* (%)	HT	Condition	P_{cl} (%)	P_{cl}^* (%)
LV	ATP Vi	48.4 ± 0.6	38.6 ± 4.6	LV	ATP Vi		
	AMPPNP	35.0 ± 3.9	25.2 ± 6.0		AMPPNP	55.4 ± 1.0	49.1 ± 2.3
	Apo	9.8 ± 4.6	0		Apo	6.3 ± 2.1	0
	ATP	26.3 ± 1.7	16.5 ± 4.9		ATP	52.5 ± 1.5	46.2 ± 2.6
SV	ATP Vi	51.2 ± 0.4	35.9 ± 4.1	SV	ATP Vi		
	AMPPNP	29.5 ± 3.1	14.2 ± 5.1		AMPPNP	45.3 ± 1.9	28.1 ± 4.6
	Apo	15.3 ± 4.1	0		Apo	17.2 ± 4.2	0
	ATP	22.2 ± 3.7	6.9 ± 5.5		ATP	41.7 ± 2.2	24.5 ± 4.7




Figure 2. smFRET shows that BmrA closed conformation depends on membrane curvature in the presence of non-hydrolysable ATP.

Experiments performed at 20°C (RT) (A,B) Cumulated histograms of apparent FRET efficiency E_{app} upon addition of (A) ATP-Vi 5 mM in LV (top) and SV (bottom) (B) 5 mM AMP-PNP in LV (top) and SV (bottom). The thick line corresponds to the two-gaussian fit. N_{all} : number of time points in histograms, N_{prot} : corresponding number of proteins. (A top) $N_{all} = 18559$ and $N_{prot} = 525$. (A bottom) $N_{all} = 7552$ and $N_{prot} = 325$. (B top) $N_{all} = 5109$ and $N_{prot} = 157$. (B bottom) $N_{all} = 5094$ and $N_{prot} = 141$. For each condition, data come from two independent experiments. Error bars are calculated using bootstrapping. (C,D) Typical time traces of proteins in LV: (C) with ATP-Vi 5 mM in closed state and (D) in open state. Top panel: donor (green) and FRET (red) intensities over time. Plain and dashed line represent a fit of the FRET switches. Bottom panel: intensity of acceptor (A) only (black).

The fraction of BmrA in closed conformation decreases with membrane curvature

We then investigated the conformational changes of BmrA during its ATPase cycle. Considering the strong dependence of the activity with temperature, we performed the smFRET on SV and LV at 20°C (RT) and at 33°C (HT) (the highest possible temperature for these experiments). We first assessed the apo-BmrA conformation (no ATP) in LV and SV (Figs. 3A-B, top histograms; Fig. S9; Table 2). As expected, we observe a peak at low FRET for both vesicle sizes. Although these distributions would be fitted with a single Gaussian (Fig. S11), a better fit was obtained (notably for SV) with a double Gaussian while fixing the position of a second peak at 0.45, as measured above with the ATP analogs. In this case, we found that a large majority of proteins (90.2% and 93.7% for the LV at RT and HT, 84.7% and 82.8% for the SV at RT and HT, respectively (Table 2)) are in the open conformation. At both RT and HT, we thus observed a slightly more pronounced high FRET tail for the SV than for the LV, which might correspond to a higher fraction of the inside-facing proteins in SV (Fig. 1C), also more constrained in a closed conformation by the liposome geometry. The low FRET peak is similar to the state observed under addition of ATP analogs (Table 1). This demonstrates that apo-BmrA exhibits an open conformation in vesicles, independent of membrane curvature.

We finally studied the effect of ATP on the conformational distribution. Interestingly, upon addition of 5mM Mg-ATP, we observed differences between the two vesicle populations, at both temperatures (Figs. 3A-B, middle and bottom histograms; Fig. S12). As above, we analyzed the FRET distributions with a double Gaussian and by setting the high-FRET peak at 0.45 (Table 1). The position of the peak corresponding to the open form remains essentially unchanged. However, as compared to the apo condition, we detected a second high-FRET population corresponding to the closed form, with a fraction P_{cl} that depends on the SUV size and on the temperature. Addition of ATP resulted in an increase of closed protein population of 46.3% at HT and 16.5% at RT, as compared to the apo form for the LV (Fig. 3A, middle-bottom histograms; Table 2). But the variation of the fraction of closed proteins in SV was only 24.5% at HT and 6.9% at RT (Fig. 3B, middle-bottom histograms; Table 2), significantly lower than for the LV. Consistent with our activity measurements, higher at HT than at RT, the relative fraction of closed BmrA is higher at HT, for both SV and LV (Figs. 3A-B, bottom histogram; Table 2). Similarly, with the AMP-PNP analog, we also observed an increase of the relative probability for the protein to be in closed conformation when experiments are performed at HT (Fig. 3C). In conclusion, we show here that, both at RT and HT, high curvature reduces the probability of the protein to be in a closed state in the presence of ATP or of AMP-PNP (Fig. 3D).

Despite the oxygen scavenger present in our buffer, the FRET traces lasted 7 seconds on average before bleaching at 20°C (Fig. S13A and S13B) and only about 1.5 seconds at 33°C due to an increased phototoxicity with temperature (Fig. S14). Though a rare observation, we detected a switch between both conformations on some traces at 20°C (Fig. S13C and S13D), where ATP-induced conformational dynamics is visible). This is consistent with the very slow turn-over measured with our ATP-ase activity assay (3 s for LV and 6.2 for SV). Such switches were not observed at 33°C. The analysis of the traces (Fig. S13A and S13B) and the time-averaged FRET histograms (Fig. S15) at 20°C show that in the presence of ATP, BmrA proteins are either open or closed for a few seconds. By measuring the average lifetime of the proteins in the high-FRET state in LV (with $E_{avg} > 0.3$, $n = 38$ proteins / 226), we can conclude that in these conditions and at 20°C, the lifetime of the closed state is longer than 7 seconds of the same order as the average turnover measured in cuvette. Similarly, at room temperature, lifetime of a few seconds was found for the closed state of McjD (30) and more recently of the human ABC CFTR (34).




Figure 3. Effect of curvature on conformation of BmrA.

(A,B) Cumulated histogram of E_{app} for BmrA in apo conformation in LV (top A) and SV (top B) at RT (20°C), with 5 mM Mg-ATP in LV (middle A) and SV (middle B) at RT and with 5 mM Mg-ATP in LV (bottom A) and SV (bottom B) at HT (33°C). The thick lines show a two-Gaussian fit with the high-FRET peak set to $\langle E_{app} \rangle_{HF}$ in ATP-Vi. Top A: $N_{all} = 10451$, $N_{prot} = 310$. Middle A: $N_{all} = 7743$, $N_{prot} = 226$. Bottom A: $N_{all} = 2991$, $N_{prot} = 1050$. Top B: $N_{all} = 2797$, $N_{prot} = 115$. Middle B: $N_{all} = 3959$, $N_{prot} = 130$. Bottom B: $N_{all} = 3235$, $N_{prot} = 1093$. Data come from two independent experiments and three for SV apo. Error bars are calculated using bootstrapping. (C) Cumulated histogram of E_{app} for BmrA in LV (top) and SV (bottom) with 5 mM AMPPNP at HT. (top) $N_{all} = 2759$, $N_{prot} = 823$. (bottom) $N_{all} = 2935$, $N_{prot} = 980$. (D) Probability for proteins to be in closed state P_{cl}^* as a function of nucleotide, temperature and liposome size. $P_{cl}^* = P_{cl} - P_{cl}(apo)$, with $P_{cl}(apo)$ the high FRET fraction detected in the corresponding apo state. Error bars from bootstrapping errors on P_{cl} (Table 2).

Modeling the effect of membrane curvature on the open to close transition probability

We have developed a model to quantify the influence of membrane mechanics on the cycle of conformational change of BmrA. We assume that the change of protein conformation can be described by a single reaction coordinate, the V shape angle θ (Fig. 4A). In the absence of nucleotide, the protein energy landscape $E_p(\theta)$ is assumed to have a minimum in the open conformation θ_{op} , which we model for simplicity as a quadratic energy with a stiffness A : $E_p(\theta) = A(\theta - \theta_{op})^2$ (Fig. 4B). Thermal fluctuations can bring the protein to its closed conformation θ_{cl} , and if nucleotides are bound to the two NBDs, the landscape gains a deep minimum at θ_{cl} characterized by a binding energy ε when the NBDs bind to one another. We may consider two types of nucleotides, ATP or the non-hydrolysable AMP-PNP. With ATP, the binding energy is assumed to be so large that the protein remains in the closed conformation until ATP is hydrolyzed, which occurs at a prescribed rate k_{ATP} . With AMP-PNP, the system is considered to be at thermodynamic equilibrium, and the closed-open transition occurs when thermal fluctuations bring the protein over the energy barrier ε . Membrane mechanics modify the transition rates through the energy of membrane deformation $E_m(\theta)$ associated to the protein shape changes. This term depends on the membrane's bending rigidity and on the geometry of the liposome in which the protein is embedded (Fig. S16). Membrane shape optimization can be performed analytically for small deviations from a spherical shape (details in SI Appendix). In this case, $E_m(\theta) = 2\pi\kappa\left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^2(\theta - \theta_R)^2$, where κ is the membrane's bending rigidity, a and R are the protein and SUV radii, and the optimal opening angle is $\theta_R = \sin^{-1}(a/R) \approx a/R$ if the SUV is in the optimal state and have a spontaneous curvature $2/R$. In the thermal equilibrium case, the probability ratio of open P_{op} and closed conformation P_{cl} follows a Boltzmann statistic. In the non-equilibrium case (when ATP is hydrolyzed), this ratio has a steady-state value given by the balance between the thermal flux (from the open to the closed conformation) and the active reversed flux. Writing $\Delta E_i = E_i(\theta_{cl}) - E_i(\theta_{op})$, we find:

$$\text{Equilibrium: } \frac{P_{op}}{P_{cl}} = e^{(\Delta E_p + \Delta E_m(R) - \varepsilon)/kT} \quad | \quad \text{Non-equilibrium: } \frac{P_{op}}{P_{cl}} = \frac{k_{ATP}}{k_0} e^{(\Delta E_p + \Delta E_m(R))/kT} \quad (1)$$

where kT is the thermal energy and k_0 is a typical rate of thermal fluctuation of the protein conformation. In the non-equilibrium case, one can also derive the rate of ATP hydrolysis per protein $1/\tau$ as:

$$\frac{1}{k_0\tau} = \frac{k_{ATP}/k_0}{1 + (k_{ATP}/k_0)e^{(\Delta E_p + \Delta E_m(R))/kT}} \quad (2)$$

Through the energy difference

$$\Delta E_m(R) = E_m(\theta_{cl}) - E_m(\theta_{op}) = 2\pi\kappa\left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^2(\theta_{op} - \theta_{cl})\left(2\frac{a}{R} - \theta_{cl} - \theta_{op}\right), \quad (3)$$

Eqs. (1, 2) provide the size-dependence of the open and closed populations, as well as the rate of ATP hydrolysis. As the open conformation is facing outward, we expect $\theta_{op} > 0$ and $\theta_{cl} < 0$ and $\Delta E_m > 0$ for liposomes with large curvature (Fig. S1C). Therefore, we expect the closed conformation to be disfavored, and the rate of ATP hydrolysis to be lower in SV than in LV. Note that a proper description of the experimental observation must account for the distribution of vesicle size (SI Appendix; Fig. S17), which we found not to affect significantly our conclusions.

One important prediction of the model is that the open-to-close probability ratio for the two distributions of vesicle size are related by a Boltzmann factor that only involves the membrane deformation energy ($e^{(\Delta E_m(V20) - \Delta E_m(V125))/kT}$) both in the equilibrium (AMP-PNP) and non-equilibrium (ATP) case. This prediction agrees with our observations (Fig. 4C, top; Fig. S17A), with $\Delta E_m(SV) - \Delta E_m(LV) \approx (0.84 \pm 0.2)kT$. Based on BmrA and homologs' structures, we used a protein diameter $2a \approx 4nm$ (Fig. S1D). Since the conicity of the closed conformation is independent of the protein membrane environment (19, 32), θ_{cl} was set to $-\pi/10$ measured from closed BmrA structure in detergent (Fig. S1C). Conversely, it was reported that the opening of




Figure 4. Theoretical 2-states model describing the effect of membrane mechanics on protein shape transition.

(A) Definition of geometrical parameters $2a$, θ_{op} and θ_{cl} used in the theoretical model. **(B)** Two-state model scheme: energy landscape for BmrA alone favors the open state. With nucleotides, NBDs dimerize, and adding energy (green) favors the closed state. Membrane energy adds a contribution that further disfavors the closed state, more so for SV (blue) than LV (orange). Open-to-closed transition is thermal, while closed-to-open is either thermal (AMP-PNP) or ATP hydrolysis-triggered. **(C)** Model results: probability of being in open conformation (top, with ATP or AMP-PNP) and activity (bottom, ATP turnover rate), as a function of SUV curvature, at RT and at HT. Experimental data (dots) from P_{cl}^* using smFRET (top) and from ATPase assay (bottom). Both data fitted with a single parameter $K \approx (27.5 \pm 6.5)kT$. Error bars: noise at high FRET value in Apo (top, Table 2) and standard deviation from measurements (bottom).

the apo form of homologs MsbA and Pgp changes between detergent and nanodisc environments (19, 32). Therefore, we used $\theta_{op} \approx \pi/10$, corresponding to MsbA, structure in membrane (nanodisc), in good agreement with the angle measured for BmrA in vesicles at high protein density but low resolution (23) (Fig.S1A and S1C). We have fitted all our data at both temperatures with these quantities (Fig. 4C, top), using the closed fractions P_{cl}^* from Table 2. The membrane bending rigidity is the only fitting parameter and is found to be $\kappa \approx (27.5 \pm 6.5)kT$ which is in line with expected values (51). Note that $\Delta E_m/kT$ is very small for large SUVs ($\approx 6 \cdot 10^{-3}$) so that proteins are not sensitive to membrane elasticity, while the open-to-closed transition should be moderately impaired in the smaller SUVs ($\Delta E_m \approx 0.84kT$), as observed.

The predicted value of the bending rigidity κ strongly depends on the chosen values of θ_{op} and θ_{cl} . The fit gives access to the value of the energy difference ΔE_m (Eq. 3), which increases with θ_{op} if θ_{op} is larger than the optimal opening angle fixed by the vesicle size θ_R , as is the case for the full range of liposome size we explored. Therefore, the predicted value of the bending rigidity decreases if we use a larger value of θ_{op} . Using the open angle $\theta_{op} \approx \pi/7$, deduced from the BmrA structure in detergent (Fig. S1C), and under the assumption of symmetric states ($\theta_{cl} = -\theta_{op}$) for which $\Delta E_m(R) = 8\pi\kappa \left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^3 \theta_{op}$, we find a membrane bending rigidity $\kappa \approx (19 \pm 4)kT$, when fitting to the population and activity curves.

Knowing the membrane parameters from the previous fit, we can determine the value of the relevant combination of protein parameters in the active case for the chosen ATP concentration: $(k_{ATP}/k_0) \cdot e^{\Delta E_p/kT} \approx 5$. This lets us predict, without any adjustable parameter, how the protein activity (the rate of ATP hydrolysis) depends on the liposome size (Fig. 4C, bottom; Fig. S17B), at RT and at HT. In the case of our experiments, we predict that it is reduced by about 50% for the small SUVs as compared to the larger ones, at RT and HT (Fig. 4C, bottom), a value in very good agreement with the experimental values obtained from ensemble measurements (Fig. 1E).

Discussion

In general, mechanosensitive membrane proteins have been identified through the cell response after mechanical stresses and then, the corresponding molecular mechanism linked to their function studied at the structural level. Previous studies on MsbA (29) and on ABC importers OpuA (52) and ButCD (53) have highlighted the effect of the local environment for ABC transporters, showing at the single-molecule level different degrees of opening of the apo conformation when incorporated in detergent or in lipid nanodiscs. Our smFRET study reveals that membrane curvature also impacts the conformation changes of an ABC transporter, as well as its activity. Our experiments, in which we control the curvature of liposomes, demonstrate that the activity of BmrA decreases when increasing membrane curvature, as well as the fraction of proteins in a closed conformation. We have also observed that BmrA activity decreases strongly between 37°C - close to the optimal growth temperature of *B. subtilis* - and 20°C, much more than expected based on Arrhenius law. The effect of curvature, both on the ATPase activity and on the conformation changes, at two different temperatures, can be recapitulated with a single theoretical model based on the idea that the protein conformation changes are associated to membrane deformation and are therefore sensitive to membrane mechanics.

Regarding the open conformation, a wide range of openings have been reported among ABC exporters, with NBDs in close contact up to NBD separated by about 6-7 nm (14, 16, 27, 54). Our smFRET data in vesicles are consistent with the recent 3D model of BmrA in detergent (22) with dissociated NBDs in the apo form or MsbA in *E. coli* bacteria (20). However, we have not detected any difference for the low FRET between LV and SV, in contrast with the transition rate between both conformations. Former studies on bacterial MsbA and human Pgp stressed that this relative opening is wider in detergent than in lipid nanodisc (19, 32), suggesting that the protein environment influences the structure of these transporters.

Although the differences between SV and LV are significant, a more precise test of our model would require data points between 60 nm down to 20 nm where the effect of curvature is expected to be observed for BmrA; experiments where the single molecule FRET traces would be

measured simultaneously with the corresponding liposome diameter deduced from membrane fluorescence (55) would be optimal, though challenging in single molecule experiments due to fluorescence leaking.

As in our in vitro experiments with BmrA NBDs pointing outward, the curvature of the liposome membrane fits the open conformation better than the closed one, membrane energy then favors the open state. In cell, the orientation of BmrA is inward facing with the NBDs toward the cytoplasm, so that the effect of membrane energy should favor the transition from open to closed conformation; thus, we could expect an increase of the protein's activity during the formation of extracellular vesicles from the plasma membrane or the formation of vesicles in the lumen of compartments (ILVs), but a reduction in intracellular vesicles that have an opposite topology.

Our model predicts that such an effect of membrane curvature can be expected for all type-IV ABC exporters, provided that the apo form is sufficiently open, since they have similar size and well-conserved closed conformations. From the model, we infer that ABCs with BmrA characteristics are mechanosensitive only at large membrane curvature, higher than 0.08 nm^{-1} , i.e. for vesicles smaller than 50 nm diameter and for tubules, 25 nm diameter. In *Bacillus subtilis*, such high curvature is reported upon the formation of extracellular vesicles (56), where the activity of the transporter could be enhanced due to the physiological orientation of the protein and the geometry of the vesicles. The human Pgp being a close homolog to BmrA (Fig. S1), we expect that membrane curvature does not impair its function in usual transport vesicles inside cells (typically 50 to 60 nm diameter), endosomal compartments or the Golgi (57), where it has been reported to be present in addition to the plasma membrane. Thus, although potentially mechanosensitive, the structural design of this transporter might ensure its proper functionality under physiological conditions. Nevertheless, significant effects should be detected at weaker curvature for proteins with a larger membrane imprint (a) and/or with higher conicity (θ_{op} and θ_{cl}) (Fig. S18). Membrane bending rigidity (κ) can also modulate the conformational switch (Eqs. (1)–(3)). Consequently, changing membrane stiffness by adjusting lipid composition (e.g. via cholesterol or sphingomyelin concentration) is expected to tune the sensitivity of the activity to membrane curvature; however, lipid composition by itself can also affect protein activity (58).

Altogether our results demonstrate that membrane curvature plays a role in the transport cycle kinetics of ABC transporters, which should depend on protein lateral size, conicity and membrane stiffness. This invites us to re-think the definition of *mechanosensitivity* to also include other proteins whose activity involves large shape changes within the membrane. BmrA's sensitivity to membrane bending suggests a potential response to membrane tension. However, curvature and membrane tension could have different consequences. While the effect of membrane tension on mechanosensitive proteins has been largely documented, it would be worth to investigate the effect of tension on the function of this new class of mechanosensors.

Materials and Methods

Reagents

Egg Phosphatidylcholine (EPC), brain Phosphatidylserine (bPS), 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[biotinyl(polyethylene glycol)-2000] (DSPE-PEG(2000)-Biotin) and 1,2-dihexadecanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine, triethylammonium salt (Texas Red® DHPE) were purchased in CHCl₃ stock solution from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA); BODIPY®-FL-C5-HPC from Invitrogen; N-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside (DDM) and Anapoe® X-100 (Triton® X-100) from Anatrace (Maumee, OH, USA). 50 mesh BioBeads® were purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA) and prepared according to (59). Lacey carbon electron microscopy grids were from Ted Pella (Redding, CA, USA). Nucleotides, ATP, AMP-PNP, ATPase inhibitor ortho-vanadate, pyranine, neutravidin, biotin-BSA (from bovine milk > 98%), D-glucose, glucose oxidase, Trolox and all other chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany); SulfoCy3 and SulfoCy5 maleimide probes from Lumiprobe GmbH (Germany); Coverslips (thickness # 1) from Menzel-Gläser (Germany); Silane-PEG2000 and silane-PEG3400-biotin (in powder) from LaysanBio

(Alabama, USA) and further dissolved in DMSO; double stranded DNA for FRET calibration from Eurofins Genomics (Ebersberg, Germany); Catalase from bovine liver from MP Biomedicals (California, USA).

BmrA expression, purification and labeling

His-C-ter-BmrA was expressed in *E. coli* and purified in 0.05 % DDM as previously reported(23). The elution buffer from Ni-NTA agarose beads contained 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole (pH 8.0), 10% glycerol and 0.05% DDM. Proteins were eluted at 0.3-1.5 mg/mL (Bradford assay) without further concentration. Purified proteins were aliquoted in 50 μ L volume, stored at -80°C , and thawed only once before use.

For smFRET experiments, purified BmrA from the elution step was fluorescently labeled with maleimide dyes on C436, the sole Cys residue of each monomer (two per homodimer). BmrA in detergent was incubated overnight at 4°C (pH 7) with sulfo-Cy3 and sulfo-Cy5 maleimide dyes (selected as the most suitable, see SI Appendix), at a molar ratio of sCy3/sCy5/protein=10/10/1 (Fig. S5). Unbound dyes were removed by rebinding BmrA at pH 8 on Ni-NTA agarose beads in batch, followed by washing with 5 volumes of a dye-free buffer and elution with 250 mM imidazole. Labeled BmrA was analyzed on SDS-PAGE gel by fluorescence and Coomassie blue. Labeling efficiency was determined from the concentration of the dyes, measured by absorbance of sCy3 (molar extinction coefficient $\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 162\,000\text{ cm}^{-1}\cdot\text{M}^{-1}$), sCy5 ($\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 281\,000\text{ cm}^{-1}\cdot\text{M}^{-1}$), and from the protein concentration (Bradford assay). Labeling yields were 32% for sCy3 and 39% for sCy5. BmrA being a homodimer, we expect that statistically, the labeling probabilities are: unlabeled 8%; single-labeled sCy3 19%; doubly-labeled sCy3/sCy3 10%; single-labeled sCy5 23%; doubly-labeled sCy5/sCy5 15%; doubly-labeled sCy3/sCy5 25%. ATPase activity was measured as described below, and sCy3/sCy5 labeled BmrA retained 80% of the unlabeled protein.

Reconstitution of BmrA in proteoliposomes

Proteoliposomes containing BmrA were formed by detergent removal using Bio-Beads® from a fully solubilized lipid/protein/detergent mix, as described previously (37) and according to the principle reviewed in (60, 61). Pure lipid liposomes composed of EPC:bPS (90:10 w:w) and 0.5% of biotin-PEG2000-DSPE were prepared by drying a lipid film, resuspending at 10 mg/mL in water, and sonicating with a tip sonicator for 1 min on ice. The suspension was aliquoted (50 μ L) and stored at -20°C .

For reconstitution, one aliquot was diluted to 2 mg/mL in R buffer (50 mM MOPS, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl_2 , pH 7.5), and solubilized with Anapoe® X-100 for 15 min at a detergent/lipid ratio of 2.5 (w:w). Note that BmrA is stable and functionally active both in Anapoe® X-100 and in DDM. After lipid solubilization, for smFRE, BmrA was added at protein-to-lipid ratios (PLR) of 1:650000 for LV and 1:325000 for SV (SI Appendix). For AMP-PNP and ATP-Vi experiments, inhibition was induced by adding either 2 mM Vi + 5 mM ATP, or of 5 mM AMP-PNP, both in the presence of 5 mM MgCl_2 . After 15 minutes, detergent was removed by adding BioBeads® under gentle stirring, at 20°C (LV) and 4°C (SV). BioBeads® were added in three successive rounds: i) for LV (20°C), 10 w:w (2h), 10 w:w (1h) and 20 w:w (1h); ii) for SV(4°C), 30 w:w (2h), 30 w/w (1 h), 60 w/w (1 h), the higher amounts ensuring comparable detergent removal. Proteoliposome suspensions were collected and stored at 4°C for up to 24 h. During this period ATPase activity remained stable, and vesicle morphology was preserved as confirmed by cryo-EM.

Protein incorporation and orientation in proteoliposomes

Protein incorporation was measured after separation of proteoliposomes, aggregated proteins and protein-free liposomes by sedimentation in a sucrose gradient according to a protocol modified for proteoliposomes(62). BmrA was reconstituted at PLR=1:9750 in EPC-PS 9/1 mol/mol and 2 mg/mL lipid concentration at 20°C or 4°C . Floation assay was performed with polycarbonate centrifugation 11x34 mm tubes (Beckman Coulter, USA) and sucrose solutions prepared in HK buffer (50 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl). An aliquot of 150 μ L of proteoliposomes was gently mixed with 100 μ L of 60 % sucrose solution. Then, 200 μ L of a second layer of 24% sucrose was

added followed by 100 μ L of HK buffer. Tubes were ultracentrifuged 90 minutes in a TLS-55 Swing bucket rotor (Beckman) at 55,000 rpm at 4°C with a slow acceleration and deceleration steps (5/5). Fractions were gently pipetted off with a syringe and analyzed by 4-12% NuPage gel after staining with Commassie Blue. Protein intensities and incorporation yield were measured with the Amersham Imager 680 and the analysis Software, version 2.0.0.

Protein orientation in the membrane was determined from single molecule fluorescence measurements. Alexa-488 labeled BmrA was reconstituted in large and small proteoliposomes at PLRs of 1:81200 and 1:326000 for SV, and 1:81200 and 1:650000 for LV. For immobilization, samples were prepared as described below. The fraction of proteins with NBDs pointing outward was measured by comparing the number of immobilized fluorescent molecules in standard samples versus samples incubated with Trypsin (0.1 mg/mL) for 30 minutes. Molecule detection was performed using the MATLAB code iSMS(63, 64), described below.

ATPase activity

ATP hydrolysis measurement was carried out using a coupled enzyme assay of pyruvate kinase, and lactate dehydrogenase by absorbance changes of NADH/NAD⁺ ratio at 340 nm(37). All measurements were made in triplicates. ATPase activity was measured in 50 mM MOPS pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM of MgCl₂ with 1X enzyme cocktail and 0.5-1 μ g of protein at 37°C in 150 μ L total volume. Absorbance of NADH was measured by spectrometer (Cary Win 60 UV – Vis, Agilent Technologies) at 340 nm every 15 s with quartz cuvette (N.105-254-15-40, Hellma Analytics, 3 x 3 mm). Proteoliposomes were incubated for 4 min at desired temperature. ATP was added at 1.5 or 5 mM final concentration and absorbance was recorded for 4 min (first minute of reaction was ignored for ATPase activity calculation). Since the K_D of ATP for BmrA is 1 mM, this ensured that BmrA hydrolyzed ATP at maximum rate in all conditions(37). Rate of inhibition was measured after addition of 2 mM of orthovanadate or 5 mM of AMP-PNP. ATPase activity was calculated by quantity of NADH oxidized equivalent to quantity of ATP hydrolyzed, per min and per mg of protein. ATP hydrolysis of BmrA in micelles of detergent was measured with Anapoe® X-100 0.05% in the cuvette. The amount of BmrA per assay was 1 μ g for proteoliposomes and 2 μ g for BrmA in detergent.

The activity at 33°C was inferred from the measurements of activity in both detergent and vesicles. First the activity in 0.05% detergent was interpolated from the measurements made at 30°C and 35°C (Fig. 1D). Then, using an average proportionality factor between LV activity and detergent activity of 1.65 (1.8 at 20°C and 1.5 at 37°C), we estimated the activity in LV at 33°C (2.48 μ mol ATP/min/mg, corresponding to a turnover τ = 329 ms). Finally, using again the average proportionality factor between SV and LV activity at both 20°C (2.1) and 37°C (2.5), we calculated the activity in SV at 33°C (1.1 μ mol ATP/min/mg, corresponding to a turnover τ = 764 ms).

Cryo-electron microscopy and size measurement of proteoliposomes

Holey formvar lacey grids (Ted Pella, USA) were glow discharged for 30 s under vacuum. Then, 4 μ L of 1 mg/mL of proteoliposomes were deposited during 30 s on the grid before flash frozen in liquid ethane with an EM-GP plunger (Leica Microsystems, Germany). Images were taken under low dose condition on a Glacios electron microscope (ThermoFisher, USA) operating at 200 KV and recorded with a Falcon IVi camera. Diameter of proteoliposomes were measured manually from cryo-EM images from 594, 262 and 249 vesicles reconstituted at 20°C, 4°C with Apo BmrA and 4°C with ATP-Vi BmrA.

Proteoliposomes immobilization for smFRET experiments

A small channel of 10 μ L was custom-built between two coverslips (Menzel-Gläser, thickness # 1): bottom coverslip is 25 mm diameter, and top squared-shaped coverslip is cut to 18×10 mm². The coverslips were cleaned by sonication with the following sequence: chloroform (20 min, then dried under the hood for 5 min), water (5 min), 1M KOH (20 min), three rinsed with water, and a

final wash in water (15 min). They were dried under a gentle nitrogen stream and exposed to air plasma for 2 min. The observation chamber was assembled using a double-sided tape mask. To specifically tether proteoliposomes, the chamber was incubated with a silane-PEG solution containing 1% of silane-PEG-biotin (5 mM, 1hr); rinsed with 100 μ L of R buffer; neutravidin (40 μ L at 20 μ g/mL, 5-10min); rinsed with 100 μ L R buffer; β -casein (40 μ L at 1 mg/mL, 10 min); rinsed, and finally, proteoliposomes (40 μ L, $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ mg/mL and $5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ mg/mL lipids for LV and SV, respectively, 5–10 min) before a last rinse with 100 μ L R buffer and imaging.

Microscopy and smFRET assay

Observation was performed on a Nikon TI-Eclipse microscope equipped with a Nikon TIRF arm, a TIRF oil objective $\times 100$ (NA 1.45, Nikon), a DualView system (Photometrics DV2) and an ORCA-Flash4.0 V3 Digital CMOS camera (Hamamatsu). The DualView system was equipped with a dichroic mirror 633 nm (ZT633rdc, Chroma) and the appropriate filters for the FRET couple sCy3/sCy5 (593/40 and 684/24 respectively, Chroma). We used alternating laser excitation (ALEX) at 532 nm (sCy3) and 638 nm (sCy5 (Lasers Oxixius). Acquisition rate was $1/200 \text{ ms}^{-1}$, with an exposure time of 50 ms in each channel and a 50 ms time-lag in between (necessary to overcome delay issues in our system).

To heat the smFRET samples at 33°C , we inserted the chambers into a heating unit Tempcontrol 37-2 Digital (PeCon GmbH, Germany). To limit evaporation, excess of buffer was kept on the inlet and outlet of the chamber.

All experiments were carried out utilizing an oxygen scavenging system supplemented with 1 mM Trolox as a photostabilization agent(41). The oxygen scavenger system consists of 4 mg/mL D-glucose, 1 mg/mL glucose oxidase and 0.04 mg/mL catalase; it was prepared at the last minute before observation and filtered at 0.2 μ m before to load it in the observation chamber (SI Appendix). Note that the oxygen scavenger system was more effective on the DNA sample, allowing us to observe minutes-long intensity traces, compared to the single-BmrA observation, for which the average fluorescence lifetime was 7 sec (SI Appendix). Attempt to improve the photostability of the markers with another oxygen scavenger (PCD/PCA) was unsuccessful.

smFRET analysis

Data analysis was performed with the Matlab code iSMS(63, 64), for detecting positions of Donor and Acceptor, matching pairs Donor/Acceptor and to measure intensities I_D , I_F and I_A respectively in the Donor, FRET and Acceptor channels, according to time (all intensities are corrected for background). The automatic bleach detection was used but could be manually adjusted if necessary and blinking intervals were set manually. In the software, the data evaluation process was then performed manually on Donor/Acceptor pairs. We discarded events with more than one bleaching step in either channel, traces that showed very rapid photobleaching, or interference of strongly fluorescent neighboring peaks (resulting in highly noisy intensity trace) were also excluded at this point. For each single molecule, the apparent FRET efficiency $E_{app} = (I_F) / (I_D + I_F)$ and the apparent stoichiometry $S_{app} = (I_D + I_F) / (I_D + I_F + I_A)$ were measured until either the donor or the acceptor bleaches, and were accumulated into a normalized histogram, without applying further corrections. All histograms were plotted using a number of bins set to the average of $\sqrt{N}$, where N is the number of points in each individual histogram. Gaussian fits were performed using the *minimize* function from the Python module *scipy.optimize*, with the constraint that the fit had to be normalized, in accordance with the histogram. The following equation is used for the Gaussian fit on apparent FRET efficiency E_{app} : $a \times e^{-(E_{app} - \langle E_{app} \rangle)^2 / w^2}$ with a the amplitude, $\langle E_{app} \rangle$ the mean position and w the parameter related to the Gaussian width. When double Gaussian fit was applied, the parameters of the fit were used to measure the probability to find the protein in low FRET state ($= P_{op}$) or in high FRET state ($= P_{cl}$). Errors were measured by applying bootstrapping on our data (10 times).

Theory

See theoretical section of the SI Appendix.

Supporting Information

Single-molecule FRET - Additional details and control experiments

Labeling

In order to fluorescently label the homodimeric BmrA for smFRET experiments (Fig. 1A [S1A](#) [S1B](#)), we used the two native cysteines C436 located at the basis of the NBDs, close to the TMDs, for which the distance change during the protein cycle, thus the FRET change, are expected to be the largest (Fig. [S1A](#) [S1B](#)). Several couples of donor/acceptor dyes were evaluated according to the rate of labelling and the ATPase activity of the labelled proteins including Alexa488/Atto610, Alexa488/sulfoCy5, Cy3B/sulfoCy5 and sulfoCy3/sulfoCy5 selected based on spectrum overlap, dye photostability and published data. Best results have been obtained with sulfoCy3/sulfoCy5 in terms of photochemistry, labelling ratio, and protein activity preservation (Fig. [S5](#) [S5](#)). The activity of the labelled proteins was conserved at 80% as compared to the non-labelled protein.

Reconstitution

During the reconstitution at 4 °C for the SV preparation, the amount of BioBeads® was increased to ensure that the rate of detergent removal was similar than at room temperature. These amounts of BioBeads® were sufficient to remove all detergent molecules including the amount of DDM present in the preparation of BmrA ([65](#)). As also shown previously, at this low PLR, negligible amounts of lipid and no protein were adsorbed onto the BioBeads® ([66](#)).

Oxygen scavenger preparation

A 10x D-glucose stock solution can be prepared and stored at -20 °C for several months. A 100x “gloxy” solution (mixture of catalase and glucose oxydase) was prepared and stored at 4 °C for up to several months. The Trolox 2x stock solution was prepared at approximately 1-2 mM, with pH adjusted to 7.5, filtered at 0.2 µm and stored in the dark at 4 °C up to two weeks. Solutions were mixed to a final 1x concentration just before observation (solution was filtered a last time at 0.2 µm before to load it in the observation chamber).

smFRET

Our FRET experiments were performed using TIRF microscopy with alternating laser excitation (ALEX) at 532 nm (Donor = sCy3) and 638 nm (Acceptor = sCy5) ([67](#)). We measured the intensities I_D , I_F and I_A of single molecules versus time in the Donor, FRET and Acceptor channels, respectively, with an acquisition rate of 1/200 ms⁻¹. Upon following selection criteria (described in Materials & Methods), we retained data points corresponding to molecules labelled with both a donor and an acceptor, for which we measured over time the apparent uncorrected FRET efficiency $E_{app} = \frac{I_F}{(I_F + I_D)}$ until either the donor or the acceptor bleaches (an oxygen scavenger solution was used to limit bleaching). We then plotted the distribution of instantaneous E_{app} values from multiple traces (total number of proteins $N_{prot} > 100$), and performed a single or double Gaussian fit, extracting a peak mean position $\langle E_{app} \rangle$ and a width parameter w (equation and parameters are defined in Material & Methods). The sensitivity of our system was checked with a well-established assay ([68](#), [69](#)) (See below; Fig. [S7](#) [S7](#); Table [S2](#) [S2](#)), using three 40 base-pair double-stranded (ds) DNAs, carrying the same donor/acceptor pair, at distances ranging between 3 and 7 nm, similar to interdyer distances on BmrA and its homologs (Fig. [S1A](#) [S1B](#)).

Single molecule experiments require to have maximum one protein (homodimer) per liposomes. In practice, we aim for having about one tenth of liposomes containing a protein, reducing the probability to have two proteins per liposome to 1%. To determine the concentration of protein required to be in this regime, we have incorporated sCy5-labelled BmrA in fluorescently labelled SV liposomes with 0.01% of BODIPY®-FL-C5-HPC lipid, which allowed detecting simultaneously the liposomes and the proteins (Fig. 6A). For PLR= 1:81200, we found that 40% of vesicles co-localized with sCy5-BmrA while 60% did not contain any protein. For PLR= 1:406000, only 5% of the liposomes contained a protein. We have thus reconstituted the transporter at PLR= 1:326000 in the SV, and PLR= 1:650000 in the LV.

In our FRET assay, the liposomes containing 0.5% of biotin-PEG-DSPE, were immobilized onto custom-made flow cells, extensively cleaned to get rid of fluorescent contamination (Fig. S6B) and pre-treated with silane-PEG-biotin and neutravidin (Fig. S6C). The specificity of this tethering strategy was confirmed since very few liposomes are bound to the surface in the absence of neutravidin (Fig. S6D). Typical microscopy images of Donor and FRET channels are depicted on Fig. S6E. We established that the bound vesicles remained sealed and non-permeable to small molecules by encapsulating the soluble dye pyranine in the liposomes labelled with 0.5% of Texas Red® DHPE (Fig. 6F). Co-localization of both fluorescent signals indicated that up to 80% of liposomes were intact after tethering to the surface. We found that the percentage of intact liposomes decreased when increasing the PEG-Biotin density on the surface, due to membrane ripping open. We selected a PEG-Biotin density of 1% for our experiments.

smFRET assay calibration using DNA rulers

The system was thoroughly tested with a 40 base-pair double-stranded (ds) DNA as a ruler (Fig. S7A) (68, 69). One strand was labelled with a biotin molecule on the 3' end, allowing to immobilize the DNA on a coverslip, pre-treated with BSA-biotin and neutravidin (see below), and on the 5' end with a Cy3 dye. The complementary strand was modified subsequently on three different T-bases with a Cy5 dye, resulting in three distances L_{D-A} between the donor and acceptor fluorophores, respectively 19, 12 and 7 base-pairs, corresponding to 6.7, 4.7 and 3.4 nm (distances calculated using the Inter-Dye Distances Helical Model of DNA (68)).

The oligonucleotides are hybridized at a final concentration 15 μ M in each oligo, in buffer 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 400 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA (buffer TE). The solution is brought to 84.6°C for 10 min, then allowed to cool down to RT. Glass coverslips were extensively cleaned and then built together as described in Material & Methods. A solution of biotinylated BSA at 1 mg/mL is incubated in the chamber for 5 min and rinsed with 100 μ L of buffer TE. The surface is coated with neutravidin at 20 μ g/mL for 5 min, rinsed with 100 μ L of buffer TE, incubated with β -casein at 1 mg/mL for 10 min and rinsed with 100 μ L of buffer TE. Just before observation, the DNA sample is loaded at 30 pM for 2 min and rinsed with 100 μ L of buffer TE.

Single peak histograms were measured for each sample (Fig. S7B) and a single Gaussian fit was performed to obtain the mean $\langle E_{app} \rangle$ value (respectively the width parameter w), namely 0.23 (resp. 0.12), 0.51 (resp. 0.13) and 0.87 (resp. 0.12) for the 19, 12 and 7 bp constructs, respectively (see Table 1 for the parameters of the fits; Fig. S7C; example traces displayed on Fig. S7D and S6E). This calibration proves that the sensitivity of our system is suitable for detecting BmrA conformational changes, since for BmrA homologs, the distance between our labeling position varies between about 4 and 9 nm, depending on the species and the protein environment (Fig. S1 and S7C).

Mathematical description of our model. (separate file)

Modeling the effect of membrane curvature on the open to close transition probability

Supplementary Information

In this document, we derive the energetic cost associated to the membrane deformation upon conformation changes of a membrane protein embedded in a liposome of radius R . The membrane energy is related to the local membrane curvature H through the Helfrich energy

$$E = \frac{\kappa}{2} \int (H - H_0)^2 dA \quad (1)$$

where κ is the bending rigidity, H_0 the spontaneous curvature and the integral is over the liposome surface A . The liposome is assumed to have an optimal conformation in the absence of protein, so that the spontaneous curvature is related to the vesicle radius according to $H_0 = 2/R$ and the optimal shape is a sphere of radius R .




Fig. S1. ABC exporters exhibit a large range of opening in the apo form but similar NBD organization in closed conformation.

Structures from RCSB PDB (<https://www.rcsb.org/>) and EMDB (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/emdb/>) of BmrA and ABC exporters sharing high homology with BmrA: bacterial MsbA and human and mouse Pgp. **(A)** In apo state. Distance L (in Å) between red-labelled residues, equivalent to those used for BmrA labelling (C436) and PDB ID. For BmrA, the 3D cryo-EM model in detergent and the 23 Å resolution cryo-electron microscopy density map in vesicles (NBDs: white, intracytoplasmic domain ICD: green, TMD and lipid bilayer: blue) are depicted. **(B)** In closed state in the presence of ATP for human Pgp and BmrA. **(C)** Assessing the protein geometrical parameters for the model. Side view of ABC transporters transmembrane domains. The V-shape angle of the open form θ_{op} is shown for apo BmrA in detergent and for ABC exporters sharing high homology with BmrA: MsbA and Pgp. Similar angles for Pgp in detergent, MsbA in detergent and MsbA in nanodiscs. The V-shape angle of the closed conformation θ_{cl} is measured on the structure of ATP-bound BmrA. Membrane is represented in beige. For simplicity, NBDs have been removed from the 3D models. **(D)** Top view of the transmembrane domains at the membrane middle plane. The protein diameter $2a$ is deduced from $2a = \sqrt{bc}$ where b and c are the ellipse radii, in the middle plane of the membrane.

Fig. S2. Cryo-EM images of proteoliposomes reconstituted at 20°C (A, B, C) and at 4°C (D, E, F).

Samples have been flash-frozen 20 min after reconstitution (A, D), after 1h additional incubation at 20°C (B, E), and after 30 min additional incubation at 37°C (C, F). Bars: 50 nm. (G) Violin graph of vesicles' diameters from A (n=226), B (n=215), C (n=301), D (n=277), E (n=254), F (n=318).




Fig. S3. Floatation assay in a sucrose gradient.

It first shows BmrA incorporation in LV (left) and SV (right). Bottom (B), middle (M), and top (T) fractions were analyzed on a gel, indicating minimal protein aggregation and proper BmrA incorporation.




Fig. S4. Measure of the ATPase activity as a function of ATP concentration for SV (blue) and LV (orange).

K_m and V_{max} are deduced from the fit to the Hill equation with a coefficient 2, since 2 ATP molecules are involved in the process: $V = \frac{V_{max}[ATP]^2}{K_m^2 + [ATP]^2}$. SV: $K = 0.7$ mM, $V_{max} = 2.0$ $\mu\text{mole ATP/mg/min}$; LV: $K_m = 0.4$ mM, $V_{max} = 3.4$ $\mu\text{mole ATP/mg/min}$. This shows that 5mM ATP corresponds to saturating conditions for both LV and SV. Using a smaller value for the Hill coefficient does not change our conclusion about the saturating conditions. Moreover, K_m is lower for LV than for SV, in qualitative agreement with our model where the transition rate between open and close state depends on the liposome size.





Fig. S5. Protein labelling optimization.

NuPage gel of BmrA, solubilized in DDM, labelled with increasing amount of sCy3 and sCy5 dyes for optimizing the protein double-labelling, at 4 °C overnight incubation. Condition 2 was selected as a compromise between labeling efficiency and activity preservation.






Fig. S6. Control experiments for smFRET

(A) Control of the single molecule regime in SV, using a double labeling of the protein and of the liposome membrane. Top: Scheme of the experiment. sCy5-labelled BmrA is reconstituted in SV that contain 0.01% fluorescent lipids (Bodipy®-FL). Colocalization of sCy5 and Bodipy®-FL signals indicates a liposome containing at least one protein. Bottom: Percentage of liposomes (per image) containing at least one BmrA, for two different PLR, 1:203000 and 1:40600. In both cases, most liposomes are empty. 120 images were analyzed. **(B)** Control for the cleanliness of surfaces. Surface observation after the cleaning process and surface treatment (full system but without liposomes), without any fluorescent sample. The donor signal (Exc 532 nm; Em 593 nm), the FRET signal (Exc 532 nm; Em 684 nm) and the acceptor signal (Exc 638 nm; Em 684 nm) are shown. **(C)** Scheme of smFRET with BmrA labelled with sCy3 and sCy5 at C436, reconstituted in a liposome immobilized with neutravidin on a coverslip passivated with PEG/PEG-biotin. **(D)** Control for the specificity of the liposomes tethering. Fluorescence image of 0.5% DHPE-Texas Red® liposomes incubated in the chamber for 5 min on a surface (left) treated with 20 µg/mL of neutravidin and (right) not treated with neutravidin. Scale bars: 10 µm. **(E)** Typical TIRF-microscopy images showing the Donor channel (Exc 532 nm Em 593 nm), the FRET channel (Exc 532 nm Em 684 nm), and the merge (Donor: green and FRET: red) **(F)** Control of the integrity of the liposomes after immobilization on the coverslip. Left: Liposomes containing 0.5% DHPE-Texas Red® lipids and the soluble dye pyranine in their lumen are incubated for 5min in the chamber. Potential rupture of the liposomes due to the adhesion via the PEG-biotin neutravidin interaction is detected via the fluorescence signal: intact liposomes correspond to colocalization of the green and red signals. Right: fraction of intact liposomes (per image) as a function of the PEG-biotin density on the surface, 10, 2.5, 1 and 0%. The optimal condition is obtained at 1% (*), which has been used in the whole study. 21 images were analyzed. Scale bars: 10 µm.




Fig. S7. smFRET calibration using a DNA ruler system.

(A) Scheme of the assay using DNA. 40 base-pair (bp) double-stranded DNAs are labelled with sCy3 (Donor D) and Cy5 (Acceptor A) at different positions for sCy5 (19, 12 and 7 bps), corresponding to the distances between the dyes: $L_{D-A} = 6.7$, 4.7 and 3.4 nm, respectively. (B) Distributions of the apparent FRET efficiency E_{app} for the 3 DNA samples ($N = 443$, 460 and 538 for 19, 12 and 7 bps, resulting more than 10^5 time points). (C) Mean apparent FRET efficiency $\langle E_{app} \rangle$ deduced from the Gaussian fit of the respective histograms (thick lines in B) as a function of the distance between the dyes (Supplementary Table S1). (D-E) Typical intensity signals of donor (green), FRET (yellow), direct acceptor (red) and corresponding apparent FRET efficiency E_{app} (blue) according to time for an interdyde distance (D) $L_{D-A} = 4.7$ nm and (E) $L_{D-A} = 6.7$ nm. Dashed grey line indicates the acceptor or donor bleaching time. Experiments were performed at 20°C.




Fig. S8. Protein activity in the presence of ATP analogs.

(A) Normalized ATPase activity measured by spectrometry in vesicles (LV). In the presence of 5 mM ATP, BmrA ATPase activity is reduced by 80% with 2 mM Vanadate (well above $K_m=0.05$ mM), but only by 25% with 5 mM AMP-PNP. Note that there is no ATP in our smFRET experiments with AMP-PNP (Fig. 3). **(B)** Protein ATPase activity as a function of temperature, measured by spectrometry in detergent (Anapoe® X-100 at 0.05%). We have checked that the protein remains inhibited by 4 mM Vanadate, independently of the temperature (blue triangles) whereas it changes significantly upon addition of 10 mM ATP (red circles). (Between 2 and 5 measurements).




Fig. S9. 2D smFRET histograms efficiency versus stoichiometry in all conditions at RT ($T=20^{\circ}\text{C}$).

Two-dimension histograms of the FRET apparent efficiency E_{app} versus the apparent stoichiometry S_{app} . All instantaneous values are depicted. From top to bottom, the protein is in Apo, ATP 5 mM, AMPPNP 5 mM, ATP Vanadate 5 mM, respectively. We display data after selection process, i.e. Donor-Acceptor pairs before photobleaching of a dye. **(A)** Histograms for LV with the total numbers of data points (from top to bottom): $N_{all} = 10451, 7743, 5109$ and 18559 . **(B)** Histograms for SV with $N_{all} = 2797, 3959, 5094$ and 7552 .

Fig. S10. Time average FRET efficiency E_{avg} per protein.

Normalized histograms of the FRET efficiency averaged over time for each protein with AMP-PNP 5 mM (top) and ATP Vanadate 5 mM (bottom) in **(A)** LV (orange) $N_{prot} = 157$ (top) and 525 (bottom) and **(B)** SV (blue) $N_{prot} = 141$ and 325.





Fig. S11. Single Gaussian fit on apo-BmrA FRET distribution.

Cumulated histogram of apparent FRET efficiency E_{app} for: **(A)** Apo-BmrA in V125 ($N_{all}=10451$ and $N_{prot}=310$). A single Gaussian fit (thick line) gives: amplitude $a = 0.091$, mean FRET efficiency $\langle E_{app} \rangle = 0.11$ and width $w = 0.13$. **(B)** Apo-BmrA in V20 ($N_{all}=2797$ and $N_{prot}=115$). The single Gaussian fit (thick line) gives $a = 0.083$, $\langle E_{app} \rangle = 0.14$ and $w = 0.13$.






Fig. S12. 2D smFRET histograms efficiency versus stoichiometry in all conditions at HT ($T=33^{\circ}\text{C}$).

Two-dimension histograms of the FRET apparent efficiency E_{app} versus the apparent stoichiometry S_{app} . All instantaneous values are depicted. From top to bottom, the protein is in Apo, ATP 5 mM, AMPPNP 5 mM, respectively. We display data after selection process, i.e. Donor-Acceptor pairs before photobleaching of a dye. **(A)** Histograms for LV with the total numbers of data points (from top to bottom): $N_{all} = 2726, 2991$ and 2759 . **(B)** Histograms for SV with $N_{all} = 2289, 3235$ and 2935 .




Fig. S13. Dynamics of ATP-induced conformational changes at RT (20°C).

smFRET intensity time traces collected in LV (A-C) and SV (B-D), upon addition of ATP. **(A-B)** On three examples, a stable high FRET signal is measured until either the donor or the acceptor dye bleaches, correlating with a drop of FRET signal. Plain and dashed line represent a fit of the FRET switches. **(C-D)** A conformational change is detected with anti-correlated donor (green) and FRET (red) signal, when acceptor intensity (black) remains constant, demonstrating a dynamical event. These switches were observed only twice over 226 proteins for the LV and 3 times over 130 proteins for the SV.




Fig. S14. Dynamics of ATP-induced conformational changes at HT (33°C).

smFRET intensity time traces collected in LV (A) and SV (B), upon addition of ATP. The FRET disappears rapidly (after 1 to 1.5 s) due to photobleaching. No clear conformational switch is detected over this time period.

Fig. S15. Time average FRET efficiency E_{avg} per protein at RT (20°C).

Normalized histograms of the FRET efficiency averaged over time for each protein with 5 mM ATP (A) in LV (orange) $N_{\text{prot}} = 226$ (top) and $N_{\text{prot}} = 748$ (bottom) and (B) in SV (blue) $N_{\text{prot}} = 130$ (top) and $N_{\text{prot}} = 685$ (bottom).




Fig. S16. Schematic representation of the model.

We consider a vesicle with total area A_0 (shown by solid black line in A), having a circular hole of radius a (shown by dashed line in A). The full 3D shape of the vesicle can be obtained by rotating the arc through the axis of symmetry z . The vesicle can take (A) a spherical shape or (B) a deformed shape depending upon the opening angle θ_p .




Fig. S17. Comparison with experimental results and effect of size distribution on the model.

(A,B) At room temperature (RT). (C,D) At high temperature (HT). (A, C) Fraction of closed population P_{cl} versus liposome curvature, for ATP (blue) and AMP-PNP (green). The lines are the analytical calculations, circles are the experimental data and the open boxes are the data points that are calculated analytically using the full size distribution of the liposome. (B, D) Activity normalized to the activity in a flat membrane versus curvature. Other parameters are the same as in Fig. 4, main text. We fit the experimental data with $2a=4\text{nm}$, $\theta_{op}=\pi/10$, $\theta_{cl}=-\pi/10$, and obtain $\kappa=27.5\text{ k}_B\text{T}$.




Fig. S18. Effect of protein shape and size on the fraction of closed population.

(A) Calculated fraction of closed population P_{cl} versus liposome curvature for various values of θ_{op} and θ_{cl} ($2a=4\text{ nm}$). For higher difference in θ_{op} and θ_{cl} , the curve is sharper. (B) Fraction of closed population P_{cl} for different protein sizes $2a$ ($\theta_{op}=-\theta_{cl}=\pi/10$). For larger protein size, the fraction P_{cl} drops faster with curvature. For both the plots, we use $\kappa=27.5\text{ k}_B\text{T}$.




Table S1. BmrA activity (in $\mu\text{mol ATP/min/mg}$) corrected by the inward facing fractions of proteins in the different conditions (determined as in Fig. 1C, main text)

Condition		Activity	Corrected activity
20 °C	SV	0.130	0.150
	LV	0.260	0.310
33 °C	SV	1.007	1.208
	LV	2.265	2.808

Table S2. One-gaussian fit parameters of the smFRET histograms obtained for DNA calibration: amplitude a , mean $\langle E_{app} \rangle$ and width parameter w

	a	$\langle E_{app} \rangle$	w
19 bp	0.097	0.23	0.12
12 bp	0.089	0.51	0.13
7 bp	0.10	0.87	0.12

Here we assume that the protein is an axisymmetric cone of radius a and opening angle θ_p (defined positive when facing outward). We assume that only the opening angle varies upon shape transformation while the protein radius remains unchanged. Consequently, the optimal liposome shape remains axisymmetric upon protein conformation change. The different geometric quantities are defined in Fig.S16.

I. Small deformation

Here we concentrate on small deviations around a sphere, which requires that the angle imposed by the protein θ_p is close to the angle that would perfectly fit the membrane natural shape, namely $\theta_R = \sin^{-1}(a/R)$.

Defining the local distance of a membrane segment to the axis $r(s)$ and the local angle of the membrane normal with the axis $\theta(s)$ (s is the curvilinear coordinate, see Fig.S16(A)), we have:

$$dr = ds \cos \theta(s) \quad ; \quad H(s) = \partial_s \theta(s) + \frac{\sin \theta(s)}{r(s)} \quad ; \quad dA = 2\pi r(s) ds. \quad (2)$$

The non-deformed spherical shape satisfies $\theta_0(s) = \theta_R + s/R$ and $r_0(s) = R \sin \theta_0(s)$. Assuming small variations of the membrane shape $r(s) = r_0(s) + \Delta r(s)$, we have

$$\sin \theta_0(s) \Delta \theta(s) = -\partial_s \Delta r(s) \quad ; \quad r_0(s) \Delta H(s) = -R \partial_s^2 \Delta r(s) - \Delta r(s)/R \quad (3)$$

The energy variation associated to a change of shape reads at lowest order

$$\Delta E = \pi \kappa \int r_0(s) ds \Delta H^2 = \pi R \kappa \left[\Delta r \partial_s \Delta H - \Delta H \partial_s \Delta r \right]_{s=0}^{s_{max}} - \pi \kappa \int ds \frac{\Delta r}{R} (R^2 \partial_s^2 \Delta H + \Delta H) \quad (4)$$

where the RHS of the equation has been obtained after two integrations by part. Minimisation of the energy difference ΔE leads to the Euler Lagrange equation,

$$\Delta H(\bar{s}) + \partial_{\bar{s}}^2 \Delta H(\bar{s}) = 0 \quad (5)$$

where, we use $\bar{s} = s/R$. The curvature and abscissa variation can be written as,

$$R \Delta H = c_1 \cos \theta_0(\bar{s}) + c_2 \sin \theta_0(\bar{s}) \quad , \quad \frac{\Delta r(\bar{s})}{R} = \frac{1}{6} (c_1 \sin 2\theta_0(\bar{s}) - c_2 (3 + \cos 2\theta_0(\bar{s}))) + c_3 \cos \theta_0(\bar{s}) + c_4 \sin \theta_0(\bar{s}). \quad (6)$$

The integration constants c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 must be determined from the boundary conditions (BCs)

$$\Delta r(\theta_R) = 0 \quad , \quad \Delta \theta(\theta_R) = \Delta \theta_0 = \theta_p - \theta_R \quad , \quad \Delta S = \int 2\pi ds \Delta r(\bar{s}) = 0 \quad , \quad \Delta r(\theta_0 = \pi) = -R \Delta \theta(\theta_0 = \pi) \quad (7)$$

The first BC indicates that the protein areal footprint does not change, the second that the protein opening angle changes by a value $\Delta \theta_0 = \theta_p - \theta_R$, the third BC indicates that the total liposome area does not change, and the last enforces that $r(\bar{s}_{max}) = 0$ and $\theta(\bar{s}_{max}) = \pi$ at the liposome pole opposite to the protein.

The set of four equations for the four integration constants can be solved for arbitrary ratio a/R . Here we focus on situations where the protein is much smaller than the liposome ($a \ll R$), for which we obtain:

$$c_1 = 3c_4 = -\frac{3a}{2R} \Delta \theta_0 \quad , \quad c_2 = -\frac{2a}{\pi R} \Delta \theta_0 \quad , \quad c_3 = -\frac{4a}{3\pi R} \Delta \theta_0 \quad (8)$$

From this the energy cost of the change of angle can be obtained from Eq.4:

$$\Delta E = \frac{32 + 9\pi^2}{6\pi^2} \pi \kappa \left(\frac{a}{R} \right)^2 \Delta \theta_0^2 \simeq 2\pi \kappa \left(\frac{a}{R} \right)^2 \Delta \theta_0^2 \quad (9)$$

The energy of the membrane can thus be written as,

$$E_m(\theta_p) = 2\pi \kappa (a/R)^2 (\theta_p - \theta_R)^2 \quad (10)$$

Thus, the total energy of the system can be written as,

$$E(\theta_P) = E_p(\theta_P) + E_m(\theta_P)$$

where, E_p is the energy of the protein. The energy difference between the protein in the closed state and in the open state is written as

$$E(\theta_{cl}) - E(\theta_{op}) = \Delta E = \Delta E_p + \Delta E_m(R)$$

With

$$\Delta E_m(R) = 2\pi\kappa(a/R)^2(\theta_{op} - \theta_{cl})(2\theta_R - \theta_{op} - \theta_{cl}) \quad (11)$$

In the following, we assume that the intrinsic protein energy E_p is independent of the liposome radius, which only influences the membrane energy E_m .

II. Statistical analysis of the liposome population, and comparison with experimental data

If N_{op} is the population of the liposomes in the open conformation (low FRET), N_{cl} is the population in the closed conformation (high FRET), k_1 is the rate of transition from ‘open’ to ‘closed’ state, and k_2 is the transition rate from ‘closed’ to ‘open’ state, then the kinetic equation for two probabilities are:

$$\frac{dN_{cl}}{dt} = k_1N_{op} - k_2N_{cl} \quad , \quad \frac{dN_{op}}{dt} = k_2N_{cl} - k_1N_{op}$$

At steady state, both populations will be constant in time, i.e., $\frac{dN_{op}}{dt} = \frac{dN_{cl}}{dt} = 0$, which gives,

$$k_1N_{op} = k_2N_{cl} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{N_{op}}{N_{cl}} = \frac{k_2}{k_1}$$

We may assume that the ‘open’ to ‘closed’ transition is driven by thermal fluctuations. In experiments, ATP and its different analogues have been used, among which we are interested in mainly two cases: (1) Non-hydrolyzable ATP (AMP-PNP), which is an equilibrium case, where the closed state is stabilized by a binding energy ϵ between two nucleotides and thermal fluctuations can lead to unbinding and allow the transition from the closed to the open state; (2) Hydrolyzable ATP (ATP), where ATP hydrolysis is required to destabilise the closed state and allow the transition to the open state.

For the equilibrium case (AMP-PNP), the transition rates are given by the Arrhenius law:

$$k_1 = k_0 e^{-\Delta E/k_B T}, \text{ and } k_2 = k_0 e^{-\epsilon/k_B T}, \text{ where } k_0 \text{ is a constant having unit of inverse time.}$$

We assume that the energy barrier associated to membrane deformation is the energy difference between the open and closed state, given by Eq. 11. The fraction of open (low FRET) to closed (high FRET) population is then given by,

$$\frac{N_{op}}{N_{cl}} = e^{[\Delta E_p + \Delta E_m(R) - \epsilon]/k_B T}. \quad (12)$$

Similarly, for the non-equilibrium case (ATP), assuming $k_2 = k_{ATP}$ the ATP hydrolysis rate, we have,

$$\frac{N_{op}}{N_{cl}} = \frac{k_{ATP}}{k_0} e^{[\Delta E_p + \Delta E_m(R)]/k_B T} \quad (13)$$

Experimentally, we know the open and closed population for two sets of vesicle sizes: small vesicles (SV) and large ones (LV). Taking the ratio of the above fraction for two different liposome sizes, we get,

$$\left. \frac{N_{op}}{N_{cl}} \right|_{SV} \bigg/ \left. \frac{N_{op}}{N_{cl}} \right|_{LV} = e^{[\Delta E_m(SV) - \Delta E_m(LV)]/k_B T} \quad (14)$$

which depends only on the membrane parameter (e.g., bending rigidity ω) and is the same for both the equilibrium as well as the non-equilibrium cases.

The experimental data are given in Table 2, main text. In this data, we recalculate the populations by subtracting the high FRET Apo populations from each case, that are considered to be non-functional. Using the above ratio (Eq. 14), we can calculate the value of ω for both the AMP-PNP and ATP cases. For room temperature (RT), the calculation gives us the value of $\kappa \approx 21.0k_B T$ for the AMP-PNP case and $\kappa \approx 29.5k_B T$ for the ATP case. Similarly, for high temperature (HT), the calculation gives us the value of $\kappa \approx 26.0k_B T$ for the AMP-PNP case and $\kappa \approx 28.5k_B T$ for the ATP case. These values are rather close, and allows us to fit the experimental data for a moderate κ (both for RT and HT), that we discuss below.

For the equilibrium case, the fraction of population in the closed state is given by,

$$P_{cl} = \frac{N_{cl}}{N} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(\Delta E_p - \epsilon)/k_B T} e^{\Delta E_m(R)/k_B T}} \quad (15)$$

where, $N = N_{cl} + N_{op}$ is the total population. We can verify that for LV case, the membrane contribution is negligible as it is much smaller than $k_B T$, such that we can write

$$e^{(\Delta E_p - \epsilon)/k_B T} \simeq \left. \frac{N_{op}}{N_{cl}} \right|_{LV}. \text{ We can use this value in the above equation, and plot } P_{cl} \text{ as a function of}$$

the liposome curvature. In the experiment, the liposome sizes are measured by considering its outer surface, thereby we subtract the bilayer thickness ($\sim 5nm$) from the actual data set of diameter when we compare with the analytical results. We show this plot in Fig. S17(A), green line for RT. The circles are the experimental data points that fits well for $\kappa = 27.5 k_B T$. Other parameters we use here are: $2a = 4 nm$, $\theta_{op} = \theta/10$ and $\theta_{cl} = -\pi/10$. The open boxes are the data points that are calculated analytically using the full distribution of liposome size,

$$P_{cl}(Distribution) = \frac{1}{N_s} \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} P_{cl}(R_i)$$

We perform similar calculations for the non-equilibrium case, which gives,

$$P_{cl} = \frac{N_{cl}}{N} = \frac{1}{1 + k_{ATP}/k_0 e^{\Delta E_p/k_B T} e^{\Delta E_m(R)/k_B T}} \quad (16)$$

In a similar way as above, we can approximate $\frac{k_{ATP}}{k_0} e^{\Delta E_p/k_B T} \simeq \left. \frac{N_{op}}{N_{cl}} \right|_{LV}$, and use it to the above expression. We show this plot in Fig. S17(A), blue line. Here also, we compare with the experimental data as well as the data points calculated using the full distribution of liposome size.

We also compare the activity of the ATP for RT, which is defined as the rate of ATP hydrolysis per mole. We define the activity as,

$$\frac{1}{k_0 \tau} = \frac{k_{ATP}/k_0}{1 + k_{ATP}/k_0 e^{(\Delta E_p + \Delta E_m(R))/k_B T}} \quad (17)$$

We plot the normalized activity in Fig. S17(B) for RT. We note that ATP activity decreases with the curvature of the liposome, but the effect is strong only for higher curvature.

Similar calculations are also performed for HT and shown in Fig. S17(C-D) for the same value of fitting parameter $\kappa = 27.5k_B T$.

III. Effect of protein conformation and size on the fraction of closed population

In the above discussion, we use the parameter values that are applicable for BmrA protein. Here, we would like to discuss how the results are affected when we consider different values of θ_{op} and θ_{cl} , and also when we change the protein size a . In Fig. S18(A), we plot the fraction of population in the closed state as a function of curvature, which shows that larger difference in θ_{op} and θ_{cl} makes the curve sharper. The effect of protein size is also prominent (Fig. S18(B)), and for a protein which is twice the BmrA, P_{op} shows sharp increase with curvature, even in the smaller curvature regime. This emphasizes the fact that the effect of membrane mechanics on the transport process may be very effective for other kind of proteins with larger size, such as piezo1.

Data availability

All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials.

Acknowledgements

We thank Elie Balloul and Nikos Hatzakis (University of Copenhagen) for support for the data analysis and insightful discussions. We thank Julien Maufront for analysis of the cryo-images of proteoliposomes. We thank Bassam Hajj, Julien Pernier, Xavier Solinas (Ecole Polytechnique), Simon L'Horset (Centrale Supélec) for technical support and David Lacoste (ESPCI), Peter Tieleman, Estefania Barreta Ojeda (University of Calgary) for providing conceptual ideas.

This work was supported by the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-16-CE11-0026-01 for DL, MD and PB; ANR-19-CE11-0002-01 for RKS and PS). AD was funded by the Sorbonne University, the Doctoral school “Physique en Ile de France” (ED-564), the Institut Curie and the grant ANR-16-CE11-0026-01839. KB is funded by the “École normale supérieure Paris-Saclay” doctoral program. SJP was funded by Doctoral school ED “Complexité du vivant” (ED-515) and the University Paris Sciences et Lettres. PB team is supported by the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM) (FRM EQU202003010307). PB is member of the CNRS consortium AQV. AD, SJP, MD, PS, DL and PB are members of the Labex Cell(n)Scale (ANR-11-LABX0038) and Paris Sciences et Lettres (ANR-10-IDEX-0001-02). We acknowledge the Cell and Tissue Imaging Core facility (PICT IBISA), Institut Curie, member of the French National Research Infrastructure France-BioImaging (ANR10-INBS-04).

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR)	ANR-16-CE11-0026-01	Patricia Bassereau
Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR)	ANR-19-CE11-0002-01	Pierre Sens
Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM)	FRM EQU202003010307	Patricia Bassereau
Sorbonne Université (Sorbonne University)	ED-564	Alicia Damm
University Paris Science et Lettres	ED-515	Su-Jin Paik

Author ORCID iDs

Alicia Damm: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9291-8545>
Raj Kumar Sadhu: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3476-1388>
Su-Jin Paik: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4763-9605>
Aurélien Di-Cicco: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9615-7218>
John Manzi: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2574-4260>
Raju Regmi: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4035-0390>
Emmanuel Margeat: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6063-6420>
Maxime Dahan: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4943-2491>
Pierre Sens: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4523-3791>
Daniel Lévy: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8949-4072>
Patricia Bassereau: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8544-6778>

Author notes

Alicia Damm: Present address: Institute for Molecular Physiology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Germany

Su-Jin Paik: Present address: Imagine Institute, Paris, France

Raj Kumar Sadhu: Present address: Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, Kharagpur, India

Maxime Dahan: Deceased

Kemil Belhadji, Raj Kumar Sadhu, Su-Jin Paik: Equally contributed

Author contributions: MD, PB, DL and AD planned and designed the research. SJP, JM and DL purified the protein, optimized the liposome reconstitution. SJP, ADC and DL performed the cryo-EM images and ATPase activity measurements. AD, KB and MD set up and optimized the single molecule FRET assay and sample preparation. AD and KB performed the smFRET experiments and analysis, with advice from RR. RKS and PS developed the theoretical model and performed the fit to the experimental data. AD, KB, PB, DL and PS wrote the manuscript. EM and MC reviewed and commented on the manuscript.

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

References

1. Yang X., et al. (2022) Structure deformation and curvature sensing of PIEZO1 in lipid membranes. *Nature* **604**:377-383
2. Haselwandter C. A., Guo Y. R., Fu Z., MacKinnon R. (2022) Quantitative prediction and measurement of Piezo's membrane footprint. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **119**
3. Brohawn S. G., Su Z., MacKinnon R. (2014) Mechanosensitivity is mediated directly by the lipid membrane in TRAAK and TREK1 K⁺ channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **111**:3614-3619
4. Cox C. D., Bavi N., Martinac B. (2018) Bacterial Mechanosensors. *Annu. Rev. Physiol* **80**:71-93
5. Bavi O., et al. (2016) Influence of Global and Local Membrane Curvature on Mechanosensitive Ion Channels: A Finite Element Approach. *Membranes (Basel)* **6**:14
6. Turner M. S., Sens P. (2004) Gating-by-tilt of mechanically sensitive membrane channels. *Phys. Rev. Lett* **93**:118103
7. Haselwandter C. A., Guo Y. R., Fu Z., MacKinnon R. (2022) Elastic properties and shape of the Piezo dome underlying its mechanosensory function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **119**
8. Markin V. S. (1981) Lateral organization of membranes and cell shapes. *Biophys. J* **36**:1-19
9. Corradi V., et al. (2018) Lipid-Protein Interactions Are Unique Fingerprints for Membrane Proteins. *ACS Cent Sci* **4**:709-717
10. Schmidt D., MacKinnon R. (2008) Voltage-dependent K⁺ channel gating and voltage sensor toxin sensitivity depend on the mechanical state of the lipid membrane. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **105**:19276-19281
11. Aimon S., et al. (2014) Membrane Shape Modulates Transmembrane Protein Distribution. *Dev. Cell* **28**:212-218
12. Quemeneur F., et al. (2014) Shape matters in protein mobility within membranes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci* **111**:5083-5087
13. Kluge C., Pöhl M., Böckmann R. A. (2022) Spontaneous local membrane curvature induced by transmembrane proteins. *Biophys. J* **121**:671-683
14. Locher K. P. (2016) Mechanistic diversity in ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol* **23**:487-93
15. Vasiliou V., Vasiliou K., Nebert D. W. (2009) Human ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter family. *Hum. Genomics* **3**:281-290
16. Janulienė D., Moeller A. (2020) Cryo-EM of ABC transporters: an ice-cold solution to everything?. *FEBS Lett* **594**:3776-3789

17. Thomas C., et al. (2020) Structural and functional diversity calls for a new classification of ABC transporters. *FEBS Lett* **594**:3767-3775
18. Orelle C., Schmitt L., Jault J. (2022) Waste or die: The price to pay to stay alive. *Trends Microbiol* **31**:233-241
19. Zoghbi M. E., Altenberg G. A. (2018) Luminescence resonance energy transfer spectroscopy of ATP-binding cassette proteins. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Biomembr* **1860**:854-867
20. Galazzo L., et al. (2022) The ABC transporter MsbA adopts the wide inward-open conformation in *E. coli* cells. *Sci. Adv* **8**
21. Lacabanne D., et al. (2022) Solid-State NMR Reveals Asymmetric ATP Hydrolysis in the Multidrug ABC Transporter BmrA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* **144**:12431-12442
22. Di Cesare M., et al. (2024) The transport activity of the multidrug ABC transporter BmrA does not require a wide separation of the nucleotide-binding domains. *J. Biol. Chem* **300**:105546
23. Fribourg P. F., et al. (2014) 3D cryo-electron reconstruction of BmrA, a bacterial multidrug ABC transporter in an inward-facing conformation and in a lipidic environment. *J. Mol. Biol* **426**:2059-2069
24. Dastvan R., Mishra S., Peskova Y. B., Nakamoto R. K., Mchaourab H. S. (2019) Mechanism of allosteric modulation of P-glycoprotein by transport substrates and inhibitors. *Science* **364**:689-692
25. Chaptal V., et al. (2022) Substrate-bound and substrate-free outward-facing structures of a multidrug ABC exporter. *Sci. Adv* **8**:1-11
26. Orelle C., et al. (2008) Conformational change induced by ATP binding in the multidrug ATP-binding cassette transporter BmrA. *Biochemistry* **47**:2404-2412
27. Lewinson O., Orelle C., Seeger M. A. (2020) Structures of ABC transporters: handle with care. *FEBS Lett* **594**:3799-3814
28. Tang Q., et al. (2023) Asymmetric conformations and lipid interactions shape the ATP-coupled cycle of a heterodimeric ABC transporter. *Nat. Commun* **14**
29. Liu Y., Liu Y., He L., Zhao Y., Zhang X. C. (2018) Single-molecule fluorescence studies on the conformational change of the ABC transporter MsbA. *Biophys. Reports* **4**:153-165
30. Husada F., et al. (2018) Conformational dynamics of the ABC transporter McjD seen by single-molecule FRET. *EMBO J* **37**:e100056
31. Verhalen B., et al. (2017) Energy transduction and alternating access of the mammalian ABC transporter P-glycoprotein. *Nature* **543**:738-741
32. Zoghbi M. E., et al. (2017) Substrate-induced conformational changes in the nucleotide-binding domains of lipid bilayer-associated P-glycoprotein during ATP hydrolysis. *J. Biol. Chem* **292**:20412-20424
33. Verhalen B., Ernst S., Börsch M., Wilkens S. (2012) Dynamic ligand-induced conformational rearrangements in P-glycoprotein as probed by fluorescence resonance energy transfer spectroscopy. *J. Biol. Chem* **287**:1112-1127
34. Levring J., et al. (2023) CFTR function, pathology and pharmacology at single-molecule resolution. *Nature* **616**:606-614
35. Wang L., et al. (2020) Characterization of the kinetic cycle of an ABC transporter by single-molecule and cryo-EM analyses. *eLife* **9**:1-20
36. Mehmood S., et al. (2016) Structural and functional basis for lipid synergy on the activity of the antibacterial peptide ABC transporter McjD. *J. Biol. Chem* **291**:21656-21668
37. Steinfels E., et al. (2004) Characterization of YvcC (BmrA), a multidrug ABC transporter constitutively expressed in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Biochemistry* **43**:7491-7502
38. Ambudkar S. V., Cardarelli C. O., Pashinsky I., Stein W. D. (1997) Relation between the turnover number for vinblastine transport and for vinblastine-stimulated ATP hydrolysis by human P-glycoprotein. *J. Biol. Chem* **272**:21160-21166

39. Mi W., et al. (2017) Structural basis of MsbA-mediated lipopolysaccharide transport. *Nature* **549**:233-237
40. Pierman B., et al. (2017) Activity of the purified plant ABC transporter NtPDR1 is stimulated by diterpenes and sesquiterpenes involved in constitutive and induced defenses. *J. Biol. Chem* **292**:19491-19502
41. Selvin P. R., Ha T. (2008) *Single-molecule Techniques: A Laboratory Manual* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
42. Kapanidis A. N., et al. (2004) Fluorescence-aided molecule sorting: analysis of structure and interactions by alternating-laser excitation of single molecules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **101**:8936-41
43. Deniz A. A., et al. (1999) Single-pair fluorescence resonance energy transfer on freely diffusing molecules: observation of Förster distance dependence and subpopulations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **96**:3670-5
44. Hellenkamp B., et al. (2018) Precision and accuracy of single-molecule FRET measurements—a multi-laboratory benchmark study. *Nat. Methods* **15**:669-676
45. Lee W., Von Hippel P. H., Marcus A. H. (2014) Internally labeled Cy3/Cy5 DNA constructs show greatly enhanced photo-stability in single-molecule FRET experiments. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**:5967-5977
46. Lacabanne D., et al. (2020) ATP Analogues for Structural Investigations: Case Studies of a DnaB Helicase and an ABC Transporter. *Molecules* **25**
47. Lacabanne D., et al. (2017) Gradient reconstitution of membrane proteins for solid-state NMR studies. *J. Biomol. NMR* **69**:81-91
48. Javed W., et al. (2022) Structural Insights into the Catalytic Cycle of a Bacterial Multidrug ABC Efflux Pump. *J. Mol. Biol* **434**
49. Li M. J., Nath A., Atkins W. M. (2017) Differential Coupling of Binding, ATP Hydrolysis, and Transport of Fluorescent Probes with P-Glycoprotein in Lipid Nanodiscs. *Biochemistry* **56**:2506-2517
50. Yan Q., Shen Y., Yang X. (2022) Cryo-EM structure of AMP-PNP-bound human mitochondrial ATP-binding cassette transporter ABCB7. *J. Struct. Biol* **214**
51. Marsh D. (2006) Elastic curvature constants of lipid monolayers and bilayers. *Chem. Phys. Lipids* **144**:146-159
52. Tassis K., et al. (2021) Single-molecule studies of conformational states and dynamics in the ABC importer OpuA. *FEBS Lett* **595**:717-734
53. Yang M., et al. (2018) Single-molecule probing of the conformational homogeneity of the ABC transporter BtuCD. *Nat. Chem. Biol* **14**:715-722
54. Moeller A., et al. (2015) Distinct Conformational Spectrum of Homologous Multidrug ABC Transporters. *Structure* **23**:450-460
55. Tonnesen A., Christensen S. M., Tkach V., Stamou D. (2014) Geometrical membrane curvature as an allosteric regulator of membrane protein structure and function. *Biophys. J* **106**:201-209
56. Brown L., Wolf J. M., Prados-Rosales R., Casadevall A. (2015) Through the wall: Extracellular vesicles in Gram-positive bacteria, mycobacteria and fungi. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol* **13**:620-630
57. Molinari A., et al. (2005) Subcellular Detection and Localization of the Drug Transporter P-Glycoprotein in Cultured Tumor Cells. *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci* **3**:653-670
58. Gustot Smriti A., Ruysschaert J. M., Mchaourab H., Govaerts C. (2010) Lipid composition regulates the orientation of transmembrane helices in HorA, an ABC multidrug transporter. *J. Biol. Chem* **285**:14144-14151
59. Rigaud J.-L., et al. (1997) Bio-Beads: an efficient strategy for two-dimensional crystallization of membrane proteins. *J. Struct. Biol* **118**:226-235
60. Rigaud J. L., Lévy D. (2003) Reconstitution of Membrane Proteins into Liposomes. *Methods Enzymol* **372**:65-86

61. Rigaud J. L., Pitard B., Levy D. (1995) Reconstitution of membrane proteins into liposomes: application to energy-transducing membrane proteins. *BBA - Bioenerg* **1231**:223-246
62. Mesmin B., et al. (2013) XA four-step cycle driven by PI(4)P hydrolysis directs sterol/PI(4)P exchange by the ER-Golgi Tether OSBP. *Cell* **155**:830
63. Preus S., Noer S. L., Hildebrandt L. L., Gudnason D., Birkedal V. (2015) iSMS: single-molecule FRET microscopy software. *Nat. Methods* **12**:593-594
64. Hildebrandt L. L., Preus S., Birkedal V. (2015) Quantitative single molecule FRET efficiencies using TIRF microscopy. *Faraday Discuss* **184**
65. Lévy D., Bluzat A., Seigneuret M., Rigaud J. L. (1990) A systematic study of liposome and proteoliposome reconstitution involving Bio-Bead-mediated Triton X-100 removal. *BBA - Biomembr* **105**:179-190
66. Rigaud J.-L., Lévy D., Lambert M. G. O. (1998) Detergent removal by Bio-Beads. Application to membrane protein reconstitution and two-dimensional crystallisation. *Eur J. Biophys* **27**:305-319
67. Kapanidis A. N., et al. (2004) Fluorescence-aided molecule sorting: analysis of structure and interactions by alternating-laser excitation of single molecules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **101**:8936-41
68. Deniz A. A., et al. (1999) Single-pair fluorescence resonance energy transfer on freely diffusing molecules: observation of Förster distance dependence and subpopulations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **96**:3670-5
69. Hellenkamp B., et al. (2018) Precision and accuracy of single-molecule FRET measurements—a multi-laboratory benchmark study. *Nat. Methods* **15**:669-676

Peer reviews

Reviewer #1 (Public review):

Summary:

This study uses single-molecule FRET to analyze the conformational ensemble of an ABC transporter at different temperatures, with different substrate analogs, and under different membrane curvatures (i.e., two populations of vesicles with different radii). The authors combine this data into a general model that describes the influence of membrane curvature on membrane protein conformation.

Strengths:

This interesting and quantitative work uses detailed FRET measurements at two different temperatures and in the presence of substrate and two substrate analogs to tease out the energetic contribution of membrane curvature in the conformational change of an ABC transporter. The mechanistic model distinguishes between equilibrium conditions (non-hydrolyzable ATP analog) and steady-state conditions (ATP analog), and describes the data well. The authors are careful with the experimental measurement of the liposome size distribution and perform appropriate controls to ensure it is maintained throughout the experiment.

Weaknesses:

An important aspect of this paper is the difference in mechanism between inhibitors AMP-PNP (a substrate analog) and vanadate (together with ADP, forms a transition state analog inhibitor). The mechanisms and inhibitory constants/binding affinities of these inhibitors are not very well-supported in the current form of the manuscript, either through citations or through experiments. Related to this, the interpretation of the different curvature response of BmrA in the presence of vanadate vs AMPPNP is not very clear.

Overall, the energetic contribution of the membrane curvature is subtle (less than a kT), so while the principles seem generalizable among membrane proteins, whether these principles impact transport or cell physiology remains to be established.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.109854.1.sa3>

Reviewer #2 (Public review):

Summary:

Membrane transport proteins function by the alternating access model in which a central substrate binding site is alternately exposed to the soluble phase on either side of the membrane. For many members of the ABC transporter family, the transport cycle involves conformational isomerization between an outward-facing V-shaped conformation and an inward-facing A-shaped conformation. In the present manuscript, it is hypothesized that the difference in free energy between these conformational states depends on the radius of curvature of the membrane and hence, that transport activity can be modulated by this parameter.

To test this, BmrA, a multidrug exporter in *Bacillus subtilis*, was reconstituted into spherical proteoliposomes of different diameters and hence different radii of curvature. By measuring flux through the ATP turnover cycle in an enzymatic assay and conformational isomerization by single-molecule FRET, the authors argue that the activity of BmrA can be experimentally manipulated by altering the radius of curvature of the membrane. Flux through the transport cycle was found to be reduced at high membrane curvature. It is proposed that the potential to modulate transport flux through membrane curvature may allow ABC transporters to act as mechanosensors by analogy to mechanosensitive ion channels such as the Piezo channels and K2P channels.

Although an interesting methodology is established, additional experimentation and analyses would be required to support the major claims of the manuscript.

Strengths:

Mechanosensitivity of proteins is an understudied phenomenon, in part due to a scarcity of methods to study the activity of proteins in response to mechanical stimuli in purified systems. Useful experimental and theoretical frameworks are established to address the hypothesis, which potentially could have implications for a large class of membrane proteins. The tested hypothesis for the mechanosensitivity of the BmrA transporter is intuitive and compelling.

Weaknesses and comments:

(1) Although this study may be considered as a purely biophysical investigation of the sensitivity of an ABC transporter to mechanical perturbation of the membrane, the impact would be strengthened if a physiological rationale for this mode of regulation were discussed. Many factors, including temperature, pH, ionic strength, or membrane potential, are likely to affect flux through the transport cycle to some extent, without justifying describing BmrA as a sensor for changes in any of these. Indeed, a much stronger dependence on temperature than on membrane curvature was measured. It is not clear what radii of curvature BmrA would normally be exposed to, and whether this range of curvatures corresponds to the range at which modulation of transport activity could occur. Similarly, it is not clear what biological condition would involve a substantial change to membrane curvature or tension that would necessitate altered BmrA activity.

(2) The size distributions of vesicles were estimated by cryoEM. However, grid blotting leaves a very thin layer of vitreous ice that could sterically exclude large vesicles, leading to a systematic underestimation of the vesicle size distribution.

(3) The relative difference in ATP turnover rates for BmrA in small versus large vesicles is modest (~2-fold) and could arise from different success rates of functional reconstitution with the different protocols.

(4) The conformational state of the NBDs of BmrA was measured by smFRET imaging. Several aspects of these investigations could be improved or clarified. Firstly, the inclusion and exclusion criteria for individual molecules should be more quantitatively described in the methods. Secondly, errors were estimated by bootstrapping. Given the small differences in state occupancies between conditions, true replicates and statistical tests would better establish confidence in their significance. Thirdly, it is concerning that very few convincing dynamic transitions between states were observed. This may in part be due to fast photobleaching compared to the rate of isomerization, but this could be overcome by reducing the imaging frequency and illumination power. Alternatively, several labs have established the ability to exchange solution during imaging to thereby monitor the change in FRET distribution as a ligand is delivered or removed. Visualizing dynamic and reversible responses to ligands would greatly bolster confidence in the condition-dependent changes in FRET distributions. Such pre-steady state experiments would also allow direct comparison of the kinetics of isomerization from the inward-facing to the outward-facing conformation on delivery of ATP between small and large vesicles.

(5) A key observation is that BmrA was more prone to isomerize ATP- or AMP-PNP-dependently to the outward-facing conformations in large vesicles. Surprisingly, the same was not observed with vanadate-trapping, although the sensitivity of state occupancy to membrane curvature would be predicted to be greatest when state occupancies of both inward- and outward-facing states are close to 50%. It is argued that this was due to irreversibility of vanadate-trapping, but both vanadate and AMP-PNP should work fully reversibly on ABC transporters (see e.g. PMID: 7512348 for vanadate). Further, if trapping were fully irreversible, a quantitative shift to the outward-facing condition would be predicted.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.109854.1.sa2>

Reviewer #3 (Public review):

Summary:

The manuscript explores the dependence of ABC transporter activity on membrane curvature. The underlying concept being analysed here is whether membrane mechanics can regulate the conformation of the protein and thereby its activity.

Strengths:

The protein of choice here is BmrA, a bacterial transmembrane ABC transporter. This protein was previously found to exhibit two states: open conformation with Nucleotide Binding domains (NBDs) separated from each other and an ATP-bound closed conformation with dimerised NBDs. The protein was purified and reconstituted into liposomes of varying diameters, largely categorised as Small vesicles (SV) and Large vesicles (LV). The authors find that the activity of the protein is reduced with the changing curvature of the membrane vesicles used to make the proteoliposomes. This could be modulated by making vesicles at different temperatures, LV at high and SV at lower temperature (4 {degree sign}C), following which they perform biochemical measurement of activity or smFRET experiments at HT or

RT. They use well-characterized single-molecule FRET-based measurements to assess the change in conformation of the protein during the ATPase cycle. They find that a significant fraction of the protein is in an open (inactive) conformation in vesicles of higher curvature (SVs) at a given temperature. The authors develop a simple yet elegant theoretical model based on the energy of protein configuration states and their coupling to membrane energetics (bending rigidity) and curvature to explain these findings. The model provides a parameter-free fit that predicts the open/closed state distributions as well as the ATPase activity differences between SV and LV. Using experimentally determined values of the protein conicity, the authors to extract reasonable values of membrane rigidity, consistent with available literature.

The data and theoretical model together convincingly support the claim that membrane mechanics via local curvature modulation may bias membrane protein conformation states and thereby modify the activity of membrane proteins. This is an important and general conclusion that the authors also elaborate on in their discussion.

Weaknesses:

The authors say that the protein activity is irreversibly inhibited by orthovanadate, but 50% of the proteins are still in open conformation, while being accessible to the analogue (Table 2). It is unclear what this means in the context of activity vs. conformation.

The difference in the fraction of proteins in closed conformation is quite similar between LV and SV treated with AMP-PNP at 20 {degree sign}C (Figure 2B), and it is not clear if the difference is significant. The presence of a much higher FRET tail in the plots of smFRET experiment in SVs at 20 {degree sign}C or 33 {degree sign}C in the apo conformation of the protein (Figure 3A-B) is cause of some concern since one would not expect BmrA to access the closed states more frequently in the Apo conformation especially when incorporated in the SV. This is because the subtraction of the higher fraction of closed states in the Apo conformation contributes directly to enhancing the bias between the closed states in SV versus LV membrane bilayers.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.109854.1.sa1>

Author response:

Global answer about the ATP analogs (concerns the 3 reviewers)

We use ATP-Vanadate essentially for detecting the FRET efficiency for the closed state. But these data are not included in our theoretical model. Thus, even if the comments of the reviewers on the observation of a non-negligible fraction of proteins in the open state in the presence of ATP-vanadate are justified, this has no consequence on our conclusions on the effect of curvature on BmrA on the conformational changes with ATP or AMP-PNP.

We agree with the comments of the reviewers that the binding of vanadate is not irreversible, but the reported lifetime of the closed state is very long compared to our experimental conditions (see (Urbatsch et al. JBC (1995)) on PgP).

Nevertheless, we will perform new experiments independent of ATP analogs using the E504A BmrA mutant. It has been shown structurally and enzymatically to bind and not hydrolyze ATP and to be 100% in a closed conformation at 5 mM ATP (A. Gobet et al., Nat. Commun. 16, 1745 (2025)). It will clear up all doubts about our experiments.

We will also add new references:

I. L. Urbatsch, B. Sankaran, J. Weber, A. E. Senior, J. Biol. Chem. 270, 19383 (1995)

T. Baukrowitz, T.-C. Hwang, A. C. Nairn, D. C. Gadsby, *Neuron* 12, 473 (1994)

A. Gobet et al., *Nat. Commun.* 16, 1745 (2025)

Y. Liu, M. Liao, *Sci. Adv.* 11, eadv9721 (2025) (on the effect of vanadate and temperature on a plant ABC)

Public Reviews:

Reviewer #1 (Public review):

(1) An important aspect of this paper is the difference in mechanism between inhibitors AMP-PNP (a substrate analog) and vanadate (together with ADP, forms a transition state analog inhibitor). The mechanisms and inhibitory constants/binding affinities of these inhibitors are not very well-supported in the current form of the manuscript, either through citations or through experiments. Related to this, the interpretation of the different curvature response of BmrA in the presence of vanadate vs AMPPNP is not very clear.

See the global answer about ATP-analogs (above)

(2) Overall, the energetic contribution of the membrane curvature is subtle (less than a kT), so while the principles seem generalizable among membrane proteins, whether these principles impact transport or cell physiology remains to be established.

This is correct that the effect is limited to high curvature in the case of BmrA. Our theoretical model allows predictions for different protein parameters. The effect is particularly dependent on the protein size and on protein conicity, which can vary over a wide range. We show that larger proteins, such as piezo 1 are in principle expected to display a much stronger curvature dependence than BmrA. But testing our predictions on other proteins and on their physiological function is indeed an exciting perspective but beyond the objective of the current manuscript.

Reviewer #2 (Public review):

(1) Although this study may be considered as a purely biophysical investigation of the sensitivity of an ABC transporter to mechanical perturbation of the membrane, the impact would be strengthened if a physiological rationale for this mode of regulation were discussed. Many factors, including temperature, pH, ionic strength, or membrane potential, are likely to affect flux through the transport cycle to some extent, without justifying describing BmrA as a sensor for changes in any of these. Indeed, a much stronger dependence on temperature than on membrane curvature was measured. It is not clear what radii of curvature BmrA would normally be exposed to, and whether this range of curvatures corresponds to the range at which modulation of transport activity could occur. Similarly, it is not clear what biological condition would involve a substantial change to membrane curvature or tension that would necessitate altered BmrA activity.

Reviewers 1 and 2 both stressed that we showed that activity and conformational changes are mechanosensitive, not that the function of the protein is to be a mechanosensor. This will be corrected.

Regarding the physiological relevance of the mechanosensitivity of BmrA, we have addressed this point in the manuscript (bottom of page 10 and top of page 11). This discussion was positively appreciated by Reviewer #3. We stress that we have used BmrA as a model system, but considering our results and the theoretical model, we can predict the parameters that are relevant for future studies on the sensitivity of other transmembrane proteins to membrane

mechanical properties. And, as stated by the reviewer, "mechanosensitivity of proteins is an understudied phenomenon".

(2) The size distributions of vesicles were estimated by cryoEM. However, grid blotting leaves a very thin layer of vitreous ice that could sterically exclude large vesicles, leading to a systematic underestimation of the vesicle size distribution.

We used Lacey carbon grids with large mesh size ranges for our cryoEM images, and we blot on the backside, precisely to measure the largest size range accessible to cryoEM. In our hands, this was not the case when using Quantifoil or C-Flat grids with uniform hole sizes and a large fraction of carbon where the vesicles adhere. With our grids, we are able to image vesicles from 20 to 200 nm diameter and the precision on the diameter is high, but the statistics might not be as good as with DLS or other diffusion-based methods. DLS is an indirect method (as compared to cryoEM) to measure vesicle size distribution, that may overestimate the fraction of large objects and underestimate the small ones. We will perform DLS experiments for comparison purpose.

(3) The relative difference in ATP turnover rates for BmrA in small versus large vesicles is modest (~2-fold) and could arise from different success rates of functional reconstitution with the different protocols.

The ATPase activity is sensitive to several parameters. We thus carefully characterized our reconstituted samples, including ATPase activity, yield of incorporation and orientation of proteins that are often reported. In addition, we showed by cryo-EM the unilamellarity of the proteoliposomes and their stability during the experiments, which were never reported. The ATPase activity of our samples reconstituted in liposomes at 20 ° and at 4°C are high, among the highest reported for BmrA, and less sensitive to errors as compared to the low activities in micelles of detergent.

We would also like to stress that with our protocol, we have prepared the same batch of lipid/protein mixture that we have split it 2 for the reconstitution at 4°C and 20°C conversely. Both preparations contain the same amount of detergent. The only difference is that we include more BioBeads for the preparation at 4°C to account for the difference of absorption of the detergent on the beads at low temperature (D. Lévy, A. Bluzat, M. Seigneuret, J.L. Rigaud Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 179 (1990)), but we also showed that the proteins do not adsorb on the BioBeads (J.-L. Rigaud, B. Pitard, D. Levy, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1231, 223 (1995)). In addition, the activity of the protein at 37°C is high and comparable to those reported in the literature (E. Steinfels et al., Biochemistry 43, 7491 (2004)., W. Mi et al., Nature 549, 233 (2017).), which speaks for a good functional reconstitution. Finally, our results are consistent between the smFRET where we have only one protein maximum per vesicle and the activity measurements where the amount of protein is higher.

We also performed reconstitution from molar LPR= 1:13600 to 1:1700 and found the same activity per protein, confirming that the proteins are functional, independently of their surface fraction. We will add these data in the revision.

Altogether, these data suggest that we correctly estimate the rate of functional reconstitution in our experiments.

Nevertheless, we will design additional experiments to further compare the activity of the proteins before and after reconstitution.

(4) The conformational state of the NBDs of BmrA was measured by smFRET imaging. Several aspects of these investigations could be improved or clarified. Firstly, the inclusion and exclusion criteria for individual molecules should be more quantitatively described in the methods. Secondly, errors were estimated by bootstrapping. Given the small differences in state occupancies between conditions, true replicates and statistical

tests would better establish confidence in their significance. Thirdly, it is concerning that very few convincing dynamic transitions between states were observed. This may in part be due to fast photobleaching compared to the rate of isomerization, but this could be overcome by reducing the imaging frequency and illumination power. Alternatively, several labs have established the ability to exchange solution during imaging to thereby monitor the change in FRET distribution as a ligand is delivered or removed. Visualizing dynamic and reversible responses to ligands would greatly bolster confidence in the condition-dependent changes in FRET distributions. Such pre-steady state experiments would also allow direct comparison of the kinetics of isomerization from the inward-facing to the outward-facing conformation on delivery of ATP between small and large vesicles.

(a) We will better detail the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

(b) For the smFRET, we have performed N=3 true replicates. We will add statistical tests on our graphs.

(c) We will detail more how we have optimized our illumination protocol, considering the signal to noise ratio and the photobleaching. Practically, we cannot add ATP to our sealed observation chamber on our TIRF system to detect dynamical changes on our immobilized liposomes. The experiment suggested by the reviewer would imply to build a flow chamber to exchange the medium around immobilized liposomes, compatible with TIRF microscopy. This is an excellent idea, which has been achieved only recently (S. N. Lefebvre, M. Nijland, I. Maslov, D. J. Slotboom, Nat. Commun. 16, 4448 (2025)). It will require a full new study to optimize both the flow chamber and the dyes to track the smFRET changes over long periods of time.

Nevertheless, we would like to stress that our objective is not to study the dynamics of the conformational changes, and that we expect it to be slow for BmrA, even at 33°C.

(5) A key observation is that BmrA was more prone to isomerize ATP- or AMP-PNP-dependently to the outward-facing conformations in large vesicles. Surprisingly, the same was not observed with vanadate-trapping, although the sensitivity of state occupancy to membrane curvature would be predicted to be greatest when state occupancies of both inward- and outward-facing states are close to 50%. It is argued that this was due to irreversibility of vanadate-trapping, but both vanadate and AMP-PNP should work fully reversibly on ABC transporters (see e.g. PMID: 7512348 for vanadate). Further, if trapping were fully irreversible, a quantitative shift to the outward-facing condition would be predicted.

See the global answer about ATP-analogs (above)

Reviewer #3 (Public review):

(1) The authors say that the protein activity is irreversibly inhibited by orthovanadate, but 50% of the proteins are still in open conformation, while being accessible to the analogue (Table 2). It is unclear what this means in the context of activity vs. conformation.

See the global answer about ATP-analogs (above)

(2) The difference in the fraction of proteins in closed conformation is quite similar between LV and SV treated with AMP-PNP at 20 {degree sign}C (Figure 2B), and it is not clear if the difference is significant. The presence of a much higher FRET tail in the plots of smFRET experiment in SVs at 20 {degree sign}C or 33 {degree sign}C in the apo conformation of the protein (Figure 3A-B) is cause of some concern since one would not expect BmrA to access the closed states more frequently in the Apo conformation

especially when incorporated in the SV. This is because the subtraction of the higher fraction of closed states in the Apo conformation contributes directly to enhancing the bias between the closed states in SV versus LV membrane bilayers.

We have consistently observed, both at 20°C and at 33°C, a fraction of proteins with a high FRET signal in our measurements, higher in SV (about 15% and 17%) than in LV (about 10% and 6%). We have quantified the fraction of proteins with NBDs facing inside the liposomes (page 5), 20% in LV and 23.85% in SV. Considering the inverted curvature of the membrane, this orientation could favor the closed conformation, even in the absence of ATP, more for SV than LV. The fraction with inverted orientation could explain our higher fraction of high FRET signal in SV.

Moreover, for part of it, it can be due to a fraction of proteins with a non-specific labeling that would produce a higher FRET signal. We will add data with Cys-less mutants showing that less than 4% are labeled.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.109854.1.sa0>