

Reviewed Preprint

v1 • January 27, 2026

Not revised

✉ For correspondence:

ichiro.hiratani@riken.jp

Competing interests: No

competing interests declared

Funding: See [page 36](#)

Reviewing editor: Melike

Lakadamyali, University of

Pennsylvania, United States

© 2026, Choubani et al. This article is

distributed under the terms of the

[Creative Commons Attribution](#)

[License](#), which permits unrestricted

use and redistribution provided that

the original author and source are

credited.

Cell cycle-resolved Hi-C reveals unexpected plasticity of A/B compartments across interphase

Linda Choubani^{1,2}, Hisashi Miura¹, Takako Ichinose¹, Asami Oji¹, Rory T Cerbus¹, Ichiro Hiratani¹ ✉

¹Laboratory for Developmental Epigenetics, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research (BDR), Kobe, Japan •

²Department of Developmental Biology and Regenerative Medicine, Division of Physiology and Cell Biology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan

eLife Assessment

This manuscript provides **valuable** insight into how genome organization changes as cells progress through the cell cycle after mitotic exit. The conclusions are supported by **solid**, rigorous data, and the use of sorted unsynchronized cells rather than cells treated with drugs is a particular strength. Two sharp genome remodeling events are identified at G1-S and to a lesser extent, at S-G2 transitions. A discussion on the limitations of Hi-C and a broader interpretation of results in the context of other mechanistic models would strengthen the overall rigor.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.110073.1.sa2>

Abstract

The spatial organization of chromatin into active (A) and inactive (B) nuclear compartments is fundamental to genome regulation, yet their cell-cycle dynamics remain largely unexplored. Most research on chromatin dynamics during the cell cycle has primarily focused on events surrounding mitosis, providing only limited insight into chromatin behavior during S-phase. To address this gap, we developed a simple, drug-free approach that combines the Fucci cell-cycle indicator with *in situ* Hi-C to comprehensively analyze A/B compartment dynamics throughout interphase in mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs). Unexpectedly, and contrary to prevailing views, we found that A/B compartment strength increased abruptly upon S-phase entry, stabilized during S-phase, and subsequently declined in late S/G2. This abrupt strengthening, which we termed ‘compartment maturation,’ required passage through the G1/S transition but was independent of active DNA synthesis. This maturation involved substantial architectural remodeling, particularly within the A compartment, which consolidated into a more organized structure as individual A domains rearranged to form long-range interactions. Moreover, compartment maturation was not limited to mESCs but was also observed across different developmental contexts in mice. Based on these observations, we propose a revised, stepwise model of nuclear compartmentalization during cell-cycle progression, consisting of four distinct stages: chromosome unfolding (G1), chromatin maturation (G1/S), stabilization (S phase), and refolding (G2). These findings reveal the unexpected plasticity of A/B compartments and underscore the G1/S transition as a critical period for their reorganization.

Introduction

The three-dimensional (3D) organization of the genome within the nucleus plays a fundamental role in regulating essential cellular processes, including gene expression, DNA replication, and repair^{1,2}. High-throughput chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) techniques³ have revolutionized our ability to probe this organization, revealing the existence of megabase (Mb)-scale chromosomal compartments in interphase cells. These appear as a characteristic plaid

pattern on Hi-C contact maps, reflecting the segregation of chromatin into self-interacting active (A) and inactive (B) nuclear compartments³. This pattern is identified computationally through principal component analysis (PCA) of the Hi-C contact matrix, where the first principal component (PC1) serves as a quantitative eigenvector; genomic bins are assigned to A (positive PC1 values) or B (negative PC1 values) compartments based on their correlated interaction profiles³. Broadly speaking, A and B compartments correspond to euchromatin and heterochromatin, typically located in the nuclear interior and at the nuclear periphery, respectively⁴.

Despite their fundamental significance in genome function, the principles governing compartment formation remain largely elusive. Over the past decade, researchers have increasingly focused on the cell cycle regulation to unravel the principles of chromatin organization, given that compartments are largely lost during mitosis and re-established during interphase⁵. This process begins as chromosomes compact into their distinct, rod-like shapes during prophase^{6,7}. Following mitosis, as cells traverse the M/G1 transition, chromosomes expand from this highly condensed state and gradually unfold to re-establish interphase chromatin architecture. Time-course Hi-C studies of this critical window^{8–11} supported a "compartment expansion" model, positing that compartment boundaries are established soon after mitotic exit, followed by a gradual strengthening of chromatin interactions and their simultaneous expansion outward from the diagonal on Hi-C contact maps, reflecting the progressive increase in long-range interactions during G1. This progression culminates in the model proposed in the pioneering study by Nagano *et al.*¹², where compartmentalization progressively strengthens after mitotic exit, peaking in G2 just before its rapid collapse in the subsequent mitosis.

Despite these insights, significant gaps remain in our knowledge. Although compartments were among the first structures identified in Hi-C maps, they have often not been the primary focus of studies and are frequently treated as binary, static entities. Even when they have been investigated, the emphasis has typically been placed on Hi-C PC1-defined compartment boundaries rather than on the internal dynamics of the compartments themselves. Furthermore, variability in compartment calculation methods across studies complicates direct comparisons and hampers a comprehensive understanding. Importantly, while previous studies have illuminated events around mitosis, they have left the S-phase notably underexplored. This is a critical gap given the strong correlation between A/B compartments and DNA replication timing, whereby A regions replicate in early S-phase and B regions in late S-phase^{13,14}. The fragmented nature of the existing cell-cycle Hi-C datasets is further complicated by the reliance on synchronization drugs in most studies, which can introduce population heterogeneity and confound biological interpretation¹⁵.

In this study, we address these challenges by thoroughly investigating the temporal dynamics of interphase A/B compartments, with a special emphasis on the G1-to-S transition, a crucial yet understudied period for the coordinated establishment of 3D genome structure and DNA replication.

Results

Drug-free cell cycle-phasing system in mESCs

To investigate how A/B nuclear compartments change throughout the cell cycle, we established a drug-free synchronization approach in mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) to avoid the heterogeneity associated with the use of chemical inhibitors. For high-resolution cell-cycle discrimination, we used the Fucci (SA) mESC line^{16,17}, which expresses Cdt1-mCherry in G1 (degraded at the G1/S transition) and Geminin-mVenus in S/G2/M (accumulating from S-phase entry onwards) (Fig. 1A). The reciprocal fluorescence patterns of Cdt1 and Geminin enabled distinct and dynamic visualization of cell cycle phases, thereby allowing accurate sorting of highly pure cell populations for Hi-C without relying on chemical synchronization.

To confirm the suitability of the Fucci markers for this purpose, we performed imaging and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis following EdU staining, which labels S-phase cells (Fig. S1A). As expected, EdU incorporation correlated with Geminin signal accumulation




Figure 1. Cell cycle-phased Hi-C reveals a stepwise progression of nuclear compartmentalization

(A-D) Experimental workflow for cell-cycle phase sorting and Hi-C. **(A)** The Fucci2 reporter (**top**) labels cell cycle phases: G1/early S (mCherry-hCdt1, red) and S/G2/M (mVenus-hGem(1/110), green). Asynchronous mESC cultures expressing this reporter (**bottom**) were fixed, permeabilized, and DNA-stained for FACS sorting. **(B)** FACS-sorting strategy for the S and G2 phase cell populations based on DNA content. **(C)** Sequential gating strategy to isolate G1 subpopulations: selection of Geminin-negative cells (Gate A), followed by gating on 2C DNA content (Gate B, whole G1 population), and final fractionation into early, mid, and late G1 according to increasing levels of Cdt1-mCherry fluorescence intensity (~30% of the total population per fraction). **(D)** Sorted cells from each defined phase were subject to in-situ Hi-C. **(E)** Contact decay profiles for all cell cycle phases, illustrating a continuum of cis-interactions and a progressive shift from long-range (> 12 Mb) to short-range (< 1 Mb) interactions during the -G1-to-S phase transition. **(F)** Contact probability, $P(s)$, plotted against genomic distance on a log-log scale (1-Mb resolution). **(G)** Hi-C contact maps (1-Mb resolution) of chromosome 11 for each cell cycle phase, with the corresponding A/B compartment profiles (Hi-C PC1) shown below each map. The arrows on the Hi-C maps highlight the progressive outward expansion of contact signal from the diagonal, indicating the strengthening of long-range interactions. **(H)** Hi-C saddle plots showing contact enrichment between 1-Mb genomic bins, where both axes are sorted by their Hi-C PC1 value (strongest A to strongest B compartment). The schematic below defines the axis ordering. The overall compartment strength (numerical values in black) and the specific AA, BB, and AB interaction strengths (numerical values in white) are quantified. The color scale represents observed/expected (O/E) contact frequencies in 5-percentile increments. **(I)** Hi-C-based compartment strength dynamics across the cell cycle. Each line represents an independent biological replicate. Data shown in panels (E-H) are from biological replicate 1 (representative of N=2 biological replicates). EG1, early G1; MG1, mid G1; LG1, late G1; ES, early S; MS, mid S; LS, late S.

and Cdt1 signal depletion (Fig. S1B,C).

To monitor cell-cycle progression of individual cells, we conducted time-lapse imaging over a 50-hour period, capturing images every 10 minutes using Differential Interference Contrast (DIC), mCherry (Cdt1), and mVenus (Geminin) fluorescence (Fig. S2A). We manually tracked individual cells and included only those that completed a full cell cycle from one mitosis to the next for quantitative analysis (Fig. S2B). Our analysis revealed minimal variation in overall cell-cycle length among cells (Fig. S2C). We also quantified the duration of the G1 phase for each cell, which we defined as the time from mitosis until mCherry fluorescence reached its peak. The remaining time was defined as the duration of the S/G2/M phase. The G1 phase lasted approximately 1.5 hours (Fig. S2D), consistent with the known characteristically short G1 phase of mESCs¹⁸, with relatively small cell-to-cell variability (Fig. S2B,D).

The above results confirmed that Fucci markers could reliably delineate cell-cycle phases, allowing us to sort homogeneous populations by FACS for subsequent Hi-C analysis. To achieve this, asynchronously growing cells were first fixed, permeabilized, and stained for DNA before being subjected to FACS (Fig. 1A). S-phase cells (subdivided into early, mid, and late S, each representing approximately 3-hour time windows, assuming a 10-hour S-phase) and G2-phase cells were sorted based on DNA content (Fig. 1B). For G1 cells, we employed a sequential gating strategy using both Fucci markers and DNA content (Fig. 1C). The population was first gated to include only Geminin-negative cells, followed by a second gate selecting only cells with a 2C DNA content. From this refined G1 population, we further sorted early, mid, and late G1 fractions based on ascending mCherry fluorescence intensity, with each fraction comprising approximately 30% of the population to achieve sub-hour temporal resolution. Finally, the sorted cells (0.5–1 million cells per fraction) were subjected to in situ Hi-C (Fig. 1D).

Stepwise changes in higher-order chromatin organization during interphase

Hi-C biological replicates were highly concordant (Fig. S3A,B). Consistent with previous studies, we observed a continuum of cis-interactions throughout interphase, as shown by contact decay profiles¹² (Fig. 1E). Cis-compartment structure and boundaries (Hi-C PC1) were already distinguishable from early G1 (Fig. 1G, Fig. S3C), albeit with a low PC1 contribution rate at this stage (Fig. S3D). Furthermore, Hi-C interaction maps (Fig. 1G, Fig. S4A) revealed that long-range chromatin interactions formed gradually from early G1 to late G1, expanding away from the diagonal on the map, as previously described in M-to-G1 time-course Hi-C studies^{8,9,11}.

Interestingly, however, this expansion from the diagonal culminated in late G1, when interactions spanning the farthest genomic distances (i.e., the regions most distant from the diagonal) were most pronounced (Fig. 1G, Fig. S4A). This trend was also evident in the contact decay profiles (Fig. 1E) and contact probability plots (Fig. 1F, Fig. S4B), which showed the greatest enrichment for contacts > 50 Mb in late G1. As cells entered S-phase, the Hi-C plaid pattern showed a distinct increase in sharpness, which subsequently became progressively blurred during late S-phase and further in G2. Notably, G2 cells displayed a thickened signal along the Hi-C map diagonal (Fig. 1G, Fig. S4A), a chromatin organization reminiscent of mitotic chromosomes^{5,6}. This finding suggests that the onset of mitotic chromosome condensation may occur earlier than previously thought, during G2 phase (as discussed further below).

While a previous study has linked DNA replication to stronger compartmentalization¹², we found that the change in compartment strength was strikingly abrupt during the late G1 to early-S transition, as revealed by saddle plots, which quantify Hi-C contact enrichment between genomic bins ranked by their PC1 values (Fig. 1H,I). This shift, which we refer to as ‘compartment maturation’, was accompanied by a notable depletion of A-B interactions and an enrichment of A-A interactions (Fig. 1H). Compartment strength then stabilized during S phase, which constitutes the longest phase of the mESC cell cycle (Fig. S1C, > 60% cells in S-phase by FACS). Subsequently,

a decrease in compartment strength began in late S, followed by an even more significant decrease in G2 (Fig. 1H,I). This mirrored the observation from the Hi-C maps mentioned earlier (Fig. 1G, Fig. S4A).

The observed decrease in compartment strength during G2 contrasted with a previous report by Nagano *et al.*¹², which showed maximal compartmentalization in G2. However, it should be noted that a unique compartment identification method was employed in that single-cell Hi-C study¹², distinct from the standard *de novo* compartment calling approach used in most Hi-C studies (see **Supplementary Note** for details). Therefore, we attribute this discrepancy not to differences in biological samples or chromatin conformation capture technologies, but rather to technical or interpretative differences arising from the distinct A/B compartment identification methods used. To further support this conclusion, we reanalyzed the cell cycle-phased pooled single-cell Hi-C data from Nagano *et al.*¹² using the conventional compartment-calling method and observed a trend consistent with our findings (Fig. S5C), with compartment strength being maximal in early/mid S and decreasing in late S/G2. Thus, our analysis reconciles the apparent discrepancy with Nagano *et al.*¹² and supports a revised model in which compartment strength peaks in early S-phase and subsequently weakens toward G2, rather than continuously strengthening throughout interphase.

Notably, the pooled single-cell Hi-C data from Nagano *et al.*¹² showed a clear diagonal thickening in late S/G2 cells (Fig. S5C), in agreement with our observations above (Fig. 1G, Fig. S4A). Taken together, these data suggest that the structural transition toward mitotic chromosomes may already be initiated in late G2, a view further supported by a live-cell imaging study of interphase-to-mitosis chromosome dynamics showing prophase-like chromosome axis prealignment during G2¹⁹.

Compartment maturation requires S-phase entry but is independent of active DNA synthesis

Intrigued by the abrupt and pronounced compartmentalization shift, or compartment maturation, observed during the short transition from late G1 to early S, we investigated its relationship to DNA replication. Specifically, we asked whether the G1-to-S transition was the direct cause of compartment maturation, or whether the shift in compartmentalization reflects an intrinsic chromatin program that proceeds independently of cell-cycle stage. To test this, we designed two distinct cell-cycle perturbation experiments to induce prolonged arrest at two critical points, either just before or at the start of S-phase, and assessed their effects on compartment architecture.

Our first experimental perturbation aimed to determine whether prolonged G1-arrest, preventing S-phase entry altogether, would influence compartment maturation. Preventing S-phase entry in mESCs is particularly challenging; however, we found that the mTOR inhibitor INK-128 induces a reversible G1-like arrest in mESCs at a concentration of 1 μ M (Fig. S6). Cells were treated with INK-128 for 24, 72, and 120 hours (Fig. 2A). FACS analysis confirmed that cells remained arrested at a 2C DNA content at all timepoints. Concurrently, they exhibited a Geminin-negative state, while maintaining high levels of Cdt1, features characteristic of late G1 cells (Fig. S7A). To minimize technical artifacts arising from copy-number differences, cells with a 2C DNA content were sorted at all three timepoints prior to performing Hi-C (Fig. 2A, Fig. S7A).

Hi-C contact maps from INK-128-treated cells (Fig. 2B) resembled a late G1-like chromatin organization (Fig. 1G). Saddle plot profiles (Fig. 2C) similarly showed compartment strength comparable to that of late G1 (Fig. 1H). Although INK-128-treated cells displayed a slightly higher degree of compartment segregation (Fig. 2C) and increased long-range interaction formation relative to typical late G1 cells (Fig. S7B), the characteristic upward shift in compartment segregation and the enrichment of A-A interactions, indicative of compartment maturation, were notably absent.

The second experiment examined the effect of prolonged G1/S arrest on chromatin compartments. mESCs were first arrested at metaphase using Nocodazole and then released into medium containing a high concentration of Thymidine. Cells were subsequently collected at 3-hour




Figure 2. A/B compartment analysis following cell cycle perturbation

(A) Experimental design for time-course Hi-C following treatment with INK-128 (INK). (B) Hi-C contact maps (1-Mb resolution) of INK-128-treated cells (24, 72, and 120 h), with corresponding A/B compartment profiles (Hi-C PC1) shown below each map. (C) Hi-C saddle plot analysis of compartment strength for the data in (B), quantifying the overall strength (numerical values in black) and specific AA, BB, and AB interaction frequencies (numerical values in white). The color scale represents O/E contact frequencies in 5-percentile increments. (D) Experimental design for time-course Hi-C following mitotic arrest with Nocodazole (Noc) and release into a Thymidine (Thy) block. (E) Hi-C contact maps and A/B compartment profiles for the experiment in (D). Cell-cycle stages (labeled in pink) were inferred for each population based on Fucci2 reporter fluorescence from FACS analysis. (F) Hi-C saddle-plot analysis of compartment strength for the data in (E). (G) Principal component analysis (PCA) of Hi-C matrices (1-Mb resolution) from asynchronous cell-cycle phases, Nocodazole-Thymidine-blocked cells, and INK-128-treated time-course samples. PCA sample size=50,000. Data shown in panels (B), (C), (E) and (F) are from biological replicate 1 (representative of N=2 biological replicates).

intervals post-release (3, 6, 9, and 12 hours) (Fig. 2D [↗](#)). FACS profiles (Fig. S7C [↗](#)) confirmed the accumulation of cells with a 2C DNA content following release from Nocodazole arrest. Approximately 6 hours after release, cells began to enter S-phase, as indicated by their transition from a Cdt1-positive/Geminin-negative (Cdt1+Geminin-) to a Cdt1-negative/Geminin-positive (Cdt1-Geminin+) state (Fig. S7C [↗](#)). This S-phase fraction progressively increased, leading to an almost complete conversion of 2C DNA content cells to the Cdt1-Geminin+ state after 12 hours of Thymidine treatment, with virtually no remaining G1 (Cdt1+Geminin-) cells. These results confirm that the cell population was successfully synchronized at the G1/S boundary, representing a pre-replicative state where replication had not yet initiated, although cell-cycle markers indicated entry into S-phase.

As in the previous time-course experiment, cells collected at all timepoints were FACS-sorted based on their 2C DNA content prior to performing Hi-C (Fig. 2D [↗](#), Fig. S7C [↗](#)). Hi-C analysis of these samples revealed the emergence of a distinct plaid pattern as early as 3 hours post-release from Nocodazole (Fig. 2E [↗](#)), with compartment strength (Fig. 2F [↗](#)) comparable to that of late G1 (Fig. 1G,H [↗](#)). Strikingly, despite sharing the same 2C DNA content, compartment strength continued to increase over time, as evidenced by the progressive sharpening of the Hi-C plaid pattern (Fig. 2E [↗](#)) and by saddle plot analysis (Fig. 2F [↗](#)). By 9 and 12 hours of Thymidine treatment, A/B compartment strength had reached levels similar to those of normal early- to mid-S cells (Fig. 1G,H [↗](#)). Furthermore, contact probability plots comparing late G1 and Thymidine-treated cells (Fig. S7D [↗](#)) revealed an ‘S-like’ trajectory, characterized by a decrease in long-range interactions relative to late G1.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of the Thymidine- and INK-128-treated Hi-C matrices, alongside those from normal cell-cycle phases, further clarified these findings (Fig. 2G [↗](#)). Specifically, INK-128-treated samples clustered closely with late G1, whereas Thymidine-treated cells aligned closely with the S-phase trajectory, particularly along the PC1 axis, which accounted for 78% of the total variation. Additionally, t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) followed by k-means clustering (k=2) of saddle plot data (Fig. S7E [↗](#)) revealed a clear separation between INK-128 and Thymidine samples.

Thymidine-treated cells—with the exception of the ‘THY_3H’ sample, which largely represented G1 cells—clustered with S-phase samples (thus S-like), whereas INK-128-treated cells grouped with G1 (thus G1-like).

Collectively, our results demonstrate that maturation of nuclear A/B compartments is a direct consequence of S-phase entry, and that this structural reorganization occurs independently of active DNA synthesis.

Formation of a consolidated A compartment in S-phase

To characterize compartment maturation in greater detail, we performed subcompartment analysis using the Calder2 tool²⁰. This computational framework integrates information from multiple principal components of the Hi-C contact matrix to classify genome structures into eight distinct types based on their multi-dimensional interaction signatures. The resulting subcompartments, ranked from 0.125 (strongest B) to 1 (strongest A), provide a finer resolution view of the organization within global A/B compartments. Given the high concordance of our cell-cycle-phased Hi-C biological replicates, we merged them for all downstream analyses.

Visual inspection of subcompartment profiles for individual chromosomes at 40-kb resolution (Fig. 3A [↗](#), Fig. S8 [↗](#)) revealed that, from early S-phase onward, minor variations among A subcompartment domains were largely lost and replaced by more homogeneous, predominantly strong A (dark red) domains. Quantification of genomic bins assigned to each subcompartment type (Fig. 3B [↗](#)) revealed a striking and progressive accumulation of strong A subcompartment genomic bins (rank 1) from early G1, peaking in mid S-phase and decreasing thereafter in late S and G2. Concurrently, weak A and B bins were reduced from early G1 to mid S, suggesting a transition of subcompartments towards stronger A states. Consistent with this, comparison of the

average sizes of different subcompartment domains between late G1 and early S (Fig. 3C) revealed that only A subcompartment domains increased significantly in size, with the most statistically significant change observed in strong A subcompartment domains (ranks 0.875 and 1). We also observed a "smoother" appearance in A-compartment profiles (Hi-C PC1 > 0) in S-phase cells, characterized by fewer signal spikes (example shown in Fig. S9A). To quantify this trend, we calculated the mean-square gradient (MSG), defined as the average of the squared difference between adjacent signal values (see Methods). A larger MSG value reflects a more irregular (spiky) compartment signal, whereas a lower value indicates a smoother signal (Fig. S9B). By measuring the MSG ratio between strong A versus B compartments, we found that in early G1, the A-compartment signal was more than twice as irregular as the B-compartment signal. However, upon S-phase entry and throughout cell-cycle progression, this ratio steadily declined, and by late S and G2, the two signals had converged to a similar degree of smoothness (Fig. S9C). When comparing the average MSG of the strongest A and B compartment regions (Fig. S9D), we observed no consistent trend for the B compartment. In contrast, the A compartment signal progressively became smoother, reaching maximal smoothness (lowest MSG value) in mid-S, followed by an increase in late S and G2.

These results reveal a previously unrecognized feature of chromatin organization during S-phase progression, whereby the A compartment becomes highly dynamic and reorganizes into a more uniform, consolidated strong A compartment. We refer to this process as "A-compartment consolidation."

A-compartment consolidation is a robust feature across developmental contexts

mESCs possess a unique nuclear architecture characterized by more open and decondensed chromatin, presenting fewer and more dispersed heterochromatin foci²¹ and a generally less compartmentalized 3D genome compared with their differentiated counterparts²². This distinctive organization prompted us to ask whether the S-phase-associated A-compartment consolidation observed in mESCs is a unique feature of pluripotent cells or represents a more broadly conserved phenomenon across other cell types.

To address this question, we utilized the single-cell HiRES (Hi-C and RNA-seq employed simultaneously) dataset from Liu *et al.*²³, which provides comprehensive single-cell Hi-C and RNA-seq data across a wide range of cell types, developmental stages, and cell-cycle phases. Although the accompanying metadata include extensive annotations for individual cells, identifying specific cell types at defined developmental stages and cell-cycle phases with sufficient read coverage for robust population-level analysis proved challenging. We therefore focused our analysis on four distinct cell types spanning three developmental stages—neural ectoderm (E7.5), neural tube (E8.5), mixed late mesenchyme (E10.5), and extra-embryonic (ExE) endoderm (E7.5) (Fig. 4A)—that provided sufficient Hi-C read coverage for both G1 and mid-S phase populations (see Table S1). This selection captured a developmental continuum extending beyond the stage equivalent to mESCs, which corresponds approximately to E4.5²⁴. We then performed pseudo-bulk Hi-C analysis by aggregating Hi-C reads from individual cells, grouped by cell-cycle phase (G1 and mid-S) within each selected cell type and developmental stage.

In all four cell types, we observed the characteristic increase in compartment strength (maturation) previously seen in mESCs when comparing late G1 and mid-S cells. Furthermore, we detected the hallmark increase in A-A interactions during the G1-to-mid-S transition, even in cell types where B-B interactions were more prominent than A-A interactions in G1, such as mixed late mesenchyme (E10.5) (Fig. 4B).

Calder subcompartment analysis of all four cell types at 200-kb resolution (Fig. 4C) mirrored the trend observed in mESCs, showing an enrichment of strong A (rank 1) subcompartment bins and a corresponding reduction in weak subcompartment bins in mid-S cells. Together, these findings




Figure 3. S-phase A-compartment consolidation revealed by subcompartment analysis

(A) IGV browser tracks of Calder subcompartments (40-kb resolution) for the entire chromosome 11 across all cell-cycle stages. (B) Abundance of each Calder subcompartment rank, quantified as the total number of 40-kb genomic bins per cell cycle stage. (C) Violin plots with overlaid box plots showing the size distribution of Calder subcompartment domains in late G1 versus mid-S phase. Domains are defined as contiguous stretches of genomic bins having the same subcompartment rank. Statistical significance was determined using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test; ns, not significant; * $P \leq 0.05$; ** $P \leq 0.01$; *** $P \leq 0.001$; **** $P \leq 0.0001$. Data in all panels are from merged biological replicates (N=2).




Figure 4. Pseudo-bulk Hi-C of single cells reveals conserved A-compartment consolidation during S phase across embryonic development

(A) Selection of single-cell populations from Liu et al.²³ (HiRES) data for pseudo-bulk Hi-C analysis. The schematic shows the number of cells and developmental stage for each G1 and mid-S population. E7.5, embryonic day 7.5; ExE, extra-embryonic. (B) Hi-C saddle-plot analysis of compartment strength for data generated from the merged single-cell populations in (A) (1-Mb resolution), quantifying overall strength (numerical values in black) and specific AA, BB, and AB interaction frequencies (numerical values in white). The color scale represents O/E contact frequencies in 5-percentile increments. MS, mid-S. (C) Abundance of Calder subcompartment ranks (200-kb resolution), shown as the total number of genomic bins, comparing G1 and mid-S phases across all four developmental stages.

strongly indicate that compartment maturation and the accompanying A-compartment consolidation represent a robust and universally observed feature across different developmental contexts.

A-compartment consolidation during S-phase involves enhanced long-range contacts and structural reorganization

To further characterize A-compartment consolidation, we performed a distance-based compartment strength analysis using the Pentad tool²⁵. Specifically, we quantified interactions within A (A-A), within B (B-B), and between A and B (A-B) compartments across four genomic distance ranges: short-range (1–10 Mb), mid-range (10–25 Mb), mid/long-range (25–50 Mb) and long-range (> 50 Mb) throughout interphase (Fig. 5A). As expected, positive interaction frequencies were observed for A-A and B-B pairs, and negative frequencies for A-B pairs, at all stages. More notably, our analysis revealed a marked enrichment of long-range A-A interactions emerging in early S-phase, which was substantially reduced in G2. Concurrently, we observed a depletion of short- and mid-range A-B interactions in early S-phase that persisted through mid-S, followed by a slight increase in late-S and a more pronounced increase in G2. In contrast, interactions showed minimal change across all distances throughout interphase.

By measuring intra-compartment (intra-A and intra-B; i.e., short-range A-A and B-B) and inter-compartment (inter-A and inter-B; i.e., long-range A-A and B-B) interaction strengths (Fig. 5B), we found that all interaction types followed a general "rise-and-fall" trend, similar to that observed in non-distance-based saddle plots (Fig. 1H), with the most pronounced and statistically significant changes occurring from late G1 to early S. Most notably, inter-A interaction strength exhibited the largest and most statistically significant increase during this transition ($P = 1.74 \times 10^{-11}$, Δ median = 0.64) (Fig. 5B), corroborating our observation of enriched long-range A-A interactions during S-phase (Fig. 5A). These observations point to large-scale rearrangements within A-compartment regions as a potential driver of the global shift in A/B compartment segregation at the G1/S transition.

To further investigate this, we visually inspected Hi-C contact maps of A-compartment domains from late G1 and early S-phase. This revealed a striking pattern of spatial reorganization in large A domains, characterized by a sharp reduction in interactions between A-compartment boundaries and their internal regions (Fig. 5D, purple dotted circles). Most notably, these large A domains became selectively inaccessible to neighboring smaller A domains, with only boundary-associated interactions persisting (Fig. 5D, black rectangles). The recurrence of this pattern across multiple domains (Fig. S10) suggested a non-random spatial reorganization specific to S phase. We hypothesize that this reorganization process reflects the formation of "peninsula-like" structures (Fig. 5E), in which internal A regions extend outward while remaining tethered at their bases (Fig. 5E).

3D modeling reveals the structural basis of cell cycle-dependent A/B compartment dynamics

To obtain a structural perspective on the proposed "peninsula-like" formations, we performed quantitative 3D modeling of chromosomes across all sampled interphase stages using LorDG, a deterministic genome modeler within the GenomeFlow pipeline that generates reproducible polymer-like 3D structures from Hi-C data²⁶. Analysis of merged biological replicates at 200-kb resolution with optimized parameters (conversion factor = 0.6, max iterations = 10,000) yielded models that closely matched the experimental Hi-C data, with an average absolute Spearman correlation of 0.77 (Fig. S11).

Visually, the simulations with overlaid A/B compartment tracks at the same resolution (e.g., chromosomes 2 and 17 in Fig. 6A) faithfully recapitulated the transition from rod-like early G1 chromosomes to a more interphase-like organization in late G1, and most importantly, captured




Figure 5. S-phase A-compartment consolidation involves enhanced long-range contacts and structural reorganization

(A) Cis-by-distance Pentad plots²⁵ for all cell-cycle stages from early G1 (EG1) to G2 phase for short (1–10 Mb), short-mid (10–25 Mb), mid/long (25–50 Mb), and long-range (> 50 Mb) interactions. The value at the center of each plot indicates the mean O/E contact frequency. (B) Hi-C compartment strength for different interaction types (inter-A, intra-A, inter-B, intra-B) across interphase, from early G1 (EG1) to G2 phase. Distributions are shown as violin plots with medians indicated by red bars (each dot represents a single chromosome). Statistical significance between consecutive cell-cycle stages was assessed using pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. (C) Quantification of the Δ median interaction strength between consecutive cell-cycle stages for all interaction types. Inter-A compartment interactions show the largest increase during the late G1-to-early S-phase transition. (D) Observed/Expected Hi-C matrices of two representative regions (chr2: 20–40 Mb and chr8: 117–131 Mb) in late G1 (LG1) and early S phase (ES), with corresponding PC1 compartment profiles. The right panel shows a differential heatmap (ES – EG1, early S – late G1). Purple circles highlight weakened interactions between boundaries and the center of a large A domain, or intra-A interactions; black rectangles highlight decreased interactions between neighboring A domains, or inter-A interactions. (E) Schematic model proposing the "A peninsula" formation, where internal regions of large A compartments extend away from their boundaries during S-phase. Panels (A–D) present data from merged biological replicates (N=2) analyzed at 200-kb resolution.

the emergence of extended “peninsula-like” structures within the A compartment during early S phase. In G2, the models showed a more uniform folding pattern accompanied by overall chromosomal compaction (Fig. 6A [↗](#)).

To quantitatively assess the formation of “peninsula-like” structures, we measured global A-domain extension, defined as the size-normalized shortest boundary-to-center distance. Analysis of small (1–5 Mb) and large (> 5 Mb) domains revealed that only large A domains showed a significant increase in global extension from late G1 to early S-phase (Fig. 6B, left panels [↗](#)). Importantly, this extension occurred without changes in the inter-boundary distance (Fig. 6B, right panels [↗](#)), supporting a model in which internal chromatin regions extend outward from anchored boundaries from late G1 to early S—a global spatial reorganization specific to domains larger than 5 Mb.

We next asked whether B compartment domains underwent similar global structural changes. In stark contrast to A domains, they exhibited a significant decrease in both center-to-boundary and boundary-to-boundary distances across all domain sizes (1–5 Mb and > 5 Mb), reflecting global chromatin compaction during the late G1 to early S transition (Fig. 6C [↗](#)).

Having established that both A and B domains undergo major global reorganization from late G1 to early S, we next examined whether these structural changes in A and B domains were accompanied by alterations in local chromatin compaction (i.e., changes in the physical packing of the polymer-like fiber). To assess this, we calculated the average bin-to-bin distance within size-stratified domains as a proxy for local compaction levels. A decrease or increase in this metric would reflect tighter or looser local packing, respectively, whereas stable values would indicate unchanged local packing at this scale.

In A-compartment domains, significant changes in local compaction levels were detected only between early and mid-G1 (decompaction) and between late S and G2 (compaction), with compaction levels converging to a uniform state across domains in G2 (as indicated by the minimal dynamic range), reaching values comparable to those of B domains (Fig. 6D [↗](#), Fig. S12A,B [↗](#)). However, A-domain local compaction remained essentially unchanged from late G1 through S phase, despite substantial global structural reorganization of these domains. Thus, the emergence of “peninsula-like” structures in large A domains reflects global positional rearrangements rather than changes in local chromatin compaction.

As expected, B domains were generally more compact than A domains across all cell-cycle stages (Fig. 6D [↗](#), Fig. S12A [↗](#)). However, their most significant local compaction shift occurred specifically between late G1 and early S, becoming more uniformly compact at the onset of S-phase (Fig. 6D [↗](#), **right panels**; Fig. S12C [↗](#)). This finding implies a previously unrecognized active contribution of B-compartment domain reorganization in S-phase-dependent compartment maturation.

Taken together, these results refine our understanding of A/B compartment structural dynamics throughout interphase. The simulations not only recapitulated known features, such as chromosome decompaction from early to late G1, but also uncovered previously underappreciated aspects of compartment maturation during S-phase. Specifically, they revealed global changes in the spatial trajectory of A-domain chromatin without local chromatin compaction changes, providing a mechanistic explanation for the gain of long-range interactions and the emergence of consolidated A-compartment domains observed in S-phase (described in the previous section). Moreover, our analyses revealed that B-domains are also actively engaged in compartment maturation, showing more uniform compaction locally and globally in early S, an aspect previously overlooked by conventional Hi-C analyses. Finally, our analyses showed that the G2 phase is characterized by uniformly compact local chromatin across the genome.

Discussion

In this study, we established a simple cell sorting and chromatin profiling strategy that precisely resolves cell-cycle phases across the entire interphase. Using this system, we could capture cell populations with exceptionally high temporal resolution, achieving sub-hour resolution within the




Figure 6. 3D genome modeling from Hi-C recapitulates temporal interphase compartment dynamics

(A) 3D genome structures of chromosomes 2 and 17 across the cell cycle, simulated from Hi-C data using the LorDG Modeler in GenomeFlow²⁶ using a conversion factor of 0.6 (10,000 iterations). **(B,C) (Left panels)** Quantification of outward extension for compartments A and B, showing the mean shortest Euclidean distance from the domain center to its boundaries (calculated as $(d_1 + d_2)/2$), normalized by the number of bins per domain. **(Right panels)** Quantification of boundary movement, showing the shortest Euclidean distance between the boundaries of adjacent domains, normalized by the number of bins per domain. Analyses are shown for small (1–5 Mb) and large (> 5 Mb) domains, comparing late G1 and early S phases. **(D)** Distribution of the mean bin-to-bin distance within A **(Left panels)** and B **(Right panels)** compartment domains across cell-cycle phases. Data are shown for small (1–5 Mb) and large (> 5 Mb) domains. Boxplots in **(B–D)** show the median and quartiles. Each point in the scatter represents a single domain (n = total domains). Pairwise comparisons between consecutive phases were calculated using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test, and p-values were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. Data are from merged biological replicates ($N=2$), analyzed at 200-kb resolution.

G1 phase (Fig. 1 [↗](#), Fig. S2 [↗](#)). By applying comprehensive, A/B compartment-centric analyses to these carefully staged populations, we obtained a nuanced view of how nuclear organization is dynamically remodeled throughout interphase. This approach allowed us to propose a revised model of cell cycle-dependent chromatin dynamics that challenges the prevailing view (Fig. 7 [↗](#)). Our model delineates a stepwise cell cycle phase-dependent reorganization of nuclear compartmentalization, comprising four distinct stages. The first stage, chromosome unfolding, occurs from early to late G1 phase as chromatin expands from its highly compacted mitotic state. This is followed by compartment maturation, a sudden enhancement of A/B compartment separation at the onset of S-phase. The third stage, compartment stabilization, persists throughout S-phase, and finally, chromosome refolding is seen during G2 as the cell prepares for mitosis. Our primary focus was on the previously overlooked maturation stage, which we characterized in detail. We found that this reorganization is a direct consequence of S-phase entry but occurs independently of ongoing DNA synthesis. A defining feature of this stage is the formation of a consolidated A compartment, involving extensive spatial reorganization of individual A domains and the establishment of extensive long-range A-A interactions.

Our findings provide several key conceptual insights. While the M-to-G1 and G2-to-M phase transitions are well known for their dramatic chromatin reorganization, we contend that the G1-to-S transition is equally critical yet remains significantly underexplored. This period may represent a rich time window for discovering and characterizing novel 3D genome regulators. Importantly, our results identify S-phase entry as a major driving force of large-scale 3D genome reorganization, prompting a reconsideration of how replication and chromatin architecture are functionally linked.

The prevailing view holds that replication timing is a readout of a pre-established nuclear framework defined by stable compartments and domains^{14,27–29}. However, our data indicate that this hierarchy warrants re-evaluation. We propose a model of dynamic interplay, in which chromatin modifications associated with G1-to-S transition actively reshape the very architectural landscape from which the replication program itself is derived. Our results also reveal an unexpected plasticity of A/B compartment organization, even within a single cell type, an aspect often overlooked due to the predominant focus on Hi-C PC1 of asynchronously growing cell populations when assessing A/B compartments. This finding underscores that, while the field increasingly pursues ever-higher data resolution at sub-TAD and loop levels, there remains much to learn about chromatin structure at a global scale. High-resolution approaches, though valuable, should not overshadow the importance of coarse-grained perspectives, as demonstrated by our findings. Finally, it is important to note that our analysis, although based on highly resolved and precisely staged cell populations, remains an ensemble measurement. Future studies combining ultra-high temporal resolution with single-cell analysis will be essential to resolve the precise kinetics and cell-to-cell heterogeneity of these cell cycle-dependent chromatin reorganization events.

Biologically, this dynamic S-phase chromatin behavior raises the question of its functional significance, prompting us to speculate on the roles this reorganization may serve. One plausible role is to facilitate DNA replication: A-compartment regions are gene-rich, euchromatic, and replicate early in S-phase. Their active reorganization during early S could enhance the accessibility or spatial coordination of replication origins and associated factors. It is tempting to speculate that this reorganization supports the formation of coupled replication factories, where sister replisomes function as a single coordinated unit³⁰, which would promote efficient initiation and smooth progression of replication forks. Such structural flexibility may help optimize replication efficiency and ensure faithful execution of the replication timing program.

A second possibility is that this remodeling improves coordination between replication and transcription. Given that A-compartment regions are also transcriptionally active, their reorganization during replication may help alleviate topological conflicts between transcriptional and replication machineries, allowing both processes to proceed efficiently. Moreover, the rearrangement of A domains could expand the effective interface with nuclear bodies such as splicing speckles, thereby promoting compartmental segregation and optimizing functional




Figure 7. Model of stepwise 3D genome reorganization during the cell cycle

The model proposes four sequential stages: (1) Chromosome unfolding (G1): Gradual formation of long-range interactions from the compact mitotic state. (2) Compartment maturation (G1/S Transition): An abrupt enhancement of compartmentalization upon S-phase entry, independent of DNA synthesis. This stage is characterized by A-compartment consolidation, accompanied by increased long-range A-A interactions and uniform compaction of the B compartment. (3) Compartment stabilization (S-phase): The matured compartment state is maintained throughout the S phase. (4) Chromosome refolding (G2): Global compaction begins in preparation for mitosis.

compartmentalization during the critical process of DNA replication. These interpretations align with recent work demonstrating that the relative positioning of genomic regions with respect to nuclear locales influences genome organization, replication timing regulation, and gene expression³¹.

Uncovering the biological significance of these chromatin dynamics first requires identifying the molecular factors responsible for compartment maturation in S-phase. Components of the replication machinery (particularly those not directly involved in DNA synthesis) represent an intriguing class of potential regulators. Their potential to influence higher-order chromatin organization is supported by recent findings, including evidence that certain replisome components in *Drosophila* undergo phase separation and that replisome loading can restrict chromatin motion independently of DNA synthesis³². Beyond the core replisome, other S-phase-associated factors and molecular processes—such as transcriptional machinery, chromatin modifiers, architectural proteins, and nuclear organizers—are also plausible candidates^{33,34}. Elucidating the precise roles and interplay of these components will be an important next step in understanding the mechanistic basis of compartment maturation.

While our work primarily focused on the critical G1-to-S transition, events occurring during S-to-G2 transition warrant equal attention. We speculate that mitotic chromosome reformation may begin in G2—potentially earlier than currently appreciated—to facilitate more efficient chromosome segregation. Such early initiation would likely minimize disruption to ongoing nuclear processes. Accordingly, the S/G2 boundary represents another promising window for investigating cell-cycle dependent chromatin reorganization. In summary, our findings support a dynamic, cell-cycle-aware model of A/B compartmentalization, in which chromatin architecture is precisely tuned to accommodate and coordinate essential nuclear functions at the appropriate time.

Materials and methods

Derivation and culture of mESC lines

The R26p-Fucci2 mESC line was obtained from the RIKEN BDR animal facility, LARGE (derived from the R26p-Fucci2 mouse line, Acc. No. CDB0203T). To perform accurate flow cytometry (FACS) color compensation for this dual-reporter line, we also derived control mESC lines from single-reporter mice. For this, oocytes from R26-mCherry-hCdt1(30/120) (Fucci-red, Acc. No. CDB0264K) and R26-mVenus-hGeminin(1/110) (Fucci-green, Acc. No. CDB0265K) mice were fertilized and cultured *in vitro* at the LARGE facility to the morula/blastocyst stage. The zona pellucida was removed using Tyrode's solution (Sigma, T1788). Individual blastocysts were plated onto a feeder layer of mitotically inactivated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) in ES cell derivation medium. The medium was composed of KnockOutTM DMEM (ThermoFisher, 10829018) supplemented with 20% knockout serum replacement (KSR, Gibco, 10828-028), 1x MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids (Nacalai, 06344-56), 1x EmbryoMax[®] Nucleosides (Millipore, ES-008-D), 0.5 mg/mL penicillin-streptomycin (Nacalai, 09367-34), 100 µM beta-mercaptoethanol (Gibco, 21985-023), 1 µM PD0325901 (Wako, 162-25291), 3 µM CHIR99021 (Wako, 034-23103), and 1000 U/mL leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF, Nacalai, NU0012-2). After 5–7 days of incubation at 37°C with 5% COLJ, the inner cell mass outgrowth was dissociated and replated. Emerging mESC colonies were selectively picked, expanded, and passaged to establish stable cell lines. The mouse ESC lines R26-mCherry-hCdt1 (Fucci-red), R26-mVenus-hGeminin (Fucci-green), R26p-Fucci2, and CBMS1³⁵ (non-fluorescent) were maintained in 2i/LIF medium. This medium consisted of N2Diff 227 base medium (Cellartis, Y40002) supplemented with the following: the two inhibitors (2i) PD0325901 at 1 µM and CHIR99021 at 3 µM; 0.5 mg/mL penicillin-streptomycin; 0.1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol; and LIF at 1000 U/mL. Cells were cultured on iMatrix-511 (Matrixome, 892012)-coated dishes at 37°C with 5% COLJ and passaged every 2–3 days using trypsin/EDTA (Nacalai, 32777-44).

Flow cytometry

Cell sorting and analysis were conducted using a Sony MA900 cell sorter. The following laser and filter configurations were used: mVenus (488-nm laser, 525/50 nm filter), mCherry (561-nm laser, 617/30 nm filter), Hoechst 33342 and FxCycle Violet (405-nm laser, 450/50 nm filter), and Alexa Fluor 647 (638-nm laser, 665/30 nm filter). For color compensation, formaldehyde-fixed CBMS1 mESCs served as a double-negative control. Single-color controls were established using formaldehyde-fixed Fucci-red mESCs, Fucci-green mESCs, and, where applicable, EdU(AF 647)-labeled CBMS1 mESCs. Data were analyzed using FlowJo (v10.8.1).

Live-cell imaging of Fucci mESCs

Fucci mESCs were plated at a density of 0.1 million cells/mL in a 12-well plate and allowed to adhere for several hours prior to imaging. Live-cell imaging was performed for 50 hours using the Celldiscoverer 7 automated microscope (Zeiss), acquiring images every 10 minutes. Imaging was conducted with a 20x objective (NA 0.5) using the system's automated focus maintenance. Fluorescence of the Fucci reporters was captured using the following settings: mCherry was excited with a 590 nm LED and emission was collected with a 635/50 nm bandpass filter; mVenus was excited with a 520 nm LED and emission was collected with a 540/25 nm bandpass filter. Simultaneous transmitted light images were acquired using both Differential Interference Contrast (DIC) and Phase Contrast modalities. For the analysis of the live-cell imaging data, manual tracking of nuclei was performed using the TrackMate plugin (v6.0.30) in Fiji using DIC and phase contrast images (tracker radius was set to 5 pixels). Only cells that completed a full cell cycle, defined as starting two frames after cell division and ending at the last frame before the subsequent cell division, were analyzed. The mean fluorescence intensities (mVenus and mCherry) for each tracked nucleus were exported using the TrackMate Extras plugin. Subsequent data processing and analysis were performed in R (v4.3.2). Briefly, the data from two biological replicates were merged, and cell cycle trajectories were aligned to the start of G1 phase. Fluorescence signals were baseline-corrected by subtracting the minimum intensity for each channel per cell. This pipeline was used to quantify cell cycle length, G1 length (timing of when cells reach maximum mCherry intensity), and to plot the normalized Fucci fluorescence dynamics.

EdU labeling and detection

To correlate Fucci markers with S-phase progression, DNA synthesis was assessed using the Click-iT Plus EdU imaging kit (Invitrogen). For imaging, cells were plated on sterile glass coverslips 24 hours prior to experimentation. Cells were pulsed with 10 μ M EdU for 15 minutes, then fixed with 4% formaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100. The Click-iT reaction was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol, incubating cells with the Alexa Fluor 647 azide dye for 30 minutes in the dark. Nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33342 (1 μ g/mL), and coverslips were mounted with Vectashield antifade mounting medium (Vector Laboratories no. H1000). Imaging was performed on a Nikon Ti-E Eclipse microscope equipped with a SOLA SE LED light source and standard filter sets for DAPI, FITC, TRITC, and Cy5. Images were acquired with a 40x objective and analyzed with the Fiji software (ImageJ2, v2.16.0). For parallel analysis by flow cytometry, cells were harvested and processed in suspension, undergoing the same EdU labeling, fixation, permeabilization, and Click-iT reaction steps using the analogous Click-iT Plus EdU flow cytometry kit (Invitrogen), before being stained for DNA content with 1 μ M FxCycle Violet stain (ThermoFisher).

Cell cycle synchronization and collection for Hi-C

For Hi-C experiments, Fucci mESCs were collected under three primary conditions. First, to obtain cells at various cell cycle stages (EG1, MG1, LG1, ES, MS, LS, and G2), an asynchronous population was harvested directly (50-100 million cells per experiment). Second, for the G1/S time-course experiment, cells were synchronized at the G2/M border using a 10-hour treatment with 50 ng/mL Nocodazole (Sigma, M1404). One dish of these synchronized cells was harvested as the G2/M time point. In parallel, other synchronized dishes were washed twice with PBS and released into a

medium containing 2.5 mM thymidine (Sigma, T1895); these were then harvested every 3 hours from 3 to 12 hours post-release (collecting 2–4 million cells per time point). Finally, for a G1 arrest time-course, asynchronous mESCs from separate dishes were treated with 1 μ M INK-128 (MedChemExpress, HY-13328) and harvested at 24, 72, and 120-hour time points (collecting 2–4 million cells per time point). All collected samples from these procedures were processed for Hi-C and subsequent FACS analysis as described in the following section.

Sample preparation for Hi-C

All harvested cells were processed for Hi-C using an established protocol³⁶ with minor modifications. Briefly, harvested cells were fixed in 1% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 minutes at room temperature, using 1 mL of fixative per 1 million cells. The reaction was quenched with 0.125 M glycine. After permeabilization with 0.1% saponin, cells were stained with Hoechst33342 (10 μ g/mL) for 30 minutes in the dark to label DNA for cell cycle analysis. Cells were then FACS-sorted using a Sony MA900 cell sorter in purity mode. Laser configurations were as previously described in the 'Flow Cytometry' section, and the gating strategies for isolating specific cell cycle populations are detailed in the Results section and corresponding figures. Sorted cell pellets were immediately flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until Hi-C and library preparation. Then, Hi-C libraries were prepared from 0.5–1 million fixed cells (frozen pellets) according to an established protocol³⁶. In brief, nuclei were isolated, chromatin was digested with DpnII (NEB, R0543), and restriction fragment overhangs were filled with biotinylated nucleotides prior to blunt-end ligation. After reverse crosslinking and DNA purification, 0.5–1 μ g of DNA was sheared to 150–400 bp fragments using a Covaris S220 sonicator, with size selection performed using AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter). Biotin-labeled fragments were captured on Streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (Dynabeads M-280), and the resulting libraries were prepared for sequencing using the NGS LTP Library Preparation Kit (KK8232). Final libraries were sequenced on a NovaSeq X platform to generate 150 bp paired-end reads (approximately 100 million reads per sample; range 70–130 million).

Hi-C data processing and quality control

Hi-C sequencing reads were processed using the 'FANC' pipeline³⁷ (v0.95) (<https://github.com/vaquerizaslab/fanc>) with the command: 'fanc auto <fastq1> <fastq2> <output> -g mm9 -i <bwa_index> -r MboI -n -b 1mb,200kb -t 30 -f --fanc-parallel -tmp -q 30'. This command performs alignment to the mm9 genome using BWA (v0.7.17), enables iterative mapping (--iterative) with a quality cutoff of 30 (-q 30), and produces a valid pairs file (.pairs) of aligned reads alongside unbinned .hic files. These were then used to generate normalized (-n) contact matrices at 1-Mb and 200-kb resolutions (-b 1mb,200kb). When applicable, biological replicates were merged into a single contact map using 'fanc hic <file1> <file2>

<output> -b 1mb -a -n --restore-coverage', where the -a flag aggregates contacts and --restore-coverage maintains library size after merging. Library quality was assessed using 'fanc pairs -s' for mapping statistics and 'fanc hic -s' for cis-chromosomal contacts (see Table S2 for all quality metrics).

single-cell Hi-C data processing

Multiplexed FASTQ files from Nagano *et al.*¹² were downloaded from GEO (GSE94489). This dataset consists of pooled single-cell libraries, where cells were sorted and sequenced collectively by cell cycle phase. Data were processed identically to our in-house data, with the exception that iterative mapping was omitted and the restriction enzyme was specified as MboI. A summary of downloaded datasets and processing statistics is provided in Table S3.

HiRES data processing

Public single-cell sequencing data from the Liu *et al.* HiRES study²³ were obtained from GEO (GSE223917). This dataset contained a mixture of DNA and RNA sequences. Metadata (science.adg3797_table_s2.xlsx) were filtered to select specific cell types and developmental stages

(ExE endoderm E75, Mixed late mesenchyme, Neural ectoderm E75, Neural tube E85) with sufficient sequencing depth (> 100 million reads across G1 and mid S cell populations). Individual cell IDs were extracted, and corresponding FASTQ files were downloaded. Adapter trimming was performed with Cutadapt (v1.18) using the RNA-specific sequence (GGTTGAGGTAGTATTGCGCAATG) to discard non-ligated RNA fragments (--discard-trimmed) and isolate DNA-derived Hi-C pairs. Read quality was assessed using FastQC (v0.11.9). Per-cell-type replicates were generated by merging forward (_1_DNA.fastq.gz) and reverse (_2_DNA.fastq.gz) reads from individual cells. The resulting merged FASTQ files were once again assessed using FastQC and then processed through the FANC pipeline using the MboI restriction enzyme site, without iterative mapping (see Table S1 for sample details and statistics).

Probability (P(s)) plots derivation

Contact probability (P(s)) curves were generated using FANC's expected value calculation (fanc expected). The analysis was performed on 1-Mb resolution Hi-C matrices, comparing the decay of contact probability as a function of genomic distance (s) for each tested condition. The resulting P(s) curves were plotted to visualize differences in interaction frequency decay across conditions.

Contact map comparisons

Pairwise comparisons between Hi-C matrices were performed using the 'fanc compare' function. Matrices at 200-kb resolution were directly compared using the -Z (z-score normalization) and -I (insulation correction) parameters. The resulting difference matrices were visualized using the 'fancplot' function to highlight regions of increased or decreased contact frequency between two conditions.

Principal component analysis (PCA) of Hi-C matrices

Principal component analysis was performed on 1-Mb resolution Hi-C contact matrices from all biological replicates using FANC's PCA implementation (fanc pca)³⁷, analyzing the top 50,000 genomic bins (default parameter). The analysis included all chromosomes with a minimum distance cutoff of 1 Mb.

A/B Compartment analysis and saddle plot generation by FANC

A/B compartments were called from Hi-C contact matrices at 1-Mb resolution using the 'fanc compartments' command. A Pearson correlation matrix was calculated from the .hic file. The first eigenvector (PC1) was computed from this matrix and its sign was oriented using the GC content of the mm9 genome (-g option). The oriented PC1 and the resulting A/B compartment domain coordinates were output to BED files (-v and -d options, respectively).

Saddle plots were generated from the compartment eigenvector (1-Mb resolution) using the 'fanc compartments' command. The -e option was used to output the enrichment plot, and the --compartment-strength option was used to calculate a quantitative compartment strength score (as defined by Flyamer et al.³⁸ as the natural logarithm of $AA * BB / AB^2$). Compartments were binned into 5-percentile intervals using the -p option, and the resulting enrichment matrix was saved to a file with the -m option for downstream analysis.

t- SNE and K-means clustering of saddle plots

Saddle plot enrichment matrices at 1-Mb resolution were analyzed in R using t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) and K-means clustering. Individual matrices were flattened into vectors and dimensionality reduction was performed with perplexity 7 and 500 iterations. K-means clustering (k=2) was then applied to the resulting coordinates to identify groups of samples with similar compartment interaction patterns.

Format conversion for subsequent Hi-C analysis

Hi-C pairs were converted from FANC's proprietary format to the standardized .pairs format and processed as individual replicates into multi-resolution cooler matrices (.mcool) using cooler³⁹ (v0.9.3) 'cload pairs' and 'zoomify'. When applicable, biological replicates were merged at 10-kb resolution using 'cooler merge', and the resulting combined matrix was processed with 'cooler zoomify' using identical parameters (resolutions from 10 kb to 1 Mb with iterative balancing) to generate the final merged .mcool file.

Calculation of contact probability decay profiles

Contact decay profiles were generated from the same standardized .pairs files. A 10% downsampling of each file was performed using 'pairtools sample' (v0.3.0) with a random seed of 1 to ensure reproducibility and facilitate computational efficiency. The resulting downsampled pairs files were processed with a custom R script to calculate the contact probability as a function of genomic distance, adapted from the method described by Nagano *et al.*¹². For each read pair, the linear genomic separation was calculated for intra-chromosomal (cis) interactions. These distances were log2-transformed and binned. The contact frequency for each bin was normalized by the total number of valid cis reads to generate a relative contact probability. Finally, the decay profiles were visualized as a heatmap using the 'fields' package in R, where the x-axis represents different cell cycle phases, the y-axis represents genomic distance, and the color intensity corresponds to the percentage of total contacts.

Compartment calling at 200-kb resolution

Although compartment calling is available in the standard 'FANC' pipeline, we found that compartments were not robustly called at 200-kb resolution. To address this, we performed compartment analysis using a custom Python implementation that executes principal component analysis (PCA) via cooltools (0.3.2). Compartments were called from balanced .mcool files using a modified approach that calculates principal components through correlation and covariance matrices before PCA. The first principal component (PC1) was extracted as the compartment track, where positive values correspond to active (A) compartments and negative values to inactive (B) compartments. PC1 sign was oriented using a gene density track for mm9. The analysis calculated three key outputs for each sample: compartment eigenvectors, eigenvalues, and the eigenvalue contribution rate for PC1, which quantifies the proportion of variance in the contact matrix explained by A/B compartmentalization (PC1).

Hierarchical clustering of compartment profiles

A/B compartment profiles at 200-kb resolution were analyzed using DeepTools (v3.5.5). First, compartment eigenvectors were summarized using 'multiBigwigSummary bins' across all samples. Pearson correlation coefficients were then calculated and visualized as a clustered heatmap using 'plotCorrelation' with outlier removal.

Quantification of compartment smoothness using mean-square-gradient (MSG)

To quantitatively assess the smoothness of A/B compartment profiles, we calculated the mean-square-gradient (MSG) of the compartment signal (PC1) derived from 200-kb binned data. The MSG is defined as $MSG = LJ(\partial f / \partial x)^2 LJ$, where $f(x)$ is the compartment signal as a function of genomic position x . This metric quantifies the average rate of change of the compartment signal, where higher values indicate more variable, less smooth signals, while lower values reflect more uniform, smoother compartment signals. The genomic gradient of PC1 was computed for all chromosomes in each cell cycle stage using the 'numpy.gradient' function in Python. To focus on core compartment domains while avoiding boundary regions, we selected genomic bins where the compartment signal exceeded one standard deviation above the chromosome mean for A compartments, or fell below one standard deviation for B compartments. The MSG was calculated

separately for these strong A (MSG_A,1 σ) and B (MSG_B,1 σ) regions. To measure the relative changes in compartment signal smoothness throughout the cell cycle and normalize for technical variations, we computed the ratio MSG_A,1 σ /MSG_B,1 σ .

Distance-based compartment strength quantification

We used ‘Pentad’²⁵ (<https://github.com/magnitov/pentad>, commit 66c19f4) to measure A/B compartment interactions at various genomic distances. Hi-C contact matrices in .mcool format and compartment signals (PC1) at 200 kb resolution were used as input for Pentad’s cis-interaction scripts (get_pentad_cis.py) with rescaling to 50 matrix (pixel) bins. Compartment strength was quantified (quant_strength_cis.py), and distance-dependent analyses were performed at 1, 10, 25, and 50 Mb distances (get_pentad_distance.py). Subsequent analysis in R compared chromosome-specific strength values for inter- and intra-compartment interactions (A-A, B-B, A-B) across cell cycle phases. Delta median values were calculated for consecutive cell cycle transitions to identify the magnitude of change in compartment strength.

Chromatin subcompartment analysis

Chromatin subcompartments were identified using ‘CALDER2’²⁰ (<https://github.com/CSOgroup/CALDER2>) executed within a Docker container (lucananni93/calder2:latest). For compatibility with CALDER, contact matrices in .mcool format were converted to .hic files using ‘cooler dump’ to export balanced interaction scores, followed by conversion with juicer_tools (v1.22.01) using the mm9 genome assembly. Analyses were performed at 40-kb resolution for newly generated data and 200 kb for reanalyzed HiRES data, with binning chosen to ensure a strong correlation of compartment rank with a reference dataset (Pearson’s rho > 0.4). Subcompartment calls were filtered in R to include only genomic bins consistently detected across all cell cycle fractions. Subcompartment sizes were calculated as contiguous genomic regions sharing identical compartment ranks within the hierarchical system spanning eight ranks (0.125–1), representing the continuum from B-compartments (0.125–0.5) to A-compartments (0.625–1).

3D Genome structure modeling from Hi-C data

Chromosome structures were reconstructed from Hi-C data using ‘GenomeFlow’ (v2.0) (<https://github.com/jianlin-cheng/GenomeFlow>) with the ‘LorDG-3D Modeler’ function²⁶. We generated intra-chromosomal contact maps from 200-kb resolution Hi-C matrices using ‘fanc dump --only-intra’ and separated them into individual chromosome datasets. For each chromosome, 10,000 iterations of the modeling algorithm were performed with an optimized conversion factor of 0.6 to maximize the correlation between reconstructed 3D distances and original Hi-C interaction frequencies. A/B compartment annotations were derived from Calder subcompartment calls (200-kb resolution) and stitched into continuous A or B domains using custom R scripts. Spatial coordinates (x, y, z) were extracted for each 200-kb genomic bin, annotated with A/B compartment identity, and filtered to retain only bins common to all samples. From these structural models, we quantified 3D compartment organization for domains > 1 Mb using three spatial metrics calculated from the relative 3D Euclidean distances (in arbitrary units, a.u.) in the reconstructed models:

1. Local compaction: The mean 3D Euclidean distance between all consecutive bins within a domain.
2. Domain shape: The average 3D distance from the domain boundaries to its central bin.
3. Inter-boundary distance: The 3D distance between the leftmost and rightmost boundary bins. Boundary-to-center and inter-boundary distances were normalized by the number of bins per domain. Statistical significance was assessed using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests with Benjamini-Hochberg correction.

Statistics and reproducibility

All statistical analyses are detailed in the figure legends and main text. This includes the specific tests used, the number of independent biological replicates (N), the number of technical measurements or data points (n), and either exact P-values (P) or standard significance indicators (ns, not significant; $P \leq 0.05$; $*P \leq 0.01$; $**P \leq 0.001$; $***P \leq 0.0001$). In box plots, the central line denotes the median, the box boundaries represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, and the whiskers extend to the most extreme data points within 1.5 times the interquartile range from the box.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary note: A comparison of methodologies for A/B compartment analysis

Our study characterizes compartment dynamics using *de novo* identification of A/B compartments from population Hi-C data. This approach differs fundamentally from the single-cell compartment "strength" metric pioneered by Nagano *et al.* (2017)¹², and the choice of methodology is directly linked to the specific biological question being addressed.

The method developed by Nagano *et al.* was designed to quantify cell-to-cell variation by first defining a stable reference map of A and B domains derived from pooled single-cell data. The compartment strength for each individual cell is then calculated based on how closely its intra-chromosomal contact pattern conforms to this pre-defined reference. This provides a robust measure of structural heterogeneity but inherently assesses fidelity to the population-average architecture, rather than the strength of compartmentalization per se.

In contrast, our *de novo* approach re-identifies compartment identities independently for each cell-cycle-staged population, allowing us to capture the temporal progression of compartment organization, including large-scale rearrangements and the emergence of new organizational states that would otherwise be masked by comparison to a static reference. Thus, while the method of Nagano *et al.*¹² provides an excellent measure of compartment pattern conservation across cells, our approach is specifically tailored to reveal how these patterns evolve over time during cell-cycle progression.

Supplementary figures




Figure S1. Fucci marker validation by imaging and FACS (A) Schematic of the EdU labeling protocol in Fucci mESCs for subsequent FACS or imaging analysis. Steps common to both procedures are shown in black. (B) Representative images of Fucci-expressing nuclei (indicated by white arrows) after EdU treatment and DNA labeling across G1, S, G2, and M phases. Scale bar = 10 μ m. (C) FACS analysis of EdU-labeled Fucci mESCs, showing DNA content (left), EdU versus DNA content with gated cell-cycle populations (middle), and the same EdU versus DNA content plot with overlaid Fucci signals (right). Geminin-mVenus (green) accumulates at the G1/S transition, while Cdt1-mCherry (red) is enriched in G1.




Figure S2. Cell-cycle dynamics of Fucci mESCs measured by time-lapse imaging

(A) Schematic of the time-lapse imaging and cell-tracking strategy. **(B)** Temporal dynamics of Fucci reporters (Geminin-mVenus, green; mCdt1-mCherry, red) in single cells after baseline correction and alignment to cell-cycle start (two frames post cell division). Mitotic cells are excluded. **(C)** Quantification of total cell-cycle length. The mean duration was estimated to be 10.83 ± 2.49 h. **(D)** Distribution of time spent in G1 (red) versus S/G2/M (green). G1 length was defined as the interval from mitosis to the peak of mCherry fluorescence, with the remaining cell cycle duration assigned to S/G2/M phases. Data in **(B-D)** are from tracked cells across 2 biological replicates ($n=131$).




Figure S3. Hi-C sample QC and supplementary compartment analysis

(A) Principal component analysis (PCA) of replicate Hi-C matrices. The plot shows PCA performed on Hi-C contact matrices (1-Mb resolution) from biological replicates of asynchronous cell-cycle samples, demonstrating replicate concordance. PCA sample size = 50,000. **(B)** Pearson correlation matrix and hierarchical clustering of Hi-C PC1 compartment profiles (1-Mb resolution) for biological replicates R1 and R2. **(C)** Representative IGV browser tracks of Hi-C PC1 compartment profiles (200-kb resolution) for chromosomes 2, 7, and 18. **(D)** Quantification of Hi-C PC1 contribution rates for individual chromosomes throughout interphase for replicate 1 (**top**) and replicate 2 (**bottom**) at 200-kb resolution.




Figure S4. Cell-cycle-phased Hi-C data of individual chromosomes

(A) Hi-C contact maps (1-Mb resolution) of representative chromosomes (chr3, 4, 8, 12, and 19) across cell-cycle phases. **(B)** Contact probability, $P(s)$, versus genomic distance on a log-log scale (1-Mb resolution) for individual chromosomes. Data are from merged biological replicates (N=2).




Figure S5. Re-analysis of single-cell Hi-C data from Nagano *et al.*¹² (pseudo-bulk analysis)

(A) Contact probability versus genomic distance. Log-log plots of $P(s)$ for merged single-cell Hi-C data (1-Mb resolution, $N=2$ biological replicates). Consistent with our findings, G1 phase exhibits the longest interaction range compared to mid- and late S/G2 phases. **(B)** Principal component analysis (PCA) of Hi-C contact matrices (1-Mb resolution; sample size = 50,000) demonstrates high reproducibility between biological replicates. **(C)** Hi-C contact maps (1-Mb resolution) of representative chromosomes (chr 8, 11, and 15) across cell-cycle phases of replicate 1. **(D)** Compartment strength quantification. Hi-C saddle plots for replicate 1 (**top**) and replicate 2 (**bottom**) at 1-Mb resolution show overall compartment strength (numeric values in black) and specific A-A, B-B, and A-B interaction frequencies (numeric values in white). The color scale represents observed/expected (O/E) contact frequencies in 5-percentile bins. As in our data, A/B compartment strength peaks during S-phase and diminishes in late S/G2.




Figure S6. Reversible G1/G0 arrest of mESCs by INK-128

(A) Schematic of the time-course cell-cycle arrest experiment with INK-128 (1 μ M). INK, INK-128. **(B)** Representative images of cells under each condition (numbered as in (A)), with corresponding cell-count quantification (mean $\pm$ SD, N=3). **(C)** Cell proliferation following long-term (120 h) INK-128 treatment and release into fresh medium (mean $\pm$ SD, N=3). SD, standard deviation.




Figure S7. FACS and Hi-C data analysis after cell-cycle perturbation

(A) FACS analysis of INK-128-treated (G1/G0-arrested) cells. DNA content (**top panel**), Geminin-mVenus (log scale) versus DNA content (**middle panel**), and Cdt1-mCherry (log scale) versus DNA content (**bottom panel**). Purple gates indicate cell populations sorted for Hi-C. **(B)** Contact probability, $P(s)$, versus genomic distance for INK-128-arrested cells (log-log scale, 1-Mb resolution). Data are from single biological replicates of independent experiments (N=2). **(C)** FACS analysis of Nocodazole/Thymidine-treated (G1/S-arrested) cells. Panels are as in (A). Cell-cycle stages (labeled in pink) were inferred for each population based on Geminin and Cdt1 fluorescence. **(D)** Contact probability, $P(s)$, versus genomic distance for G1/S-arrested cells (log-log scale, 1-Mb resolution). Data are from single biological replicates of independent experiments (N=2). **(E)** t-SNE analysis with k-means clustering (k=2) of compartment saddle plot data (1-Mb resolution) from asynchronous cell-cycle samples (early G1, late G1, early S, mid S) and synchronized samples (INK-128-treated and Nocodazole + Thymidine-treated). Labels “1” and “2” denote biological replicates 1 and 2, respectively.



Figure S8. Calder subcompartment organization of representative chromosomes across interphase

IGV browser tracks of Calder subcompartments (40-kb resolution) for representative chromosomes (chr 2, 6, 13 and 15) across all cell-cycle stages. Data are from merged biological replicates (N=2).




Figure S9. Quantification of A/B compartment PC1 signal “smoothness” by mean-square gradient (MSG)

(A) Example genomic region showing Hi-C PC1 compartment profiles on IGV, illustrating smoothness of the A-compartment signal in S phase compared with G1. **(B) (Top)** Two schematic examples of compartment-like signals. The signal on the right is an artificially smoothed version of the signal on the left using a sliding-window average. **(Middle)** The gradient of each curve is plotted, revealing reduced variation in the smoothed curve, while keeping the same vertical limits. **(Bottom)** The squared gradient for each curve is plotted. The corresponding mean-square gradient (MSG) values are indicated. The smooth curve shows an MSG over tenfold lower, demonstrating the utility of MSG for quantifying smoothness. **(C)** Ratio of MSG between strong A (top > 1 SD; SD, standard deviation) and strong B (bottom > 1 SD) compartment signals (Hi-C PC1) for each cell-cycle stage. **(D)** MSG values for compartments defined by different thresholds. From left to right, compartments are defined with increasingly stringent thresholds: the top versus bottom 10%, 5%, 3%, and 2% of Hi-C PC1 values. Data points represent average MSG values. Data in **(C, D)** are from merged biological replicates (N=2), analyzed at 200-kb resolution.




Figure S10. Representative genomic regions showing A-domain reorganization during S-phase

Observed/Expected Hi-C matrices and corresponding PC1 compartment profiles for six genomic regions (listed below), comparing late G1 and early S phase. Purple arrowheads indicate loss of intra-A compartment signal in early S phase relative to late G1, while black arrowheads mark reduced contact frequency between neighboring A compartments. The right panel shows the differential contact heatmap (ES - LG1, early S - late G1). Region coordinates from top to bottom: chr1: 126-144 Mb; chr4: 38-51 Mb; chr5: 110-133 Mb; chr11: 71-81 Mb; chr15: 71-90 Mb; chr17: 22-38 Mb.




Figure S11. Correlation between reconstructed 3D structures and Hi-C data across the cell cycle

Absolute Spearman correlation coefficients between experimentally derived Hi-C contact matrices (200-kb bins) and distances from 3D genome structures reconstructed using the LorDG-3D Modeler in GenomeFlow^{2.0} using a conversion factor of 0.6 (10,000 iterations). Line plots show correlations for all chromosomes across different cell-cycle phases.




Figure S12. Simulated 3D dynamics of A and B compartment domains across cell-cycle phases

(A) Comparison of mean bin-to-bin distances between A and B compartment domains (> 1 Mb) across cell-cycle phases. Boxplots show the median and quartiles. Each point represents a single domain. Pairwise comparisons between consecutive phases were performed using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test, and p-values were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. **(B,C)** Mean bin-to-bin distances for A compartment domains **(B)** and B compartment domains **(C)** by chromosome. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD (points and error bars). Numbers indicate the total number of domains per chromosome. Data in panels **(A-C)** are from merged biological replicates (N=2) analyzed at 200-kb resolution.

Data availability

The raw Hi-C sequencing data have been deposited in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) under BioProject accession PRJDB37948. The processed Hi-C data are available in the Gene Expression Omnibus under accession GSE312348. The live-cell imaging and single-cell tracking data (Fucci-mESCs) are available at Zenodo: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17509931>

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all members of the Hiratani laboratory for their insightful discussions and feedback throughout this project. We extend special thanks to Y. Furuta, H. Kiyonari, and M. Kaneko (RIKEN BDR animal facility, LARGE) for providing the Fucci2 mESCs and T. Abe for single Fucci reporter blastocysts. We also thank S. Takahashi and A. Ueno for their technical assistance in deriving mESCs from blastocysts; R. Poonperm for experimental and analytical support; and M. Takiguchi for technical assistance with live-cell imaging on the CellDiscoverer7 microscope. Finally, we thank T. Takahashi, Y. Kawasoe, A. Sakaue-Sawano, A. Miyawaki, Y. Wang, J. Cheng, and M. Magnitov for their valuable scientific input. We also acknowledge the use of large language models, specifically ChatGPT (OpenAI), Gemini (Google), DeepSeek (DeepSeek-AI), and Perplexity AI, to assist with the editing of the manuscript text, as well as for troubleshooting code during data analysis. The authors reviewed, edited, and take full responsibility for all content. This work was supported by a RIKEN International Program Associate (IPA) fellowship to L.C.; RIKEN BDR intramural grants, the RIKEN Pioneering Project ‘Genome Building from TADs’, MEXT KAKENHI Grant Number JP18H05530, JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP20K20582 and JP25H00982, and JST CREST Grant Number JPMJCR20S5 to I.H.

Additional information

Author contributions

L.C. and I.H. conceived the study. L.C. designed and performed the majority of the experimental work and conducted the bioinformatic analyses. Hi-C experiments were performed jointly by L.C. and T.I. H.M. generated key preliminary data and facilitated data conversion between analytical platforms. A.O. characterized the INK-128-induced G1-like arrest in detail and provided experimental support and R.C. performed MSG analysis and generated the panels in Fig. S9. The manuscript was written by L.C. and I.H. with input from all co-authors.

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
MEXT RIKEN (理研)		Ichiro Hiratani
MEXT Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS)		Ichiro Hiratani
Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT)		Ichiro Hiratani
MEXT Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST)		Ichiro Hiratani

Author ORCID iDs

Linda Choubani: <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6434-2446>

Ichiro Hiratani: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3710-3540>

Additional files

[Table S1](#) 

[Table S2](#) 

[Table S3](#) 

References

1. **Cremer T., Cremer C** (2001) Chromosome territories, nuclear architecture and gene regulation in mammalian cells. *Nat Rev Genet* **2**:292-301
2. **Bonev B., Cavalli G** (2016) Organization and function of the 3D genome. *Nat Rev Genet* **17**:661-678
3. **Lieberman-Aiden E., et al.** (2009) Comprehensive Mapping of Long-Range Interactions Reveals Folding Principles of the Human Genome. *Science* **326**:289-293
4. **van Steensel B., Belmont A. S** (2017) Lamina-Associated Domains: Links with Chromosome Architecture, Heterochromatin, and Gene Repression. *Cell* **169**:780-791
5. **Naumova N., et al.** (2013) Organization of the Mitotic Chromosome. *Science* **342**:948-953
6. **Gibcus J. H., et al.** (2018) A pathway for mitotic chromosome formation. *Science* **359**:eaao6135
7. **Samejima K., et al.** (2025) Rules of engagement for condensins and cohesins guide mitotic chromosome formation. *Science* **388**:eadq1709
8. **Abramo K., et al.** (2019) A chromosome folding intermediate at the condensin-to-cohesin transition during telophase. *Nat Cell Biol* **21**:1393-1402
9. **Zhang H., et al.** (2019) Chromatin Structure Dynamics During the Mitosis to G1-Phase Transition. *Nature* **576**:158-162
10. **Kang H., et al.** (2020) Dynamic regulation of histone modifications and long-range chromosomal interactions during postmitotic transcriptional reactivation. *Genes Dev* **34**:913-930
11. **Pelham-Webb B., et al.** (2021) H3K27ac bookmarking promotes rapid post-mitotic activation of the pluripotent stem cell program without impacting 3D chromatin reorganization. *Mol Cell* **81**:1732-1748.e8
12. **Nagano T., et al.** (2017) Cell-cycle dynamics of chromosomal organisation at single-cell resolution. *Nature* **547**:61-67
13. **Hiratani I., et al.** (2008) Global Reorganization of Replication Domains During Embryonic Stem Cell Differentiation. *PLOS Biology* **6**:e245
14. **Pope B. D., et al.** (2014) Topologically associating domains are stable units of replication-timing regulation. *Nature* **515**:402-405
15. **Cooper S** (2003) Rethinking synchronization of mammalian cells for cell cycle analysis. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **60**:1099-1106
16. **Sakaue-Sawano A., et al.** (2008) Visualizing Spatiotemporal Dynamics of Multicellular Cell-Cycle Progression. *Cell* **132**:487-498
17. **Abe T., et al.** (2013) Visualization of cell cycle in mouse embryos with Fucci2 reporter directed by Rosa26 promoter. *Development* **140**:237-246
18. **Boward B., Wu T., Dalton S** (2016) Concise Review: Control of Cell Fate Through Cell Cycle and Pluripotency Networks. *Stem Cells* **34**:1427-1436
19. **Manders E. M. M., Kimura H., Cook P. R** (1999) Direct Imaging of DNA in Living Cells Reveals the Dynamics of Chromosome Formation. *J Cell Biol* **144**:813-822
20. **Liu Y., et al.** (2021) Systematic inference and comparison of multi-scale chromatin sub-compartments connects spatial organization to cell phenotypes. *Nat Commun* **12**:2439
21. **Meshorer E., et al.** (2006) Hyperdynamic Plasticity of Chromatin Proteins in Pluripotent Embryonic Stem Cells. *Developmental Cell* **10**:105-116

22. Bonev B., et al. (2017) Multiscale 3D Genome Rewiring during Mouse Neural Development. *Cell* **171**:557-572.e24
23. Liu Z., et al. (2023) Linking genome structures to functions by simultaneous single-cell Hi-C and RNA-seq. *Science* **380**:1070-1076
24. Boroviak T., Loos R., Bertone P., Smith A., Nichols J (2014) The ability of inner-cell-mass cells to self-renew as embryonic stem cells is acquired following epiblast specification. *Nat Cell Biol* **16**:516-528
25. Magnitov M. D., Garaev A. K., Tyakht A. V., Ulianov S. V., Razin S. V (2022) Pentad: a tool for distance-dependent analysis of Hi-C interactions within and between chromatin compartments. *BMC Bioinformatics* **23**:116
26. Trieu T., Oluwadare O., Wopata J., Cheng J (2019) GenomeFlow: a comprehensive graphical tool for modeling and analyzing 3D genome structure. *Bioinformatics* **35**:1416-1418
27. Dimitrova D. S., Gilbert D. M (1999) The spatial position and replication timing of chromosomal domains are both established in early G1 phase. *Mol Cell* **4**:983-993
28. Dileep V., et al. (2015) Topologically associating domains and their long-range contacts are established during early G1 coincident with the establishment of the replication-timing program. *Genome Res* **25**:1104-1113
29. Miura H., et al. (2019) Single-cell DNA replication profiling identifies spatiotemporal developmental dynamics of chromosome organization. *Nat Genet* **51**:1356-1368
30. Liu Y., et al. (2024) Fork coupling directs DNA replication elongation and termination. *Science* **383**:1215-1222
31. Gholamalamdari O., et al. (2025) Major nuclear locales define nuclear genome organization and function beyond A and B compartments. *eLife* **13**:RP99116 <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.99116>
32. Pabba M. K., et al. (2023) Replisome loading reduces chromatin motion independent of DNA synthesis. *eLife* **12**:RP87572 <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.87572>
33. van Schaik T., Vos M., Peric-Hupkes D., HN Celie P., van Steensel B. (2020) Cell cycle dynamics of lamina-associated DNA. *EMBO reports* **21**:e50636
34. Zhang H., et al. (2021) CTCF and transcription influence chromatin structure re-configuration after mitosis. *Nat Commun* **12**:5157
35. Murakami K., Araki K., Ohtsuka S., Wakayama T., Niwa H (2011) Choice of random rather than imprinted X inactivation in female embryonic stem cell-derived extra-embryonic cells. *Development* **138**:197-202
36. Kadota M., et al. (2020) Multifaceted Hi-C benchmarking: what makes a difference in chromosome-scale genome scaffolding?. *Gigascience* **9**:giz158
37. Kruse K., Hug C. B., Vaquerizas J. M (2020) FAN-C: a feature-rich framework for the analysis and visualisation of chromosome conformation capture data. *Genome Biol* **21**:303
38. Flyamer I. M., et al. (2017) Single-nucleus Hi-C reveals unique chromatin reorganization at oocyte-to-zygote transition. *Nature* **544**:110-114
39. Abdennur N., Mirny L. A (2020) Cooler: scalable storage for Hi-C data and other genomically labeled arrays. *Bioinformatics* **36**:311-316

Peer reviews

Reviewer #1 (Public review):

This work convincingly shows that, rather than gradually "evolving" throughout interphase, global chromatin architecture undergoes unexpectedly sharp remodeling at G1-S (and to a lesser extent, S-G2) transitions. By applying "standard" Hi-C analyses on carefully sorted cells, the authors provide an excellent temporal view of how global chromatin architecture is changed throughout the cell cycle. They show a surprisingly abrupt increase in

compartmentation strength (particularly interactions between the "active" A compartments) at G1-S transition, which is slightly weakened at S-G2 transition. Follow-up experiments show convincingly that the compartment "maturation" does not require the DNA synthesis accompanying S phase per se, but the authors have not identified the responsible factors (work for future publications). The possible biological ramifications of these architectural changes (setting up potential replication "factories", and/or facilitating transcription-replication conflict resolution, both more pertinent for the active A compartments, which are most affected) have been well discussed in the article, but still remain speculative at this stage.

My major criticism of this article is aimed more at the state of the field in general, rather than this specific article, but it should be discussed to give a more balanced view: what actually is a chromatin compartment? Chromosomal tracing and live tracking experiments have shown that the majority of "structures" identified from Hi-C experiments are statistical phenomena, with even "strong" interactions only being infrequent and transient. A-B compartments are "built up" from multiple very low-frequency "interactions", so ascribing causal effects for genome functions is even tougher. As a result, I have very little confidence in the results of the authors' polymer simulations and their inferred "peninsula" A compartment structures without any other supporting experimental data.

Specific minor points:

(1) A better explanation for how Figure 1E was generated is required, because this figure could be very misleading. Figure 1F and all other cis-decay plots (and the Hi-C maps themselves) show that the strongest interactions are always at smaller genomic separations, so why should there be more "heat" at the megabase ranges in Figure 1E?

(2) An ultra-high-resolution Hi-C study (Harris et al., Nat Commun, 2023) identified very small A and B compartments, including distinctions between gene promoters and gene bodies, raising further questions as to what the nature of a compartment really is beyond a statistical phenomenon. It is unreasonable to expect the authors to generate maps as deep as this prior study, but how much do their conclusions change according to the resolution of their compartment calling? The authors should include a balanced discussion on the "meaning" of A/B compartments.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.110073.1.sa1>

Reviewer #2 (Public review):

Summary:

This manuscript by Choubani et al presents a technically strong analysis of A/B compartment dynamics across interphase using cell-cycle-resolved Hi-C. By combining the elegant Fucci-based staging system with in situ Hi-C, the authors achieve unusually fine temporal resolution across G1, S, and G2, particularly within the short G1 phase of mESCs. The central finding that A/B compartment strength increases abruptly at the G1/S transition, stabilizes during S phase, and subsequently weakens toward G2 challenges the prevailing view that compartmentalization strengthens monotonically throughout interphase. The authors further propose that this "compartment maturation" is triggered by S-phase entry but occurs independently of active DNA synthesis, and that it involves a consolidation and large-scale reorganization of A-compartment domains.

Strengths:

Overall, this is a thoughtfully executed study that will be of broad interest to the 3D genome community. The data are of high quality, and the analyses are extensive, albeit not completely

novel. In particular, previous work (Nagano et al 2017 and Zhang et al 2019) has shown that compartments are re-established after mitosis and strengthened during early interphase, and single-cell Hi-C studies have reported changes in compartment association across S phase. In particular, Nagano et al show that DNA replication correlates with a build-up of compartments, similar to what is presented here, with the authors' conclusion that compartment strength peaks in early S. The idea that it weakens toward G2, rather than continuing to strengthen, appears to be novel and differs from the prevailing framing in the literature.

Weaknesses:

That said, several aspects of the conceptual framing and interpretation would also benefit from further clarification, and the mechanistic interpretation of the reported compartment dynamics requires more careful positioning relative to established models of genome organization. Specific concerns are outlined below:

(1) One of the major conclusions of the study is that compartment maturation does not require ongoing DNA replication. However, the interpretation would benefit from more precise wording. Thymidine arrest still permits licensing, replisome assembly, and other S-phase-associated chromatin changes upstream of bulk DNA synthesis. Therefore, their data, as presented, demonstrate independence from DNA synthesis per se, but not necessarily from the broader replication program. Please clarify this distinction in the text and interpretations throughout the manuscript.

(2) A major conceptual issue that is not addressed at all is the well-established anti-correlation between cohesin-mediated loop extrusion and A/B compartmentalization. Numerous studies have shown that loss of cohesin or reduced loop extrusion leads to stronger compartment signals, whereas increased cohesin residence or enhanced extrusion weakens compartmentalization. Given this framework, an obvious alternative explanation for the authors' observations is that the abrupt increase in compartment strength at G1/S, and its decline toward G2, could reflect cell-cycle-dependent modulation of cohesin activity rather than a compartment-intrinsic "maturation" program.

The manuscript does not explicitly consider this possibility, nor does it examine loop extrusion-related features (such as loop strength, insulation, or stripe patterns) across the same cell-cycle stages. Without discussing or analyzing this widely accepted model, it is difficult to distinguish whether the reported compartment dynamics represent a novel architectural mechanism or an indirect consequence of known changes in extrusion behavior during the cell cycle. I strongly encourage the authors to analyze their data to determine if they observe anti-correlated loop changes at the same time they observe compartment changes. Ideally, the authors would remove loop extrusion during interphase using well-established cohesin degrons available in mESCs and determine if the relative differences in compartment dynamics persist.

(3) The proposed "peninsula-like" A-domain structures are inferred from ensemble Hi-C data and polymer modeling, rather than directly observed physical conformations. That is, single-cell imaging data clearly have shown that Hi-C (especially ensemble Hi-C) cannot uniquely specify physical conformations and that different underlying structures can produce similar contact patterns. The "peninsula" language, as written, risks being interpreted as a literal structural model rather than a conceptual visualization. Instead of risking this as just another nuanced Hi-C feature in the field, the authors could strengthen the manuscript by either (i) explicitly framing the peninsula model as a heuristic description of contact redistribution rather than a definitive physical architecture, or (ii) discussing alternative structural scenarios that could give rise to similar Hi-C patterns. Clarifying this distinction would improve the rigor and help readers better understand what aspects of A-compartment consolidation are directly supported by the data versus model-based extrapolations. For

example, it would be useful to clarify whether the observed increase in long-range A-A contacts reflects spatial extension of internal A regions, changes in loop extrusion dynamics, increased compartment mixing within the A state, or population-averaged heterogeneity across alleles.

(4) The extension of the analysis to additional cell types using HiRES single-cell data is a valuable addition and supports the idea that compartment maturation is not unique to mESCs. However, the limitations of these data, in particular, the limited phase resolution, in addition to the pseudo-bulk aggregation and variable coverage, should be emphasized more clearly in the main text. Framing these results as evidence for conservation in principle, rather than definitive proof of identical dynamics across tissues, would be a more appropriate framing.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.110073.1.sa0>