

Deciphering deep-sea chemosynthetic symbiosis by single-nucleus RNA-sequencing

Reviewed Preprint

Published from the original preprint after peer review and assessment by eLife.

About eLife's process

Reviewed preprint posted

August 3, 2023 (this version)

Posted to bioRxiv

May 31, 2023

Sent for peer review

April 18, 2023

Hao Wang, Kai He, Huan Zhang, Quanyong Zhang, Lei Cao, Jing Li, Zhaoshan Zhong, Hao Chen, Li Zhou, Chao Lian, Minxiao Wang, Kai Chen, Pei-Yuan Qian , Chaolun Li 

Center of Deep-Sea Research, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China • Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Guangzhou), Nansha, Guangzhou, China • Department of Ocean Science, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong S.A.R, China • Key Laboratory of Conservation and Application in Biodiversity of South China, School of Life Sciences, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510006, China • State Key Laboratory of Primate Biomedical Research, Institute of Primate Translational Medicine, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, China • South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China • University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open_access

 <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Abstract

Bathymodioline mussels dominate deep-sea methane seep and hydrothermal vent habitats and obtain nutrients and energy primarily through chemosynthetic endosymbiotic bacteria in the bacteriocytes of their gill. However, the molecular mechanisms that orchestrate mussel host-symbiont interactions remain unclear. Here, we constructed a comprehensive cell atlas of the gill in the mussel *Gigantidas platifrons* from the South China Sea methane seeps (1100m depth) using single-nucleus RNA sequencing (snRNA-seq) and whole-mount *in situ* hybridisation. We identified 13 types of cells, including three previously unknown ones, uncovered unknown tissue heterogeneity. Every cell type has a designated function in supporting the gill's structure and function, creating an optimal environment for chemosynthesis, and effectively acquiring nutrients from the endosymbiotic bacteria. Analysis of snRNA-seq of *in situ* transplanted mussels clearly showed the shifts in cell state in response to environmental oscillations. Our findings provide insight into principles of host-symbiont interaction and the bivalves' environmental adaption mechanisms.

eLife assessment

This study provides an **important** cell atlas of the gill of the mussel *Gigantidas platifrons* using a single nucleus RNA-seq dataset, a resource for the community of scientists studying deep sea physiology and metabolism and intracellular host-symbiont relationships. The work, which offers **solid** insights into cellular responses to starvation stress and molecular mechanisms behind deep-sea chemosymbiosis, is of relevance to scientists interested in host-symbiont relationships across ecosystems.

Introduction

Mutualistic interactions between multicellular animals and their microbiota play a fundamental role in animals' adaptation, ecology, and evolution^{1,2}. By associating with symbionts, host animals benefit from the metabolic capabilities of their symbionts and gain fitness advantages that allow them to thrive in habitats they could not live in on their own^{1,3}. Prime examples of such symbioses are bathymodioline mussels and gammaproteobacteria endosymbionts⁴. The bathymodioline mussels occur worldwide at deep-sea chemosynthetic ecosystems, such as cold-seeps, hydrothermal vents, and whale falls⁴. The host mussel acquired sulfur-oxidising (SOX) and/or methane-oxidising (MOX) symbiont through horizontal transmission at their early life stage³. Their symbionts, which are hosted in a specialised gill epithelial cell, namely, the bacteriocytes^{5,6}, utilise the chemical energy from the reduced chemical compounds such as CH₄ and H₂S released from cold seeps or hydrothermal vents to fix carbon and turn into carbon source for the host mussel^{7,8}. The ecological success of the bathymodioline symbioses is apparent: the bathymodiolin mussels are often among the most dominant species in the deep-sea chemosynthetic ecosystems^{9,10}. Thus, it is critical to know how the bathymodioline mussels interact with the symbionts and maintain the stability and efficiency of the symbiosis.

The gill of bathymodiolin mussels has adapted to deep-sea chemosynthetic life at the levels of molecule, cell and tissue^{11,12}. Compared to shallow water mussels¹³, the gill filaments of bathymodiolin mussels have enlarged surfaces allowing them to hold more symbionts per filament (Supplementary Fig. S1). This adaption requires not only novel cellular and molecular mechanisms to maintain and support the enlarged gill filament structure but also a strong ciliary ventilation system to pump vent/seep fluid to fuel the symbiotic bacteria. Previous studies based on whole-genome sequencing and bulk RNA-seq projects have shown that genes in the categories of nutrient transporters, lysosomal proteins, and immune receptors are either expanded in the host mussel's genome or up-regulated in the gill^{12,14–17}, suggesting that these genes involved in host-symbiont interaction. Though providing deep molecular insights, these studies mainly used homogenised tissues that average genes' expression levels amongst different cell types and eliminate cell and gene spatial distribution information^{18–21}. In addition, the broad expression and function of potentially 'symbiosis-related' proteins also greatly limited data interpretation. Therefore, a systemic atlas of gill cell types and the descriptions of cell-type-specific gene expressional profiles are warranted to a better understanding of the host-symbiont interaction and environmental adaptation mechanisms of the bathymodioline symbiosis.

In recent years, single cell/nucleus RNA-sequencing (sc/sn RNA-seq) technologies have become one of the preferred methods for investigating the composition of complex tissue at the transcriptional level²². snRNA-seq has several advantages, such as compatibility with frozen samples, elimination of dissociation-induced transcriptional stress responses, and reduced dissociation bias²³. These advantages are significant for deep-sea and other ecological studies because cell and molecular biology facilities are not available in the field. To examine the cellular and molecular mechanisms of the environmental adaptations and host-symbiont interactions in bathymodioline mussels, we conducted a single nucleus RNA-sequencing-based transcriptomic study. We analysed the gill symbiosis using deep-sea mussels *Gigantidas platifrons*²⁴. Our work provides a proof-of-principle for environmental adaptation mechanisms study in non-traditional but ecologically important organisms with single-nucleus RNA-sequencing technologies.

1. *G. platifrons* deep-sea *in situ* transplant experiment and single-cell transcriptomic analysis

We conducted a *G. platifrons* deep-sea *in situ* transplant experiment at the “F-Site” Cold-seep (~1117 m depth) and retrieved three groups of samples (**Fig. 1A**), as follows: the ‘Fanmao’ (meaning prosperous) group, which comprised the mussels collected from methane-rich (~40 μM) site of the cold-seep, where the animals thrived; the ‘starvation’ group, which comprised the mussels collected from the methane-rich site and then moved to a methane-limited (~0.054 μM) starvation site for 14 days before retrieval; and the ‘reconstitution’ group, which comprised the methane-rich site mussels moved to the ‘Starvation’ site for 11 days and then moved back to the methane-rich site for another 3 days.

We next performed single nucleus RNA-sequencing (snRNA-seq) of the gill posterior tip of the three groups of samples using the microwell-based BD Rhapsody platform™ Single-Cell Analysis System (**Fig. 1A**). After quality control, we obtained 9717 (Fanmao), 21614 (Starvation), and 28928 (Reconstitution) high-quality single nuclei transcriptomic data.

To minimise the dropout effect in snRNAseq, we utilised a reciprocal PCA (RPCA) strategy to project cells in the three samples onto the same space based on conserved expressed genes among them²⁵. This strategy maximises the number of cells per cluster regardless of the organism’s state and therefore maximises genes per cluster²⁶. We revealed 14 cell clusters and their corresponding marker genes (**Figs. 1B–D**) with Seurat^{27, 28}. Because there are few available canonical marker genes per cell type for *G. platifrons* and molluscs, we carefully characterised each cell cluster by 1) examining the cluster marker genes’ functions, 2) identifying the expression pattern of cluster marker gene using whole-mount *in situ* hybridisation (WISH) and/or double-fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation (FISH) analyses, 3) conducting scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analyses, and 4) evaluating the stability per cluster using a bootstrapping algorithm. We then successfully identified 13 of the 14 cell clusters, which could be generally divided into four groups, including 1) the supportive cells, 2) the ciliary cells, 3) the proliferation cells, and 4) the bacteriocytes. One cell cluster remained ambiguous. Notably, a bootstrapping strategy suggested that all clusters were highly supported when using all three samples (Supplementary Fig. S2A). When using each sample individually, most clusters were also well supported except of the three ciliary cells (Supplementary Fig. S2 B-D). This could be due to that these cells were derived from the same precursor cells, and at least partially because they were represented by fewer numbers of nuclei and thus fewer available genes, especially in the food groove ciliary cell (Supplementary Table S1). The spatial and functional annotation of the cell clusters revealed that the cell clusters worked in close cooperation to support the symbiont and maintain a high-efficiency chemosynthetic system.

2. Cell atlas of *G. platifrons* gill

Supportive cells

We have identified four supportive cell types, namely, inter lamina cells basal membrane cells (BMC)1, BMC2, and mucus cells (**Figs 2A** and **2B**).

The inter lamina cells, marked by high expression of fibrillar-forming collagens, were the cells located between the two layers of basal membranes. These cells were previously identified as amoeboid cells¹³, and their function has not been explored. The inter lamina cells were densely distributed around the food groove and at the rim of the gill filament. While on the middle part of the gill filament, the inter lamina cells distributed in parallel rows. It might help to connect the




Figure 1

Identification of 14 cell types in the gill of deep-sea symbiotic mussel *Gigantidas platifrons*. A: Overall experimental scheme describing the deep-sea *in situ* transplant experiment, the sample preparation procedures and the single-cell analysis and validation pipeline. Three *G. platifrons* samples were included in the present study: 'Fanmao,' Starvation and Reconstitution. The cell nucleus was extracted from each sample, which included a pool of gill posterior tip of three mussels. The snRNA-seq libraries were constructed according to the BD Rhapsody single-nuclei 3' protocol. Cell population-specific markers were validated by WISH and ISH. B: The image shows the posterior end of the gill of *G. platifrons*. C: UMAP representation of *G. platifrons* gill single cells. Cell clusters are coloured and distinctively labelled. D: Heat map profile of markers in each cluster. The colour gradient represents the expression level of every single cell.




Figure 2

Supportive cell populations of *G. platifrons* gill. A: UMAP representation of the four supportive cell populations. B: Expression profiles of the cell markers that are specific or enriched in the supportive cell populations. The sizes of the circles represent the percentages of cells in those clusters that expressed a specific gene. Genes shown in red were validated by WISH or double FISH. C and C': Schematics demonstrating the overall structural (panel C) and supportive cell distribution (C'). D-F: WISH characterisation of the selected representative cell population markers. H-K: Double FISH characterisation of the selected representative cell population markers. The marker genes confirmed by WISH and ISH in the current study are indicated in red. Scale bar: 50µm.

two sheets of basal membranes and maintain the spatial integrity of the gill filament. In addition, we observed the enrichment of ribosomal proteins involved in protein synthesis (Supplementary Table S2), indicating a high metabolic rate²⁹ of inter lamina cells. This implies that the inter lamina cells may process the nutrients acquired from the symbiont.

We have also identified two types of BMC populations which have not been recognised before. The BMC1 expressed genes encoding extracellular matrix and adhesive proteins (**Fig. 2B** and Supplementary Table S2), such as SCO-spondin (Bpl_scaf_27638-4.18), Zonadhesin (Bpl_scaf_1371-2.4), Cartilage matrix proteins (Bpl_scaf_16371-0.14 and Bpl_scaf_3387-5.47), and Collagen alpha-1(XXI) chain protein (Bpl_scaf_51350-0.19). BMC2 (**Fig. 2B** and Supplementary Table S2) was distinctive from BMC1 in the context of expression (**Fig. 2A**) and marker genes (**Fig. 2B**). Genes encoding hemiscintins (Bpl_scaf_38731-0.50 and Bpl_scaf_7293-0.14), which are known to stabilise the cells' contact with the basal membrane³⁰, were highly expressed in BMC2. Thus, these two cell types are likely to help building the basal lamina, and stabilising the epithelial-derived cells, such as bacteriocytes and intercalary cells, on the surface of the basal membrane.

Mucus cells are specialised secretory cells with intracellular mucus vacuoles¹³. In shallow-water filter-feeding bivalves, mucus cells secrete mucus, which cooperates with the ciliary ventilation system to capture, process, and transport food particles to their mouths, known as filter feeding mechanism^{31–34}. Deep-sea mussels may not necessarily retain this function since they do not normally acquire food resources such as phytoplankton and planktonic bacteria but obtain most of nutrient through their chemosynthetic symbiont. Thus, it was hypothesised that mucus cells are involved in other biological functions such as immune responses to pathogens¹⁸. Herein, genes encoding proteins with microbe-binding functionalities were enriched in mucus cells (**Fig. 2B** and Supplementary Table S2), such as C-type lectins (Bpl_scaf_58694-0.22 and Bpl_scaf_38133-0.8), C1q domain-containing protein (Bpl_scaf_55216-2.11), and Fibrinogen C domain-containing protein (Bpl_scaf_18519-2.15)^{35, 36}. The expression of similar immune genes was upregulated in a shallow water mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* when challenged by a pathogenic bacteria³⁷. Our WISH and double-FISH analyses showed that mucus cells were embedded within the outer rim cilia and scattered on the gill lamella alongside the bacteriocytes (Figs. **2G** and **2K**). These data collectively suggest that mucus cells may help mussel maintain the immune homeostasis of gill. Interestingly, WISH and double-FISH analyses showed that mucus cells were also distributed alongside the gill lamella's food groove and the inner edge, where the density of bacteriocytes is low (**Fig. 2G**). Because the food groove is the main entry of food practical to the labial palps and mouth³⁸, this distribution pattern implies that mucus cells may be also involved in capturing planktonic bacteria and sending them to the mouth.

Ciliary and smooth muscle cells

A remarkable feature of bathymodioline mussel's gill is its ciliary ventilation system, which constantly agitates the water and provides the symbiont with the necessary gas³⁹. We identified four types of ciliary cells (Figs. **3A** and **3B**): apical ridge ciliary cells (ARCCs), food groove ciliary cells (FGCCs), lateral ciliary cells (LCCs), and intercalary cells (ICs), as well as a newly identified type of smooth muscle cells. All ciliary cells were marked by canonical cilium genes, such as genes encoding flagellar proteins, ciliary motor kinesin proteins, ciliary dynein proteins, and ciliary microtubules and were clearly distinguishable by specifically expressed genes (Supplementary Table S3 and Supplementary Fig. S3). ARCCs were characterized by expression of Bpl_scaf_20631-1.16, which encodes homeobox Dlx6a-like protein (**Fig. 3D** and **3F**) which is a marker of the apical ectodermal ridge⁴⁰. FGCCs expressed genes encoding primary cilia development regulator Tubby-related protein 3⁴¹ (Bpl_scaf_24834-2.3) and primary ciliary cell structural protein TOG array regulator of axonemal microtubules protein 1^{42, 43} (Bpl_scaf_55620-1.3), suggesting the FGCCs are the sensory ciliary cells that gather information from the surrounding environment. Both ARCCs and FGCCs were located around the ventral tip of

the gill filament. LCCs were distributed as two parallel rows along the gill lamella's outer rim and ciliary disks' outer rim (Figs. [3G](#) and [3H](#)) and had highly expressed genes involved in cilium structure, cilium movement and ATP synthases (Fig. [3B](#) and Supplementary Table S3), indicating that LCCs may have a strong ability to beat their cilia.

Interestingly, we identified a group of previously unreported smooth muscle cells (SMCs) co-localised with the LCCs as showed by WISH (Fig. [3I](#) and Supplementary Fig. S4). SMCs strongly expressed several Low-density lipoprotein receptors (LDL receptor) and LDLR-associated proteins⁴⁴ (Fig. [3B](#)). In addition, these cells also expressed the angiotensin-converting enzyme-like protein⁴⁵ (Bpl_scaf_29477-5.10) and the 'molecular spring' titin-like protein⁴⁶ (Bpl_scaf_56354-7.8) (Supplementary Table S3). The expression of these genes could be commonly found in human vascular smooth muscle cells^{47–49}. Collectively, we suspect that SMCs are involved in lateral cilium movement and the gill slice contraction.

ICs are the specialised ciliary cells surrounding the bacteriocytes (Figs. [3B](#) and [3J](#)). WISH analysis showed that the expressions of IC markers had apparent spatial variations (Fig. [3J](#)). The expression of ICs marker rootletin^{50–52} (Bpl_scaf_22362-6.9) was considerably higher in the ICs close to the frontal edge of the gill filament, and the expression gradually decreased along with the direction of inter lamina water flow (Fig. [3K](#) and Supplementary Fig. S5), implying that ICs ventilate the water flow and the mucus through the gill filaments. Furthermore, compared with the other three types of ciliary cells, the ICs expressed several genes encoding transcription factors involved in determining cell fate (Fig. [3B](#) and Supplementary Table S3), such as transcription factors Sox 8 (Bpl_scaf_40595-5.30) and Wnt pathway cell polarity regulator secreted frizzled-related protein 5 (Bpl_scaf_57424-6.1)⁵³, suggesting that the ICs might also play regulatory roles^{54–56}.

Proliferation cells

It has long been known that the bathymodioline mussel gill has three types of proliferation cells that are conserved throughout all filibranchia bivalves: the budding zone at the posterior end of the gill where new gill filaments are continuously formed, and the dorsal and ventral ends of each gill filaments^{57, 58}. These "cambial-zone"-like cell populations could continuously proliferate throughout the whole life span of the mussel⁵⁸. Our snRNA-seq data recognised three types of proliferation cells, which is consistent with previous findings (Fig. [4A](#), Fig. [4B](#) and Supplementary Table S4). The gill posterior end budding zone cells (PEBZCs) are located on the first few freshly proliferated filaments of the posterior tip of gill (Figs. [4C](#) and [4D](#)). The PEBZCs marker gradually disappeared at around the 11th–12th role of the gill filament, suggesting the maturation of the gill filaments, which is similar to the developmental pattern reported in another deep-sea mussel *Bathymodiolus azoricus*⁵⁹. The dorsal end proliferation cells (DEPCs), which expressed the hallmarks genes of muscular tissue, as well as cell proliferation and differentiation regulators, were the proliferation cells in connective tissue at the dorsal end of the gill slice (Fig. [4A](#), 4E). The ventral end proliferation cells (VEPCs) were two symmetrical triangle-shaped cell clusters of small symbiont-free cells (Fig. [4F](#)). In VEPCs, genes encoding ribosomal proteins, chromatin proteins, RNA and DNA binding proteins, and cell proliferation markers were all up-regulated (Fig. [4B](#) and Supplementary Table S4), indicating that VEPCs are meristem-like cells^{59, 60}.

It has been hypothesised that new proliferation cells will be colonised by symbionts, serving as the vital mechanism of bacteriocyte recruitment⁵⁹. We then determined which proliferation cell type gives rise to the mature cells, especially the bacteriocytes, which has little available information regarding their precursor and transmission mode in bathymodioline mussels^{59, 61}. Slingshot trajectory analysis suggested that the PEBZCs might be the origin of all gill epithelial cells, including the other two proliferation cells (VEPC and DEPC) and bacteriocytes (Supplementary Fig. S6). The sole exception was BMC2, which may be derived from VEPC rather




Figure 3

Ciliary cell populations of *G. platifrons* gill. **A**: UMAP representation of the four ciliary cell populations and potential smooth muscle cell population. **B**: Expression profiles of the cell markers that are specific or enriched in the ciliary cell populations. The sizes of the circles represent the percentages of cells in those clusters that expressed a specific gene. The genes shown in red were validated by WISH or double FISH. **C-E, G** and **I-J**: WISH characterisation of the selected representative cell population markers. **F** and **H**: SEM analysis of the ciliary cells of *G. platifrons* gill. **K**: Schematic of the water flow agitated by different ciliary cells. The marker genes confirmed by WISH in the current study are indicated in red.




Figure 4

Proliferation cell populations of *G. platifrons* gill. A: UMAP representation of the three proliferation cell populations. B: Expression profiles of the cell markers that are specific or enriched in the supportive cell populations. The sizes of the circles represent the percentages of cells in those clusters that expressed a specific gene. Genes shown in red were validated by WISH or double FISH. C and D: Photographic and schematic analyses of the spatial position of the three Proliferation cell populations. E, F and J: FISH and WISH characterisation of the selected population markers. The marker genes confirmed by ISH or WISH in the current study are indicated in red. Scale bar: 50 μ m.

than PEBZC. This result is consistent with previous studies which suggested new gill filaments of the filibranch mussels are formed in the gill's posterior budding zones⁵⁹. The colonisation by the symbiont might play a crucial role in determining the fate of the bacteriocytes. Noticeably, in *G. platifrons*, only the pillar-shaped first row of gill filament, comprised of small meristem-like cells, was symbiont-free (**Figs. 4D and D**'), whereas all the other gill filaments were colonised by symbionts. This pattern of symbiosis establishment is different from that of *B. azoricus*, in which PEBZCs are symbiont free and are gradually colonised by symbionts released from the bacteriocytes on the adjacent mature gill filaments after maturation⁵⁹. On mature gill filaments, the DEPCs and VEPCs are seemingly the sources of new cells that sustain the growth of the gill filament from both dorsal and ventral directions, respectively⁵⁷.

3. Bacteriocytes and host-symbiont interaction

We conducted the whole-mount FISH using a bacterial 16S rRNA probe for symbiont to determine the spatial distribution of bacteriocytes, and their positions relative to the other cell types on the gill filament (**Figs. 5A**, B). Bacteriocytes covered the majority of the surface of the gill filament, except the ventral tip, the ciliary disk, and the frontal edge (lateral ciliary). The bacteriocytes were surrounded by intercalary cells with microvilli and cilia on the surface (**Fig. 5C**). Noticeably, the bacteriocytes' size and FISH signal intensity, which reflected the symbiont number in each bacteriocyte, gradually decreased from the heavily ciliated frontal edge to the inner edge, demonstrating that the symbiont abundance in each bacteriocyte was affected by the environmental gradient¹¹.

The gene expression profile of bacteriocytes aligned well with the morphological analysis, which suggested that the bacteriocytes have structural, metabolic, and regulatory adaptations to cope with the symbiont (**Figs. 5D**, **5F** and 5I). It has been hypothesised that the bacteriocytes extract nutrients from the symbiont through two pathways: lysing the symbiont through the lysosome (the 'farming' pathway) or directly utilising the nutrient produced by the symbiont (the 'milking' pathway)^{62, 63}. Our TEM observations clearly detected intracellular vacuole and lysosome system of the bacteriocyte that harbour, transport, and digest the symbionts (**Fig. 5D**), which is consistent with previous studies^{18, 64}. The snRNA data showed bacteriocytes expressed cellular membrane synthesis enzyme (phosphoethanolamine N-methyltransferase, Bpl_scaf_15282-3.10) and a series of lysosomal proteins such as lysosomal proteases (cathepsins; Bpl_scaf_61711-5.12, Bpl_scaf_46838-6.40 and Bpl_scaf_59648-4.5; lysosomal alpha-glucosidase-like), protease regulators 56 (TMPRSS15 proteins; Bpl_scaf_52188-1.15 and Bpl_scaf_15410-0.9), and lysosomal traffic regulator proteins (rabenosyn-5, Bpl_scaf_54816-0.3 and Bpl_scaf_52809-1.6, lysosomal-trafficking regulator-like isoform X1). Among the proteases, cathepsins were thought to be evolutionary conserved molecular tools that host utilized to control the residence of their symbiont microbes⁶⁵. They were also highly expressed in symbiotic tissue of other deep-sea chemosynthetic animals, such as vesicomyid clams and vestimentiferan tubeworms⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸. Bacteriocytes also expressed genes encoding cellular vesicle transports (kinesins, Bpl_scaf_14819-0.11, Bpl_scaf_54265-1.13, and Bpl_scaf_4784-1.40)⁵³, potential amino acid transporter⁵⁷ (Bpl_scaf_36159-5.5), and genes involved in intracellular vesicle transport, such as the FYVE and coiled-coil domain-containing protein 1⁵⁸ (Bpl_scaf_33726-5.7). In addition to form and mobilise early endosomes, the protein products of these genes could transport symbiont-secreted nutrient vesicles to the host (**Fig. 5F** and Supplementary Table S5), supporting the "milking" pathway.

The symbiont of *G. platifrons* belongs to type I methanotrophy, of which the core metabolic function is linked with the development of intracytoplasmic membranes leading to a high lipid/biomass content^{69, 70}. Recent lipid biomarker analyses showed that the gill of *G. platifrons* contains a high amount of bacterial lipids, which are directly utilised by the host to synthesise most of its lipid contents⁶⁶. Downstream of the bacteriocyte's metabolic cascade, genes encoding proteins that may be involved in fatty acid/lipid metabolism, such as perilipin2 (Bpl_scaf_27158-3.8), which is the critical protein to form intracellular lipid droplets⁷¹, and a variety of fatty acid metabolism enzymes (acetyl carboxylase 2, Bpl_scaf_55250-5.11⁷²; fatty acid




Figure 5

Characterisation of the bacteriocytes of *G. platifrons*. **A**: Schematic of the overall structure of *G. platifrons* gill filaments. **B**: Whole-mount FISH analyses of the overall distribution of bacteriocytes on the *G. platifrons* gill filament. **C**: SEM analysis of the bacteriocytes. **D**: TEM analysis of a bacteriocyte. **E**: UMAP representation of *G. platifrons* bacteriocytes. **F**: Expression profiles of the cell markers that are specific or enriched in the bacteriocytes. The sizes of the circles represent the percentages of cells in those clusters that expressed a specific gene. Double FISH validated the genes shown in red. **I**: Schematic of the host-symbiont interaction based on the single-cell transcriptome of *G. platifrons* bacteriocytes. The marker genes confirmed by ISH in the current study are indicated in red.

desaturase 1-like isoform X1, Bpl_scaf_35916-0.6⁷³, ⁷⁴; long-chain fatty acid-ligase ACSBG2-like isoform X1, Bpl_scaf_28862-1.5⁷⁵, ⁷⁶), were up-regulated, suggesting that the fatty acid could be a major form of nutrients passing from the symbiont to the host mussel.

Additionally, bacteriocytes expressed several solute carriers, including sodium/ascorbate cotransporter (solute carrier family 23 members 1-like, Bpl_scaf_32311-1.19), sodium/potassium/calcium exchanger (sodium potassium calcium exchanger 3-like, Bpl_scaf_14503-1.28), sodium/chloride ion cotransporter (solute carrier family 12 member 3-like isoform X1, Bpl_scaf_44604-3.7), ferrous iron transporter (solute carrier family 40 member 1-like, Bpl_scaf_63447-0.12) and zinc transporter (zinc transporter ZIP14-like, Bpl_scaf_44428-5.3). The solute carriers are a large family of ATP-dependent transporters that shuttle a variety of small molecules across the cellular membrane⁷⁷. In several model symbiotic systems, solute carriers play a vital role in host-symbiont interaction by either providing the symbiont with substrates⁷⁸, ⁷⁹ or transporting symbiont-produced nutrients to the host⁸⁰–⁸². Previous studies demonstrated that solute carrier genes were expanded in deep-sea chemosynthetic animals' genome⁸³ and highly expressed in symbiotic organs⁸⁴, including bathymodioline mussel's gill¹². In bathymodioline mussels, previous bulk RNA-seq studies detected up-regulated expression of a large variety of solute carriers in the gill, which is consistent with the present study, suggesting that solute carriers may play crucial roles in shuttling nutrients in and out of bacteriocytes and in maintaining the suitable intracellular micro-environment for the symbiont⁸⁵, ⁸⁶.

4. Cell-type-specific response to environmental stresses

To examine cell-type-specific acclimatisation to environmental changes the expression profiles of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were compared between the three states (Fanmao, starved and reconstituted animals) of samples collected in our *in situ* transplantation experiment (full lists of DEGs are shown in Supplementary Table S6-S18). Notably, for each state three mussels were processed but pooled for nuclei extraction before snRNA sequencing (see Method for detail). We tested our hypothesis here that at the starvation site, a relatively low concentration of methane shall upset symbiont metabolism and thus substantially affect symbiont-hosting bacteriocytes by assessing the transcriptional changes per cell type. We calculated the distances between the three sets of samples on the UMAP plot (**Fig. 6A**) estimating the centroid coordinates per sample per cell type, and calculating the Euclid distance between the centroid coordinates of each pair of states per cell type. The impact of starvation was variable across cell types, as reflected by the cross-state distances (**Fig. 6B**, green bar).

Starvation resulted in the most significant transcriptional changes in bacteriocytes reflected by a large Fanmao-vs-starvation distance, followed by VEPC and inter lamina cells. On the other hand, starvation had little impact on the transcriptions of ciliary cells and most supportive cells such as the food groove ciliary cell, BMC2, and mucus cells. **Fig 6B** also shows that after reconstitution (moving the mussels back to the methane-rich site Fanmao for 3 days), the expressional profile of bacteriocytes rapidly changed back, reflected by a large starvation-vs- reconstitution distance and much smaller Fanmao-vs-reconstitution distance. This result coincided with and was supported by our pseudo-time analysis for bacteriocytes (**Fig. 6C**), showing that bacteriocytes in the reconstitution are in intermediate and transitional states between Fanmao and starvation.

For the bacteriocytes population, we conducted cell trajectory pseudo-timing and detailed DEG analysis to interpret the mechanism of host-symbiont interactions. The branched heatmap showed distinct gene expression patterns amongst the three treatments (**Fig. 6D and** ^{supplementary Fig. S7}). The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis of the bacteriocytes' DEGs provides an overall view of the pathways enriched in each environmental state (Supplementary Fig. S8 A-C). The genes encoding ribosomal proteins are highly expressed in the bacteriocytes under the methane-rich 'Fanmao' state (Supplementary Fig. S8A), suggesting an active protein synthesis and cellular metabolism⁸⁷. Moreover, organic ion transporters (A BC




Figure 6

Analysis of cell population-specific DEGs. **A**: UMAP representation of the impact of deep-sea *in situ* transplant treatments on the gene expression pattern of each cell population. The cells from different treatments were labelled with different colours. The dashed line encircled bacteriocyte populations have a considerably altered expression profile. **B**: Histogram of cross-state distances between the centroids of the Fanmao, Starvation and Reconstitution groups per cell type on UMAP. The black dashed lines indicate the bacteriocyte populations whose expression profile was remarkably altered. **C**: Visualization of bacteriocytes onto the pseudo time map using monocle. The black lines indicate the main path of the pseudotime ordering of the cells. **D**: Bifurcation of selected gene expression along two branches in response to environmental perturbation. Genes are clustered hierarchically into two groups, illustrating up- (cluster 1) and down- (cluster 2) regulated genes in the starvation state compared with Fanmao. Genes in red colour were discussed in **Section 4**. The heat map showing the gene expression profiles of all bacteriocytes' DEGs is shown in supplementary Fig. S7; **E**: Proposed model for the molecular mechanisms of host-symbiont interactions in response to environmental changes.

P-glycol, Bpl_scaf_18613-16.10; canalicular multispecific organic anion transporter 2, Bpl_scaf_52110-4.43; sodium- and chloride- dependent glycine transporter 1-like, Bpl_scaf_13642-5.8), which may be involved in transporting symbiont-produced nutrients, are also highly expressed.

In starved *G. platifrons*, negative regulators of cell proliferation, such as autocrine proliferation repressors and receptor-type tyrosine phosphatase beta, were up-regulated in bacteriocytes, suggesting repression of cell growth. We also observed the enrichment of genes in the apoptosis pathway (Supplementary Fig. S8B), which is attributed to the upregulation of caspases (Bpl_scaf_25165-3.8 and Bpl_scaf_24225-0.12) and cathepsins (Bpl_scaf_64706-0.16, Bpl_scaf_61711-5.12) which can trigger caspases-dependent cell death, suggesting cellular stress condition. Correspondingly, a gene encoding baculoviral IAP repeat-containing protein (Bpl_scaf_6172-0.3), which can bind caspase and inhibit apoptosis, was up-regulated in bacteriocytes (Fig. 6E). In *Drosophila*, the baculoviral IAP proteins are important in animal's response to cellular stress and promote cell survival^{88, 89}. Similarly, the gene encoding MAP3K14 (Bpl_scaf_60908-4.14) and potential E3 ubiquitin ligase RNF213 (Bpl_scaf_25983-1.26, Bpl_scaf_1894-1.45, Supplementary Fig. S9), which were apoptosis suppressor or regulator, were up-regulated⁹⁰. These results suggest that starved bacteriocytes were carrying out cell- type-specific adjustments to cope with stresses. The E3 ubiquitin ligases could also work as intracellular immune sensors of bacterial lipopolysaccharides⁹¹. Thus, the encoded protein may be able to active downstream immunological toolkit to digest the symbiont population for nutrients.

As mentioned above, bacteriocytes obtain nutrients from endosymbionts. KEGG pathway analyses suggested that phagosome, lysosome-related pathways were upregulated in the starvation state, indicating that bacteriocytes were actively digesting the endosymbionts in the starved *G. platifrons*. Interestingly, protein synthesis activity was more activated in inter lamina cells and VEPs as supported by the up-regulation of genes encoding ribosomal proteins in both cell populations (Supplementary Tables S6 and S17). This was contrary to the situation in bacteriocytes and may be a consequence of the high activity of “farming” in bacteriocytes.

We anticipated that after moving the starved *G. platifrons* back to Fanmao site, bacteriocytes and their endosymbionts would “reconstitute” leading to the partly restored “farming” and “milking” pathways. This was confirmed by higher expression of fatty acid metabolic genes in the mussels in the reconstitution state than those in the starvation state, such as long-chain-fatty-acid-ligase ACSBG2-like (Bpl_scaf_28862-1.5), elongation of very long- chain fatty acids 7-like (Bpl_scaf_5959-1.9), and fatty acid desaturase 1-like (Bpl_scaf_35916- 0.6). These findings were also consistent with the result of KEGG analyses. The mitochondrial trifunctional enzyme (Bpl_scaf_28862-1.5) was also highly expressed, suggesting a high level of energy-producing activity. The KEGG analyses showed that glutamatergic synthase was enriched in the reconstitution state in comparison with those in both the Fanmao and starvation states. In the insect aphid–Buchnera endosymbiosis model, the host-produced glutamate could be transported and directly utilised by the symbiont^{92, 93}. Similar glutamate- based host–symbiont metabolic interaction mechanisms were also proposed in the deep-sea mussel *Bathymodiolus thermophilus* and *B. azoricus*^{63, 94}. As the pseudotime analyses showed above (Fig. 6C), reconstitution was the intermediate state between Fanmao and starvation. Metabolic and gene regulatory functions of bacteriocytes were still different from that in Fanmao. The KEGG analyses suggested regulatory (Rap1 signalling, retrograde endocannabinoid signalling, chemokine signalling, glucagon signalling, thyroid hormone synthesis, mRNA surveillance pathway, etc.) and metabolic pathways were enriched in the reconstitution state (fatty acid metabolism, salivary secretion, aldosterone synthesis and secretion, insulin secretion and pancreatic secretion) (Supplementary Fig. S8C). For example, we detected up-regulation of the genes encoding carbonic anhydrases (Bpl_scaf_33596-7.3 and Bpl_scaf_48274-0.3), electroneutral sodium bicarbonate exchanger 1 (Bpl_scaf_61230-5.11), and globin-like proteins (Bpl_scaf_50392-1.14 and Bpl_scaf_24370-0.5), which could provide the symbiont with carbon dioxide and oxygen necessary for the symbiont's chemosynthetic metabolism^{83–94}.

5. Summary and outlook

Using the deep-sea mussel *G. platifrons* as a model organism, we demonstrated the power of integrating snRNA-seq and WISH data in unravelling the mechanism behind animal-microbe symbiosis. The robustness of this strategy showed stable and highly distinguishable expression patterns of each cell type regardless of the different environmental states. We successfully profiled the specific roles of different types of cells, including the previously unknown cell types, in maintaining the structure and function of the gill. The supportive cells that located in between (inter lamina cells) and on the basal membrane's surface (BMC1 and BMC2) helped maintain the anatomical structure of the basal membrane. Ciliary and smooth muscle cells involved in gill slice contraction and cilium beat allowing the gathering of material and information from the surrounding environment. Proliferation cells (PBEZCs, DEPCs and VEPCs) gave rise to new cells, including bacteriocytes which obtained nutrients from endosymbionts using intracellular vacuoles and lysosomes.

The snRNA analyses also revealed that different cell types collaborated to support bacteriocytes' functionality. The PBEZCs gave rise to new bacteriocytes that allowed symbiont colonisation. Bacteriocytes attached to the basal membrane and were stabilised by supportive cells. Mucus cells co-localise with bacteriocytes and help to maintain immune homeostasis. The beating ciliary cells controlled the water flow, providing bacteriocytes with necessary inorganic substances from the environment. This new information on cell-cell interaction certainly advanced our overall understanding of how endosymbiotic microbes and host cells communicate and collaborate, which cannot be easily achieved through other methods.

Moreover, the analysis of snRNA data from bacteriocytes has provided insight into the molecular mechanisms employed by the host to maintain and regulate the symbiosis. Notably, the bacteriocytes-enriched transcripts involved in harbouring, digesting symbionts, and transporting nutrients produced by the symbionts were identified and characterised. Our *in situ* transplant experiments by moving mussels between methane-rich and methane-limited sites also provided clues of cell-type specific responses to environmental change. Under a methane-limited environment, the starved mussels more actively consumed endosymbionts through the "farming" pathway. After being moved back to the methane-rich environment, mussels produced more glutamates which sustained the regrowth of symbionts. These preliminary findings showed that the deep-sea mussels were able to control their endosymbionts using a set of genes in response to environmental change. Due to the limitation of remotely operated vehicle (ROV) dives and sampling capacity, we had to have pooled samples of each state for nuclei extraction and sequencing. Thus, the cells per cluster could be considered as technical than biological replicates. Although this sampling process strategy has been broadly used in snRNA/scRNA sequencing⁹⁵, we recognise the possible violation of assumptions in *p*-value calculation for DEGs between the three states.

Overall, the single-cell spatial and functional atlas developed in the present work will decipher some common principles of symbiosis and environmental adaptation mechanisms of animals. The workflow developed in the present study could provide insightful references for researchers focusing on the mechanistic study of the biological adaptation of biologically and ecologically important non-model animals.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Science and Technology Innovation Project of Laoshan Laboratory (Project Number No. LSKJ202203104), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 42030407), Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Guangzhou)(HJ202101, SMSEGL20SC01), Major Project of Basic and Applied Basic Research of

Guangdong Province (2019B030302004), the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (C6026-19G-A and 16101822) and the Guangdong Natural Science Funds for Distinguished Young Scholar (2022B1515020033). We appreciate all the assistance provided by the crew of *R/V 'Kexue'* and the operation team of ROV 'Faxian'.

Author contributions

W.H., K.C., P.Y.Q. and L.C.L. designed the study. Z.H., L. Cao, Z.Z.S., L. Chao and W.M.X. conducted the *in situ* transplant experiments and collected the samples; Z.Q.Y. and W.H. constructed the snRNA-seq library. H.K. performed the bioinformatics analysis. W.H., Z.H., L. Cao, J.L., H.C. and L.Z. performed the experiments. This paper was written by W.H., H.K., K.C., P.Y.Q. and L.C.L. All authors revised the manuscript. All authors read, approved, and contributed to the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Materials and methods

Deep-sea *in situ* transplant experiment and sample collection

In situ transplant experiment was conducted at the 'F-site' cold seep during the *R/V 'Kexue'* 2020 South China Sea cold seep cruise. The overall design of the *in situ* transplant experiment and environmental states are shown in [Figure 1](#). First, mussels in the methane-rich 'Fanmao' site (meaning 'prosperous' site, 22°06'55.425"N, 119°17'08.287"E, depth 1117m) were scoped into three nylon bags with approximately 10 mussels in each bag. Then, two bags of mussels were transplanted to the low methane Starvation site ([Figure 1](#), 22°07'00"N, 119°17'07.02"E, depth 1147.42m). After 11 days of transplantation, one bag of mussels in the Starvation site was moved back to the 'Fanmao' site. On the 14th day of the transplant, three bags of mussels: one bag from the Fanmao site (designated as the 'Fanmao' sample), one bag from the starvation site (designated as the 'Starvation' sample) and one bag of mussels which were first transplanted to the Starvation site for 11 days and moved back to the Fanmao site for 3 days (designated as the 'Reconstitution' sample) were all retrieved by ROV Faxian. The mussels were kept in a hydraulic pressure-sealed biobox during the ascending of the ROV. The biobox is made of heat-insulated material, which will prohibit heat exchange with warm surface water. The samples were immediately processed once onboard *R/V Kexue*. For snRNA-seq, the posterior end tip of the mussel's gill was dissected ([Figure 1C](#)), snap-frozen with liquid nitrogen and then stored at -80 °C until use. For WISH, ISH and FISH, the gills of the mussels were first fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, prepared with autoclaved 0.22 µM membrane filtered *in situ* seawater) at 4 °C overnight. Then, the gill was washed with ice-cold 1× PBS three times, dehydrated and stored in 100% methanol at -20 °C.

Single-nucleus RNA-sequencing of *G. platifrons*

The gill nucleus was extracted using the Nuclei PURE prep nuclei isolation kit (Sigma-Aldrich). For each sample, posterior end tips from 3 individual mussels were randomly selected and pooled together. The posterior tips were homogenised in 10 mL of ice-cold lysis solution (Nuclei PURE lysis buffer with 0.1% Triton X100 and 1 mM DTT) on ice. The cell nuclei were then separated by sucrose gradient centrifugation according to the manufacturer's protocol. The cell nuclei pellets were washed by re-suspending in ice-cold DPBS-BSA solution (1× DPBS, 0.04% nuclease-free BSA, 0.01% RNase inhibitor; Takara). The nucleus was spun down by centrifugation at 500×g for 5 min.

at 4 °C. This step was repeated to remove the contaminants from the cell plasma. Finally, the nucleus was re-suspended in the DPBS–BSA solution. The concentration of the cell nucleus was counted by Cell Countess II. Single-nucleus RNA-seq libraries were then constructed with the BD Rhapsody™ single-cell analysis system using the BD Rhapsody WTA Amplification Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. The libraries were then sequenced with the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform. The clean reads of three datasets were submitted to the National Centre for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive database (Bioproject: PRJNA779258).

Bioinformatics

Three raw datasets (Fanmao, Starvation and Reconstitution) were processed individually by following the BD single-cell genomics analysis setup user guide (Doc ID: 47383 Rev. 8.0) and BD single-cell genomics bioinformatics handbook (Doc ID: 54169 Rev. 7.0). In brief, a *G. platifrons* genome reference v1.0 (the genome could be downloaded at Dryad Digital Repository <http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.h9942>)¹⁵ was indexed and built using STAR 2.5.2b. Gene names were prepared according to the BD-single cell guideline. The sequencing data were then mapped to the indexed genome using the BD Rhapsody single-nucleus pipeline v1.9 with default parameters to generate an expression matrix per dataset.

Each data matrix was converted to a SingleCellExperiment data format, and empty barcodes were removed using the emptyDrops function of DropletUtils v3.14⁹⁶. Then, we converted and processed the data in Seurat v3²⁸. We removed cells that had <100 or > 2500 genes and <100 or > 6000 unique molecular identifiers (UMIs). We also removed genes that had <10 UMIs in each data matrix. Then, we log-normalised the data and used DoubletFinder v2.0⁹⁷ to remove potential doublet, assuming a 7.5% doublet formation rate. The numbers of retained nuclei were 9717, 21614 and 28928 for Fanmao, Starvation and Reconstitution data, respectively (Supplementary Table S19). We used the top 3000 highly variable genes for principal component analysis (PCA) and a reciprocal PCA approach to integrating the three datasets⁹⁸.

The first 40 principal components (PCs) were used for uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) dimensional reduction and following clustering (Supplementary Fig. S10). We used an empirical parameter, a resolution of 0.2, in the FindClusters function. The average expression of each gene per cluster were also provided as supplementary data S1. Based on the WISH results (see below), we manually combined the clusters with similar gene expressions and WISH patterns. Eventually, we assigned all the cells to 14 reliable clusters (Supplementary Fig. S11). We adopted a bootstrap sampling strategy to evaluate the stability for each cluster⁹⁹. We ran bootstrapping with 100 iterations using cells in the three samples together and in each sample individually. We determined marker genes per cluster using the FindAllMarkers function based on the Wilcoxon rank sum test. The marker genes were used for KEGG enrichment using ClusterProfiler v4.2¹⁰⁰.

Cell trajectory analysis

We conducted slingshot trajectory analyses using Slingshot¹⁰¹ v2.2.1 for a subset of clusters ('intercalary cells,' 'dorsal-end proliferation cells,' 'ventral-end proliferation cells,' 'mucus cells,' 'basal membrane cells 1,' 'basal membrane cells 2' and 'bacteriocytes') to explore the developmental trajectory of cells, assuming that all these cells were developed from the same precursor ('posterior-end budding zone'). We performed PCA for the subsampled data set and conducted dimensional reduction using phateR v1.0.7 for slingshot analyses. We used the Potential of Heat-diffusion for Affinity-based Trajectory Embedding (PHATE) because it could better reveal developmental branches than other tools¹⁰².

We also examined the effect of deep-sea transplant experiments on shaping gene expression patterns by comparing the expression levels amongst the three different states of a given cluster. This comparison was done for the bacteriocytes. The biased number of cells per state could affect

the results of the dimensional reduction and calculation of marker genes, and the sequenced nucleus per state was unbalanced; therefore, we first downsampled the cells per cluster per state to about the same number. Thereafter, we performed PCA and dimensional reduction using UMAP and PHATE, calculated marker genes and conducted slingshot trajectory and KEGG enrichment analyses as mentioned above for each cell type.

Phylogenetic estimation

Phylogenetic estimation was conducted for E3 ubiquitin ligase RNF213 genes. We downloaded RNF213 genes from GenBank for representative vertebrate and invertebrate species across the tree of life. The amino acid sequences were aligned with the *G. platifrons* sequences annotated as E3 ubiquitin ligase (Bpl_scaf_25983-1.26 and Bpl_scaf_1894-1.45) using MAFFT v7.450. The alignment was used to estimate a maximum-likelihood best gene tree and to calculate bootstrap values on each node using RAxML v8.2.

G. platifrons gill fixation and storage

The gill tissues of *G. platifrons* were dissected within minutes after the ROV, and samples were retrieved on board R/V Kexue. The gill tissues were briefly washed with ice-cold filtered and autoclaved *in situ* seawater (FAISW) and then fixed in 4% PFA prepared in FAISW at 4 °C overnight. The gill tissues were washed three times with ice-cold PBST, dehydrated in 100% methanol and stored at -20 °C until use.

Whole-mount *in situ* hybridisation

For WISH and double FISH analyses, the DNA fragments (~1000bp) of the targeted genes were first PCR amplified with gene-specific primers (GSPs) pairs using *G. platifrons* gill cDNA as template (the sequences of targeted genes and gene-specific primers were provided in Supplementary Data S2). The amplified fragments were ligated into the pMD18-simpleT vector (Takara) and transformed into *E. coli*. Individual colonies were picked up, and their plasmids were sequenced to confirm the inserts. The templates for *in vitro* mRNA transcription were amplified using T7 forward GSP (sense probe control) or Sp6 reversed GSPs (antisense probe) combined with either forward or reversed gene-specific primer. Labelled probes and control probes were generated using digoxigenin (DIG)-12-UTP (Roche) or fluorescein-12-UTP (Roche) according to the protocol described by Thisse¹⁰³ with Sp6 and T7 RNA polymerase, respectively.

For WISH, the connective region at the end of the W-shaped gill filament was cut off, and each gill slice was carefully peeled off with fine-tip tweezers. We dissected gill tissues from 5 individual mussels and pooled all the gill slices together. The gill slices were then rehydrated in 75%, 50% and 25% methanol-PBST (1×PBS with 0.1% Tween 20) for 15 min each, followed by 3×5 min PBST washes. The gill slices were then permeabilised with 2 µg/mL proteinase K in PBST for 30 min at 37 °C. Post-digestion fixation was conducted by fixing the gill slices with 4% PFA in PBST for 30 min at room temperature (RT). After 3×5 min PBST wash to remove the residual fixative, the gill slices were pre-hybridised with a hybridisation mix (HM; containing 50% formamide, 5×saline-sodium citrate (SSC), 0.1% Tween 20, 10 µg/mL heparin, 500 µg/mL yeast tRNA) for 1 h at 65 °C. For each hybridisation, 5-10 gill slices were added to 400 µL of fresh HM containing ~0.5 ng/µL DIG-labelled probe. Hybridisation was conducted in a 55 °C shaking water bath overnight. Post-hybridisation washes were performed according to the following steps: the gill slices were first washed 3×15 min with hybridisation washing buffer (50% formamide, 5×SSC, 0.1% Tween 20), followed by 3×15 min 2×SSC with 0.1% Tween 20 and 3×15 min 0.2×SSC with 0.1% Tween 20. The washings were also conducted in a shaking water bath, and all the washing buffers were pre-heated to 55 °C. The samples were washed three times with PBST and then blocked in blocking buffer (2.5% sheep serum, 2% BSA in PBST) for 1 h at RT. Each sample was incubated with 1:10,000 diluted anti-DIG-AP antibody (Roche) at 4 °C overnight.

The samples were incubated with the antibody, then washed for 6×15 min with PBST, followed by washing in 3×15 min alkaline Tris buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.5; 100 mM NaCl; 50 mM MgCl₂). The samples were incubated in nitro blue tetrazolium/5-Bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate staining solution (Sangon). After the desired expression pattern was revealed, the staining reaction was stopped by 3×15 min PBST-EDTA wash (PBST, 1 mM EDTA). The gill slices were cleared by incubating in 100% glycerol overnight at 4 °C and then mounted on glass slides. The results of control hybridisations (with sense probes) were provided in Supplementary Fig. S12-S15. WISH analyses were repeated with another batch of *G. platifrons* gill slices samples collected during the R/V 'Kexue' 2017 South China Sea cold seep cruise to confirm the consistency in expression patterns.

Paraffin embedding and double fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation

The methanol-dehydrated gill slices were incubated in 100% ethanol, a 1:1 mixture of 100% ethanol and xylene and Xylene twice for 1 h each at RT. The samples were embedded by incubating in Paraplast Plus (Sigma- Aldrich) for 2 h at 65 °C and then cooled down to RT. Sections with 5 µm thickness were cut using a microtome (Leica).

For double FISH, sections were dewaxed by incubating in xylene twice, a 1:1 mixture of 100% ethanol and xylene, 100% ethanol twice, 95% ethanol, 85% ethanol and 75% ethanol for 15 min each at RT. The sections were washed with PBST three times for 10 min each and then permeabilised by 2 µg/mL proteinase K (NEB) in PBST for 15 min at RT. Post-digestion fixation was conducted by incubating the sections in 4% PFA in PBST for 30 min at RT. The sections were washed three times with PBST for 15 min each. Pre-hybridisation was conducted by incubating the sections in HM for 1 h at 55 °C. Then, the *in situ* hybridisation was performed by incubating the sections in ~0.5 ng/µL fluorescein (Roche)-labelled probed prepared in fresh HM overnight at 55 °C. The sections were washed three times with 2×SSC for 15 min each at 55 °C, cooled down to room temperature and washed three times with PBST.

A second-round hybridisation was conducted on the DIG-labelled oligonucleotides to label the symbiont. The slices were hybridised for 1 h at 46 °C with 100 ng DIG-labelled *G. platifrons* symbiont-specific probe in FISH buffer (0.9 M NaCl, 0.02 M Tris-HCl, 0.01% sodium dodecyl sulphate [SDS] and 30% formamide). The slices were then washed with FISH washing buffer (0.1 M NaCl, 0.02 M Tris HCl, 0.01% SDS and 5 mM EDTA) three times at 5 min each at 48 °C. The slices were washed with PBST three times and then blocked with blocking buffer (2.5% sheep serum and 2% BSA in sheep serum) for 1 h at room temperature.

The slices were then incubated with 1:1000 diluted anti-fluorescein–peroxidase (POD) (Roche) overnight at 4 °C, then washed six times with PBST for 15 min each and three times with TNT buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5; 100 mM NaCl; 0.1% Tween 20) for 15 min each. Afterwards, the fluorescent signal of the *G. platifrons* gene expression pattern was developed by the TSA fluorescein kit (Akoya Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The slices were washed three times, and the remaining POD activity was quenched by incubation in 1% hydrogen peroxide solution for 1 h at room temperature. Then, the slices were washed three times with PBS, blocked with blocking buffer for 30 min at room temperature, incubated with 1:2500 diluted anti-DIG–POD (Roche) for 2 h at RT and washed with PBST for six times and TNT for three times. The symbiont FISH signal was developed using the TSA Cy3 kit (Akoya Biosciences). Finally, the slices were washed with PBST, stained with DAPI, and mounted with ProLong Diamond Antifade Mountant (Thermo Fisher).

Microscopy imaging

All the WISH samples and whole-mount 16S FISH images were observed and imaged with a Nikon Eclipse Ni microscope with a DS-Ri2 camera. The double FISH slides were imaged with a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope.

Electron microscopy analysis

The gill slices of the *G. platifrons* were dissected and fixed in electron microscopy fixative (2.5% glutaraldehyde and 2% PFA) at 4 °C. For SEM analysis, the samples were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series and then dried at the critical point. The samples were then coated with gold (sputter/carbon Thread, EM ACE200) and observed under a scanning electron microscope (VEGA3, Tescan). For TEM analysis, the samples were rinsed with double distilled water, post-fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide and then washed with double distilled water. The samples were then rinsed, dehydrated and embedded in Ep812 resin. Ultra-thin sections were obtained with an ultramicrotome (70 nm thickness, Reichert-Jung Ultracut E). The sections were then double-stained with lead citrate and uranyl acetate. The cells were observed under a transmission electron microscope (JEM1200, Jeol) operated under 100 kV.

References

- 1 Kremer N., et al. (2013) **Initial symbiont contact orchestrates host-organ-wide transcriptional changes that prime tissue colonization** *Cell Host & Microbe* **14**:183–194
- 2 Bang C., et al. (2018) **Metaorganisms in extreme environments: do microbes play a role in organismal adaptation?** *Zoology (Jena)*
- 3 Franke M., Geier B., Hammel J. U., Dubilier N., Leisch N (2021) **Coming together-symbiont acquisition and early development in deep-sea bathymodioline mussels** *Proc. R. Soc* **288**
- 4 Dubilier N., Bergin C., Lott C (2008) **Symbiotic diversity in marine animals: the art of harnessing chemosynthesis** *Nat. Rev. Microbiol* **6**
- 5 Sogin E. M., Kleiner M., Borowski C., Gruber-Vodicka H. R., Dubilier N (2021) **Life in the dark: phylogenetic and physiological diversity of chemosynthetic symbioses** *Annu. Rev. Microbiol* **75**:695–718
- 6 Xu T., Feng D., Tao J., Qiu J.-W (2019) **A new species of deep-sea mussel (Bivalvia: Mytilidae: Gigantidas) from the South China Sea: Morphology, phylogenetic position, and gill-associated microbes** *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap* **146**:79–90 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2019.03.001>
- 7 DeChaine E. G., Cavanaugh C. M (2006) **Symbioses of methanotrophs and deep-sea mussels (Mytilidae: Bathymodiolinae)** *Prog. Mol. Subcell. Biol* **41**:227–249
- 8 Fujiwara Y., et al. (2000) **Phylogenetic characterization of endosymbionts in three hydrothermal vent mussels influence on host distributions** *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser* **208**:147–155
- 9 Kiel S (2010) **The vent and seep biota : aspects from microbes to ecosystems** *Springer* <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9572-5>
- 10 Vrijenhoek R. C (2010) **Genetic diversity and connectivity of deep-sea hydrothermal vent metapopulations** *Mol. Ecol* **19**:4391–4411
- 11 Halary S., Riou V., Gaill F., Boudier T., Duperron S (2008) **3D FISH for the quantification of methane- and sulphur-oxidizing endosymbionts in bacteriocytes of the hydrothermal vent mussel Bathymodiolus azoricus** *ISME J* **2**:284–292
- 12 Zheng P., et al. (2017) **Insights into deep-sea adaptations and host-symbiont interactions: A comparative transcriptome study on Bathymodiolus mussels and their coastal relatives** *Mol. Ecol* **26**:5133–5148
- 13 Fiala-Médioni A., Métivier C., Herry A., Le Pennec M (1986) **Ultrastructure of the gill of the hydrothermal-vent mytilid Bathymodiolus sp** *Mar. Biol* **92**:65–72 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00392747>
- 14 Wong Y. H., et al. (2015) **High-throughput transcriptome sequencing of the cold seep mussel Bathymodiolus platifrons** *Sci. Rep* **5**

- 15 Sun J., et al. (2017) **Adaptation to deep-sea chemosynthetic environments as revealed by mussel genomes.** *Nat Ecol. Evol* **1**
- 16 Bettencourt R., et al. (2017) **An Insightful Model to Study Innate Immunity and Stress Response in Deep-Sea Vent Animals: Profiling the Mussel *Bathymodiolus azoricus*** *Organismal and Molecular Malacology* **8**
- 17 Barros I., et al. (2015) **Post-capture immune gene expression studies in the deep-sea hydrothermal vent mussel *Bathymodiolus azoricus* acclimatized to atmospheric pressure** *Fish Shellfish Immunol* **42**:159–170
- 18 Wang H., et al. (2021) **Molecular analyses of the gill symbiosis of the bathymodiolin mussel *Gigantidas platifrons*** *iScience* **24**
- 19 Chen K. H., Boettiger A. N., Moffitt J. R., Wang S., Zhuang X (2015) **RNA imaging. Spatially resolved, highly multiplexed RNA profiling in single cells** *Science* **348**
- 20 Hwang B., Lee J. H., Bang D (2018) **Single-cell RNA sequencing technologies and bioinformatics pipelines** *Exp. Mol. Med* **50**:1–14
- 21 Saliba A. E., Westermann A. J., Gorski S. A., Vogel J (2014) **Single-cell RNA-seq: advances and future challenges** *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**:8845–8860
- 22 Chen X., Teichmann S. A., Meyer K. B (2018) **From tissues to cell types and back: single-cell gene expression analysis of tissue architecture** *Annu. Rev. Biomed. Data Sci* **1**:29–51
- 23 Wu H., Kirita Y., Donnelly E. L., Humphreys B. D (2019) **Advantages of single-nucleus over single-cell RNA sequencing of adult kidney: rare cell types and novel cell states revealed in fibrosis** *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol* **30**:23–32
- 24 Feng D., et al. (2015) **Using *Bathymodiolus* tissue stable carbon, nitrogen and sulfur isotopes to infer biogeochemical process at a cold seep in the South China Sea** *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res* **104**:52–59 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2015.06.011>
- 25 Kharchenko P. V., Silberstein L., Scadden D. T (2014) **Bayesian approach to single-cell differential expression analysis** *Nat. Methods* **11**:740–742
- 26 Elyanow R., Dumitrascu B., Engelhardt B. E., Raphael B. J (2020) **netNMF-sc: leveraging gene-gene interactions for imputation and dimensionality reduction in single-cell expression analysis** *Genome. Res* **30**:195–204
- 27 Hao Y., et al. (2021) **Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data** *Cell* **184**:3573–3587
- 28 Stuart T., et al. (2019) **Comprehensive integration of single-cell data** *Cell* **177**:1888–1902
- 29 Petibon C., Malik Ghulam M., Catala M., Abou Elela S (2021) **Regulation of ribosomal protein genes: An ordered anarchy** *WIREs RNA* **12** <https://doi.org/10.1002/wrna.1632>
- 30 Welcker D., et al. (2021) **Hemicentin-1 is an essential extracellular matrix component of the dermal-epidermal and myotendinous junctions** *Sci. Rep* **11**
- 31 Dufour S. C., Beninger P. G (2001) **A functional interpretation of cilia and mucocyte distributions on the abfrontal surface of bivalve gills** *Mar. Biol* **138**:295–309

- 32 Dufour S. C (2005) **Gill anatomy and the evolution of symbiosis in the bivalve family Thyasiridae** *Biol. Bull* **208**:200–212
- 33 Gómez-Mendikute A., Elizondo M., Venier P., Cajaraville M. P (2005) **Characterization of mussel gill cells in vivo and in vitro** *Cell Tissue Res* **321**:131–140
- 34 Beninger P. G., Dufour S (1996) **Mucocyte distribution and relationship to particle transport on the pseudolamellibranch gill of *Crassostrea virginica* (Bivalvia:Ostreidae)** *Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser* **137**:133–138
- 35 Gerdol M., et al. (2011) **The C1q domain containing proteins of the Mediterranean mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*: A widespread and diverse family of immune-related molecules** *Dev. Comp. Immunol* **35**:635–643 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2011.01.018>
- 36 Wang W., Song X., Wang L., Song L (2018) **Pathogen-derived carbohydrate recognition in molluscs immune defense** *Int. J. Mol. Sci* **19**
- 37 Saco A., Rey-Campos M., Novoa B., Figueras A (2020) **Transcriptomic response of mussel gills after a *Vibrio splendidus* infection demonstrates their role in the immune response** *Front. Immunol* **11**
- 38 Richoux N. B., Thompson R. J (2001) **Regulation of particle transport within the ventral groove of the mussel (*Mytilus edulis*) gill in response to environmental conditions** *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol* **260**:199–215
- 39 Riisgård H. U., Egede P. P., Barreiro Saavedra I (2011) **Feeding behaviour of the mussel, *Mytilus edulis*: New observations, with a minireview of current knowledge** *J. Mar. Biol* **2011**
- 40 Heude É., Shaikho S., Ekker M (2014) **The *dlx5a/dlx6a* genes play essential roles in the early development of zebrafish median fin and pectoral structures** *PLOS ONE* **9**
- 41 Han S., et al. (2019) **TULP3 is required for localization of membrane-associated proteins ARL13B and INPP5E to primary cilia** *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun* **509**:227–234 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.12.109>
- 42 Louka P., et al. (2018) **Proteins that control the geometry of microtubules at the ends of cilia** *J. Cell Biol* **217**:4298–4313
- 43 Das A., Dickinson D. J., Wood C. C., Goldstein B., Slep K. C (2015) **Crescerin uses a TOG domain array to regulate microtubules in the primary cilium** *Mol. Biol. Cell* **26**:4248–4264
- 44 Llorente-Cortes V., Martinez-Gonzalez J., Badimon L (2000) **LDL receptor-related protein mediates uptake of aggregated LDL in human vascular smooth muscle cells** *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol* **20**:1572–1579
- 45 Chen X., et al. (2016) **Angiotensin-converting enzyme in smooth muscle cells promotes atherosclerosis—brief report** *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol* **36**:1085–1089
- 46 Linke W. A., Grützner A (2008) **Pulling single molecules of titin by AFM—recent advances and physiological implications** *Pflug. Arch. Eur* **456**:101–115
- 47 Paul St, Corbett A., Okune C. B., & Autieri R., Angiotensin M. V. (2020) **Hypercholesterolemia, and vascular smooth muscle cells: A perfect trio for vascular pathology** *Int. J. Mol. Sci* **21**

- 48 Ytrehus K., Ludvigsen S., Mancusi C., Gerdtts E., de Simone G (2021) **Heart angiotensin-converting enzyme and angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 gene expression associated with male sex and salt-sensitive hypertension in the Dahl Rat** *Front. physiol* **12**
- 49 Keller T. C., et al. (2000) **Role of titin in nonmuscle and smooth muscle cells** *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol* **481**:265–277
- 50 Chen J. V., et al. (2015) **Rootletin organizes the ciliary rootlet to achieve neuron sensory function in Drosophila** *J. Cell. Biol* **211**:435–453
- 51 Styczynska-Soczka K., Jarman A. P (2015) **The Drosophila homologue of rootletin is required for mechanosensory function and ciliary rootlet formation in chordotonal sensory neurons** *Cilia* **4**
- 52 Mohan S., Timbers T. A., Kennedy J., Blacque O. E., Leroux M. R (2013) **Striated rootlet and nonfilamentous forms of rootletin maintain ciliary function** *Curr. Biol* **23**:2016–2022
- 53 Jones S. E., Jomary C (2002) **Secreted Frizzled-related proteins: searching for relationships and patterns** *Bioessays* **24**:811–820
- 54 Gillis W. Q., Bowerman B. A., Schneider S. Q (2008) **The evolution of protostome GATA factors: molecular phylogenetics, synteny, and intron/exon structure reveal orthologous relationships** *BMC Evol. Biol* **8**:112–112
- 55 Zhang Z., Liu L., Twumasi-Boateng K., Block D. H. S., Shapira M (2017) **FOS-1 functions as a transcriptional activator downstream of the C. elegans JNK homolog KGB-1** *Cell. Signal* **30**:1–8 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2016.11.010>
- 56 Phochanukul N., Russell S (2010) **No backbone but lots of Sox: Invertebrate Sox genes** *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol* **42**:453–464 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2009.06.013>
- 57 Cannuel R., Beninger P. G., McCombie H., Boudry P (2009) **Gill Development and its functional and evolutionary implications in the blue mussel Mytilus edulis (Bivalvia: Mytilidae)** *Biol. Bull* **217**:173–188
- 58 Leibson N. L., Movchan O. T (1975) **Cambial zones in gills of Bivalvia** *Mar. Biol* **31**:175–180
- 59 Wentrup C., Wendeborg A., Schimak M., Borowski C., Dubilier N (2014) **Forever competent: deep-sea bivalves are colonized by their chemosynthetic symbionts throughout their lifetime** *Environ. Microbiol* **16**:3699–3713
- 60 Mohieldin A. M., et al. (2020) **Proteomic identification reveals the role of ciliary extracellular-like vesicle in cardiovascular function** *Adv. Sci* **7** <https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.201903140>
- 61 Neumann D., Kappes H (2003) **On the growth of bivalve gills initiated from a lobule-producing budding zone** *Biol. Bull* **205**:73–82
- 62 Streams M. E., Fisher C. R., Fiala-Médioni A (1997) **Methanotrophic symbiont location and fate of carbon incorporated from methane in a hydrocarbon seep mussel** *Mar. Biol* **129**:465–476
- 63 Ponnudurai R., et al. (2017) **Metabolic and physiological interdependencies in the Bathymodiolus azoricus symbiosis** *ISME J* **11**:463–477

- 64 Barry J. P., et al. (2002) **Methane-based symbiosis in a mussel, *Bathymodiolus platifrons*, from cold seeps in Sagami Bay, Japan** *Invertebr. Biol* **121**:47–54
- 65 Renoz F., Noel C., Errachid A., Foray V., Hance T (2015) **Infection dynamic of symbiotic bacteria in the pea Aphid *acyrthosiphon pisum* gut and host immune response at the early steps in the infection process** *Plos One* **10**
- 66 Guan H., et al. (2022) **Lipid Biomarker Patterns Reflect Nutritional Strategies of Seep-Dwelling Bivalves From the South China Sea** *Front. Mar. Sci* **9**
- 67 Li Y., et al. (2019) **Genomic adaptations to chemosymbiosis in the deep-sea seep-dwelling tubeworm *Lamellibrachia luymesii*** *BMC Biol* **17**
- 68 Sun Y., et al. (2021) **Genomic signatures supporting the symbiosis and formation of chitinous tube in the deep-sea tubeworm *Paraescarpia echinospica*** *Mol. Biol. Evol* **38**:4116–4134
- 69 Takishita K., et al. (2017) **Genomic evidence that methanotrophic endosymbionts likely provide deep-sea bathymodiolus mussels with a sterol intermediate in cholesterol biosynthesis** *Genome Biol. Evol* **9**:1148–1160
- 70 Demidenko A., Akberdin I. R., Allemann M., Allen E. E., Kalyuzhnaya M. G (2016) **Fatty acid biosynthesis pathways in *Methylobacterium buryatense* 5G(B1)** *Front. Microbiol* **7**
- 71 Brasaemle D. L., et al. (1997) **Adipose differentiation-related protein is an ubiquitously expressed lipid storage droplet-associated protein** *J. Lipid Res* **38**:2249–2263 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2275\(20\)34939-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2275(20)34939-7)
- 72 Cheng D., et al. (2007) **Expression, purification, and characterization of human and rat acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase (ACC) isozymes** *Protein Expr. Purif* **51**:11–21
- 73 Monroig Ó., Kabeya N (2018) **Desaturases and elongases involved in polyunsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis in aquatic invertebrates: a comprehensive review** *Fish. Sci* **84**:911–928
- 74 Kabeya N., et al. (2020) **Unique fatty acid desaturase capacities uncovered in *Hediste diversicolor* illustrate the roles of aquatic invertebrates in trophic upgrading** *Philos. Trans. R. Soc* **375**
- 75 Soupene E., Kuypers F. A (2008) **Mammalian long-chain acyl-CoA synthetases** *Exp. Biol. Med. (Maywood)* **233**:507–521
- 76 Alves-Bezerra M., et al. (2016) **Long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase 2 knockdown leads to decreased fatty acid oxidation in fat body and reduced reproductive capacity in the insect *Rhodnius prolixus*** *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1861**:650–662
- 77 Hoglund P. J., Nordstrom K. J. V., Schioth H. B., Fredriksson R (2011) **The solute carrier families have a remarkably long evolutionary history with the majority of the human families present before divergence of bilaterian species** *Mol. Biol. Evol* **28**:1531–1541
- 78 Mohamed A. R., et al. (2020) **Dual RNA-sequencing analyses of a coral and its native symbiont during the establishment of symbiosis** *Mol. Ecol* **29**:3921–3937

- 79 Bertucci A., Foret S., Ball E. E., Miller D. J (2015) **Transcriptomic differences between day and night in *Acropora millepora* provide new insights into metabolite exchange and light-enhanced calcification in corals** *Mol. Ecol* **24**:4489–4504
- 80 Hamada M., et al. (2018) **Metabolic co-dependence drives the evolutionarily ancient *Hydra-Chlorella* symbiosis** *Elife* **7**
- 81 Duncan R. P., Feng H., Nguyen D. M., Wilson A. C (2016) **Gene family expansions in aphids maintained by endosymbiotic and nonsymbiotic traits** *Genome Biol. Evol* **8**:753–764
- 82 Feng H. L., et al. (2019) **Trading amino acids at the aphid-*Buchnera* symbiotic interface** *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **116**:16003–16011
- 83 Ip J. C., et al. (2021) **Host-endosymbiont genome integration in a deep-sea chemosymbiotic clam** *Mol. Biol. Evol* **38**:502–518
- 84 Hongo Y., et al. (2016) **Expression of genes involved in the uptake of inorganic carbon in the gill of a deep-sea vesicomid clam harboring intracellular thioautotrophic bacteria** *Gene* **585**:228–240 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2016.03.033>
- 85 Sotiriou S., et al. (2002) **Ascorbic-acid transporter *Slc23a1* is essential for vitamin C transport into the brain and for perinatal survival** *Nat. Med* **8**:514–517
- 86 Aydemir T. B., et al. (2012) **Zinc transporter *ZIP14* functions in hepatic zinc, iron and glucose homeostasis during the innate immune response (endotoxemia)** *PLoS One* **7**
- 87 Turi Z., Lacey M., Mistrik M., Moudry P (2019) **Impaired ribosome biogenesis: mechanisms and relevance to cancer and aging** *Aging (Albany NY)* **11**:2512–2540
- 88 Hay B. A (2000) **Understanding IAP function and regulation: a view from *Drosophila*** *Cell. Death. Differ* **7**:1045–1056
- 89 Dubrez-Daloz L., Dupoux A., Cartier J (2008) **IAPS : More than just inhibitors of apoptosis proteins** *Cell Cycle* **7**:1036–1046
- 90 Pflug K. M., Sitcheran R (2020) **Targeting NF- κ B-inducing kinase (NIK) in immunity, inflammation, and cancer** *Int. J. Mol. Sci* **21**
- 91 Otten E. G., et al. (2021) **Ubiquitylation of lipopolysaccharide by RNF213 during bacterial infection** *Nature* **594**:111–116
- 92 Tashian R. E (1989) **The carbonic anhydrases: widening perspectives on their evolution, expression and function** *Bioessays* **10**:186–192
- 93 Price D. R., et al. (2014) **Aphid amino acid transporter regulates glutamine supply to intracellular bacterial symbionts** *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **111**:320–325
- 94 Ponnudurai R., et al. (2020) **Comparative proteomics of related symbiotic mussel species reveals high variability of host-symbiont interactions** *ISME J* **14**:649–656
- 95 Hicks S. C., Townes F. W., Teng M., Irizarry R. A (2017) **Missing data and technical variability in single-cell RNA-sequencing experiments** *Biostatistics* **19**:562–578

- 96 Lun A. T. L., et al. (2019) **EmptyDrops: distinguishing cells from empty droplets in droplet-based single-cell RNA sequencing data** *Genome Biol* **20**
- 97 McGinnis C. S., Murrow L. M., Gartner Z. J (2019) **DoubletFinder: Doublet detection in single-cell RNA sequencing data using artificial nearest neighbors** *Cell Systems* **8**:329–337 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2019.03.003>
- 98 Stuart T., et al. (2019) **Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data** *Cell* **177**:1888–1902 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.031>
- 99 Singh P., Zhai Y (2022) **Deciphering Hematopoiesis at single cell level through the lens of reduced dimensions** *bioRxiv*
- 100 Wu T., et al. (2021) **clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data** *The Innovation* **2** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100141>
- 101 Street K., et al. (2018) **Slingshot: cell lineage and pseudotime inference for single-cell transcriptomics** *BMC Genom* **19**
- 102 Moon K. R., et al. (2019) **Visualizing structure and transitions in high-dimensional biological data** *Nat. Biotechnol* **37**:1482–1492
- 103 Thisse C., Thisse B (2008) **High-resolution in situ hybridization to whole-mount zebrafish embryos** *Nat. Protoc* **3**:59–69

Author information

Hao Wang

Center of Deep-Sea Research, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China, Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Guangzhou), Nansha, Guangzhou, China, Department of Ocean Science, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong S.A.R, China

Kai He

Key Laboratory of Conservation and Application in Biodiversity of South China, School of Life Sciences, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510006, China

Huan Zhang

Center of Deep-Sea Research, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China

Quanyong Zhang

State Key Laboratory of Primate Biomedical Research, Institute of Primate Translational Medicine, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, China

Lei Cao

Center of Deep-Sea Research, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China

Jing Li

Center of Deep-Sea Research, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou,

China

Zhaoshan Zhong

Center of Deep-Sea Research, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China

Hao Chen

Center of Deep-Sea Research, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China

Li Zhou

Center of Deep-Sea Research, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China

Chao Lian

Center of Deep-Sea Research, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China

Minxiao Wang

Center of Deep-Sea Research, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China

Kai Chen

State Key Laboratory of Primate Biomedical Research, Institute of Primate Translational Medicine, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, China

Pei-Yuan Qian

Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Guangzhou), Nansha, Guangzhou, China, Department of Ocean Science, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong S.A.R, China

For correspondence: boqianpy@ust.hk

Chaolun Li

Center of Deep-Sea Research, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

For correspondence: lcl@qdio.ac.cn

Editors

Reviewing Editor

David Paz-Garcia

Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste (CIBNOR), Mexico

Senior Editor

George Perry

Pennsylvania State University, United States of America

Reviewer #1 (Public Review):

Wang et al have constructed a comprehensive single nucleus atlas for the gills of the deep sea Bathymodioline mussels, which possess intracellular symbionts that provide a key source of carbon and allow them to live in these extreme environments. They provide annotations of the different cell states within the gills, shedding light on how multiple cell types cooperate to give rise to the emergent functions of the composite tissues and the gills as a whole. They pay special attention to characterizing the bacteriocyte cell populations and identifying sets of genes that may play a role in their interaction with the symbiotes.

Wang et al sample mussels from 3 different environments: animals from their native methane-rich environment, animals transplanted to a methane-poor environment to induce starvation, and animals that have been starved in the methane-poor environment and then moved back to the methane-rich environment. They demonstrated that starvation had the biggest impact on bacteriocyte transcriptomes. They hypothesize that the upregulation of genes associated with lysosomal digestion leads to the digestion of the intracellular symbiont during starvation, while the non-starved and reacclimated groups more readily harvest the nutrients from symbiotes without destroying them.

Strengths:

This paper makes available a high-quality dataset that is of interest to many disciplines of biology. The unique qualities of this non-model organism and the collection of conditions sampled make it of special interest to those studying deep sea adaptation, the impact of environmental perturbation on Bathymodioline mussels populations, and intracellular symbiotes. The authors do an excellent job of making all their data and analysis available, making this not only an important dataset but a readily accessible and understandable one.

The authors also use a diverse array of tools to explore their data. For example, the quality of the data is augmented by the use of in situ hybridizations to validate cluster identity and KEGG analysis provides key insights into how the transcriptomes of bacteriocytes change.

The authors also do a great job of providing diagrams and schematics to help orient non-mussel experts, thereby widening the audience of the paper.

Weaknesses:

One of the main weaknesses of this paper is the lack of coherence between the images and the text, with some parts of the figures never being referenced in the body of the text. This makes it difficult for the reader to interpret how they fit in with the author's discussion and assess confidence in their analysis and interpretation of data. This is especially apparent in the cluster annotation section of the paper.

Another concern is the linking of the transcriptomic shifts associated with starvation with changes in interactions with the symbiotes. Without examining and comparing the symbiote population between the different samples, it cannot be concluded that the transcriptomic shifts correlate with a shift to the 'milking' pathway and not other environmental factors. Without comparing the symbiote abundance between samples, it is difficult to disentangle changes in cell state that are due to their changing interactions with the symbiotes from other environmental factors.

Additionally, conclusions in this area are further complicated by using only snRNA-seq to study intracellular processes. This is limiting since cytoplasmic mRNA is excluded and only nuclear reads are sequenced after the organisms have had several days to acclimate to their environment and major transcriptomic shifts have occurred.

Reviewer #2 (Public Review):

Wang, He et al. shed insight into the molecular mechanisms of deep-sea chemosymbiosis at the single-cell level. They do so by producing a comprehensive cell atlas of the gill of *Gigantidas platifrons*, a chemosymbiotic mussel that dominates the deep-sea ecosystem. They uncover novel cell types and find that the gene expression of bacteriocytes, the symbiont-hosting cells, supports two hypotheses of host-symbiont interactions: the "farming" pathway, where symbionts are directly digested, and the "milking" pathway, where nutrients released by the symbionts are used by the host. They perform an in situ transplantation experiment in the deep sea and reveal transitional changes in gene expression that support a model where starvation stress induces bacteriocytes to "farm" their symbionts, while recovery leads to the restoration of the "farming" and "milking" pathways.

A major strength of this study includes the successful application of advanced single-nucleus techniques to a non-model, deep-sea organism that remains challenging to sample. I also applaud the authors for performing an in situ transplantation experiment in a deep-sea environment. From gene expression profiles, the authors deftly provide a rich functional description of *G. platifrons* cell types that is well-contextualized within the unique biology of chemosymbiosis. These findings offer significant insight into the molecular mechanisms of deep-sea host-symbiont ecology, and will serve as a valuable resource for future studies into the striking biology of *G. platifrons*.

The authors' conclusions are generally well-supported by their results. However, I recognize that the difficulty of obtaining deep-sea specimens may have impacted experimental design. In this area, I would appreciate more in-depth discussion of these impacts when interpreting the data.

Because cells from multiple individuals were combined before sequencing, the in situ transplantation experiment lacks clear biological replicates. This may potentially result in technical variation (ie. batch effects) confounding biological variation, directly impacting the interpretation of observed changes between the Fanmao, Reconstitution, and Starvation conditions. It is notable that Fanmao cells were much more sparsely sampled. It appears that fewer cells were sequenced, resulting in the Starvation and Reconstitution conditions having 2-3x more cells after doublet filtering. It is not clear whether this is due to a technical factor impacting sequencing or whether these numbers are the result of the unique biology of Fanmao cells. Furthermore, from Table S19 it appears that while 98% of Fanmao cells survived doublet filtering, only ~40% and ~70% survived for the Starvation and Reconstitution conditions respectively, suggesting some kind of distinction in quality or approach.

There is a pronounced divergence in the relative proportions of cells per cell type cluster in Fanmao compared to Reconstitution and Starvation (Fig. S11). This is potentially a very interesting finding, but it is difficult to know if these differences are the expected biological outcome of the experiment or the fact that Fanmao cells are much more sparsely sampled. The study also finds notable differences in gene expression between Fanmao and the other two conditions- a key finding is that bacteriocytes had the largest Fanmao-vs-starvation distance (Fig. 6B). But it is also notable that for every cell type, one or both comparisons against Fanmao produced greater distances than comparisons between Starvation and Reconstitution (Fig. 6B). Again, it is difficult to interpret whether Fanmao's distinctiveness from the other two conditions is underlain by fascinating biology or technical batch effects. Without biological replicates, it remains challenging to disentangle the two.

Reviewer #3 (Public Review):

Wang et al. explored the unique biology of the deep-sea mussel *Gigantidas platifrons* to understand the fundamental principles of animal-symbiont relationships. They used single-nucleus RNA sequencing and validation and visualization of many of the important cellular and molecular players that allow these organisms to survive in the deep sea. They demonstrate that a diversity of cell types that support the structure and function of the gill including bacteriocytes, specialized epithelial cells that host sulfur-oxidizing or methane-oxidizing symbionts as well as a suite of other cell types including supportive cells, ciliary, and smooth muscle cells. By performing experiments of transplanting mussels from one habitat which is rich in methane to methane-limited environments, the authors showed that starved mussels may consume endosymbionts versus in methane-rich environments upregulated genes involved in glutamate synthesis. These data add to the growing body of literature that organisms control their endosymbionts in response to environmental change.

The conclusions of the data are well supported. The authors adapted a technique that would have been technically impossible in their field environment by preserving the tissue and then performing nuclear isolation after the fact. The use of single-nucleus sequencing opens the possibility of new cellular and molecular biology that is not possible to study in the field. Additionally, the in-situ data (both WISH and FISH) are high-quality and easy to interpret. The use of cell-type-specific markers along with a symbiont-specific probe was effective. Finally, the SEM and TEM were used convincingly for specific purposes in the case of showing the cilia that may support water movement.

The one particular area for clarification and improvement surrounds the concept of a proliferative progenitor population within the gill. The authors imply that three types of proliferative cells within gills have long been known, but their study may be the first to recover molecular markers for these putative populations. The markers the authors present for gill posterior end budding zone cells (PEBZCs) and dorsal end proliferation cells (DEPCs) are not intuitively associated with cell proliferation and some additional exploration of the data could be performed to strengthen the argument that these are indeed proliferative cells. The authors do utilize a trajectory analysis tool called Slingshot which they claim may suggest that PEBZCs could be the origin of all gill epithelial cells, however, one of the assumptions of this analysis is that differentiated cells are developed from the same precursor PEBZC population.

However, these conclusions do not detract from the overall significance of the work of identifying the relationship between symbionts and bacteriocytes and how these host bacteriocytes modulate their gene expression in response to environmental change. It will be interesting to see how similar or different these data are across animal phyla. For instance, the work of symbiosis in cnidarians may converge on similar principles or there may be independent ways in which organisms have been able to solve these problems.