

Semaphorin7A patterns neural circuitry in the lateral line of the zebrafish

 A newer version is available. [Read the latest version.](#)

Version of Record

August 12, 2024

Reviewed Preprint

v3 • May 17, 2024

Revised by authors

Reviewed Preprint

v2 • April 8, 2024

Reviewed Preprint

v1 • September 12, 2023

Agnik Dasgupta , Caleb C. Reagor, Sang P. Paik, Lauren M. Snow, Adrian Jacobo, A. J. Hudspeth

Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Laboratory of Sensory Neuroscience, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA • Tri-Institutional PhD Program in Computational Biology and Medicine, New York, NY, USA • Present address: Department of Functional Neuroanatomy, Institute for Anatomy and Cell Biology, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany • Present address: Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA • Chan Zuckerberg Biohub San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open_access

 Copyright information

eLife assessment

The **valuable** findings by Dasgupta et al demonstrate the role of Sema7a in fine tuning the morphology of the microcircuit between afferent axons and sensory hair cells in the lateral line organ. The loss and gain of function evidence provides **solid** support for a role for Sema7a in this process. Additional work is needed to determine the role for different isoforms in Sema7a-mediated synapse formation and chemoattraction as well as cell type specificity.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.89926.3.sa4>

Abstract

In a developing nervous system, axonal arbors often undergo complex rearrangements before neural circuits attain their final innervation topology. In the lateral line sensory system of the zebrafish, developing sensory axons reorganize their terminal arborization patterns to establish precise neural microcircuits around the mechanosensory hair cells. However, a quantitative understanding of the changes in the sensory arbor morphology and the regulators behind the microcircuit assembly remain enigmatic. Here, we report that Semaphorin7A (Sema7A) acts as an important mediator of these processes. Utilizing a semi-automated three-dimensional neurite tracing methodology and computational techniques, we have identified and quantitatively analyzed distinct topological features that shape the network in wild-type and Sema7A loss-of-function mutants. In contrast to those of wild-type animals, the sensory axons in Sema7A mutants display aberrant arborizations with disorganized network topology and diminished contacts to hair cells. Moreover, ectopic expression of a secreted form of Sema7A by non-hair cells induces chemotropic guidance of sensory axons. Our findings propose that Sema7A likely functions both as a juxtacrine and as a secreted cue to pattern neural circuitry during sensory organ development.

Introduction

Pathfinding axons are directed to their appropriate synaptic targets by a variety of guidance cues. While approaching or traversing the target field, the growth cones of migrating axons encounter secreted or cell surface-attached ligands and respond by steering toward or away from their sources (1–4). Fine-grained control of these factors is critical for the establishment of proper neural circuitry.

The zebrafish's lateral line provides a tractable *in vivo* system for exploring the mechanisms that guide the assembly of neural circuits in a peripheral nervous system. In particular, it is possible to detect individual hair cells and the sensory axons that innervate them throughout the first week of development (5). The primary posterior lateral line on each side of the zebrafish's tail consists of about seven neuromasts, which contain mechanoreceptive hair cells of opposing polarities—half sensitive to headward (rostral) water motion and the complementary half to tailward (caudal) water motion—that cluster at their centers (6). The sensory axons of the lateral-line nerve branch, arborize, and consolidate around the basolateral surfaces of the hair cells (**Figure 1A**). To aid in an animal's swimming behavior, these hair cells sense water currents and relay signals to the brain through the sensory axons (7). The well-defined structure of the ramifications and synaptic contacts of the sensory axons highlight the need to determine the molecular signals behind the assembly of such precise microcircuits (8).




Figure 1.

Expression of Semaphorin7A in the zebrafish's lateral line.

(A) A volumetric rendering of a PLL neuromast depicts the sensory axons (magenta) that branch from the lateral line nerve to arborize around the basolateral surface of the hair cell cluster (green). Additional cell types in the neuromast are not labeled. Larval age, 3 dpf. A=anterior, P=posterior, D=dorsal, V=ventral. (B) A schematic drawing of the two variants of the Sema7A protein molecule depicts the full-length GPI-anchored form and the smaller, potentially secreted form. Both the molecules include a signal sequence (green) and a conserved sema domain (orange). (C) *Tg(myo6b:actb1-EGFP)* larvae at 4 dpf were terminally anesthetized and their heads were removed with fine blades. The tails were then dissociated into the component cells, which were sorted by flow cytometry. Green cells represent GFP⁺ hair cells. A cDNA library was prepared from the isolated RNA. (D) Gel-based RT-PCR analysis indicates the presence of both the *sema7a* transcript variants and the expression of hair cell-specific genes, parvalbumin 8 (*pvalb8*) and S100 calcium binding protein T (*s100t*). (E) A surface micrograph of a neuromast at 3 dpf depicts two pairs of mature hair cells (green dashed lines) and a pair of immature hair cells (grey dashed lines). Inset: among the three pairs of hair cell apices, the immature pair is indicated by arrowheads. Immunolabeling reveals that the Sema7A protein (orange) occurs consistently at the subapical region (arrowheads) and at the basolateral surface (arrows) of a hair cell. In this and in each of the subsequent neuromast images, anterior is to the left and dorsal to the top. (F) A plot quantifies developmental changes in the average Sema7A intensity in both rostrally and caudally polarized hair cells of neuromasts from 1.5 dpf to 4 dpf. The data stem from 18, 36, 39, and 40 hair cells in neuromasts of respectively 1.5 dpf, 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf larvae. (G) A plot quantifies the distribution of average Sema7A intensity along the hair cell's apicobasal axis. The results stem from 52, 57, and 54 hair cells of neuromasts from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf larvae. (H) An immunofluorescence image at the nuclear level of a 4 dpf neuromast shows the contact of the sensory arbors (magenta) with the basolateral surface of the hair cells (green). Immunolabeling for Sema7A (orange) reveals enrichment of the protein at the hair cell bases and sensory-axon interfaces (arrowheads). (I) At the base of the hair cell, association of the td-Tomato⁺ sensory arbors positively correlated with the Sema7A intensity. The results stemmed from 48 hair cells of 13 neuromasts from 3 dpf larvae. HC, hair cell; scale bars, 5 μ m; au, arbitrary unit; means $\pm$ SEMs; ρ , Spearman's correlation coefficient; *** implies $p < 0.001$ and ** implies $p < 0.01$.

We adopted a candidate-gene strategy to seek factors that direct the growth of afferent growth cones in the zebrafish's lateral line. Analysis of single-cell RNA sequencing data identified the *semaphorin7a* (*sema7a*) gene to be highly expressed in hair cells of that organ (9). Semaphorins are important regulators of axonal growth and target finding during the patterning of diverse neural circuits (10). The semaphorin family includes proteins that are secreted, transmembrane, and cell surface-attached (11). Among these, Semaphorin7A (Sema7A) is the only molecule that is anchored to the outer leaflet of the lipid bilayer of the cell membrane by a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) linker (11). Unlike many other semaphorins, which act as repulsive cues (12,13), Sema7A is involved in promoting axon growth (4) and imparting directional signals by interacting with the integrin and plexin families of receptors residing on the pathfinding axons (14–16). Sema7A likely occurs *in vivo* in both GPI-anchored and soluble forms (17–19), and studies of neuronal explants confirm that both forms can induce directed axonal outgrowth (4). Furthermore, it has been proposed that the GPI anchor is cleaved by membrane-resident GPI-specific phospholipases (GPI-PLs) or matrix metalloproteases to release the Sema7A into the extracellular environment and thus regulate dynamic cellular processes (20,21). Because these observations indicate that Sema7A might influence neuronal development both as a juxtacrine and as a diffusive signal, we investigated the role of Sema7A in sculpting a vertebrate peripheral sensory organ.

Results

Sema7A expression and localization in hair cells

The zebrafish genome contains a single *sema7a* gene that produces two transcripts. One transcript encodes Sema7A-GPI, a full-length, GPI-linked, cell surface-attached protein. The second transcript yields Sema7A^{sec}, a protein with a truncated C-terminus, which we conjecture is secreted into the local environment. Each transcript encodes an N-terminal signal sequence and a single copy of the conserved Sema domain (11 [Fig 1](#)). To detect the presence of both *sema7a* transcripts in the hair cells of the lateral-line neuromasts, we used fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) to capture the labeled hair cells (22 [Fig 1](#)) and isolated total RNA. Using primers that flank the regions encoding the conserved Sema domain and the distinct C-termini of the two transcripts, we performed reverse transcription and polymerase chain reactions (RT-PCR) to identify both the membrane-anchored and the secreted transcript in developing larvae (**Figure 1B-D** [Fig 1](#); **Figure 1—figure supplement 1A-D** [Fig 1](#)). Single-cell RNA sequencing data also have shown that the GPI-linked *sema7a* transcript is particularly enriched in the immature and mature hair cells of the neuromast during early larval development (9 [Fig 1](#)), a period when sensory axons of the posterior lateral line contact hair cells and form synapses with them (5 [Fig 1](#), 23 [Fig 1](#)). Indeed, we have observed Sema7A to be specifically localized in both mature and immature hair cells (**Figure 1E** [Fig 1](#); **Figure 1—figure supplement 2A-D** [Fig 1](#)).

As neuromasts mature, the contacts between sensory axons and hair cells increase in number, become stabilized, and establish synapses (5 [Fig 1](#), 23 [Fig 1](#)). Because Sema7A can modulate axon guidance (4 [Fig 1](#), 14 [Fig 1](#)) and synapse formation (15 [Fig 1](#)), we wondered whether the level of Sema7A also changes during neuromast development. Upon quantifying the average intensity of Sema7A, we found similar expression in rostrally and caudally polarized hair cells of neuromasts at 1.5, 2, 3, and 4 days post fertilization (dpf). The average Sema7A intensity increased significantly over this period in both rostrally and caudally polarized hair cells. At 1.5 dpf, the neuromasts harbor primarily immature hair cells that make minimal contacts with sensory axons and do not form stable association with axonal terminals (23 [Fig 1](#)). At this stage the average Sema7A intensity in hair cells remained low. By 2-4 dpf the hair cells mature to form stable contacts and well-defined synapses with the sensory axons (5 [Fig 1](#), 24 [Fig 1](#)). The average Sema7A intensity in hair cells at each of these stages rose to levels that significantly exceeded those of 1.5 dpf neuromasts (**Figure 1F** [Fig 1](#)). This rise in the amount of Sema7A during neuromast maturation supports a role for Sema7A in the guidance of sensory axons and their interaction with hair cells.

We additionally demonstrated an anisotropic distribution of Sema7A along the apicobasal axis of each hair cell. As neuromasts develop through 2, 3, and 4 dpf, Sema7A remained highly enriched in the subapical region of the hair cell, the site of the Golgi network (**Figure 1E** [Fig 1](#); **Figure 1—figure supplement 2E** [Fig 1](#)). This localization suggests that Sema7A—like other proteins of the semaphorin family (25 [Fig 1](#))—is directed to the plasmalemma through Golgi-mediated vesicular trafficking. Using the transgenic line *Tg(cldnb:LY-mScarlet)* that expresses membrane-tethered mScarlet in all cells of the neuromast (26 [Fig 1](#)), we detected Sema7A at the plasma membrane and potentially in vesicles (**Figure 1—figure supplement 2F-I** [Fig 1](#)). While Sema7A maintained a high level in the subapical region, the protein increasingly accumulated at the base of the hair cell: the Sema7A level at the hair cell base was low at 2 dpf, but increased sharply by 3 dpf and 4 dpf (**Figure 1G** [Fig 1](#); **Figure 1—figure supplement 2J** [Fig 1](#)). During this period the hair cells increase in number and mature, whereas the associated sensory axons arborize to contact multiple hair cells and form robust synaptic boutons at their bases (5 [Fig 1](#), 24 [Fig 1](#)). Does progressive basal accumulation of Sema7A facilitate association of sensory axon terminals to the hair cell? To address this question, we utilized doubly labeled transgenic fish *Tg(myo6b:actb1-EGFP;neurod1:tdTomato)* that marked the hair cells and the sensory axons of the posterior lateral line with distinct fluorophores (**Figure**

1A (27). We quantified the average *Sema7A* intensity and the accumulation of sensory arbors, measured by *td-Tomato* intensity, at the bases of the hair cells. Across developmental stages, the basal association of the sensory arbors showed a positive correlation with *Sema7A* accumulation (**Figure 1H,I**; **Figure 1—figure supplement 3A-E**, Video 1). The progressive enrichment of *Sema7A* at the hair cell base with the sensory axons suggests that *Sema7A* acts as a mediator of contacts between sensory axons and hair cells.

Sema7A restricts sensory axons around clustered hair cells

If *Sema7A* guides and restricts the sensory arbors at and around the hair cells clustered in a neuromast, then inactivating *Sema7A* function should disrupt this process. To test this hypothesis, we obtained a *sema7a* mutant allele (*sema7a*^{sa24691}) with a point mutation that introduces a premature stop codon in the conserved sema domain (**Figure 2—figure supplement 1A**). The homozygous mutant, hereafter designated *sema7a*^{-/-}, should lack both the secreted and the membrane-attached forms of *Sema7A*. The average intensity of *Sema7A* immunolabeling in hair cells diminished by 61% in *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae (0.34 ± 0.01 arbitrary units) in comparison to controls (0.87 ± 0.01 arbitrary units). In a few cases we observed minute accumulations of *Sema7A* at the subapical region, but little or no protein at the base of the mutant hair cells (**Figure 2A-E**). We quantified the number of hair cells in the neuromasts of the control and the *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae. We did not observe significant changes in the hair cell number between the genotypes across developmental stages (**Figure 2—figure supplement 1B**). Furthermore, we tested the mechanotransduction ability of *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant hair cells by labeling with the styryl dye FM 4-64 (5). The hair cells of the 4 dpf *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae incorporated the FM 4-64 as effectively as the age-matched control animals. We quantified the FM 4-64 intensities from 60 hair cells and six neuromasts from three control larvae, and from 60 hair cells and six neuromasts from three *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant larvae. The average intensities of FM 4-64 labeling between the genotypes did not show a significant difference, which suggests that the mutation does not impact essential hair cell functions (**Figure 2—figure supplement 1C,D**; Videos 2,3).




Figure 2.

***sema7a*^{-/-} mutants display aberrant sensory axon arborizations.**

(A–D) In micrographs of 3 dpf control and *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts, Myosin VI (green) marks the hair cells (green dashed lines). The level of Sema7A (orange) is highly reduced in the *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant compared to the control, with sporadic localization in the subapical region (arrowheads) and none in the basolateral (arrow) region. (E) A plot of normalized Sema7A intensity from 99 control and 100 *sema7a*^{-/-} hair cells quantitates the effect. (F,G) Surface views of a control and a *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromast at 4 dpf depict the interaction of the sensory arbors (magenta) with hair cell clusters (green). In the control, the arbors intimately contact the hair cells, with a few exceptions (arrowhead). In the *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant, the arbors direct many aberrant projections (arrowheads) away from the hair cell cluster. The two immature hair cell pairs in the *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromast are indicated by arrows. (H,I) Skeletonized networks portray the three-dimensional topology of the sensory arbors from the control and the *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts depicted in panels F and G, respectively. The pseudocolored trajectories depict the increase in arbor contour length from each point of arborization, defined as the point at which the lateral line branch (magenta) contacts hair cell cluster. (J,K) Micrographs depict the skeletonized networks of the combined 4 dpf hair cell clusters, whose centers are located at (0,0). The X- and Y-coordinates represent the anteroposterior (AP) and the dorsoventral (DV) axes of the larva, respectively. Positive values of the X- and Y-coordinates represent the posterior and ventral directions, respectively. Combined skeletonized network traces from 27 control and 27 *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant neuromasts are represented. (L) The plot denotes the densities of the sensory arbors around the center of the combined hair cell clusters for 35 control (magenta) and 27 *sema7a*^{-/-} (red) neuromasts at 4 dpf. The shaded area marks the region proximal to the boundary of the combined hair cell cluster. (M) The plot quantifies the degree of contact of the sensory arbors to their hair cell clusters in individual neuromasts from both control (magenta) and *sema7a*^{-/-} mutants (red), each point represents a single neuromast. Thirty-three, 29, and 35 neuromasts were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively. Seventeen, 53, and 27 neuromasts were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant larvae, respectively. HC, hair cell; Scale bars, 5 μ m; au, arbitrary unit; means $\pm$ SEMs; *** signifies $p < 0.001$; ** signifies $p < 0.01$.

To characterize the impact of the *sema7a*^{-/-} mutation on arbor patterning, we utilized doubly labeled transgenic fish *Tg(myo6b:actb1-EGFP;neurod1:tdTomato)* that distinctly labeled the hair cells and the sensory arbors (27). In control neuromasts, the sensory axons approached, arborized, and consolidated around the bases of clustered hair cells (Figure 2F). Although the sensory axons approached the hair cells of *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts, they displayed aberrant arborization patterns with wayward projections that extended transversely to the organ (Figure 2G). To quantitatively analyze the arborization morphology, we traced the sensory arbors in three dimensions to generate skeletonized network traces that depict—as pseudocolored trajectories—the increase in arbor length from the point of arborization (Figure 2H, I).

To visualize the hair cell clusters and associated arborization networks from multiple neuromasts, we aligned images of hair cell clusters, registered them at their hair bundles, from neuromasts at 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf (Figure 2—figure supplement 1E,F). Throughout development, the arborization networks of control neuromasts largely remained within the contours of the hair cell clusters; the few that reached farther nonetheless lingered nearby (Figure 2J; Figure 2—figure supplement 1G,I). This result suggests that an attractive cue retained the axons near the sensory organ. In *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts, however the neuronal arbors failed to consolidate within the boundaries of hair cell clusters and extended far beyond them (Figure 2K; Figure 2—figure supplement 1H,J; Video 4).

We quantified the distribution of the sensory arbors around the center of the combined hair cell clusters. For both the control and the *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts, the arbor densities peaked proximal to the boundaries of the hair cell clusters. Beyond the cluster boundaries, in control neuromasts at 2

dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf the arbor densities fell sharply at respectively 31.7 μm , 36.6 μm , and 32.7 μm from the center. In *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts of the same ages, the sensory arbors extended respectively 38.5 μm , 51.4 μm , and 56.6 μm from the center (**Figure 2L** [↗](#); **Figure 2—figure supplement 1K,L [↗](#); **Figure 2—figure supplement 2A** [↗](#)). Furthermore, we quantified the degree of contact of the sensory arbors to their hair cell clusters in individual neuromasts from both control and *sema7a*^{-/-} mutants. In control neuromasts, the degree of contact was $83 \pm 1\%$ (mean $\pm$ SEM) at 2 dpf and $84 \pm 1\%$ at 3 dpf, but significantly increased to $90 \pm 1\%$ at 4 dpf (**Figure 2M** [↗](#); **Figure 2—figure supplement 2B** [↗](#)). This observation indicates that the sensory arbors reinforce their association to the hair cell clusters as neuromasts develop. However, in *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts such contact was significantly reduced. Only $69 \pm 4\%$ of sensory arbors at 2 dpf were closely associated with the corresponding hair cell clusters, a value that remained at $67 \pm 2\%$ at both 3 dpf and 4 dpf (**Figure 2M** [↗](#)). These findings signify that, with simultaneous disruption of both signaling modalities of Sema7A, the organ fails to restrict the localization of sensory arbors and has significantly diminished contact with them.**

Sema7A patterns the arborization network's topology

Accurate spatial arrangement of the neuronal projections around hair cells is essential for proper retrieval and transmission of sensory information. Mutations that perturb proper assembly of sensory neuronal microcircuits lead to hearing impairment (28 [↗](#)). Quantitative insight into the wiring pattern is therefore essential to identify systematic aberrations in the microcircuit arising from genetic deficits. The three-dimensional skeletonized network traces allowed us to accurately delineate the microcircuit topology across individuals, developmental stages, and genotypes from living animals (**Figure 2D, E** [↗](#)). To quantitatively analyze the arbor network, we defined five topological attributes: (1) nodes, denoted as junctions where arbors branch, converge, or cross; (2) loops, comprising minimal arbor cycles forming topological holes; (3) bare terminals, defined as arbors with free ends; (4) the node degree, calculated as the number of first-neighbor nodes incident to a node; and (5) the arbor curvature, measured as the total curvature of a bare terminal (**Figure 3A** [↗](#)). We quantified these attributes to accurately determine how the circuit topology is established during maturation of the sensory organ in control larvae and how it is impacted by *sema7a* deficit.




Figure 3.

Sema7A patterns the topology of the sensory arbor network.

(A) A schematic drawing of the combined hair cell cluster (green) and associated sensory axons (magenta) shows diverse topological features of the arborization network, including nodes, loops, bare terminals, node degree, and bare-terminal curvature. The apex is defined as the center of the hair bundle cluster and the base is denoted by the node from which the arborizations extend. The relative organ height describes the position along the axis formed between the arborization initiation point and the apex of the hair cell cluster. (B) The correlation between the relative number of bare terminals and loops from 35 control neuromasts and 27 *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts at 4 dpf shows a negative correlation in the control but a scattered distribution in the mutants. The same neuromasts were analyzed in the subsequent panels. R^2 , correlation coefficient. Dashed red line depicts the regression slope. (C) The relationship of contour lengths to bare terminals and loops reveals reduced looping in the mutants. (D) Quantification of the number of nodes per loop demonstrates a decrease in mutants. (E) Mutant larvae manifest a broader distribution of loop lengths compared to controls. (F) A schematic diagram of the extending bare terminals depicts regions of high and low curvature along the length of the arbor. The distributions of bare terminals between low- and high-curvature groups show an enrichment of long, highly curved arbors in the control and short, linear arbors in the mutants. Statistical analyses are displayed in **Table 1**.

To determine how internode connectivity is distributed across networks, we quantified the distribution of node degrees along the relative height of the hair cell cluster across individuals, developmental stages, and genotypes. As for most other real-world networks (29), we observed a highly skewed node-degree distribution: the majority of nodes had low node degrees (three and four) and a small number of nodes—often acting as hubs, like the arborization initiation point—had high node degrees (five to seven). The node degree varied from three to seven in control larvae and three to six in *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae. Across developmental stages and genotypes, the high node degrees primarily occurred at the base (region from 0 % to 10 % of the cluster height) of the hair cell cluster and acted as hubs for the majority of branching events. Low node degrees primarily occurred along the basolateral region (region from 10 % to 60 % of the cluster height) of the hair cell cluster. The apical region (region from 60 % to 100 % of the cluster height) was sparsely populated with low node degrees. The network's hierarchical organization along the organ's axis suggests that arbors receive sensory inputs at multiple levels, allowing the network to carry out robust neural computations. Even though nodes of low degree were most prevalent between genotypes across developmental stages, their distribution along the relative organ axis became significantly different at 4 dpf, with nodes in control larvae localized higher along the axis than in *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae (Figure 3A; Figure 3—figure supplement 1A-C; Table 1). These observations indicate that Sema7A regulates both the spatial organization and node-degree complexity of the sensory arbor network.

An average of 9.3 sensory axonal branches approach the hair cell cluster of a neuromast (8). Pathfinding of sensory axons around the hair cell cluster results in the formation of axonal fascicles that form numerous loops and bare terminals, which determine the microcircuit topology (Figure 2B, D) (8). To optimally surround and contact the hair cell cluster, do the sensory arbors prefer to adopt one topological feature over the other? To address this question, we measured the correlation between the number of bare terminals and the number of loops across individuals, developmental stages, and genotypes. In both 2 dpf and 3 dpf larvae, the distributions remained highly scattered across individuals and genotypes. However, by 4 dpf in the control larvae the arbor network contained either a high number of loops with a low number of bare terminals or a high number of bare terminals with a low number of loops. This result suggests that the stereotypical circuit topology observed in the mature organ may emerge through transition of individual arbors from forming bare terminals to forming closed loops encircling topological

Height of node degrees	<i>p</i> -values (control vs <i>sema7a</i> ^{-/-})		
	2 dpf	3 dpf	4 dpf
Degree = 3	3.50E-01	1.05E-08***	1.68E-03**
Degree = 4	3.85E-01	7.11E-02	1.71E-03**
Degree = 5	4.60E-01	5.23E-01	4.40E-01
Bare terminals vs Loop	2 dpf	3 dpf	4 dpf
0 to 10 μ m	3.84E-01	7.89E-01	2.11E-01
10 to 20 μ m	3.50E-02*	3.92E-01	2.94E-02*
20 to 30 μ m	2.28E-04***	2.63E-02*	3.82E-09***
30 to 40 μ m	5.04E-59***	3.63E-25***	1.28E-33***
40 to 70 μ m	—————	—————	—————
Nodes per loop	5.06E-01	1.96E-01	3.50E-02*
Loop length	5.81E-01	2.71E-01	2.21E-02*
Distribution of bare terminal curvature	2 dpf	3 dpf	4 dpf
	4.23E-01	8.91E-01	1.99E-02*

Table 1.

Statistical comparison between control and *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae for topological features.

One-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were used to assess the difference in node degree heights, nodes per loop, and loop lengths for control *versus* *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts at 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf. In each of these cases, the difference in the distributions were not significantly different (*p*-values in red) between the control and the mutants at 2 dpf and 3 dpf but became significantly different (*p*-values in green) at 4 dpf. Chi-square tests were used to assess the difference in occurrences of bare terminals versus loops, as well as the occurrences of bare terminals with low versus high curvature. The distribution at 4 dpf shows significant difference between the control and the mutant larvae. The occurrence of significant differences at 4 dpf for diverse topological features suggest that *Sema7A* function is required to achieve an ordered network topology at a mature stage. Thirty-three, 29, and 35 neuromasts were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively, and 17, 53, and 27 neuromasts were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant larvae, respectively. * Signifies *p* < 0.05, ** signifies *p* < 0.01, and *** signifies *p* < 0.001.

holes. That we did not observe a similar transition in *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts of the same age suggests that *Sema7A* may direct the switch between these two distinct topological features (Figure 3B; Figure 3—figure supplement 1D, E; Table 1).

Measurement of the contour length of both bare terminals and loops additionally revealed that across developmental stages in control larvae the sensory arbors followed a three-step process to choose the appropriate topological feature: arbors shorter than 10 μm always formed bare terminals; arbors between 10 μm and 30 μm began to switch between features, with arbors longer than 20 μm strongly preferring to form loops; and arbors longer than 30 μm always formed loops. These results imply that the switch between bare terminals and loops is partly dependent on the length of the arbors. However, this characteristic topological preference was significantly perturbed in the *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae across developmental stages, as many of the sensory arbors longer than 30 μm remained as bare terminals (Figure 3C; Figure 3—figure supplement 1F,G; Table 1). Furthermore, in 4 dpf control neuromasts the majority of the loops contained four nodes and utilized 30–40 μm of arbors to form closed cycles. These conserved topological attributes were significantly perturbed in the *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae of same age (Figure 3D, E; Figure 3—figure supplement 2A–D; Table 1). These findings indicate that *Sema7A* tightly monitors the sensory arbor loop formation, including the preferred loop length and number of nodes per loop, to properly surround and contact the hair cell cluster.

As observed previously, bare terminals in control neuromasts project transverse to the organ and linger nearby, often curving back to form new loops and contact the hair cell cluster (Figure 2D,F). To analyze the propensity of the bare terminals to curl backward, we measured their total curvatures, defined as the sum of the curvature at each point along the length of an arbor. Henceforth, we address the total curvature as the curvature of a bare terminal. We classified the bare terminals in two categories: high-curvature arbors with curled morphology and curvature greater than $\pi/6$ (30 degrees); and low-curvature arbors with linear morphology and curvature less than $\pi/6$. In control neuromasts 44.8 % of arbors had low curvature compared to 56.4 % in *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts at 4 dpf. Concomitantly, 55.2 % of arbors had high curvature in control neuromasts compared to 43.6 % in *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts at 4 dpf (Figure 3F; Figure 3—figure supplement 2E, F; Table 1). These observations further confirm our hypothesis that a *Sema7A*-mediated attractive cue is involved in orienting bare neural arbors toward the hair cell cluster to potentially form loops.

In summary, we propose that *Sema7A* mediates four key aspects of the topology of the arborization network: (1) the node degree complexity along the organ's axis; (2) the transition of arbors from bare terminals to loops; (3) the preference for forming loops containing four nodes with 30–40 μm of arbors; and (4) the preference of bare terminals to adopt higher curvature.

Sema7A^{sec} is a sufficient chemoattractive cue for sensory axon guidance

Because genetic inactivation of the *sema7a* gene disrupts both signaling modalities of the cognate protein, we could not assess the specific activity of the *Sema7A*^{sec} diffusive cue in guiding lateral-line sensory axons. To independently verify the potential role of that isoform, we therefore expressed the secreted variant ectopically and investigated the resultant arborization patterns.

Analysis of the microcircuit connectivity of the neuromasts has demonstrated bare sensory-axonal terminals in the perisynaptic compartments, where they do not contact the hair cell membrane (8). We speculate that these bare terminals are attracted by a *Sema7A*^{sec} diffusive cue. To test this hypothesis, we ectopically expressed *Sema7A*^{sec} protein tagged with the fluorophore mKate2, then analyzed its effect on the morphology of sensory arbors. Fertilized one-cell embryos were injected with the *hsp70:sema7a*^{sec}-mKate2 plasmid and raised to 3 dpf. Larvae expressing the transgenesis marker—the lens-specific red fluorescent protein mCherry—were heat-shocked,

incubated to allow expression, and subsequently mounted for live imaging. In these larvae, a random mosaic of cells—often embryonic muscle progenitors in the dermomyotome or mature myofibers—expressed the exogenous *Sema7A^{sec}*-mKate2 protein. We selected only those neuromasts in which a single mosaic ectopic integration had occurred near the network of sensory arbors (**Figure 4A,B**). In all 22 such cases, we observed robust axonal projections from the sensory arbor network toward the ectopically expressing *Sema7A^{sec}* cells. When the exogenous *sema7a^{sec}* integration occurred in embryonic muscle progenitor cells, which reside in a superficial layer external to the muscle fibers and adjacent to the larval skin (30), the projections were able to form direct contacts with them (**Figure 4C**; Videos 5,6). But more often, when mature myofibers expressed the exogenous *Sema7A^{sec}* deep in the myotome, the axonal projections approached those targets but failed to contact them (**Figure 4D**, Videos 7,8). This behavior likely arose because other components in the myotomal niche—including the fibrous epimysium, other myofibers, myoblasts, and immature myotubes whose surroundings are permeable to diverse diffusive cues (31)—physically obstructed direct interaction of the extended neurites with the ectopically expressing myofibers. All 18 of the injected but not heat-shocked control larvae did not express ectopic *Sema7A^{sec}*, and we did not observe aberrant projections from the sensory arbor network (**Figure 4E**).




Figure 4.

Ectopic $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ diffusive cue provides neural guidance *in vivo*.

(A) A diagrammatic overview depicts the generation of a transgenic animal that expresses the $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ protein ectopically under the control of a thermally inducible promoter. Larvae with ectopic myotomal-integration near the network of sensory arbors (red rectangle) were imaged to analyze arbor morphology. (B) A schematic drawing of a sensory arbor from a heat-shocked larva depicts an extended axonal projection (arrowhead) that reaches toward the myofibers expressing the ectopic $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ protein. Parameters that quantitate the accuracy of the extended axonal projections toward the ectopic $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ sources are denoted. (C) In a micrograph of an ectopically expressing $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ (orange) larva, the sensory arbor (magenta) extends two aberrant axonal projections. One elongates (cyan arrowhead) along the somite boundary to reach and contact an ectopically integrated muscle progenitor cell (white dashed line) and the other (red arrowhead) reenters the posterior lateral-line nerve while following a second ectopic source. The through-focus scans (i–iii) from the epidermis to the dermomyotome and the three-dimensional (3D) surface reconstruction (iv) reveal the intimate contact between the aberrant sensory arbor (arrowheads) and the muscle progenitor cell. (D) In a micrograph of an ectopically expressing $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ (orange) larva, the sensory arbor (magenta) extends a single aberrant axonal process (cyan arrowhead) to reach ectopically integrated myofibers (white dashed line). The through-focus scans (i–iii) from the epidermis to the dermomyotome and the 3D surface reconstruction (iv) reveal the proximal association of the aberrant sensory arbor (arrowheads) to the myofibers. Melanocytes (yellow arrowhead) along the horizontal myoseptum intermittently block the visibility of the lateral-line nerve. (E) An injected, but not heat-shocked, control larva does not express ectopic $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ and does not show aberrant projection from the sensory arbor. (F) A plot demonstrates the accuracy of 18 extended axonal arbors in finding ectopic $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ sources. Each circle represents a single ectopic integration event. The two pairs of numbers represent the minimal and maximal lengths of the projection path (black) and its corresponding source path (green). (G) A plot quantitates the distribution of projection-proximity length from 18 ectopic integration events. (H) A schematic drawing of a section of the lateral-line nerve between two neuromasts from a heat-shocked larva depicts a few extended neurites (magenta) reaching toward the cells expressing the ectopic $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ protein (orange). The $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ integration site (blue rectangle) with the sensory axonal projections was imaged for ten hours to visualize axonal dynamics. (I) Micrographs (i–iii) at three distinct times in the time-lapse video microscopy show directed branching (cyan arrowheads) of the sensory neurites from the lateral-line nerve toward the ectopic $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ sources. Each panel depicts the ectopic integration site and the associated sensory neurites from three different planes, XY, XZ, and YZ. White arrowheads in XZ and YZ planes highlight the close association of the sensory axons with the ectopic $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ sources. The $t=0$ hr denotes the onset of imaging, which is two hours post the beginning of heat shock. (J) The micrographs depict in pseudocolored trajectories the dwell times of the sensory neurites (left) at the $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ sources (right) for ten hours. MPC, muscle progenitor cell; scale bars, 20 μm ; means $\pm$ SEMs.

To measure the accuracy of the extended axonal projections in finding the ectopic $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ source, we defined three parameters: (1) the source path, denoted as the linear distance from the point of arborization to the boundary of an ectopically expressing $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ cell; (2) the projection path, representing the linear distance from the point of arborization to the terminus of the extended projection; and (3) the projection proximity, calculated as the linear distance from the terminus of the extended axonal projection to the nearest boundary of the ectopically expressing $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ cell (Figure 4B). We quantified these parameters from 18 of the 22 mosaic integration events; in the remainder the extended axonal projections—although following the ectopic source—reentered the posterior lateral-line nerve so that identification of the axon terminals was not possible (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A–A" (Video 9). The projection paths of the extended axons reached from 27.1 μm to 142.5 μm while following the ectopic $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ cues. When we plotted the projection path length against the source-path length, we observed a nearly perfect correlation (Figure 4F). This result signifies that irrespective of the location of the ectopic source—whether proximal or distal to the sensory arbor network—the $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ cue

sufficed to attract axonal terminals from the sensory arbor. Furthermore, the low average projection-proximity length of $3.5 \pm 0.7 \mu\text{m}$ (mean $\pm$ SEM) indicated that the extended projection terminals remained either in contact (8 of 18) or in the vicinity (10 of 18) of the ectopic $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ sources (**Figure 4G**). These observations together demonstrate that the $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ diffusive cue is sufficient to provide neural guidance *in vivo*.

As the posterior lateral-line ganglion matures, newly formed neurons extend their axonal growth cones along the posterior lateral-line nerve to reach the neuromasts (32). We wondered whether the axonal projections that had yet to be associated with a neuromast could also respond to an ectopic source of $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$. Indeed, on seven occasions in which $\text{sema7a}^{\text{sec}}$ integration had occurred between two neuromasts, we detected neurite extensions from the posterior lateral-line nerve to the ectopic $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ sources (**Figure 4H**; **Figure 4—figure supplement 1B-B'**, Videos 10-12). Live time-lapse video microscopy further revealed dynamic association between the extending neurites and the ectopic $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ sources. Long and thin along their entire extents, the neurites were highly motile, extending and retracting rapidly near the $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ expressing cells. Many neurites maintained their contact with the ectopic sources of $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ from one hour to almost eight hours (**Figure 4I,J**; **Figure 4—figure supplement 2A**, Videos 13-16). The responsiveness of the posterior lateral-line axons to $\text{Sema7A}^{\text{sec}}$ might therefore be an intrinsic property of the neurons that does not require the neuromast microenvironment.

Sema7A-GPI fails to impart sensory axon guidance from a distance

We speculate that the GPI-anchored transcript variant of the *sema7a* gene can function only through contact-dependent pathways. To test this hypothesis, we expressed the Sema7A-GPI ectopically and investigated the resultant arborization patterns of the sensory axons. Fertilized one-cell embryos were injected with the *hsp70:sema7a-GPI-2A-mCherry* plasmid and raised to 3 dpf. Larvae expressing the transgenesis marker—the lens-specific green fluorescent protein (GFP)—were heat-shocked, incubated to allow expression, and subsequently mounted for live imaging (**Figure 5A**). In these larvae, a few mature myofibers expressed the exogenous Sema7A-GPI protein. We selected only those neuromasts in which an ectopic integration had occurred near the network of sensory arbors. In all 15 such cases, no sensory axon left the arbor network to approach the ectopic Sema7A-GPI sources. In all 17 of the uninjected and heat-shocked control larvae, the sensory arbor network did not show any perturbation (**Figure 5B-C**, Videos 17-19). These data suggest that the Sema7A-GPI , unlike its secreted counterpart, functions at the surfaces of the expressing cells.

Sema7A deficiency impacts synaptic assembly

Contact stabilization between an axon and its target cell is essential for synapse formation (33). Neural GPI-anchored proteins can act as regulators of various synaptic-adhesion pathways (34). In the mouse olfactory system, GPI-anchored Sema7A is enriched in olfactory sensory axons and mediates sustained interaction with the dendrites of mitral and tufted cells in the olfactory bulb to establish synapses (15). In the developing mouse brain—particularly in Purkinje cells—GPI-anchored Sema7A instead regulates the elimination of synapses onto climbing fibers (35). The role of anchored Sema7A in regulating synaptic architecture can thus vary according to the cell type and developmental stage. Our demonstration that Sema7A is necessary to consolidate the contact between sensory axons and hair cells during neuromast development suggests a possible role in regulating synaptic structure.

Proper synaptogenesis requires the correct spatial organization of the presynaptic and postsynaptic apparatus at the apposition of two cells. At the interface between hair cells and sensory axons, the synaptic network involves two scaffolding proteins in particular: ribeye, a major constituent of the presynaptic ribbons (36), and membrane-associated guanylate kinase (MAGUK), a conserved group of proteins that organize postsynaptic densities (37). Utilizing




Figure 5.

Ectopic Sema7A-GPI fails to guide sensory arbors from a distance.

(A) A diagrammatic overview depicts the generation of a transgenic animal that expresses the Sema7A-GPI protein ectopically under the control of a thermally inducible promoter. Larvae with ectopic myotomal-integration near the network of sensory arbors (red rectangle) were imaged to analyze arbor morphology. Uninjected, heat-shocked larvae were used as controls. (B) Uninjected and heat-shocked larva depicts normal arborization pattern of the sensory axons (magenta). (C) Injected and heat-shocked larvae robustly express the Sema7A-GPI in the myofibers (orange). Panels (i-iii) depict the ectopically expressing Sema7A-GPI myofibers that fail to attract sensory arbors toward itself. Scale bar, 20 μm .

these scaffolding proteins as markers, we investigated the impact of the *sema7a*^{-/-} mutation on synapse formation. To analyze the distribution of presynaptic densities—immunolabeled with an antibody against the ribeye-associated presynaptic constituent C-terminal binding protein (CTBP) (36 [↗](#))—we counted the number and measured the area of CTBP punctae from multiple neuromasts at several stages of neuromast development (**Figure 6A,B** [↗](#)). The CTBP punctae in each hair cell ranged from zero to seven across developmental stages. In the zebrafish's posterior lateral line, the formation of presynaptic ribbons is an intrinsic property of the hair cells that does not require contact with lateral-line sensory axons. However, sustained contact with the sensory axon influences the maintenance and stability of ribbons (38 [↗](#)). As neuromasts matured from 2 dpf to 4 dpf, we identified similar distribution patterns with a characteristic increase in the number of CTBP punctae in both control and *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae (**Figure 6C,D** [↗](#)). This result implies that the formation of new presynaptic ribbons is not perturbed in the mutants, even though there are on average fewer CTBP punctae (**Figure 6—figure supplement 1A-C** [↗](#)). Measurement of the areas of CTBP punctae also showed similar distributions in both genotypes (**Figure 6E,F** [↗](#)) but the average area of presynaptic densities was reduced in the mutants (**Figure 6—figure supplement 1D-F** [↗](#), **Figure 6—figure supplement 2** [↗](#)). Because GPI-anchored *Sema7A* lacks a cytosolic domain, it is unlikely that *Sema7A* signaling directly induces the formation of presynaptic ribbons. We propose that the decrease in average number and area of CTBP punctae likely reflects decreased stability of the presynaptic ribbons owing to lack of contact between the sensory axons and the hair cells.




Figure 6.

Sema7A deficiency impairs synaptic assembly.

(A,B) Maximal-intensity projections of micrographs from a control and a *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromast depict the presynaptic aggregates marked by CTBP (cyan). The approximate hair cell basal region is outlined by dashed green circles. (C-F) Plots quantitate the numbers of presynaptic aggregates (C,D) and their areas (E,F), in each hair cell across developmental stages. One hundred and fifty-two, 317, and 325 hair cells were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively. One hundred and sixty, 216, and 177 hair cells were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant larvae, respectively. (G) A micrograph generated from maximal-intensity projection of ten consecutive through-focus scans across Z-planes of a control 4 dpf neuromast depict the sensory arbors (magenta) and the postsynaptic aggregates marked by MAGUK (yellow, white arrowheads). The MAGUK punctae primarily occur on the loop and aggregate near the nodes. Panels (i-iv) depict maximal-intensity projection of consecutive through-focus scans of distinct Z-planes to show bare terminals. In each panel, the bare terminal (magenta, cyan arrowheads) rarely harbors postsynaptic aggregates (yellow, white arrowhead). (H) Quantification of the distribution of the MAGUK-punctae between the two topological features of the network depicts enrichment of postsynaptic densities on the loops over the bare terminals. (I) A histogram shows the distribution of MAGUK-punctae density against the distance from the node. The histogram is represented as a density trace graph (yellow). For both H and I, five hundred and sixty-seven MAGUK punctae were analyzed from 16 neuromasts of 4 dpf larvae. (J,K) Maximal-intensity projections from micrographs of a control and a *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromast depict the postsynaptic aggregates marked by MAGUK (yellow). The approximate hair cell basal region is outlined by dashed green circles. (L-O) Plots quantitate the numbers of postsynaptic aggregates (L,M) and their areas (N,O), in each hair cell across developmental stages. One hundred and fifty, 218, and 167 hair cells were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively. Ninety-seven, 141, and 141 hair cells were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant larvae, respectively. Scale bars, 5 μ m.

Our analysis of the skeletonized network traces of the sensory arbor network identified distinct topological features that are resultant of collective assemblies of each sensory axon. Because postsynaptic aggregates are organized at the sensory axons, we wondered whether they are associated with a specific topological feature of the network. To identify the distribution of the postsynaptic aggregates along the arbor network, we utilized the transgenic animal *TgBAC(neurod1:EGFP)* that labeled the sensory axons of the posterior lateral line in green fluorescence protein (GFP), and marked the postsynaptic aggregates through immunostaining with a pan-MAGUK antibody (**Figure 6G**). We generated skeletonized network traces of the arbor network and determined the three-dimensional spatial locations of the MAGUK punctae (**Figure 6—figure supplement 2**). Comparison of the topological features of the network with the spatial locations of the MAGUK punctae revealed that the postsynaptic densities occur more extensively on the loops than on the bare terminals (**Figure 6G**). We measured 567 MAGUK punctae from 16 sensory arbor networks, where 531 punctae were located on the loops and only 36 punctae on the bare terminals (**Figure 6H**). Furthermore, the MAGUK punctae predominantly aggregate near the node and their density sharply diminishes moving farther from the node (**Figure 6I**, **Figure 6—figure supplement 2**). These observations suggest that the distribution of the postsynaptic aggregates is closely associated with the topological attributes of the sensory circuit. Because in the *sema7a*^{-/-} mutants the arbor networks have significantly lower number of loops and nodes, and more bare terminals, we hypothesize that in the mutants the occurrence of postsynaptic aggregates is perturbed. Moreover, Sema7A-GPI-mediated juxtracrine signaling onto the apposed axonal membrane is essential to induce postsynaptic assembly (15).

To characterize the impact of *sema7a* mutation on the formation of postsynaptic aggregates, we counted the number and measured the area of MAGUK punctae from multiple neuromasts at several stages of neuromast development (**Figure 6J,K**). At 4 dpf, 68.3 % of the hair cells in the control neuromasts were associated with at least one MAGUK punctum. In the *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant

this value fell to 37.5 % (Figure 6L,M). The average number of MAGUK punctae also declined in the mutants (Figure 6—figure supplement 1G-I). As control neuromasts matured from 2 dpf to 4 dpf, the MAGUK punctae showed a shift toward smaller sizes, suggesting that the postsynaptic structure fragmented into smaller entities as observed in other excitatory synapses (40). At 4 dpf, 77.5 % of the hair cells in the control neuromasts had postsynaptic densities with areas between $0.10 \mu\text{m}^2$ and $0.40 \mu\text{m}^2$. In the *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant the corresponding value was only 42.5 % (Figure 6N,O). The average area of MAGUK punctae was also reduced in the mutants compared to the controls (Figure 6—figure supplement 1J-L, Figure 6—figure supplement 2). We speculate that the abnormalities in the postsynaptic structure of the *sema7a*^{-/-} mutants arose from reduced contact between the hair cells and the sensory axons and perturbed topological attributes of the sensory circuit or from the lack of *Sema7A*-GPI-mediated juxtacrine signaling onto the apposed neurite terminals (15).

Discussion

The specification of neural circuitry requires precise control of the guidance cues that direct and restrict neural arbors at their target fields and facilitate synapse formation. In the lateral-line neuromast of the zebrafish, we have identified *Sema7A* as an essential cue that regulates the interaction between hair cells and sensory axons. We have discovered two isoforms of the *sema7a* gene *in vivo*: the chemoattractive diffusible form is sufficient to guide the sensory arbors toward their target, whereas the membrane-attached form likely participates in sculpting accurate neural circuitry to facilitate contact-mediated formation and maintenance of synapses. Our results suggest a potential mechanism for hair cell innervation in which a local *Sema7A*^{sec} diffusible cue likely consolidates the sensory arbors at the hair cell cluster and the membrane-anchored *Sema7A*-GPI molecule guides microcircuit topology and synapse assembly. Establishing the exact function of each of the *sema7a* isoforms will necessitate studies that include transcript-specific targeted mutagenesis and rescue of the *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant phenotype by each of the transcript variants.

The role of *Sema7A* in regulating diverse topological features of the arborization network suggests that microcircuits of control and *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts have important functional differences. Loss of *Sema7A* disrupts the hierarchical organization of the node-degree distribution along the organ's axis, as well as the preference towards forming loops containing four nodes with 30–40 μm of arbors. What benefit does the network gain from surrounding the hair cell cluster with closed loops instead of bare terminals? The network may actively form loops to contribute to the neuromast's overall structure and function, or else emerge as a consequence of the arbors navigating the intervening spaces between the hair cells and support cells. The preferential localization of the postsynaptic aggregates in the loops and an enrichment near the nodes of the arbor network suggest that the precise patterning of the network—with characteristic looping behaviors—is not merely a byproduct of neuromast architecture, but may contribute to the network's ability to gather sensory inputs through properly placed postsynaptic aggregates and to faithfully transmit sensory information to higher processing centers in the hindbrain (7). Further studies are necessary to elucidate the potential role of these topological features in regulating the distribution of synaptic bodies and optimizing robust neural transmission in the lateral-line microcircuit.

Recent studies have begun to dissect the molecular mechanisms of semaphorin signaling in axonal navigation. In explants derived from the murine olfactory bulb, *Sema7A* interacts directly with *integrin* β 1 to trigger downstream signaling effectors involving focal adhesion kinase and mitogen-activated protein kinases, which are critical regulators of the cytoskeletal network during axonal pathfinding (4,41). Moreover, the interaction between *Sema7A* and its receptor *plexinC1*

activates the *rac1-cdc42-PAK* pathway, which alters the actin network to promote axonal guidance and synapse formation (15 [43](#), 42 [43](#)). We speculate that lateral-line sensory axons utilize similar mechanisms to sense and respond to *Sema7A* in the establishment of microcircuits with hair cells.

During early development of the posterior lateral line, the growth cones of sensory axons intimately associate with the prosensory primordium that deposits neuromasts along the lateral surface of the larva (43 [43](#), 44 [43](#)). The growth of the sensory fibers with the primordium is regulated by diverse neurotrophic factors expressed in the primordium (45 [43](#), 46 [43](#)). Impairing brain-derived or glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factors and their receptors perturbs directed axonal motility and innervation of hair cells (45 [43](#), 46 [43](#)). Lateral-line hair cells are particularly enriched in brain-derived neurotrophic factor (47 [43](#)) and other chemotropic cues (Figure 7 [43](#)). Because the sensory axons of *sema7a*^{-/-} mutants reliably branch from the lateral-line nerve to reach hair cell clusters, these chemoattractive factors might compensate in part for the absence of *Sema7A* function. Although sensory axons are strict selectors of hair cell polarity and form synapses accordingly (5 [43](#)), diverse chemoattractant cues including *Sema7A* occur uniformly between hair cell polarities (47 [43](#)). It seems likely that unbiased attractive signals bring sensory arbors toward hair cells, after which polarity-specific molecules on hair cell surfaces dictate the final association between axonal terminal and hair cells. Notch-delta signaling and its downstream effectors regulate hair cell polarity and the innervation pattern (6 [43](#), 8 [43](#), 27 [43](#)). It would be interesting to determine whether Notch-mediated polarity signatures on the hair cell's surface can refine the neuromast neural circuitry.

Materials and Methods

Zebrafish strains and husbandry

Experiments were performed on 1.5-4 dpf zebrafish larvae in accordance with the standards of Rockefeller University's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Naturally spawned and fertilized eggs were collected, cleaned, staged, and maintained at 28.5 °C in system-water with 1 µg/mL methylene blue. Wild-type AB and heterozygous mutant *semaphorin7a*^{sa24691} zebrafish were obtained from the Zebrafish International Resource Center. In addition, the following transgenic zebrafish lines were used: *Tg(myo6b:actb1-EGFP)* (48 [43](#)), *Tg(neurod1:tdTomato)* (27 [43](#)), *Tg(cldnb:LY-mScarlet)* (26 [43](#)), and *TgBAC(neurod1:EGFP)* (39 [43](#)).

Embryo dissociation and flow cytometry

Immediately before dissection, 4-day-old *Tg(myo6b:actb1-EGFP)* and wild-type larvae were anesthetized in 600 µM 3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester methanesulfonate in system water with 1 µg/mL methylene blue. The anterior half of each larva was removed with a fine surgical blade. We used approximately 400 GFP-positive and 50 GFP-negative larvae, the latter for controls of the gating procedure. Dissociation of the tails were performed using a protocol (22 [43](#)) with minor modification; detailed protocol is available upon request. The resuspended cells were incubated for 5 min on ice and sorted with an influx cell sorter (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) with an 85 µm nozzle at 20 psi and 1X DPBS (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, USA). Laser excitation was conducted at 561 nm and 488 nm. Distinct populations of GFP-positive hair cells were isolated based on forward scattering, lateral scattering, and the intensity of GFP fluorescence.

RNA extraction and cDNA library preparation

Sorted cells were collected in a lysis-buffer solution supplemented with β-mercaptoethanol and RNA extraction was performed immediately using a standard protocol (RNeasy Plus Micro Kit; Qiagen). The yield and quality of the product were measured with a bioanalyzer (Agilent 2100).




Figure 7.

Expression of diverse neural guidance cues in developing neuromasts.

Analysis of single cell RNA-sequencing data (9) shows the expression of multiple neural guidance genes that are expressed across young hair cells (Y), mature hair cells (M), and support cells (SC). Dot sizes and colors signify the proportion of cells in each cluster that express a gene and the average strength of expression ($\log[(\text{counts}/10,000) + 1]$), respectively.

Only samples with an RNA integrity score (RIN) greater than 8.0 were selected for the preparation of cDNA libraries using iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (BioRad). One nanogram of total RNA was amplified to several micrograms of cDNA.

Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reactions (RT-PCR)

The *sema7a* gene expresses two transcript variants: variant 1, *sema7a-GPI* anchored, NM_001328508.1; and variant 2, *sema7a^{sec}* secreted, NM_001114885.2. The variant 2 lacks several C-terminal exons, and its 3'-terminal exon extends past a splice site that is used in variant 1. The encoded variant 2 has a shorter and distinct C-terminus than variant 1. To individually identify these transcripts, each of them was PCR amplified from the cDNA library using the following primers: For variant 1, *sema7aF*= 5'-GGTTTTTCTGAGGCCATTCC-3', *sema7aR1*= 5'-GGCACTCGTGACAAATGCTA-3'; and for variant 2, *sema7aF*= 5'-GGTTTTTCTGAGGCCATTCC-3', *sema7aR2*= 5'-TGTGGAGAAAGTCACAAAGCA-3'. To detect *pvalb8* transcript we used the following primers: *pvalb8F*= 5'-ATGTCTCTCACATCTATCCT-3' and *pvalb8R*= 5'-TCAGGACAGCACCATCGCCT-3'. To detect *s100t* transcript we used the following primers: *s100tF*= 5'-ATGCAGCTGATGATCCAGAC-3' and *s100tR*= 5'-CTATTTCTTGTCATCCTTCT-3'.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

For the immunofluorescence labeling of wholemounted wild-type control and *sema7a^{-/-}* larvae, 1.5-4 dpf larvae were fixed overnight at 4 °C in 4 % formaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS) with 1 % Tween-20 (1 % PBST). Larvae were washed four times with 1 % PBST for 15 min each, then placed for 2 hr in blocking solution containing PBS, 2 % normal donkey serum (NDS), 0.5 % Tween-20, and 1 % BSA. Primary antibodies were diluted in fresh blocking solution and incubated with the specimens overnight at 4 °C. The primary antibodies were goat anti-Sema7A (1:200; AF1835, R&D Systems) (**Figure 1—figure supplement 4** [\(14\)](#)), rabbit anti-myosin VI (1:200; Proteus 25-6791), murine anti-GM130 (1:200; 610822, BD Transduction Laboratories), murine anti-CTBP (1:200; B-3, SC-55502, Santa Cruz Biotech), and rabbit anti-mCherry (1:200; GTX128508, GeneTex). Larvae were washed four times with 0.1% PBST for 15 min each. Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies (Invitrogen, Molecular Probes) applied overnight at 1:200 dilutions in 0.2 % PBST included anti-rabbit (488 nm), anti-goat (555 nm), and anti-mouse (647 nm). Larvae were then washed four times in 0.2 % PBST for 15 min each and stored at 4 °C in VectaShield (Vector Laboratories).

For the immunofluorescence labeling of postsynaptic density in wholemounted wild-type control and *sema7a^{-/-}* larvae, 2-4 dpf larvae were fixed with 4 % formaldehyde in PBS for 4.5-6 hr at 4 °C. Larvae were washed five times with 0.01 % PBST for 5 min each and then rinsed in distilled water for 5 min. The larvae were permeabilized with ice-cold acetone at -20 °C for 5 min. They were rinsed in distilled water for 5 min, washed five times with 0.01 % PBST for 5 min each, and blocked overnight with PBS buffer containing 2 % goat serum and 1 % BSA. Murine anti-pan-MAGUK antibody (1:500; IgG1 NeuroMab, K28.86, 75-029 and MABN72, Millipore) was diluted in PBS containing 1 % BSA, added to the larvae, and incubated for 3 hr at room temperature. Larvae were washed five times for 5 min each with 0.01 % PBST. Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:1000) diluted in PBS containing 1 % BSA was added to the larvae and incubated for 2 hr at room temperature. Larvae were washed five times with 0.01 % PBST for 5 min each, rinsed in distilled water for 5 min, and stored at 4 °C in VectaShield (Vector Laboratories).

Posterior segments of fixed larvae were mounted on a glass slide and imaged on an Olympus IX81 microscope with a microlens-based, super-resolution confocal microscope (VT-iSIM, VisiTech international) under 60X and 100X, silicone-oil objective lenses of numerical apertures 1.30 and 1.35, respectively. Static images at successive focal depths were captured at 200 nm intervals and deconvolved with the Microvolution software in ImageJ.

Measurement of *Sema7A* and td-Tomato-positive sensory arbor intensities

The average *Sema7A* fluorescence intensities of the hair cells from 1.5 dpf, 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf neuromasts were measured by determining the mean gray level within each hair cell labeled by actin-GFP expression. The intensity distribution of *Sema7A* protein across the basal cell membrane was measured using the line profile tool in ImageJ (**Figure 1—figure supplement 2G-I**). The lines were drawn with 1 μm length, starting from the inside of the hair cell to the outside. For each hair cell membrane, the intensity values were scaled from 0 to 1 arbitrary units. The intensity distribution of *Sema7A* protein along the apicobasal axis of the hair cell was measured using the line profile tool in ImageJ (**Figure 1—figure supplement 2J**). Because hair cell lengths differed among samples, lines were drawn with 2 μm widths and varying lengths of 6–8 μm . For each hair cell, apicobasal length was scaled from 0 to 100 arbitrary units and the intensity values from 0 to 1 arbitrary units. The average *Sema7A* and td-Tomato-positive sensory arbor fluorescence intensities at the hair cell bases from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf neuromasts were measured by determining the mean gray level within each hair cell basal area, denoted by the region underneath the hair cell nucleus (**Figure 1—figure supplement 3A-E**).

Genotyping of mutant fish

The *semaphorin7a*^{sa24691} allele harbors an A-to-T point mutation in the seventh exon of the *semaphorin7a* gene, which creates a premature stop codon (**Figure 2—figure supplement 1A**). Genomic DNA was isolated from the tail fins of adult *semaphorin7a*^{sa24691} (*sema7a*^{sa91}) heterozygous mutant zebrafish. The mutant locus in the genome was PCR amplified using the following primers: *sema7a*^{sa91}F: 5'-AAAGCTGGAAAGCGAATCAA-3' and *sema7a*^{sa91}R: 5'-ATATCCAAGGATCCGCCTCT-3'. The 734-base pair amplicons were sequenced, and heterozygous adults were propagated.

Lipophilic styryl fluophore labeling of hair cells

Freely swimming 4 dpf control and *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae were exposed to the styryl fluorophore FM 4-64 (N-(3-triethylammoniumpropyl)-4-(6-(4-(diethylamino) phenyl) hexatrienyl) pyridinium dibromide, ThermoFischer Scientific) at 5 μM for 30 s, then rinsed three times in fresh embryo water and immediately mounted in low-melting-point agarose for live imaging. The average FM 4-64 intensities within the hair cells of 4 dpf neuromasts of both genotypes were measured by determining the mean gray level within each hair cell labeled by actin-GFP expression.

Transient transgenesis with the

hsp70l:sema7a^{sec}-mKate2 construct

The pDNR-LIB plasmid containing the *sema7a*^{sec} coding sequence was purchased from horizon (7140389, Perkin-Elmer). The coding sequence was PCR amplified using the following primers: *sema7a*^{sec}F: 5'-GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTGATGATTCGACATTATTCT-3' and *sema7a*^{sec}R: 5'-GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTGCTTTGTGGAGAAAGTCACAAAGCA-3'. The italicized nucleotides denote the mutated stop codon. The amplicon was then cloned into the pDONR221 vector by BP recombination to generate the middle entry pME-*sema7a*^{sec} vector. To generate the *hsp70l:sema7a*^{sec}-mKate2 construct, gateway cloning was performed by combining the plasmids p5E-*hsp70* (49), pME-*sema7a*^{sec}, p3E-mKate2-myc no-pA (Addgene, 80812), and pDESTtol2pACrymCherry (Addgene, 64023) with LR Clonase II Plus (Invitrogen). Verified constructs (25 ng/ μl plasmid DNA) were injected with Tol2 Transposase mRNA (approximately 25 ng/ μl) into one-cell embryos. The transiently transgenic larvae—identified by the expression of red fluorescent protein (mCherry) in their lenses—were raised till 3 dpf, heat-shocked in a water bath at 37 °C for 1 hr, incubated at 28 °C for 1 hr to allow expression, and subsequently mounted for live imaging.

Transient transgenesis with the hsp70l:sema7a-GPI-2A-mCherry construct

The *sema7a-GPI* coding sequence without the stop codon (*sema7a-GPI^{no-stop}*) was synthesized using gBlocks HiFi Gene Fragments (Integrated DNA Technologies). The *sema7a-GPI^{no-stop}* coding sequence was then cloned into the pDONR221 vector by BP recombination to generate the middle entry pME-sema7a-GPI^{no-stop} vector, henceforth denoted as pME-sema7a-GPI. To generate the hsp70:sema7a-GPI-2A-mCherry construct, gateway cloning was performed by combining the plasmids p5E-hsp70 (49 [DOI](#)), pME-sema7a-GPI, p3E-2A-mcherryA (Addgene, 26031), and pDESTtol2pACryGFP (Addgene, 64022) with LR Clonase II Plus (Invitrogen). Verified constructs (25 ng/μl plasmid DNA) were injected with Tol2 Transposase mRNA (approximately 25 ng/μl) into one-cell embryos. The transiently transgenic larvae—identified by the expression of green fluorescent protein (GFP) in their lenses—were raised till 3 dpf, heat-shocked in a water bath at 37 °C for 1 hr, incubated at 28 °C for 1 hr to allow expression, and subsequently mounted for live imaging.

Microscopy and volumetric rendering of living neuronal arbors and cells

Living larvae were dechorionated, anaesthetized in 600 μM 3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester methanesulfonate in system-water with 1 μg/mL methylene blue, and mounted in 1 % low-melting-point agarose on a glass-bottom MetTek dish at specific stages. The larvae were maintained at 28 °C during imaging in a temperature-controlled stage top chamber (OKO Lab UNO-T). Static images at successive focal depths were captured at 200 nm intervals and deconvolved with Microvolution software in ImageJ (NIH). For time-lapse video microscopy, the ectopic *sema7a^{sec}* integration sites were imaged at intervals of 5 min as Z-stacks acquired with 1.0 μm steps with laser excitation at 488 nm and 561 nm under a 60X, silicone-oil objective lens of numerical aperture 1.30. The three-dimensional datasets were processed and volume-rendered with the surface evolver and filament-tracer tools in Imaris (Bitplane, Belfast, UK). The Imaris workstation was provided by the Rockefeller University Bio-imaging Resource Center.

Generation of pseudocolored trajectories of the dwell

times of sensory neurites at the ectopic Sema7A^{sec} sources

Fluorescent intensity values of the sensory neurites and the ectopic Sema7A^{sec} sources were measured from the maximal-intensity projections at each time during the time-lapse video microscopy. The intensity values were normalized and binarized before summing across each timepoint for locations across the XY plane. The resulting pseudocolored trajectories represent the dwell times of the neurites and the Sema7A^{sec} cells through the 10 hr time-lapse video sequence.

Generation of skeletonized networks

The labeled sensory axons were traced in three dimensions with ImageJ's semi-automated framework, SNT (50 [DOI](#)). Each trace depicts the skeletonized form of the posterior lateral-line nerve, the posterior lateral-line branch, and the point of arborization from which the sensory arbors radiate to contact the hair cells (Figure 2D,E [DOI](#); Figure 2—figure supplement 2B [DOI](#)). The three-dimensional pixels or voxels obtained from the skeletonized sensory arbor traces were processed using custom code written in Python for visual representation and quantitative analysis.

Generation of combined hair cell clusters and the corresponding combined skeletonized networks

The hair cell clusters of neuromasts from each developmental stage were aligned by the centers of their apices, which were identified by the hair bundles, and overlaid to generate combined hair cell clusters (**Figure 2—figure supplement 1E,F**) using custom Python code. The associated skeletonized sensory-arbor traces at each developmental stage were aligned similarly using custom Python code. The details of the procedures are available upon request and the corresponding code is available on GitHub.

Quantification of sensory arbor distributions around hair cell clusters

The region from the center of the combined hair cell cluster to 60 μm in the post-cluster region was divided into 3600 concentric sections of equal area. Each section, as depicted with a distinct color shade, had an area of $\pi \mu\text{m}^2$. Sensory arbor density was defined as $\text{Log}_{10}[(\text{Area occupied by the arbors in each section}) / (\text{Area of each section})]$. The arbor density was then plotted as a histogram against distance from the center of the combined hair cell cluster. Each bin of the histogram represents an area of $\pi \mu\text{m}^2$ (**Figure 2—figure supplement 2A**). The histograms were finally represented as density trace graphs with Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) in Python.

Quantification of contact between sensory arbors and hair cell clusters

For each hair cell cluster, the voxels of the sensory arbor traces that were inside or within 0.5 μm —the average neurite radius—of the cluster boundary were denoted as arbors in contact with their corresponding hair cell cluster (**Figure 2—figure supplement 2B**). The percentage of arbors in contact with each hair cell cluster was defined as: $(\text{Number of voxels that remained inside the cluster boundary} / \text{Total number of voxels in the arbor}) \times 100$. The data were analyzed through an automated pipeline with custom Python code.

Quantification of the sensory arborization network topology

We developed a custom Python script (snt_topology.py; available on GitHub) to convert the skeletonized sensory arborization networks to network graphs (NetworkX, v3.1). We first used a custom ImageJ macro to convert SNT skeletons to csv files, then generated the network graph from the individual arbor paths. As noted previously, we defined five topological attributes: (1) nodes—junctions where arbors branch, converge, or cross; (2) loops—minimal arbor cycles forming topological holes; (3) bare terminals—arbors with free ends; (4) node degree—the number of first-neighbor nodes incident to a node; and (5) arbor curvature—the total curvature of a bare terminal (**Figure 3A**). To enumerate loops and quantify their lengths and node numbers, we computed the minimum cycle basis for each network graph. The relative organ height of each node in a graph was defined in 3D using **Equation (1)**:

$$(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}) / \|\vec{v}\|^2, \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{u}$ is the vector from the arborization initiation point to a node and $\vec{v}$ is the vector from the arborization initiation point to the hair cell cluster apex. The relative occurrence of nodes (**Figure 3—figure supplement 1A-C**) was normalized by both the number of hair cells per neuromast and the total number of neuromasts, for comparison across ages and genotypes. The relative number of bare terminals and loops (**Figure 3B**; **Figure 3—figure supplement 1D, E**) was normalized by the number of hair cells per neuromast and scaled between 0 and 1. The density was then normalized by the total number of neuromasts imaged for each developmental stage and genotype. For **Figure 3C** and **Figure 3—figure supplement 1F** and **G**, the normalized

occurrence was computed as the number of arbors of a given length that formed either a bare terminal or a loop, divided by the total number of arbors of either feature of that length. Lastly, we defined bare terminals as having either high or low curvature (**Figure 3F** [↗](#); **Figure 3—figure supplement 2E,F** [↗](#)) if their absolute total signed curvature [**Equation (2)** [↗](#)] in three dimensions was either higher or lower than $\pi/6$, respectively:

$$\left| \int \frac{dT}{ds} \cdot N(s) ds \right|, \quad (2)$$

where s is the arc-length parameter of the bare terminal, $T(s)$ is the unit tangent vector at each point along the arbor, $N(s)$ is the unit normal vector at each point, and the integral is evaluated from the terminal's branching node to the arbor's tip. For a closed curve forming a full loop, this value will equal 2π . In practice, we first fit B-splines (`scipy.interpolate.splprep`; SciPy, v1.10.1) in 3D to the skeletons for each bare terminal before evaluating their derivatives (`scipy.interpolate.splev`) and integrating (`scipy.integrate.simpson`) over their lengths.

Quantification of aberrant sensory

arborization in ectopic *Sema7A^{sec}* expression

The sensory arbor networks were traced in three dimensions with SNT. The surface of each of the ectopically expressing *Sema7A^{sec}*-mKate2 cells was represented by a single point that was closest to the terminal point of the guided neurite. Distances between two points were calculated using standard mathematical operations.

Quantification of presynaptic and postsynaptic assembly number and area

Maximal-intensity projections of consecutive through-focus scans encompassing the entire neuromast of control and *sema7a^{-/-}* mutant larvae at 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf were utilized to capture the presynaptic and postsynaptic assemblies. The number of the synaptic densities across genotypes were measured using ImageJ's cell counter framework. Area of the synaptic densities from the maximal-intensity projections were measured using ImageJ's polygon selection tool (**Figure 6—figure supplement 2A-D** [↗](#)).

Quantification of the distribution of postsynaptic aggregates along the loops and the bare terminals of the sensory arbor networks

Four-day-old *TgBAC(neurod1:EGFP)* larvae were fixed and stained for sensory arbors and postsynaptic aggregates marked by GFP and MAGUK, respectively. The GFP-positive sensory arbors were traced in three dimensions with ImageJ's semi-automated framework, SNT. The topological attributes of the arbor network, such as the loop and the bare terminal, were determined as described previously. The localizations of the MAGUK punctae along the three-dimensional arbor network were measured using ImageJ's cell counter framework (**Figure 6—figure supplement 2E,F** [↗](#)). We measured 567 MAGUK punctae from 16 sensory arbor networks. Whether the MAGUK-punctae were associated with a loop or a bare terminal, we categorized them in two groups and quantified their distribution across 16 neuromasts.

Quantification of the distribution of postsynaptic-aggregate density along the distance from the nearest node

The three-dimensional space surrounding each node of the arbor network were divided into concentric shells of equal volume (**Figure 6—figure supplement 2E, F** [↗](#)). For each node, we computationally generated 100,000 concentric shells that reached a 10 μm radius. We measured

the number of MAGUK punctae located within each of those concentric shells from their nearest nodes.

Statistical analysis

Data visualization and statistical analysis were conducted with GraphPad Prism (Version 9) and Python (v3.10). The Mann-Whitney test was used for hypothesis testing unless otherwise noted, and the statistical details are given in the respective figure legends.

Data and code availability

Owing to size restrictions, the experimental image data have not been uploaded to a repository but are available from the corresponding author on request. The codes generated during this study are available on GitHub (https://github.com/agnikdasgupta/Sema7A_regulates_neural_circuitry )

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Nicolas Velez and Emily Atlas for valuable discussions. Samantha Campbell provided expert fish husbandry. Katie Kindt kindly provided various strains of zebrafish. Image processing benefitted from facilities at the Bio-Imaging Resource Center. A.D. was supported by a Kavli Neural Systems Institute Postdoctoral Fellowship from The Rockefeller University. C.C.R. is supported by National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Grant No. 1946429. A.J., S.P.P., and L.M.S. were supported by Howard Hughes Medical Institute, of which A.J.H. is an Investigator.

Author Contributions

A.D. initiated the project, conducted experiments, analyzed data, and quantified results. C.C.R. developed the Python codes for the network topology analysis and quantified those results, as well as analyzed published transcriptomics data. S.P.P. developed the Python codes for three-dimensional visual representation of sensory arbors and quantified arbor morphologies. L.M.S. conducted preliminary experiments. A.J. plotted Sema7A intensity measurements. A.D. wrote the paper with contributions from C.C.R. and A.J.H.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Video 1. Sema7A is enriched at the hair cell base and sensory-axon interface. A through-focus scan of a 4 dpf control neuromast depicts the hair cells (green) that are innervated by the sensory axons (magenta) at their basolateral surfaces where the Sema7A protein (orange) is highly enriched. Scale bar, 5 μ m.

Videos 2 and 3. FM4-64 labels the hair cells of both control and *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant neuromasts. Through-focus scans of 4 dpf control (Video 2) and *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant (Video 3) neuromasts depict the hair cells (green) that have incorporated FM4-64. The sensory arbors (magenta) are tightly associated with the clustered hair cells in the control neuromast but show aberrant arborization in the *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae.




Figure 1—figure supplement 1.

Isolation of GFP-expressing hair cells.

(A,B) Representative results from fluorescence-activated cell sorting demonstrate the efficient discrimination of GFP⁺ (green) hair cells from *Tg(myo6b:actb1-EGFP)* larval tails. Around 400 tails were utilized to isolate hair cells. Wild type (WT) controls confirm the selectivity of the gates. (C,D) Plots represent the RNA integrity numbers (RINs) of the isolated total RNA from WT and transgenic larvae. High RIN values suggest minimal degradation of isolated RNAs from both samples.




Figure 1—figure supplement 2.

Expression of Semaphorin7A in the lateral line neuromast.

(A-C) Surface micrographs show hair cells marked with actin-GFP (green dashed lines) and the localization of Sema7A protein (orange) in the subapical (arrowheads) and basal regions (arrows) of the corresponding hair cells from neuromasts of developing control larvae. The inset in panel A (red arrowheads) depicts the apices of the immature hair cell pair. (D) Immunolabeling with only the secondary antibody fails to detect any Sema7A signal. (E) A surface micrograph depicts hair cells (green, left) whose subapical region (red dashed line) harbors the Golgi network—labeled by the Golgi resident protein GM130 (cyan, middle)—where the Sema7A protein is enriched (orange, right). (F) A micrograph at a nuclear plane of a 4 dpf neuromast depicts membrane-tethered Scarlet (green) in all cells of the neuromast and the Sema7A protein (orange, arrowheads) in centrally clustered hair cells. (G) The Sema7A protein is located at the basal membrane (red arrowhead). (H) The Sema7A protein is also observed adjacent to the basal membrane, potentially in a vesicle (cyan arrowhead). (I) A plot quantifies the distribution of average Sema7A intensity across the hair cell's basal membrane. The results stem from 100 hair-cell membranes of 10 neuromasts from 4 dpf larvae. (J) A schematic diagram of a single hair cell depicts the three distinct regions along the apicobasal axis of the cell. Sema7A intensity was measured along this apicobasal axis (red dashed line). Scale bars, 5 μm ; au, arbitrary unit; means $\pm$ SEMs.




Figure 1—figure supplement 3.

Sema7A progressively enriches at the hair cell base with the sensory axons.

(A) A micrograph at a nuclear plane of a 3 dpf neuromast depicts several actin-GFP (green) labeled hair cells. The lateral (white dashed line) and the basal (green dashed line) surfaces of three hair cells are outlined. (B) The td-Tomato-positive sensory arbors contact the basal regions (green dashed line) of three hair cells. (C) The Sema7A is enriched in the basal regions (green dashed line) of the hair cells that are in contact with the sensory arbors. (D,E) At the base of the hair cell, intensities of the td-Tomato-positive sensory arbors positively correlate with the Sema7A intensities. The results stem from 66 hair cells of 15 neuromasts from 2 dpf larvae and 40 hair cells of 11 neuromasts from 4 dpf larvae. Scale bars, 5 μ m; au, arbitrary unit; ρ , Spearman's correlation coefficient.

```

Mus      MTPPPPGRAAPSAPRARVLSLPAFGLPLRLRLLLVFWVAAASAQGHRSRSGPRISAVWKG
Danio    -----MIRHYSSLKFKGNLAYPWLIYGACFCVFCSCNPRLTLKQD
          :   . * : : . * : : . * . * * : : .

Mus      QDHVDFSQPEPHTVLFHEPGSFSVWVGGRGKVYHFNFPPEGKNASVRTVNIGSTKGSCQDK
Danio    NAPLNNTNYSHTVLFHEEGSKSLFVGGSNIVLHFDVDSYEILENFTLNANLPR--CGE
          :   : : . . * : : * * * : : * * . * * : . : . * : * . : : .

Mus      QDCGNYITLLERRGNGLVCGTNARKPSCWNLVN--DSVMMSLGEMKGYAPFSPDENSL
Danio    SSCENVVTVIETFQNCKFVCGTNGEEPQCWELYPRESNHSVVKSVVEGTGISPHSSEQNSL
          . * * : : * * : * : * : : * : : : : * . * : * : * : * : * :

Mus      VLFEGDEVYSTIRKQEQYNGKIPRFRIRGESELYTSDTMQNPFQIKATIVH-QDQAYDD
Danio    SLVADGDLAAAPLYSDGTLQFRRKAGKRINVMHDQWVSEPTFISSFLAKRKNDLSNE
          * . . : : : : . . : * : . : : * : : * * : : : : : : : :

Mus      KIYFFREDNPDKNPEAPLNVSRAQLCRGQGGESSLSVSKWNTFLKAMLVCSDAATNR
Danio    KIYVLFREKNSDTSPEADPWISRVARVCKIDEGGSKQFLQNIWTSFLKARLVCGIPKESL
          * * : * * . * . * * : * : : : : * : * : : . * : * * * * . .

Mus      NFNRLQDVFLPDPSPGQWRDTRVYGVFSNPNWYSAVCVYSLGDIRVFTSSLKGYHML
Danio    YFNRLQDVVFVQH--AEDWRDSLVAALFSSSWNSTAVCIYSLADLDDIFEKSNYKGFSEAI
          * * * * * : : * * : * : * : * : * : * : * : * : * : * : . :

Mus      PNPRPGMCLPKKQPIPTETTFQVADSHPEVAQRVEPMGPKLTPLFHSKYHYQKVVVHRMQA
Danio    PNPRPGKCVENSNSLPIPTLKI IKDYPEMKDWIHPIQ-KDTPFFTSSKNFTKIVVDQIRA
          * * * * * * : : : : * * : : . : * : : : * : . * * * . : : * : * : : *

Mus      SNGETFHVLYLTDRGTIHKVVESGDQDHSFVFNIMEIQPFHRAAAIQAI SLDADRRKLY
Danio    LDENVNVNLLATDDGMI FKILQH-----SKPFI ISETHLCNGCAPIQSMKLD SKKRKLF
          : : : : * * * * * * : : * * * * : : . * * : : * : : * : :

Mus      VTSQWEVSQVPLDMCEVYSGGCHGCLMSRDPYCGWDQDRCVSIYSS--QRSVLQSI NPAE
Danio    VGYPGQMSVLELQRCQDYGASCEECVLSRDPYCAWSEKGCSTQTRGGIQNISDGNVTKVC
          * : : * : * : * . . * . : : * * * . * . * . . .

Mus      PHRECPNPKPDE-----APLQKVSLARNSRYLTCPMESRHATYLWRHEENVEQSCEPG
Danio    DHKSVGRVRRDAQQSSTAPSRIQHTVATDVPFYLSLCPVDSHHASRWEHGG---KSNPCQ
          * : . . : * . . : : * : : * * : : * * * * * : *

Mus      HQSPSCILFIENLTARQYGHYRCEAQEGSYLREAQHWELLPE-DRALAEQLMGHARALAA
Danio    QTQSEYLLLI PAMTAENYGNYSQVSVQERDYIKVVREYELLAKSVFNDAFKLKIQRQLMV
          : . . : * * : * : * * * * * : : : * * : : * : * : * .

Mus      SFWLGVLPTLILGLLVH
Danio    AFVTSAFYLCSL-----
          : * . : . *

```

Sequence 1: Mus musculus 664 aa

Sequence 2: Danio rerio 640 aa

Immunogen: Mouse myeloma cell line NS0-derived recombinant mouse Semaphorin 7A [Glutamine 45](#) to [Alanine 646](#). The corresponding region in the zebrafish's Semaphorin 7A is represented by [Glutamine 26](#) to [Methionine 627](#). We predict that the antibody recognizes both variants of the *sema7a* encoded proteins. The Sema domains in both mouse and zebrafish are highlighted in orange.

Figure 1—figure supplement 4.

Alignment of the mouse and the zebrafish Sema7A protein sequences generated by Clustal Omega.

(A) Multiple sequence alignment depicts the conserved Sema domain (orange) and the immunogenic region for the Sema7A antibody in mouse, and its equivalent region in zebrafish.




Figure 2—figure supplement 1.

***sema7a*^{-/-} mutants exhibit aberrant sensory-axon arborization throughout development.**

(A) Micrographs depict the overall morphologies and *sema7a* genomic profiles of 2 dpf control (top) and *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae (bottom). The schematic diagram on the right depicts the mutation site and the resulting early truncation of the encoded protein. (B) The plot quantitates the number of hair cells from 33, 29, and 35 neuromasts from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively and 17, 53, and 27 neuromasts from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant larvae, respectively. No significant change in hair cell number was observed between the control and the *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts across developmental stages. (C) Micrographs depict maximum intensity projections of 4 dpf neuromasts from control and *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae where the hair cells (green) are stained with FM 4-64 (magenta). The sensory arbors (arrowheads, magenta) tightly enwrap the clustered hair cells in the control neuromast but show aberrant arborization in the *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae. (D) The plot quantitates the average FM 4-64 intensities from the hair cells of the control and the *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts. (E,F) Micrographs depict combined 4 dpf hair cell clusters, each of whose centers arise located at (0,0). The X- and Y-coordinates represent the anteroposterior (AP) and dorsoventral (DV) axes of the larva, respectively. Positive values of the X- and Y-coordinates represent posterior and ventral directions, respectively. Combined hair cell clusters from 27 control and 27 *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant neuromasts are represented. (G,H) Micrographs depict the skeletonized networks of the combined 2 dpf hair cell clusters from 17 control and 17 *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant neuromasts. (I,J) Micrographs depict the skeletonized networks of the combined 3 dpf hair cell clusters from 29 control and 29 *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant neuromasts. (K) A plot quantitates the densities of the sensory arbors around the center of the combined hair cell clusters for 33 control (magenta) and 17 *sema7a*^{-/-} (red) neuromasts at 2 dpf. The shaded area marks the region proximal to the boundary of the combined hair cell cluster. (L) A plot quantitates the densities of the sensory arbors around the center of the combined hair cell clusters for 29 control (magenta) and 53 *sema7a*^{-/-} (red) neuromasts at 3 dpf. The shaded area marks the region proximal to the boundary of the combined hair cell cluster. Scale bars, 5 μm; au, arbitrary units; ns, not significant.




Figure 2—figure supplement 2.

Quantification of sensory arbor distributions and contacts with hair cell clusters.

(A) A schematic drawing of the combined hair cell cluster and surrounding region depicts concentric sections of equal area in distinct colors (cyan, green, yellow, and red). The arbor density is plotted as a histogram against the distance (black arrow) from the center (black circle) of the combined hair cell cluster. The histogram is represented as a density trace graph (magenta). (B) A schematic drawing of a posterior lateral-line neuromast depicts the posterior lateral-line (PLL) nerve (black), posterior lateral-line branch (black), the point of arborization, the sensory arbors (magenta) that contact the hair cell cluster (green), and the sensory arbors that project away (blue) from the hair cell cluster.

Video 4. Three-dimensional arborization patterns in control and *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts.

Lateral views depict three-dimensionally rendered combined skeletonized network traces from 27 control and 27 *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant neuromasts at 4 dpf.

Video 5. Sensory axonal projections contact an embryonic muscle progenitor cell expressing *Sema7A*^{sec}.

A through-focus scan of a 3 dpf larva depicts a muscle progenitor cell in the dermomyotome that expresses the ectopic *Sema7A*^{sec} (orange) and the sensory axonal projection (magenta) that makes intimate contact with it. Scale bar, 20 μm.

Video 6. Volumetric surface reconstruction of a sensory arbor and an embryonic muscle progenitor expressing *Sema7A*^{sec}.

Reconstruction of the muscle progenitor cell (orange) at 3 dpf reveals its intimate association with an attracted sensory arbor (magenta).

Video 7. Volumetric surface reconstruction of a sensory arbor and the mature myofibers expressing *Sema7A*^{sec}.

Reconstruction of mature myofibers expressing ectopic *Sema7A*^{sec} (orange) at 3 dpf shows their close association with the attracted sensory arbors (magenta).

Video 8. Sensory axonal projections remain in proximity to myofibers expressing *Sema7A*^{sec}.

A through-focus scan of a 3 dpf larva depicts mature myofibers expressing exogenous *Sema7A*^{sec} deep in the myotome (orange) and the sensory axonal projection (magenta) that remains nearby.

Video 9. Aberrant axonal projections reenter the lateral-line nerve while following an ectopic *Sema7A*^{sec} source.

A through-focus scan of a 3 dpf larva depicts cells expressing exogenous *Sema7A*^{sec} (orange) that guides the aberrant sensory axonal projection (magenta) back into the lateral-line nerve. Scale bar, 20 μm.

Videos 10, 11, and 12. Ectopic *Sema7A*^{sec} expression induces aberrant neurite projections from the lateral-line nerve.

Through-focus scans of 3 dpf larvae depict three individual incidents of ectopic *sema7a*^{sec} (orange) integrations that occurred distant from the neuromasts. In each case, myofibers express ectopic *Sema7A*^{sec} protein (orange) that attracts neurite extensions from the lateral-line nerve (magenta). Scale bars, 20 μm.

Videos 13 and 14. Volumetric reconstructions depict close contacts between the sensory neurites and the ectopic *Sema7a*^{sec} expressing cells.

Volumetric reconstructions of 3 dpf larvae depict two individual incidents of ectopic *sema7a*^{sec} (orange) integrations that occurred distant from the neuromasts. In each case, myofibers or random mosaic of cells in the dermomyotome express ectopic *Sema7A*^{sec} protein (orange) that attracts neurite extensions from the lateral-line nerve (magenta).

Video 15 and 16. Time-lapse video microscopies depict the dynamics between the sensory neurites and the ectopic *Sema7a*^{sec} expressing cells.

Time-lapse images of 3 dpf larvae depict two individual incidents where the ectopic *Sema7A*^{sec} sources (orange) induce directed branching of the sensory neurites (magenta) from the lateral-line nerve toward itself. Within each time-lapse videos, individual panel depicts the ectopic integration site and the associated sensory neurites from three different planes, XY, XZ, and YZ. Scale bars, 20 μm.

Videos 17, 18, and 19. *Sema7A*-GPI is incapable to impart sensory axon guidance from a distance.

Through-focus scans of 3 dpf larvae depict three individual incidents of ectopic *sema7a*-GPI integrations that occurred near the sensory arbor network. In each case, myofibers robustly express ectopic *Sema7A*-GPI protein (orange) but the sensory arborization pattern (magenta) remain unperturbed. Scale bars, 20 μm.




Figure 3—figure supplement 1.

Quantification of topological features across developmental stages and genotypes.

(A-C) The distribution of node degrees as a function of the height in hair cell clusters shows the difference in the network's hierarchical organization between control and *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae. Nodes of low degree are commonly observed between genotypes across developmental stages (A, B), but their distribution along the cluster height became significantly different at 4 dpf (C), with nodes in control larvae localizing higher along the axis than in the mutants. In this and the following two panels, 33, 29, and 35 neuromasts were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively and 17, 53, and 27 neuromasts were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant larvae, respectively (D, E) The correlations between the relative number of bare terminals and loops from control and *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts reveal dispersive distributions at both 2 dpf (D) and 3 dpf (E) stages, suggesting that the networks are undergoing reorganization and yet to adopt an ordered topology. (F,G) In the distribution of arbors between bare terminals and loops with respect to their contour lengths, mutants' arbors display significantly higher propensity to remain as bare terminals compared to the controls at both 2 dpf (F) and 3 dpf (G). The samples were identical to those in the previous panel. Statistical analyses are displayed in [Table 1](#).




Figure 3—figure supplement 2.

Quantification of topological features between control and *sema7a*^{-/-} larvae across developmental stages.

(A, B) Quantifications of the number of nodes per loop demonstrate a broader, but not significantly different, distribution in the mutants than the controls at both 2 dpf (A) and 3 dpf (B). In this and the following panels, 33 and 29 neuromasts were analyzed from 2 dpf and 3 dpf control larvae, respectively and 17 and 53 neuromasts were analyzed from 2 dpf and 3 dpf *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant larvae, respectively. (C, D) Quantifications of loop lengths from the same larvae indicate a wider, but not significantly different, distribution in the mutants than the controls at both 2 dpf (C) and 3 dpf (D). (E, F) The distributions of bare terminals between low- and high-curvature groups from the same larvae show higher occurrences of long, curved arbors in the control and short, linear arbors in the mutants at both 2 dpf (E) and 3 dpf (F) stages. Statistical analyses are displayed in [Table 1](#).




Figure 4—figure supplement 1.

Ectopic Sema7A^{sec} diffusive cues induce aberrant sensory neurite extension.

(A-A'') In a micrograph of an ectopically expressing Sema7A^{sec} (orange) larva, the sensory arbors (magenta) extend multiple axonal projections (arrowheads) that reenter the lateral-line nerve while following an ectopic Sema7A source. Maximal-intensity projections of the sensory arbors and the ectopically expressing Sema7A cells (white dashed line) are shown in A' and A'', respectively. (B-B'') Micrographs depict three individual incidents of ectopic *Sema7A^{sec}* (orange) integrations that occurred distant from neuromasts. In each case, a set of myofibers expresses the ectopic Sema7A^{sec} protein that induces neurite extensions (arrowheads) from the lateral-line nerve (magenta) toward itself. Scale bars, 20 μm.




Figure 4—figure supplement 2.

Ectopic integration of Sema7A^{sec} distant from the neuromast induces sensory neurite extension.

(A) Micrographs of a section of the lateral-line nerve between two neuromasts from a 3-dpf heat-shocked larva depicts a few extended neurites (magenta) reaching toward the cells expressing the ectopic Sema7A^{sec} (orange). The Sema7A^{sec} integration site with the sensory axonal projections was imaged for one hour to visualize axonal dynamics. Micrographs (i-iii) at three distinct time-points from the time-lapse video microscopy show directed branching (cyan arrowheads) of the sensory neurites from the lateral-line nerve toward the ectopic Sema7A^{sec} sources. Each panel depicts the ectopic integration site and the associated sensory neurites from three different planes, XY, XZ, and YZ. White arrowheads in XZ and YZ planes highlight the close association of the sensory axons with the ectopic Sema7A^{sec} sources. Melanocytes (yellow arrowheads) along the horizontal myoseptum intermittently block the visibility of the lateral-line nerve. Scale bar, 20 μ m.




Figure 6—figure supplement 1.

sema7a^{-/-} mutants display impaired synaptic assembly.

(A-F) The plots quantitate the average numbers and areas of presynaptic aggregates from control and *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts. Significant decreases in the numbers and areas of presynaptic aggregates are observed across development. Three hundred and forty-one, 1229, and 1286 presynaptic aggregates were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively. Two hundred and thirty-five, 643, and 419 presynaptic aggregates were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant larvae, respectively. (G-L) The plots quantitate the average numbers and areas of postsynaptic aggregates from control and *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts. Significant decreases in the numbers and areas of postsynaptic aggregates occurred during development. Three hundred and ninety-seven, 1243, and 1300 postsynaptic aggregates were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively. Three hundred and four, 651, and 451 postsynaptic aggregates were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant larvae, respectively. Means $\pm$ SEMs; *** implies $p < 0.001$.




Figure 6—figure supplement 2.

Morphologies of presynaptic and postsynaptic assemblies and the distribution of postsynaptic aggregates across the sensory arbor network.

(A,B) The micrographs depict single presynaptic aggregate marked by CTBP in control (A) and in *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant (B) larvae at 4 dpf. (C,D) The micrographs depict single postsynaptic aggregate marked by MAGUK in control (C) and in *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant (D) larvae at 4 dpf. (E) A maximal-intensity projection micrograph depicts the sensory arbor network (magenta) and the enrichment of the postsynaptic assemblies (yellow, white arrowhead) near the nodes (cyan arrows). (F) A schematic diagram of the sensory arbor network depicts the enrichment of the MAGUK punctae near the node (cyan arrow). The three-dimensional space surrounding each node was divided into concentric shells of equal volume to measure the distribution of MAGUK-punctae density across the network.

Video 20. Postsynaptic assemblies are enriched in the loops and near the nodes of a sensory arbor network. Through-focus scans of a 4 dpf neuromast depict the sensory arbor network (magenta) where the postsynaptic assemblies (yellow) are primarily located in the loops of the arbor network and are enriched near the nodes.

References

1. Lykissas M, Batistatou A, Charalabopoulos K, Beris A (2007) **The Role of Neurotrophins in Axonal Growth, Guidance, and Regeneration** *Curr Neurovasc Res* **4**:143–51
2. Vaccaro G, Dumoulin A, Zuñiga NR, Bandtlow CE, Stoeckli ET (2022) **The Nogo-66 Receptors NgR1 and NgR3 Are Required for Commissural Axon Pathfinding** *J Neurosci* **42**:4087–100
3. Nguyen-Ba-Charvet KT, Di Meglio T, Fouquet C, Chedotal A (2008) **Robos and Slits Control the Pathfinding and Targeting of Mouse Olfactory Sensory Axons** *J Neurosci* **28**:4244–9
4. Pasterkamp R Jeroen, Peschon JJ, Spriggs MK, Kolodkin AL (2003) **Semaphorin 7A promotes axon outgrowth through integrins and MAPKs** *Nature* **424**:398–405
5. Nagiel A, Andor-Ardo D, Hudspeth AJ (2008) **Specificity of Afferent Synapses onto Plane-Polarized Hair Cells in the Posterior Lateral Line of the Zebrafish** *J Neurosci* **28**:8442–53
6. Jacobo A, Dasgupta A, Erzberger A, Siletti K, Hudspeth AJ (2019) **Notch-Mediated Determination of Hair-Bundle Polarity in Mechanosensory Hair Cells of the Zebrafish Lateral Line** *Curr Biol* **29**:3579–3587
7. Valera G, Markov DA, Bijari K, Randlett O, Asgharsharghi A, Baudoin JP, et al. (2021) **A neuronal blueprint for directional mechanosensation in larval zebrafish** *Curr Biol* **31**:1463–1475
8. Dow E, Jacobo A, Hossain S, Siletti K, Hudspeth AJ (2018) **Connectomics of the zebrafish's lateral-line neuromast reveals wiring and miswiring in a simple microcircuit** *eLife* **7**:e33988
9. Lush ME, Diaz DC, Koenecke N, Baek S, Boldt H, St Peter MK, et al. (2019) **scRNA-Seq reveals distinct stem cell populations that drive hair cell regeneration after loss of Fgf and Notch signaling** *eLife* **8**:e44431
10. Carulli D, de Winter F, Verhaagen J (2021) **Semaphorins in Adult Nervous System Plasticity and Disease** *Front Synaptic Neurosci* **13**:672891
11. Yazdani U, Terman JR (2006) **The semaphorins** *Genome Biol* **7**:211
12. de Winter F, Kwok JCF, Fawcett JW, Vo TT, Carulli D, Verhaagen J (2016) **The Chemorepulsive Protein Semaphorin 3A and Perineuronal Net-Mediated Plasticity** *Neural Plast* **2016**:1–14
13. Coate TM, Spita NA, Zhang KD, Isgrig KT, Kelley MW (2015) **Neuropilin-2/Semaphorin-3F-mediated repulsion promotes inner hair cell innervation by spiral ganglion neurons** *eLife* **4**:e07830
14. Carcea I, Patil SB, Robison AJ, Mesias R, Huntsman MM, Froemke RC, et al. (2014) **Maturation of cortical circuits requires Semaphorin 7A** *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **111**:13978–83
15. Inoue N, Nishizumi H, Naritsuka H, Kiyonari H, Sakano H (2018) **Sema7A/PlxnCI signaling triggers activity-dependent olfactory synapse formation** *Nat Commun* **9**:1842

16. Inoue N, Nishizumi H, Ooyama R, Mogi K, Nishimori K, Kikusui T, et al. (2021) **The olfactory critical period is determined by activity-dependent *Sema7A*/*PlxnC1* signaling within glomeruli** *eLife* **10**:e65078
17. Morote-Garcia JC, Napiwotzky D, Köhler D, Rosenberger P (2012) **Endothelial Semaphorin 7A promotes neutrophil migration during hypoxia** *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **109**:14146–51
18. Nishide M, Kumanogoh A (2018) **The role of semaphorins in immune responses and autoimmune rheumatic diseases** *Nat Rev Rheumatol* **14**:19–31
19. Köhler D, Granja T, Volz J, Koeppen M, Langer HF, Hansmann G, et al. (2020) **Red blood cell-derived semaphorin 7A promotes thrombo-inflammation in myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury through platelet GPIb** *Nat Commun* **11**:1315
20. Pham TL, He J, Kakazu AH, Jun B, Bazan NG, Bazan HEP (2017) **Defining a mechanistic link between pigment epithelium-derived factor, docosahexaenoic acid, and corneal nerve regeneration** *J Biol Chem* **292**:18486–99
21. Xie J, Wang H (2017) **Semaphorin 7A as a potential immune regulator and promising therapeutic target in rheumatoid arthritis** *Arthritis Res Ther* **19**:10
22. Baek S, Tran NTT, Diaz DC, Tsai YY, Acedo JN, Lush ME, et al. (2022) **Single-cell transcriptome analysis reveals three sequential phases of gene expression during zebrafish sensory hair cell regeneration** *Dev Cell* **57**:799–819
23. Dow E, Siletti K, Hudspeth AJ (2015) **Cellular projections from sensory hair cells form polarity-specific scaffolds during synaptogenesis** *Genes Dev* **29**:1087–94
24. Pujol-Martí J, Faucherre A, Aziz-Bose R, Asgharsharghi A, Colombelli J, Trapani JG, et al. (2014) **Converging Axons Collectively Initiate and Maintain Synaptic Selectivity in a Constantly Remodeling Sensory Organ** *Curr Biol* **24**:2968–74
25. De Wit J, De Winter F, Klooster J, Verhaagen J (2005) **Semaphorin 3A displays a punctate distribution on the surface of neuronal cells and interacts with proteoglycans in the extracellular matrix** *Mol Cell Neurosci* **29**:40–55
26. Nogare DE Dalle, Natesh N, Vishwasrao HD, Shroff H, Chitnis AB (2020) **Zebrafish Posterior Lateral Line primordium migration requires interactions between a superficial sheath of motile cells and the skin** *eLife* **9**:e58251
27. Ji YR, Warriar S, Jiang T, Wu DK, Kindt KS (2018) **Directional selectivity of afferent neurons in zebrafish neuromasts is regulated by *Emx2* in presynaptic hair cells** *eLife* **7**:e35796
28. Diaz-Horta O, Abad C, Sennaroglu L, Foster J, DeSmidt A, Bademci G, et al. (2016) **ROR1 is essential for proper innervation of auditory hair cells and hearing in humans and mice** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**:5993–8
29. Newman M (2018) **The structure of real-world networks [Internet]** Oxford University Press <https://academic.oup.com/book/27884/chapter/203817512>
30. Sharma P, Ruel TD, Kocha KM, Liao S, Huang P (2019) **Single cell dynamics of embryonic muscle progenitor cells in zebrafish** *Development* :dev.178400

31. Keenan SR, Currie PD (2019) **The Developmental Phases of Zebrafish Myogenesis** *J Dev Biol* **7**:12
32. Sato A, Koshida S, Takeda H (2010) **Single-cell analysis of somatotopic map formation in the zebrafish lateral line system** *Dev Dyn* **239**:2058–65
33. Shen K, Cowan CW (2010) **Guidance Molecules in Synapse Formation and Plasticity** *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **2**:a001842–a001842
34. Um JW, Ko J (2017) **Neural Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-Anchored Proteins in Synaptic Specification** *Trends Cell Biol* **27**:931–45
35. Uesaka N, Uchigashima M, Mikuni T, Nakazawa T, Nakao H, Hirai H, et al. (2014) **Retrograde semaphorin signaling regulates synapse elimination in the developing mouse brain** *Science* **344**:1020–3
36. Sheets L, Hagen MW, Nicolson T, Riley B (2014) **Characterization of Ribeye Subunits in Zebrafish Hair Cells Reveals That Exogenous Ribeye B-Domain and CtBP1 Localize to the Basal Ends of Synaptic Ribbons** *PLoS ONE* **9**:e107256
37. Oliva C, Escobedo P, Astorga C, Molina C, Sierralta J (2012) **Role of the maguk protein family in synapse formation and function** *Dev Neurobiol* **72**:57–72
38. Suli A, Pujol R, Cunningham DE, Hailey DW, Prendergast A, Rubel EW, et al. (2016) **Innervation regulates synaptic ribbons in lateral line mechanosensory hair cells** *J Cell Sci* :jcs.182592
39. Obholzer N, Wolfson S, Trapani JG, Mo W, Nechiporuk A, Busch-Nentwich E, et al. (2008) **Vesicular Glutamate Transporter 3 Is Required for Synaptic Transmission in Zebrafish Hair Cells** *J Neurosci* **28**:2110–8
40. Taschenberger H, Leão RM, Rowland KC, Spirou GA, von Gersdorff H (2002) **Optimizing Synaptic Architecture and Efficiency for High-Frequency Transmission** *Neuron* **36**:1127–43
41. Myers JP, Gomez TM (2011) **Focal Adhesion Kinase Promotes Integrin Adhesion Dynamics Necessary for Chemotropic Turning of Nerve Growth Cones** *J Neurosci* **31**:13585–95
42. O'Donnell M, Chance RK, Bashaw GJ (2009) **Axon Growth and Guidance: Receptor Regulation and Signal Transduction** *Annu Rev Neurosci* **32**:383–412
43. Gilmour D, Knaut H, Maischein HM, Nüsslein-Volhard C (2004) **Towing of sensory axons by their migrating target cells in vivo** *Nat Neurosci* **7**:491–2
44. Metcalfe WK (1985) **Sensory neuron growth cones comigrate with posterior lateral line primordial cells in zebrafish** *J Comp Neurol* **238**:218–24
45. Gasanov EV, Rafieva LM, Korzh VP, Proost P (2015) **BDNF-TrkB Axis Regulates Migration of the Lateral Line Primordium and Modulates the Maintenance of Mechanoreceptor Progenitors** *PLOS ONE* **10**:e0119711
46. Schuster K, Dambly-Chaudière C, Ghysen A (2010) **Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor defines the path of developing and regenerating axons in the lateral line system of zebrafish** *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **107**:19531–6

47. Germanà A, Laurà R, Montalbano G, Guerrera MC, Amato V, Zichichi R, et al. (2010) **Expression of Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor and TrkB in the Lateral Line System of Zebrafish During Development** *Cell Mol Neurobiol* **30**:787–93
48. Kindt KS, Finch G, Nicolson T (2012) **Kinocilia Mediate Mechanosensitivity in Developing Zebrafish Hair Cells** *Dev Cell* **23**:329–41
49. Kwan KM, Fujimoto E, Grabher C, Mangum BD, Hardy ME, Campbell DS, et al. (2007) **The Tol2kit: A multisite gateway-based construction kit for Tol2 transposon transgenesis constructs** *Dev Dyn* **236**:3088–99
50. Arshadi C, Günther U, Eddison M, Harrington KIS, Ferreira TA (2021) **SNT: a unifying toolbox for quantification of neuronal anatomy** *Nat Methods* **18**:374–7

Author information

Agnik Dasgupta

Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Laboratory of Sensory Neuroscience, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA

ORCID iD: [0000-0003-0860-1006](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0860-1006)

Corresponding author: Dr. Agnik Dasgupta Laboratory of Sensory Neuroscience The Rockefeller University New York, NY 10065 Telephone: 212-327-7353 E-mail: adasgupta@rockefeller.edu

Caleb C. Reagor

Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Laboratory of Sensory Neuroscience, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA, Tri-Institutional PhD Program in Computational Biology and Medicine, New York, NY, USA

ORCID iD: [0000-0002-8304-1267](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8304-1267)

Sang P. Paik

Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Laboratory of Sensory Neuroscience, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA, Present address: Department of Functional Neuroanatomy, Institute for Anatomy and Cell Biology, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany

Lauren M. Snow

Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Laboratory of Sensory Neuroscience, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA, Present address: Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

Adrian Jacobo

Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Laboratory of Sensory Neuroscience, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA, Chan Zuckerberg Biohub San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

ORCID iD: [0000-0001-9381-6292](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9381-6292)

A. J. Hudspeth

Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Laboratory of Sensory Neuroscience, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA
ORCID iD: [0000-0002-0295-1323](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0295-1323)

Editors

Reviewing Editor

David Raible

University of Washington, Seattle, United States of America

Senior Editor

Didier Stainier

Max Planck Institute for Heart and Lung Research, Bad Nauheim, Germany

Reviewer #1 (Public Review):

Dasguta et al. have dissected the role of *Sema7a* in fine tuning of a sensory microcircuit in the posterior lateral line organ of zebrafish. They attempt to also outline the different roles of a secreted versus membrane-bound form of *Sema7a* in this process. Using genetic perturbations and axonal network analysis, the authors show that loss of both *Sema7a* isoforms causes abnormal axon terminal structure with more bare terminals and fewer loops in contact with presynaptic sensory hair cells. Further, they show that loss of *Sema7a* causes decreased number and size of both the pre- and post-synapse. Finally, they show that overexpression of the secreted form of *Sema7a* specifically can elicit axon terminal outgrowth to an ectopic *Sema7a* expressing cell. Together, the analysis of *Sema7a* loss of function and overexpression on axon arbor structure is fairly thorough and revealed a novel role for *Sema7a* in axon terminal structure.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.89926.3.sa3>

Reviewer #2 (Public Review):

In this work, Dasgupta et al. investigate the role of *Sema7a* in the formation of peripheral sensory circuit in the lateral line system of zebrafish. They show that *Sema7a* protein is present during neuromast maturation and localized, in part, to the base of hair cells (HCs). This would be consistent with pre-synaptic *Sema7a* mediating formation and/or stabilization of the synapse. They use *sema7a* loss-of-function strain to show that lateral line sensory terminals display abnormal arborization. They provide highly quantitative analysis of the lateral line terminal arborization to show that a number of specific topological parameters are affected in mutants. Next, they ectopically express a secreted form of *Sema7a* to show that lateral line terminals can be ectopically attracted to the source. Finally, they also demonstrate that the synaptic assembly is impaired in the *sema7a* mutant. Overall, the data are of high quality and properly controlled. The availability of *Sema7a* antibody is a big plus, as it allows to address the endogenous protein localization as well to show the signal absence in the *sema7a* mutant. The quantification of the arbor topology should be useful to people in the field who are looking at the lateral line as well as other axonal terminals.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.89926.3.sa2>

Reviewer #3 (Public Review):

The data reported here demonstrate that *Sema7a* defines the local behavior of growing axons in the developing zebrafish lateral line. The analysis is sophisticated and convincingly demonstrates effects on axon growth and synapse architecture. Collectively, the findings point to the idea that the diffusible form of *sema7a* may influence how axons grow within the neuromast and that the GPI-linked form of *sema7a* may subsequently impact how synapses form, though additional work is needed to strongly link each form to its' proposed effect on circuit assembly.

Comments on latest version:

The authors comprehensively and appropriately addressed most of the reviewers' concerns. In particular, they added evidence that hair cells express both *Sema7A* isoforms, showed that membrane bound *Sema7A* does not have long range effects on guidance, demonstrated how axons behave close to ectopic *Sema7A*, and analyzed other features of the hair cells that revealed no strong phenotypes. The authors also softened the language in many, but not all places. Overall, I am satisfied with the study as a whole.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.89926.3.sa1>

****Reviewer #4 (Public Review):**

**

This study provides direct evidence showing that *Sema7a* plays a role in the axon growth during the formation of peripheral sensory circuits in the lateral-line system of zebrafish. This is a valuable finding because the molecules for axon growth in hair-cell sensory systems are not well understood. The majority of the experimental evidence is convincing, and the analysis is rigorous. The evidence supporting *Sema7a*'s juxtacrine vs. secreted role and involvement in synapse formation in hair cells is less conclusive. The study will be of interest to cell, molecular and developmental biologists, and sensory neuroscientists.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.89926.3.sa0>

Author response:

The following is the authors' response to the previous reviews.

***eLife* assessment**

Dasgupta and colleagues make a valuable contribution to the understanding how the guidance factor Sema7a promotes connections between mechanosensory hair cells and afferent neurons of the zebrafish lateral line system. The authors provide solid evidence that loss of Sema7a function results in fewer contacts between hair cells and afferents through comprehensive quantitative analysis. Additional work is needed to distinguish the effects of different isoforms of Sema7a to determine whether there are specific roles of secreted and membrane bound forms.

Public Reviews:**Reviewer #1 (Public Review):**

Dasguta et al. have dissected the role of Sema7a in fine tuning of a sensory microcircuit in the posterior lateral line organ of zebrafish. They attempt to also outline the different roles of a secreted verses membrane-bound form of Sema7a in this process. Using

genetic perturbations and axonal network analysis, the authors show that loss of both *Sema7a* isoforms causes abnormal axon terminal structure with more bare terminals and fewer loops in contact with presynaptic sensory hair cells. Further, they show that loss of *Sema7a* causes decreased number and size of both the pre- and post-synapse. Finally, they show that overexpression of the secreted form of *Sema7a* specifically can elicit axon terminal outgrowth to an ectopic *Sema7a* expressing cell. Together, the analysis of *Sema7a* loss of function and overexpression on axon arbor structure is fairly thorough and revealed a novel role for *Sema7a* in axon terminal structure. However, the connection between different isoforms of *Sema7a* and the axon arborization needs to be substantiated. Furthermore, the effect of loss of *Sema7a* on the presynaptic cell is not ruled out as a contributing factor to the synaptic and axon structure phenotypes. These issues weaken the claims made by the authors including the statement that they have identified dual roles for the GPI-anchored versus secreted forms of *Sema7a* on synapse formation and as a chemoattractant for axon arborization respectively.

Reviewer #2 (Public Review):

In this work, Dasgupta et al. investigates the role of Sema7a in the formation of peripheral sensory circuit in the lateral line system of zebrafish. They show that Sema7a protein is present during neuromast maturation and localized, in part, to the base of hair cells (HCs). This would be consistent with pre-synaptic Sema7a mediating formation and/or stabilization of the synapse. They use sema7a loss-of-function strain to show that lateral line sensory terminals display abnormal arborization. They provide highly quantitative analysis of the lateral line terminal arborization to show that a number of specific topological parameters are affected in mutants. Next, they ectopically express a secreted form of Sema7a to show that lateral line terminals can be ectopically attracted to the source. Finally, they also demonstrate that the synaptic assembly is impaired in the sema7a mutant. Overall, the data are of high quality and properly controlled. The availability of Sema7a antibody is a big plus, as it allows to address the endogenous protein localization as well to show the signal absence in the sema7a mutant. The quantification of the arbor topology should be useful to people in the field who are looking at the lateral line as well as other axonal terminals. I think some results are overinterpreted though. The authors state: "Our findings demonstrate that Sema7A functions both as a juxtacrine and as a secreted cue to pattern neural circuitry during sensory organ development." However, they have not actually demonstrated which isoform functions in HCs (also see comments below). In addition, they have to be careful in interpreting their topology analysis, as they cannot separate individual axons. Thus, such analysis can generate artifacts. They can perform additional experiments to address these issues or adjust their interpretations.

Reviewer #3 (Public Review):

The data reported here demonstrate that Sema7a defines the local behavior of growing axons in the developing zebrafish lateral line. The analysis is sophisticated and convincingly demonstrates effects on axon growth and synapse architecture. Collectively, the findings point to the idea that the diffusible form of sema7a may influence how axons grow within the neuromast and that the GPI-linked form of sema7a may subsequently impact how synapses form, though additional work is needed to strongly link each form to its' proposed effect on circuit assembly.

The revised manuscript is significantly improved. The authors comprehensively and appropriately addressed most of the reviewers' concerns. In particular, they added evidence that hair cells express both Sema7A isoforms, showed that membrane bound Sema7A does not have long range effects on guidance, demonstrated how axons behave close to ectopic Sema7A, and analyzed other features of the hair cells that revealed no

strong phenotypes. The authors also softened the language in many, but not all places. Overall, I am satisfied with the study as a whole.

Reviewer #4 (Public Review):

This study provides direct evidence showing that Sema7a plays a role in the axon growth during the formation of peripheral sensory circuits in the lateral-line system of zebrafish. This is a valuable finding because the molecules for axon growth in hair-cell sensory systems are not well understood. The majority of the experimental evidence is convincing, and the analysis is rigorous. The evidence supporting Sema7a's juxtracrine vs. secreted role and involvement in synapse formation in hair cells is less conclusive. The study will be of interest to cell, molecular and developmental biologists, and sensory neuroscientists.

Recommendations for the authors:

Reviewer #1 (Recommendations For The Authors):

In their revised manuscript, Dasgupta et al. have provided further experiments to address the role of Sema7a (sec and GPI-anchored) in regulating axon guidance in the lateral line system. Specifically, the inclusion of the heat shock controls and FM labeling to show hair cell mechanotransduction were crucial to interpretation of the results. However, there are still concerns about the specificity of the results. My primary concern is if the change in axon patterning is specifically due to loss of Sema7a in the mutant hair cells. These animals are morphologically very abnormal and, in the rebuttal, the authors state that hair cell number is reduced. This is not quantified in the manuscript and should be included.

Thank you for this suggestion. We have included the data in the manuscript in lines 137-139, in Figure 2—figure supplement 1B, and in the source data for Figure 2 and Figure 2-figure supplements.

If there is not a function for Sema7a in hair cells themselves, why is the number reduced?

The sema7a^{-/-} homozygous mutants are not viable and they die by 6 dpf. The loss of Sema7A protein produce other developmental defects including brain edema and a curved body axis. We believe a slight but not significant decrease in hair cell number may arise from a minute developmental delay in the morphogenesis of the neuromast. We have accordingly quantified our data at three distinct developmental stages—at 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf—and have incorporated them in the revised manuscript.

Additionally, FM data should be quantified and presented in animals without a transgene in the same excitation/emission spectra for clearer interpretation of the staining.

We have quantified the intensities of labeling with FM 4-64 styryl dye from the control and the sema7a^{-/-} mutant larvae and incorporated the data in lines 139-146, in Figure 2—figure supplement 1D, and in source data for Figure 2 and Figure 2-figure supplements. We kept the transgenes to concurrently show the arborization phenotype, hair cell morphology, and the FM 4-64 incorporation between the genotypes.

Rescue analysis using the myo6d promotor would allow the authors to ensure that the axon deficits can be rescued by putting Sema7a back into the sensory hair cells. Transient transgenesis could be useful for this approach and would not require the creation of a stable line. This could be done with both forms of Sema7a allowing the true assessment of whether or not the secreted and GPI-anchored form have disparate functions as claimed in lines 418-424.

Although we recognize the importance of the rescue of the *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant phenotype with the *sema7asec* and the *sema7aGPI* transcripts, it is not possible for us to perform that experiment at the moment, for the first author will leave the lab next week. However, he plans to continue work on this project as an independent investigator to dissect the individual roles of the transcript variants in specifying the pattern of sensory arborization, a project that includes generation of transcript-specific knockout animals and rescue experiments with stable transgenic fish lines.

Other concerns:

(1) The timeline of the heat shock experiment is confusing to me and, therefore, it makes me question the specificity of those results. Based on the speed of axon outgrowth and the time necessary for transcription and translation after heat shock induction of the transgene, it is unclear to me how the axon growth defects could occur in the timeline provided. Imaging two hours after the start of the heat shock is very rapid and speaks to either an indirect effect of the transgenesis on the axon growth or a leaky promoter/induction paradigm. It is possible I am just misunderstanding the set up but, from what I could gather, the imaging is being done 2 hrs after the start of the heat shock. This should be clarified.

The axons of the zebrafish posterior lateral line migrate relatively fast. The pioneering axons migrate at around 120 $\mu\text{m}/\text{hour}$ (Sato et. al., 2010) and the follower axons migrate at almost 30-80 $\mu\text{m}/\text{hour}$ (Sato et. al., 2010). The heat-shock promoter that we have utilized, *hsp70l*, is highly effective in inducing gene expression and subsequent protein formation within 30 to 60 mins. We believe an hour of heat shock and an hour of incubation post heat shock is sufficient to induce directed axon migration to a distance that spans from 27 μm to 140 μm .

We strongly believe that the directed arborization of the sensory axons towards the *Sema7Asec* source is not due to an indirect effect of transgenesis or leaky promoter induction, as in all 18 of the injected but not heat-shocked control larvae we did not observe ectopic *Sema7Asec* expression, and no aberrant projection was formed from the sensory arbor network. We highlight this observation in lines 297-299 and in Figure 4E.

Sato et. al., 2010: Single-cell analysis of somatotopic map formation in the zebrafish lateral line system. *Developmental Dynamics* 239:2058–2065, 2010.

Similarly, it would help to clarify if $t(0)$ in the figure is the onset of the heat shock or onset of imaging two hours after the heat shock is started.

The $t=0$ hour in the Figure 4I denotes the onset of imaging two hours after the heat shock began. We have clarified this in the manuscript in lines 1155-1156.

(2) In the rebuttal, the line numbers cited do not match up with the appropriate text, I believe.

We have corrected this and updated the manuscript.

(3) Some of the supplemental figures are not mentioned in the text, or I could not find them. For example: Figure 1 supplement 2J.

Thank you for pointing this. We have corrected the manuscript, and the new information is added in line 114.

(4) Table 1 statistics: were these adjusted for multiple comparisons using a bonferroni correction or something similar? This is necessary for statistical significance to be meaningful.

We did not adjust the p-values for multiple comparisons because the values correspond to only three or four statistical tests per experiment, strongly indicating the unlikelihood of erroneous significance due solely to multiple tests.

(5) Figure 1I and 1-S3 - The legend states a positive correlation between axonal signal and sema7A signal. Correlations are 0.5, 0.6, and 0.4 (2,3, 4dpf). This is not a convincing positive correlation. At best this is no to a very weak positive correlation.

In lines 122-126 we mention that the basal association of the sensory arbors shows a positive correlation with Sema7A accumulation. We never emphasize on the strength of the correlation. However, a consistent positive correlation at three different developmental stages suggests that progressive Sema7A accumulation at the base of the hair cells may guide the sensory arbors to increasingly associate themselves with the hair cells.

Reviewer #2 (Recommendations For The Authors):

I am a bit disappointed that the authors elected not to experimentally address the issue raised by all reviewers: whether the secreted or membrane bound isoform is active in hair cells. They rather decided to change their interpretation in the text. It is fine, given the eLife review structure. However, that would make the manuscript much stronger. Other issues were adequately addressed through textual changes as well.

Although we recognize the importance of the rescue of the sema7a^{-/-} mutant phenotype with the sema7asec and the sema7aGPI transcripts, it is not possible for us to perform that experiment at the moment, for the first author will leave the lab next week. However, he plans to continue work on this project as an independent investigator to dissect the individual roles of the transcript variants in specifying the pattern of sensory arborization, a project that includes generation of transcript-specific knockout animals and rescue experiments with stable transgenic fish lines.

Reviewer #3 (Recommendations For The Authors):

Overall, I am satisfied with the study as a whole and just have a few minor comments that remain to be addressed.

(1) Although the authors say that they added appropriate no plasmid/heatshock-only and plasmid-only/no heatshock controls, these results need to be presented more clearly, as they are separated in the paper and only one was quantified (i.e. 100% of embryos showed no defect). Please just make it clear that no defects were observed in either control for either experiment (both secreted and membrane bound ectopic expression).

We have clearly stated this information in lines 297-299 and 343-345.

(2) Please add a compass to Fig. 1A to indicate the orientation of the neuromast. It would also be helpful to add labels for developmental ages to all of the figures, rather than making the reader look it up in the legend.

We have updated the Figure 1A and the corresponding figure legend in lines 882-883. We have denoted the larval age in the figure legends to keep the individual images uncluttered.

(3) For the RT-PCR experiments in Figure 1, no negative control was included to show that supporting cell or neuronal genes are not detected in the purified hair cells and v.v. that neither isoform is detected in supporting cells or neurons. I ask only because there is a lot of immune-signal outside of the hair cells and I am curious whether that is secreted or might come from other cell types. For neurons and supporting cells, simply demonstrating absence of Sema7a overall would suffice.

We have utilized the transgenic line Tg(myo6b:actb1-EGFP) that expresses the fluorophore GFP specifically in the hair cells of the neuromast. Unfortunately, we do not possess a transgenic line that reliably and specifically labels the support cells in the neuromast. Hence, in our sorting experiment the GFP-negative cells that are collected from the trunk segments of the larvae contain all the non-hair cells including epidermal cells, neuronal cells, and immune cells etc. Such a mixture of varied cellular identity may not serve as a reliable negative control.

In Figure 7, we have plotted the normalized expression values of the *sema7a* gene in the neuromast. The plot clearly depicts that the source of Sema7A is the young and the mature hair cells, not the support cells. We further confirm this observation by

immunostaining where the Sema7A signal is highly restricted to the hair cells and not in any other cell in the neuromast (Figure 1E). Immunostaining further demonstrates that the lateral line sensory arbors also do not produce the Sema7A protein (Figure 1H; Video 1).

We agree with the reviewer that there are diverse immune cells, including macrophages in and around the neuromast. These macrophages are dynamic and possess highly ramified structure (Denans et. al., 2022). In all our Sema7A immunostainings, we never observed structures that resemble macrophages. Albeit we cannot confirm that Sema7A is not expressed in a distant immune cell, but we highly doubt that signal coming from immune cells is impacting hair cell innervation by the sensory arbors during homeostatic development.

Denans et. al., 2022: Nature Communications volume 13, Article number: 5356 (2022).

(4) In Figure 1, Supplement 4, I do not see the immunogen labeled in blue.

We have corrected the figure legend. The immunogenic region of the Sema7A protein is now clearly denoted in the figure legend of Figure 1—figure supplement 4.

(5) In Figure 2, please add a control image as requested, as that enables direct comparison. There is ample room in the figure.

We have updated the Figure 2 and made the suggested change.

(6) In Figure 2, Supplement 1, the FM4-64 data are not presented in a quantified fashion. Please report at least how many embryos showed reliable uptake and preferably how many hair cells per embryo showed reliable uptake.

We have quantified the FM 4-64 intensities in control and *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant larvae. The new data is added to the manuscript in lines 142-146, 577-579, and in Figure 2—figure supplement 1D.

(7) In Figure 3, there seems to be a typo in the figure legend: "mutants in the same larvae" does not make sense to me.

We have corrected the error. The modified statement is represented in lines 1067-1068.

(8) The text should refer more explicitly to the statistical tests reported in Table 1, i.e. as the results are presented.

In lines 1105 and 1109, we clearly state the statistical tests that were performed.

(9) In Figure 6, Supplement 1, please show the raw data points not just the bar graphs

We have updated the Figure 6—figure supplement 1.

(10) Minor point: the authors state that they addressed the distance over which secreted Sema7A may act, but this was not evident to me in the text. Please make this finding clearer.

We have clarified this information in lines 310-311.

(11) Finally, the discussion contains a statement that is not supported by the data: "We have discovered dual modes of Sema7A function in vivo." They have discovered evidence that there are two isoforms, that loss of both disrupts connectivity, and that overexpression of only the secreted form can elicit growth from a distance. However, there is no direct evidence that the membrane-bound form is responsible for local effects. It is formally possible still that the phenotypes are a result of dual roles for the secreted form. It is clear that another manuscript is forthcoming that will expand on the role of the transmembrane form, but for this manuscript, the authors should make firm conclusions only about the data presented herein.

Thank you for this suggestion. We have modified the manuscript in lines 425-434.

Reviewer #4 (Recommendations For The Authors):

The authors have made significant changes to the manuscript based on the comments of the reviewers. It is now suitable for publication.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.89926.3.sa5>