

Targeting Sex Determination to Suppress Mosquito Populations

Ming Li, Nikolay P. Kandul, Ruichen Sun, Ting Yang, Elena D. Benetta, Daniel J. Brogan, Igor Antoshechkin, Héctor M. Sánchez C., Yinpeng Zhan, Nicolas A. DeBeaubien, YuMin M. Loh, Matthew P. Su, Craig Montell, John M. Marshall, Omar S. Akbari 

Reviewed Preprint

Revised by authors after peer review.

About eLife's process

Reviewed preprint version 2

December 28, 2023 (this version)

Reviewed preprint version 1

September 27, 2023

Sent for peer review

June 19, 2023

Posted to preprint server

April 20, 2023

School of Biological Sciences, Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA • Division of Biology and Biological Engineering (BBE), California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA • Divisions of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA • Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology and the Neuroscience Research Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA • Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan • Institute for Advanced Research, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan • Innovative Genomics Institute, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open_access

 Copyright information

Abstract

Each year, hundreds of millions of people are infected with arboviruses such as dengue, yellow fever, chikungunya, and Zika, which are all primarily spread by the notorious mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. Traditional control measures have proven insufficient, necessitating innovations. In response, here we generate a next generation CRISPR-based precision-guided sterile insect technique (pgSIT) for *Aedes aegypti* that disrupts genes essential for sex determination and fertility, producing predominantly sterile males that can be deployed at any life stage. Using mathematical models and empirical testing, we demonstrate that released pgSIT males can effectively compete with, suppress, and eliminate caged mosquito populations. This versatile species-specific platform has the potential for field deployment to effectively control wild populations of disease vectors.

eLife assessment

This **valuable** paper builds on a method, previously conceptualized and validated, of genetic control for insect populations. The method, called pgSIT, uses integrated CRISPR-Cas9 based constructs to generate, in certain combinations of genotypes, mutations that cause both male sterility and female inviability. Release of such genotypes in sufficiently large numbers can lead to an inundation of a local insect population with sterile males and this can lead to localised population suppression, which represents an **important** method of control for problematic insect populations. The data are **convincing** and will be **valuable** to anyone working on vector control strategies.

Introduction

The mosquito *Aedes aegypti* is the principal vector of several deadly viruses, including dengue, yellow fever, chikungunya, and Zika. Unfortunately, its geographic spread has been exacerbated by climate change, global trade, and its preference for anthropogenic habitats, such that 3.9 billion people are presently at risk (Brady et al., 2012). Traditionally, mosquito control has been achieved through insecticides, though developing resistance have rendered them less effective. Moreover, insecticides are harmful to non-target species, such as insect pollinators, and direct exposure can be dangerous for humans and pets (Hemingway et al., 2002; Lu et al., 2020; Sánchez-Bayo, 2021; Trdan, 2016). Alternative integrated approaches for mosquito control include preventative measures designed to reduce mosquito populations through habitat reduction or larval predation, though these can also be impractical or introduce invasive species. Notwithstanding, the continuous expansion of this invasive mosquito species demonstrates that current measures are insufficient.

Alternative genetic biological approaches have been developed to control specific species, including the sterile insect technique (SIT), the *Wolbachia*-mediated incompatible insect technique (WIIIT), φ -specific release of insects carrying a dominant lethal gene (fsRIDL), inherited female elimination by genetically encoded nucleases to interrupt alleles (Ifegenia), gene drive and precision-guided SIT (pgSIT) (Knipling 1955; Laven 1967; Spinner et al. 2022; Smidler et al. 2023; Li et al. 2017; Kandul et al. 2019; Li et al. 2021). Here, radiation-sterilized males (σ 's), or σ 's harboring *Wolbachia*, are repeatedly mass released to mate with wild females (φ 's) which typically mate only once, thereby reducing mosquito populations over time. The fsRIDL can genetically sex-sort σ 's, and can be released as eggs. Ifegenia disrupts a female-essential gene, femaleless (fle), demonstrating complete genetic sexing via heritable daughter gynocide. Gene drives leverage the CRISPR based precise DNA cutting and repair to spread into populations. Two types of gene drives have been engineered: suppression drives collapses a population, whereas modification drives spread cargo genes that can potentially block the chain of transmission of a targeted disease (Champer et al., 2016; Marshall et al., 2019; Raban and Akbari, 2017; Wang et al., 2021). The pgSIT works by simultaneously disrupting genes essential for φ viability and σ fertility during development to genetically generate sex-sorted and viable sterilized σ 's.

Previously, we showed that disrupting a φ -specific flight muscle gene (fem-myosin) induced a flightless φ -specific phenotype in *Ae. aegypti* φ 's (Li et al., 2021). However, this approach would still result in viable flightless transgenic φ 's being released which may be less desirable than removing females altogether. To further build upon the system, we instead cause φ lethality, simplifying the process of generating pgSIT σ 's for release. Our herein-developed next-generation pgSIT approach simultaneously disrupts three genes in *Ae. aegypti*: *doublessex* (*dsx*), *intersex* (*ix*), and β -*Tubulin 85D* (β *Tub*), to induce φ lethality, or intersex (11) transformation, and near-complete σ/φ sterility. We demonstrate that pgSIT σ 's are competitive and multiple releases can suppress cage populations. Notably, mathematical modeling indicates that this technology can control insect populations effectively over a wide range of realistic performance parameters and fitness costs. Overall, this next-generation pgSIT approach provides a viable option for the release of sterile males, bringing the field significantly closer to deployable CRISPR-based vector control strategies. Additionally, we also develop a rapid diagnostic assay to detect pgSIT transgene presence that could be used alongside field tests. Taken together, we have demonstrated that pgSIT could be designed to target essential sex determination and fertility genes in *Ae. aegypti* to produce sterilized males that can suppress and eliminate caged populations thereby providing an alternate system for controlling this deadly vector.

Results

Disrupting sex-determination and fertility

To induce ♀-specific lethality and/or 11 transformation in *Ae. aegypti*, we targeted either the *dsx* (AAEL009114) or *ix* (AAEL010217) genes, both of which are associated with sex determination and differentiation in insects (Chase and Baker, 1995; Garrett-Engle et al., 2002; Kyrou et al., 2018; Mysore et al., 2015; Salvemini et al., 2011; Scali et al., 2005; Trujillo-Rodríguez et al., 2021). The *dsx* gene is located on chromosome 1R and is alternatively spliced to produce two ♀-specific (*dsxF₁* and *dsxF₂*) and one ♂-specific (*dsxM*) isoforms (Salvemini et al., 2011). Exon 5b is retained in both ♀-specific *dsxF₁* and *dsxF₂* transcripts and is spliced out in the ♂-specific *dsxM* transcript. Therefore, to disrupt both ♀-specific *dsx* isoforms, we selected four gRNAs that target exon 5b (Supplementary Table 1) and assembled the pBac *gRNA^{dsx}* plasmid (Fig. 1A). The *ix* gene (AAEL010217) is located on chromosome 3L and is orthologous to the *ix* gene required for ♀-specific sexual differentiation in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Chase and Baker, 1995). While the *D. melanogaster ix* does not undergo sex-specific alternative splicing and is expressed in both sexes, *ix* interacts with *dsxF*, but not *dsxM*, resulting in ♀-specific activity (Garrett-Engle et al., 2002). To disrupt *ix*, we engineered a *gRNA^{ix}* cassette harboring two gRNAs targeting *ix* exon 1 (Fig. 1A, Supplementary Table 1). To determine the effects of targeting these genes, multiple separate *gRNA^{dsx}* and *gRNA^{ix}* strains were generated and assessed by crossing with *Nup50-Cas9* (hereafter, *Cas9*) (Li et al., 2021). To explore potential effects of maternal Cas9 carryover, all genetic crosses were conducted reciprocally (Fig. 1B). Remarkably, the disruption of either *dsx* or *ix* transformed all trans-hemizygous ♀'s into intersexes (11's), independent of whether Cas9 was inherited from the mother (i.e., ♀ *Cas9*), or father (i.e., ♂ *Cas9*). These *gRNA^{dsx/+}; Cas9/+* 11 exhibited multiple malformed morphological features, such as mutant maxillary palps, abnormal genitalia, and malformed ovaries (Fig. 1D, Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 2). Notably, only ~50% of *gRNA^{dsx/+}; Cas9/+* 11's were able to blood feed, producing very few unhatchable eggs. While a similar fraction of *gRNA^{ix/+}; Cas9/+* 11 were able to blood feed, the fed 11's laid hatchable eggs (Fig. 1C, Supplementary Table 3). Sanger sequencing confirmed expected mutations at the *dsx* and *ix* loci (Supplementary Fig. 2). Importantly, we did not observe changes in the ear anatomy, wing beat frequency (WBF), or phonotactic behaviors in either *gRNA^{dsx/+}; Cas9/+* ♂'s or *gRNA^{ix/+}; Cas9/+* ♂'s suggesting that the trans-hemizygous ♂'s have normal courtship behavior (Supplementary Fig. 3, Supplementary Table 3). Taken together, these findings reveal that 11 transformation can be efficiently achieved by disrupting either *dsx* or *ix* genes, while the ♀ or 11 sterility requires the *dsx* disruption.

Multiplexed target gene disruption

To guide CRISPR-mediated disruption of multiple genes essential for ♀-specific viability and ♂-specific fertility, we engineered the *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* construct which combines the effective gRNAs described above (Supplementary Figure 2). More specifically, this construct harbors six gRNAs: three gRNAs targeting the *dsx* exon 5b; one gRNA targeting the *ix* exon 1; and two gRNA targeting the *β-Tubulin 85D* (*βTub*, AAEL019894) exon 1 (Li et al., 2021) (Fig. 2A, Supplementary Table 1). The *βTub* gene is specifically expressed in mosquito testes (Akbari et al., 2013; Degner et al., 2019; Gamez et al., 2020) and is essential for sperm maturation, elongation, and motility (Chen et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021). Three independent homozygous *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* strains were established and reciprocally crossed to the homozygous *Cas9* strain to measure survival, fitness, and fertility of generated pgSIT (i.e., trans-hemizygous) progeny (Fig. 2B-C, Supplementary Fig. 4). To determine transgene integration sites, we performed genome sequencing of *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}#1* (hereafter, *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}*) and found that this strain harbored a single copy of the transgene inserted on chromosome 3 (Supplementary Fig. 5). Using these sequencing data, we also validated the presence of diverse mutations at the intended target sites (Supplementary Fig. 6). RNA sequencing also revealed the presence of mutations in the target RNA sequences




Figure 1.

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of *dsx* or *ix* affects female mosquito morphology and fecundity.

A Schematic map of gRNA genetic constructs. The $gRNA^{dsx}$ and $gRNA^{ix}$ constructs harbor a 3xP3-*tdTomato* marker and multiple gRNAs guiding Cas9 to the female-specific *doublesex* (*dsx*) gene or the female-active *intersex* (*ix*) gene, respectively. **B** A schematic of the genetic cross between the homozygous *Cas9* and hemizygous $gRNA^{dsx}/+$ or $gRNA^{ix}/+$ mosquitoes to generate trans-hemizygous F_1 females ($\varnothing$'s). Reciprocal genetic crosses were established and two types of trans-hemizygous F_1 $\varnothing$'s were generated: $gRNA/+$; $\varnothing$ *Cas9*/ $\varnothing$ $\varnothing$'s inherited a maternal *Cas9*; and $gRNA/+$; σ *Cas9*/ σ $\varnothing$'s inherited a paternal *Cas9*. Then, both trans-hemizygous $\varnothing$'s were crossed to wildtype (WT) males (σ 's), and their fecundity was assessed. **C** A comparison of the fecundity and male ratio of trans-hemizygous, hemizygous *Cas9* or *gRNA* $\varnothing$'s to those of WT $\varnothing$'s. The bar plot shows means and one standard deviation ($\pm$ SD). The data presents one transgenic strain of each construct, $gRNA^{dsx}\#1$ and $gRNA^{ix}\#1$, as all strains induced similar results (all data can be found in Supplementary Table 3). **D** All $gRNA^{dsx}\#1/+$; *Cas9*/ $\varnothing$ and $gRNA^{ix}\#1/+$; *Cas9*/ $\varnothing$ trans-hemizygous $\varnothing$ mosquitoes exhibited male-specific features (red arrows in **D**), had reduced fecundity, and were transformed into intersexes (17's). Statistical significance of mean differences was estimated using a two-sided Student's *t* test with equal variance (ns: $p \geq 0.05$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, and *** $p < 0.001$). Source data is provided as a Source Data File.

(Supplementary Figs. 7–9, Supplementary Tables 10–11). These findings reveal that single copies of *Cas9* and *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* can induce mutagenesis at six separate DNA targets simultaneously, thereby providing desired pgSIT phenotypic redundancy for increased resilience.

pgSIT ♀'s and 11's are incapacitated

We next tested the simultaneous disruption of *dsx*, *ix*, and *βTub*, by reciprocally crossing *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* with *Cas9*, which resulted in the death of most pgSIT ♀'s and 11's, as no ♀'s and only 5–6% 11's survived to adulthood (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, around 95% of pgSIT 11's failed to eclose, independent of whether *Cas9* was inherited from the mother or father (termed *pgSIT^{♀Cas9}* or *pgSIT^{♂Cas9}* 11's, respectively, Supplementary Table 4). The pgSIT 11's that did eclose had more pronounced abnormal phenotypes than 11's generated from *dsx* or *ix* single-gene disruption (Fig. 1D, Supplementary Fig. 1) and were sterile (Fig. 2C, Supplementary Table 4). Moreover, the vast majority of adult pgSIT 11's had reduced fitness as they could not blood feed (Fig. 2D, Supplementary Table 5), did not fly as frequently (Fig. 2E, Supplementary Table 6), had smaller abdomens and wings (Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 2), and had 4x-reduced longevity (Supplementary Fig. 11A, B, Supplementary Table 7). Taken together, these results demonstrate that *Cas9* in combination with *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* induces either the lethality or transformation of pgSIT ♀'s into sterile unfit 11's.

pgSIT ♂'s are mostly sterile with normal longevity and mating ability

We found that ~94% of the eclosed pgSIT mosquitoes were ♂'s due to the majority of the 11's not surviving to adulthood (Fig. 2C, Supplementary Table 4). Both *pgSIT^{♀Cas9}* and *pgSIT^{♂Cas9}* ♂'s were also nearly completely sterile (Fig. 2C, Supplementary Table 4). Surprisingly, despite our assumption that *pgSIT^{♀Cas9}* ♂ and *pgSIT^{♂Cas9}* ♂ would be roughly equivalent, the *pgSIT^{♀Cas9}* ♂'s showed less flight activity than WT (Fig. 2E, Supplementary Table 6), and *pgSIT^{♀Cas9}* ♂'s developmental times were slightly delayed (Supplementary Fig. 11D). Nevertheless, the longevity of pgSIT ♂'s was not significantly different from WT ♂'s (Supplementary Fig. 11, Supplementary Table 7), and both *pgSIT^{♀Cas9}* ♂ and *pgSIT^{♂Cas9}* ♂ were competitive and mated with WT ♀'s to effectively suppress the fertility and re-mating ability of WT ♀'s (Fig. 2F, Supplementary Table 8). Taken together, these findings reveal that maternal deposition of *Cas9* may induce some fitness costs, however these could be avoided by using a paternal source of *Cas9*, and despite these observed fitness effects, both *pgSIT^{♀Cas9}* ♂ and *pgSIT^{♂Cas9}* ♂ were competitive and both types could likely be capable of inducing population suppression.

pgSIT ♂'s induce population suppression

To further explore whether pgSIT ♂'s are competitive enough to mate with WT ♀'s in the presence of WT ♂'s to suppress populations, we conducted multigenerational, population cage experiments. At each generation, adult *pgSIT^{♀Cas9}* or *pgSIT^{♂Cas9}* and WT ♂ mosquitoes were released into cages using several introduction frequencies (pgSIT:WT - 1:1, 5:1, 10:1, 20:1, and 40:1; Fig. 3A and Supplementary Table 9). Remarkably, both *pgSIT^{♀Cas9}* ♂ or *pgSIT^{♂Cas9}* ♂ behaved similarly, and the high-release ratios (20:1, 40:1) eliminated all populations in five generations (Fig. 3B–C). With lower release ratios of 10:1 and 5:1, we also observed significant suppression of the rates of laid and hatched eggs in the cages. No effect was observed in control cages harboring WT mosquitoes with only *Cas9* or gRNA mosquitoes (Fig. 3B–C and Supplementary Table 9). To confirm the sterility of pgSIT ♂'s, hatched larvae were screened for the presence of transgenesis markers each generation. In only 3 out of 30 experimental cages, we scored some larvae harboring transgene markers, though this was very few, indicating that the majority of *pgSIT* ♂ were indeed sterile (Supplementary Table 9). These findings confirmed the ability of both generated *pgSIT^{♀Cas9}* and *pgSIT^{♂Cas9}* ♂'s to compete with WT ♂'s for mating with WT ♀'s to gradually suppress and eliminate targeted caged populations.




Figure 2.

The pgSIT cross results in nearly complete female lethality and male sterility.

A Schematic maps of targeted genes (box) and $gRNA^{dsx,ix,\beta Tub}$ construct. Red arrows show relative locations of gRNA target sequences (box). $gRNA^{dsx,ix,\beta Tub}$ harbors a 3xP3-tdTomato marker and six gRNAs to guide the simultaneous CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of *dsx*, *ix*, and βTub genes. Violet exon boxes 5a and 5b represent φ -specific exons. **B** A schematic of the reciprocal genetic cross between the homozygous *Cas9*, marked with *Opie2-CFP*, and homozygous $gRNA^{dsx,ix,\beta Tub}$ to generate the trans-hemizygous F_1 (aka. pgSIT) progeny. Relative positions of *dsx*, *ix*, and βTub target genes (bar color corresponds to gRNA color), and transgene insertions in the *Cas9* (*Nup50-Cas9* strain¹) and $gRNA^{dsx,ix,\beta Tub\#1}$ strains are indicated in the three pairs of *Ae. aegypti* chromosomes. To assess the fecundity of generated pgSIT mosquitoes, both trans-hemizygous φ 's and σ 's were crossed to the WT σ 's and φ 's, respectively. **C** Comparison of the survival, sex ratio, and fertility of trans-hemizygous, hemizygous *Cas9* or $gRNA^{dsx,ix,\beta Tub\#1}$, and WT mosquitoes. The bar plot shows means $\pm$ SD over triple biological replicates ($n = 3$, all data in Supplementary Table 4). **D** Blood feeding assays using both types of trans-hemizygous intersexes (IZ's): $pgSIT^{Cas9}$ and $pgSIT^{gRNA}$ IZ's. To assess blood feeding efficiency, individual mated φ 's or IZ's were allowed to blood feed on an anesthetized mouse inside a smaller (24.5 $\times$ 24.5 $\times$ 24.5 cm) or larger cage (60 $\times$ 60 $\times$ 60 cm), and we recorded the time: (i) to initiate blood feeding (i.e., time to bite); and (ii) of blood feeding (i.e., feeding time). The plot shows duration means $\pm$ SD over 30 φ 's or IZ's ($n = 30$) for each genetic background (Supplemental Table 5). **E** Flight activity of individual mosquitoes was assessed for 24 hours using vertical *Drosophila* activity monitoring (DAM, Supplemental Table 6). **F** Mating assays for fertility of offspring produced via crosses between trans-hemizygous σ 's that inherited a maternal *Cas9* ($pgSIT^{Cas9}$) or paternal *Cas9* ($pgSIT^{gRNA}$) and WT φ 's (Supplemental Table 8). The plot shows fertility means $\pm$ SD over three biologically independent groups of 50 WT φ 's ($n = 3$) for each experimental condition. Statistical significance of mean differences was estimated using a two-sided Student's *t* test with equal variance. (ns: $p \geq 0.05$ ^{ns} * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, and *** $p < 0.001$). Source data is provided as a Source Data File.




Figure 3.

Population suppression in multigenerational population cage experiments.

A Multiple pgSIT:WT release ratios, such as 1:1, 5:1, 10:1, 20:1, and 40:1, were tested in triplicate. A schematic diagram depicts the cage experiments for the 5:1 ratio. To start the 1st generation (G1) of multigenerational population cages, 250 mature pgSIT adult ♂'s were released with 50 similarly aged wildtype (WT) adult ♂'s into a cage, and in one hour 50 virgin ♀'s were added. The mosquitoes were allowed to mate for 2 days before all ♂'s were removed, and ♀'s were blood fed and eggs were collected. All eggs were counted, and 100 eggs were selected randomly to seed the next generation (G2). The sex-sorted adult ♂'s that emerged from 100 eggs (N_♂) were released with five times more (5N_♂) pgSIT adult ♂'s into a cage, and N_♀ ♀'s were added later. The remaining eggs were hatched to measure hatching rates and score transgene markers. This procedure was repeated for five generations for each cage population (Supplemental Table 9). Two types of pgSIT ♂'s were assessed: those that inherited a maternal *Cas9* (pgSIT^{ΔCas9}), and those that inherited a paternal *Cas9* (pgSIT^{ΔCas9}). **B-C** Multigeneration population cage data for each release ratio plotting the normalized percentage of laid eggs (**B**) and hatched eggs (**C**). Points show means ± SD for each triplicate sample. Source data is provided as a Source Data File.

Theoretical performance of pgSIT in a wild population

To explore the effectiveness of non-optimal pgSIT gene disruption on population suppression outcomes, we simulated releases of pgSIT eggs into a population of 10,000 *Ae. aegypti* adults (Fig. 4). Weekly releases of up to 500 pgSIT eggs (♀ and ♂) per wild-type adult (♀ and ♂) were simulated over 1-52 weeks. The scale of releases was chosen considering adult release ratios of 10:1 are common for sterile ♂ mosquito interventions (Carvalho et al., 2015) and ♀ *Ae. aegypti* produce >30 eggs per day in temperate climates (Mordecai et al., 2019). We assume released eggs have the same survival probability as wild-laid eggs; however if released eggs do have higher mortality, this would be equivalent to considering a smaller release. A preliminary sensitivity analysis was conducted to determine which parameters population suppression outcomes are most sensitive to (Supplementary Fig. 12). The analysis considered probability of elimination (the percentage of 60 stochastic simulations that result in *Ae. aegypti* elimination) and window of protection (the time duration for which ≥50% of 60 stochastic simulations result in ≥90% suppression of the *Ae. aegypti* population) as outcomes, and explored how these vary with number of releases, size of releases, release interval, gRNA cutting rate, Cas9 maternal deposition rate, pgSIT ♂ fertility and mating competitiveness, and pgSIT ♀ viability. Suppression outcomes were found to be most sensitive to release schedule parameters (number, size and interval of releases), ♂ fertility and ♀ viability. Model-predicted efficacy of pgSIT-induced population suppression was then explored in more detail as a function of these parameters through a series of simulations depicted in Fig. 4. Results from these simulations reveal that a window of protection exceeding two years (a common benchmark for interruption of disease transmission) is generally achieved for pgSIT ♀ viability between 0 (complete inviability) and 0.15, and pgSIT ♂ fertility between 0 (complete sterility) and 0.15, provided the release scheme exceeds ~23 releases of ≥100 pgSIT eggs per wild adult (Fig. 4A). Achieving a ≥90% probability of elimination places slightly tighter restrictions on ♀ viability and ♂ fertility - a safe ballpark being ♀ viability and ♂ fertility both in the range 0-0.10, given a release scheme of ~26 releases of 250 pgSIT eggs per wild adult (Fig. 4B). These results suggest a target product profile for pgSIT to be ♀ viability and ♂ fertility both in the range 0-0.10. The pgSIT strain described here falls well within these bounds, with ♀ viability of 0 and ♂ fertility of ~0.01.

Mitigation tools for rapid transgene detection

Being able to rapidly identify transgenes in the environment in mixtures of captured mosquitoes will be important for field applications of genetic biocontrol tools. While PCR-based tests do exist, these may be difficult to implement in the field. Therefore, developing an assay with a simple readout would be desired. To generate a rapid assay for transgene detection that could be used alongside future pgSIT field trials, we adapted the sensitive enzymatic nucleic acid sequence reporter (SENSR) (Brogan et al., 2021a) to rapidly detect pgSIT DNA fragments in *Cas9* and *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* constructs in mosquitoes. In SENSR, the presence of transgenic sequences triggers the activation of the *Ruminococcus flavefaciens* CasRx/gRNA collateral activity that cleaves a quenched probe, resulting in a rapid fluorescent readout (Brogan et al., 2021b; Dalla Benetta et al., 2023) (Brogan et al., 2021a). Multiple ratios of trans-hemizygous *pgSIT* to WT mosquitoes - 0:1; 1:1; 1:5; 1:10; 1:25; 1:50 and a no template control (NTC) were used to challenge SENSR. We found that SENSR effectively detected transgenic sequences from both *Cas9* and *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* constructs even at the lowest dilution, and no signal was observed in the WT-only and NTC controls (Supplementary Fig. 13A). Notably, in every tested ratio, SENSR rapidly detected the transgenic sequence, reaching the half maximum fluorescence (HMF) in less than 20 min (Supplementary Fig. 13B). Taken together, these results validated SENSR as a rapid and sensitive assay for detection of transgenic sequences in pools of mosquitoes which may be an instrumental tool for future field trials.




Figure 4.

Model-predicted efficacy of pgSIT egg releases on *Ae. aegypti* population suppression as a function of release scheme, male sterility and female viability.

Weekly releases were simulated in a randomly-mixing population of 10,000 adult mosquitoes using the MGDrive simulation framework² and parameters described in Table S10. Population suppression outcomes were identified as being most sensitive to model parameters describing the release scheme, male fertility and female viability (**Supplementary Fig. 12**). **A** These parameters were varied in factorial experiments assessing suppression outcomes including probability of elimination (the percentage of 60 stochastic simulations that result in *Ae. aegypti* elimination), and window of protection (the time duration for which ≥50% of 60 stochastic simulations result in ≥90% suppression of the *Ae. aegypti* population). Female viability was varied between 0 (complete inviability) and 0.15, male fertility was varied between 0 (complete sterility) and 0.15, release size was varied between 0 and 500 eggs released per wild adult, and the number of weekly releases was varied between 0 and 52. Regions of parameter space for which the probability of elimination exceeds 90% are depicted in purple, and in which the window of protection exceeds two years in light blue. Time-series of population dynamics for select parameter sets are depicted in a-h. Here, the total female population is denoted in red, and the Cas9-carrying female population is denoted in blue. The light blue shaded region represents the window of protection. Imperfect female inviability and male sterility result in lower probabilities of elimination; however the window of protection lasts for several years for male fertility and female viability in the range 0-0.15 for simulated release schemes. **B** Regions of parameter space for which the probability of elimination exceeds 90% are depicted as a function of male fertility (x-axis), female viability (y-axis), and the minimum number of weekly releases required to achieve this (shadings, see key). Release size is set to 250 eggs per wild adult. The shaded square depicts the region of parameter space in which male fertility is between 0-10% and female viability is between 0-10%. A ≥90% elimination probability is achieved with ~20-32 weekly releases for pgSIT systems having these parameters.

Discussion

To develop a robust and stable population control technology for addressing insect disease vectors, we engineered a next-generation pgSIT system that induced near complete ♀-specific lethality and ♂ sterility by simultaneously targeting the *dsx*, *ix*, and *βTub* genes. Our cage experiments indicate that releases of pgSIT ♂'s can induce robust population suppression and elimination, and mathematical models reinforce this finding. To support future field trials of this and other transgene-based technologies, we also developed a rapid and sensitive method, SENSr, for identifying pgSIT transgenes.

Overall, the released pgSIT males were competitive in our cage populations, as repeated releases resulted in population elimination at several different introduction frequencies. That said, we did observe some limitations. Firstly, we determined that pgSIT males were not 100% sterile, with an estimated ~1% still producing some progeny, this may stem from using fewer gRNAs to target *βTub*, as our previous system that saw complete sterility used four gRNAs while here we used only two (Li et al., 2021 [\[1\]](#)). That said, it would not be difficult to build new strains that harbor additional gRNAs targeting *βTub* or other genes important for male fertility to ensure sterility is achieved. Even though mutations could potentially become fixed within the natural population, the likelihood of off-target effects becoming fixed within the population is exceedingly low. To mitigate potential negative impacts, we employed CHOPCHOP V3.0.0 (<https://chopchop.cbu.uib.no> [\[2\]](#)) for the selection of gRNAs, specifically to minimize the occurrence of genomic off-target cleavage events. Furthermore, our releasing process will be carried out in multiple rounds. Even in the event that an undesired mutant is introduced into the local population, it will either be completely eradicated through subsequent rounds of releases or be naturally eliminated through the process of natural selection over time. Secondly, we also noticed some reduced fitness parameters when Cas9 was inherited maternally, which may result from an overabundance of Cas9 present in the egg (Kandul et al., 2020 [\[3\]](#)). That said, these maternal fitness effects can be avoided by ensuring Cas9 is inherited paternally as pgSIT phenotypes can be achieved when Cas9 is inherited from either the father or mother. Moreover, the longevity was not significantly altered, and released pgSIT males still performed well in the population cages, which indicates that these fitness costs and lack of complete male sterility could be tolerated for population suppression.

Even in the presence of pgSIT construct imperfections, our mathematical modeling showed that population suppression is very promising, both in terms of the potential to eliminate a mosquito population, or to suppress it to an extent that would largely interrupt disease transmission. Our models determined that having ♀ viability and ♂ fertility both in the range 0-10% provides a reasonable target product profile for pgSIT - criteria that the construct described in this study easily satisfies. Previously published analyses (Li et al., 2021 [\[1\]](#)) have also shown fitness costs associated with pgSIT constructs are well-tolerated in the range 0-25%. Overall, our results indicate that perfection is not required for an effective pgSIT system, though improvements to the presented and other systems could allow for reduced introduction ratios.

Before a possible field deployment, there are still several considerations that must be addressed for this pgSIT system (Kandul et al., 2019a [\[4\]](#)). Firstly, our herein-presented system requires a genetic cross to produce the releasable insects, similarly to previous pgSIT systems (Kandul et al., 2022 [\[5\]](#), 2019b [\[6\]](#); Li et al., 2021 [\[1\]](#)) that will require a scalable genetic sexing system. While sophisticated mechanical systems that exploit sex-specific morphological differences have been generated in previous work (Crawford et al., 2020 [\[7\]](#)) and are available at www.senecio-robotics.com [\[8\]](#), these approaches may be cost prohibitive. Therefore, there exists a need to develop inexpensive and scalable genetic sexing systems that could be combined with pgSIT to eliminate its reliance on mechanical adult sexing approaches. Alternatively, if a temperature inducible Cas9 strain was generated in *Ae. aegypti* then it may be possible to generate a temperature inducible pgSIT (TI-pgSIT) system as was done previously (Kandul et al., 2021 [\[9\]](#)) and

this would alleviate the need for an integrated genetic sexing approach. Secondly, studies are required to determine the survival and mating competitiveness of released pgSIT males under field conditions, and to optimize their release protocol. Thirdly, although pgSIT is self-limiting and inherently safe, it does require genetic modification, so regulatory authorizations will be necessary prior to implementation. Despite this, we anticipate that obtaining such authorizations will not be insurmountable.

Altogether, we demonstrate that removing females by disrupting sex determinate genes is possible with pgSIT, which can inform the development of future such systems in related species. The self-limiting nature of pgSIT offers a controllable alternative to technologies such as gene drives that will persist and uncontrollably spread in the environment. Moving forward, pgSIT could offer an efficient, scalable, and environmentally friendly next-generation technology for controlling wild mosquito populations, leading to widespread prevention of human disease transmission.

Methods

Mosquito rearing and maintenance

Ae. aegypti mosquitoes used in all experiments were derived from the *Ae. aegypti* Liverpool strain, which was the source strain for the reference genome sequence (Matthews et al., 2018 [DOI](#)). Mosquito rearing and maintenance were performed following previously established procedures (Li et al., 2021 [DOI](#)).

Guide RNA design and assessment

To induce ♀-specific lethality, *doublesex* (*dsx*, AAEL009114) and *Intersex* (*ix*, AAEL010217) genes were targeted for CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption. For each target gene, the DNA sequences were initially identified using reference genome assembly, and then genomic target sites were validated using PCR amplification and Sanger sequencing (see Supplementary Table 1 for primer sequences). CHOPCHOP V3.0.0 (<https://chopchop.cbu.uib.no> [DOI](#)) was used to select the gRNA target sites. In total, we selected 4 *dsx* gRNAs and 2 *ix* gRNAs, and assembled the *gRNA^{dsx}* and *gRNA^{ix}* constructs harboring the corresponding gRNAs. To confirm the activity of the gRNAs *in vivo*, we generated the transgenic strains harboring either the *gRNA^{dsx}* or *gRNA^{ix}* constructs, and crossed each *gRNA* strain to the *Cas9* strain (Li et al., 2021 [DOI](#)). We sequenced DNA regions targeted by each selected gRNA in F₁ trans-hemizygous (aka. *gRNA*+/; *Cas9*/+) mosquitoes to assess gRNA/Cas9-induced mutagenesis.

Genetic construct design and plasmid assembly

All plasmids were cloned using Gibson enzymatic assembly (Gibson et al., 2009 [DOI](#)). DNA fragments were either amplified from available plasmids or synthesized gBlocks™ (Integrated DNA Technologies) using Q5 Hotstart Start High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix (New England Biolabs, Cat. #M0494S). The generated plasmids were transformed into Zymo JM109 chemically competent *E. coli* (Zymo Research, Cat. # T3005), amplified, isolated (Zymo Research, Zippy plasmid miniprep kit, Cat. #D4036), and Sanger sequenced. Final selected plasmids were maxi-prepped (Zymo Research, ZymoPURE II Plasmid Maxiprep kit, Cat. #D4202) and sequenced thoroughly using Oxford Nanopore Sequencing at Primordium Labs (<https://www.primordiumlabs.com> [DOI](#)). All plasmids and annotated DNA sequence maps are available at www.addgene.com [DOI](#) under accession numbers: 200252 (*gRNA^{dsx}*, 1067B) 200251 (*gRNA^{ix}*, 1055J), 200253 (*gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}*, 1067L) and 164846 (*Nup50-Cas9* or *Cas9*, 874PA).

Engineering transgenic strains

Transgenic strains were generated by microinjecting preblastoderm stage embryos (0.5 - 1 hr old) with a mixture of the *piggyBac* plasmid (100 ng/ul) and a transposase helper plasmid (*pHsp-piggyBac*, 100 ng/ul). Embryonic collection, microinjections, transgenic lines generation and rearing were performed following previously established procedures (Bui et al., 2020 [DOI](#); Li et al., 2021 [DOI](#), 2020 [DOI](#)).

Genetic assessment of gRNA strains

To evaluate the activity of *gRNA^{dsx}* and *gRNA^{ix}* strains, we reciprocally crossed hemizygous mosquitoes of each generated strains to the homozygous *Nup-Cas9* strain (Li et al., 2021 [DOI](#)), and thoroughly examined F₁ trans-hemizygous ♀ progeny (Supplementary Tables 2-3). Each of three established *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* strains was subjected to single-pair sibling matings over 5-7 generations to generate the homozygous stocks. Zygosity was confirmed genetically by repeated test crosses to WT. To generate trans-hemizygous progeny with a maternal *Cas9* (*pgSIT⁺Cas9) and paternal *Cas9* (*pgSIT⁺Cas9), homozygous *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* ♂'s and ♀'s were mated to homozygous *Cas9* ♀'s and ♂'s, respectively. Then, the generated trans-hemizygous mosquitoes were mated to WT mosquitoes of the opposite sex, and numbers of laid and hatched eggs were scored to measure the fecundity. Multiple genetic control crosses were also performed for comparisons: WT ♂ × WT ♀; WT ♂ × *Cas9* ♀; *Cas9* ♂ × WT ♀; *gRNA* ♂ × *Cas9* ♀; *gRNA* ♀ × *Cas9* ♂; *gRNA* ♀ × WT ♂; and *gRNA* ♂ × WT ♀. For the genetic cross, adult mosquitoes were allowed to mate in a cage for 4-5 days, then blood meals were provided, and eggs were collected and hatched. To calculate the normalized percentage of laid eggs per ♀ (e.i. fecundity), the number of laid eggs per ♀ for each genotype replicate was divided by the maximum number of laid eggs per ♀ (Supplementary Table 4). The percentage of egg hatching (i.e. fertility) was estimated by dividing the number of laid eggs by the number of hatched eggs. Egg-to-adult survival ratios were the number of laid eggs divided by the total number of eclosed adults. Larvae-to-adult survival rates were calculated by dividing the number of eclosed adults by the number of larvae. Pupae-adult survival rates were calculated by dividing the number of pupae by the number of eclosed adults. Blood feeding rates were calculated by dividing the number of blood-fed ♀'s or 11's by the total number of ♀ or 11's. Trans-hemizygous 11's had many morphological features visibly different from those of WT ♀'s. To assess differences in external and internal anatomical structures, we dissected twenty trans-hemizygous and control mosquitoes for each genotype and sex (♂, ♀ and 11) in 1% PBS buffer and imaged structures on the Leica M165FC fluorescent stereomicroscope equipped with a Leica DMC4500 color camera. The measurements were performed using Leica Application Suite X (LAS X by Leica Microsystems) on the acquired images (Supplementary Table 2).**

Validation of induced mutations

To confirm that the ♀ lethality or transformation correlated with specific mutations at the target loci, *dsx* and *ix* gRNA targets were PCR amplified from the genomic DNA extracted from trans-hemizygous and control mosquitoes. PCR amplicons were purified directly or gel purified using Zymoclean Gel DNA Recovery Kit (Zymo Research Cat. #D4007) and then Sanger sequenced. Presence of induced mutations were inferred as precipitous drops in sequence read quality by analyzing base peak chromatograms (Supplementary Fig. 2 [DOI](#)). The primers used for PCR and sequencing, including gRNA target sequences, are listed in Supplementary Table 1. In addition, induced mutations and their precise localization to six target sites in *ix*, *dsx*, and *βTub* genes were confirmed by sequencing genomes of *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}#1/Cas9* ♂'s and 11's using Oxford Nanopore (see below) and aligning them to the AaegL5 genome (GCF_002204515.2). Mutations in the DNA using Nanopore data and mutations in the RNA using RNAseq data were visualized using an integrated genome browser (Supplementary Figs. 7-9).

Ear Johnston's Organ microanatomy and Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

The heads of two-day old mosquitoes were removed, fixed in 4% PFA and 0.25% Triton X-100 PBS, and sectioned using a Leica VT1200S vibratome. The anti-SYNORF1 3C11 primary monoclonal antibody (AB_528479, 1:30, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB), University of Iowa) and two secondary antibodies, Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (#A-11029, 1:300, Thermo Fisher) and anti-horseradish peroxidase 555 (anti-HRP, AB_2338959, 1:100, Jackson Immuno Research), were used for IHC. All imaging was conducted using a laser-scanning confocal microscope (FV3000, Olympus). Images were taken with a silicone-oil immersion 60× Plan-Apochromat objective lens (UPlanSApo, NA = 1.3) as previously described (Su et al., 2018 [5](#)).

Wing Beat Frequency (WBF) measurements

Groups of 30 mosquitoes were transferred to 15cm³ cages containing a microphone array (Knowles NR-23158-000) and provided with a source of 10% glucose water. After two days of LD entrainment, audio recordings of mosquito flight behavior were made using a Picoscope recording device (Picoscope 2408B, Pico Technology) at a sampling rate of 50 kHz. These recordings lasted 30 minutes during periods of peak activity during dusk (ZT12.5-13). Audio recordings were then processed and analyzed using the simbaR package in R (Somers et al., 2022 [5](#); Su et al., 2020 [5](#)). Four separate cages of mosquitoes were tested for each genotype. Statistical comparisons were made using ANOVA on Ranks tests, followed by pairwise Wilcoxon tests with a Bonferroni correction applied for multiple comparisons.

Phonotaxis assays

Individual ♂'s were provided with a 475 Hz pure tone stimulus calibrated to 80 dB SPL ($V \approx 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ms}^{-1}$) provided for 30 seconds by a speaker. Prior to tone playback, a gentle puff of air was provided to each ♂ to stimulate flight initiation. Mosquitoes were considered to demonstrate phonotaxis behaviors if they orientated to the speaker and then demonstrated abdominal bending behaviors. A video camera (GoPro) was used to record all responses to stimulation, with responses being scored as either 0 (no approach/no bending) or 1 (approach and bending). Mosquitoes were tested on two consecutive days, with mosquitoes showing behavior considered as a phonotactic response to stimuli on at least one test day being regarded as responders. Three repeats were conducted for each genotype (across 3 generations), with at least 10 ♂'s tested per repeat. Statistical comparisons were made using Chi-squared tests.

Transgene copy number and genomic integration site

To infer the transgene copy number(s) and insertion site(s), we performed Oxford Nanopore sequencing of the trans-hemizygous *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}#1/Cas9* mosquitoes. Genomic DNA was extracted from 10 ♂'s and ♀'s using Blood & Cell Culture DNA Midi Kit (Qiagen, Cat# 13343) and the sequencing library was prepared using the Oxford Nanopore SQK-LSK110 genomic DNA by ligation kit. The library was sequenced for 72 hrs on MinION flowcell (R9.4.1) followed by base calling with Guppy v6.3.2 and produced 16.18 Gb of filtered data with Q score cutoff of 10. To determine transgene copy number(s), reads were mapped to the AeagL5 genome supplemented with transgene sequences *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* (1067L plasmid) and *Cas9* (874PA plasmid) using minimap2 and sequencing depth was calculated using samtools. To identify transgene integration sites, nanopore reads were mapped to the *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* and *Cas9* plasmids and the sequences that aligned to the expected regions between the *piggyBac* sites were parsed. These validated reads were then mapped to the AeagL5 genome (GCF_002204515.2) and sites of insertions were identified by examining the alignments in the Interactive Genomics Viewer (IGV) browser. The *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}#1* strain harbors a single copy of *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* inserted on chromosome 3 (+/- orientation) between 2993 and 16524 at NC_035109.1:362,233,930 TTA site (**Supplementary Fig. 5** [5](#)). We also confirmed the previously identified insertion site of *Cas9* (Li et al., 2021 [5](#)): chromosome 3 (+/- orientation) between 2933 and 14573 at NC_035109.1:33,210,107 TTA.

Transcriptional profiling and expression analysis

To quantify target gene reduction and expression from transgenes as well as to assess global expression patterns, we performed Illumina RNA sequencing. We extracted total RNA using miRNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Cat# 217004) from 20 sexed pupae: WT ♀'s, WT ♂'s, trans-hemizygous *pgSIT⁺Cas9* ♂'s, and *pgSIT⁺Cas9* 11's in biological triplicate (12 samples total), following the manufacturer's protocol. DNase treatment was conducted using DNase I, RNase-free (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# EN0521), following total RNA extraction. RNA integrity was assessed using the RNA 6000 Pico Kit for Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies #5067-1513), and mRNA was isolated from ~1 µg of total RNA using NEB Next Poly (A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module (NEB #E7490). RNA-seq libraries were constructed using the NEBNext Ultra II RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB #E7770) following the manufacturer's protocols. Libraries were quantified using a Qubit dsDNA HS Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific #Q32854), and the size distribution was confirmed using a High Sensitivity DNA Kit for Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies #5067-4626). Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq2000 in paired end mode with the read length of 50 nt and sequencing depth of 20 million reads per library. Base calling and FASTQ conversion were performed with DRAGEN 3.8.4. The reads were mapped to the AaegL5.0 (GCF_002204515.2) genome supplemented with the *Cas9* and *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* plasmid sequences using STAR aligner. On average, ~97.8% of the reads were mapped. Gene expression was then quantified using featureCounts against the annotation release 101 GTF downloaded from NCBI (GCF_002204515.2_AaegL5.0_genomic.gtf). TPM values were calculated from counts produced by featureCounts. PCA and hierarchical clustering were performed in R and plotted using the ggplot2 package. Differential expression analyses between *pgSIT* vs WT samples within each sex were performed with DESeq2 (**Supplementary Fig. 13** [↗](#), Supplementary Tables 10-11). Gene Ontology overrepresentation analyses were done with the topGO package.

Blood feeding assays

Ten mature WT ♀'s or *pgSIT* 11's (i.e. *gRNA^{dsx+ix+βTub}#1/Cas9*) generated with Cas9 inherited from either the mother (*pgSIT⁺Cas9*) or father (*pgSIT⁺Cas9*) were caged with 100 mature WT ♂'s for two days to insure that every WT ♀ was mated before WT ♂'s were removed from all cages. Then, one anesthetized mouse (similar size and weight) was put into each cage for 20 minutes (from 9:00 am to 9:20 am) daily for five days, and two times were recorded for each mosquito ♀ or 11. First, the 'time to bite' is the time interval between a mouse placed in a cage and ♀/11 landing on the mouse. Second, the 'feeding time' is the duration of blood feeding (Supplementary Table 5). When individual ♀/11's finished blood feeding they were removed from the cage, while unfed ♀/11's were kept in the cage and the blood feeding was repeated the next day. In total, each ♀/11 had 6000 seconds to initiate bite and feed during 5 days. To assess whether distance affects blood feeding of *pgSIT* 11's, we conducted the experiment in two sizes of cages: small (24.5 X 24.5 X 24.5 cm) and large (60 X 60 X 60 cm). All experiments were repeated 3 times independently.

Flight activity assays

We followed the protocol in our previous study (Li et al., 2021 [↗](#)) to assess the flight activity of trans-hemizygous *pgSIT⁺Cas9* (i.e., *gRNA^{dsx+ix+βTub}#1/Cas9*) mosquitoes (Supplementary Table 6).

Mating assays

We followed a previously described protocol (Li et al., 2021 [↗](#)), to assess the mating ability of both types of *pgSIT* males, *pgSIT⁺Cas9* and *Cas9 pgSIT⁺Cas9*, and confirm that prior matings with *pgSIT* ♂'s suppressed WT ♀ fertility (Supplementary Table 8).

Fitness parameters and longevity

To assess fitness of pgSIT mosquitoes, we measured developmental times, fertility, and longevity of WT, homozygous *gRNA^{dsx+ix+βTub#1}*, homozygous *Cas9*, and *pgSIT[♀] Cas9* and *pgSIT[♂] Cas9* mosquitoes. The previously published protocol was used to assess fitness parameters and longevity (Li et al., 2021 [DOI](#)). Briefly, all fitness parameters and longevity were assessed in three groups (3 replicates) of twenty individual mosquitoes (Supplementary Table 7).

Multigenerational population cage trials

To assess the competitiveness of pgSIT ♂'s, we performed discrete non-overlapping multigenerational population cage trials as described in our previous study (Li et al., 2021 [DOI](#)). Briefly, 3/4-day-old mature WT adult ♂'s were released along with mature (3/4 days old) pgSIT adult ♂'s at release ratios (pgSIT: WT): 1:1 (50:50), 5:1 (250:50), 10:1 (500:50), 20:1 (1000:50), and 40:1 (2000:50), with three biological replicates for each release ratio (15 cages total). One hour later, 50 mature (3/4 days old) WT adult ♀'s were released into each cage. All adults were allowed to mate for 2 days. ♀'s were then blood fed and eggs were collected. Eggs were counted and stored for four days to allow full embryonic development. Then, 100 eggs were randomly selected, hatched, and reared to the pupal stage, and the pupae were separated into ♂ and ♀ groups and transferred to separate cages. Three days post eclosion, ratios (pgSIT: WT) of 50 (1:1), 250 (5:1), 500 (10:1), 1000 (20:1), and 2000 (40:1) age-matched pgSIT mature ♂ adults were caged with these mature ♂'s from 100 selected eggs. One hour later, mature ♀'s from 100 selected eggs were transferred into each cage. All adults were allowed to mate for 2 days. ♀'s were blood fed, and eggs were collected. Eggs were counted and stored for 4 days to allow full embryonic development. The remaining eggs were hatched to measure hatching rates and to screen for the possible presence of transformation markers. The hatching rate was estimated by dividing the number of hatched eggs by the total number of eggs. This procedure continued for all subsequent generations.

Mathematical modeling

To model the expected performance of pgSIT at suppressing and eliminating local *Ae. aegypti* populations, we used the MGDriVE simulation framework (Sánchez et al., 2019 [DOI](#)). This framework models the egg, larval, pupal, and adult mosquito life stages with overlapping generations, larval mortality increasing with larval density, and a mating structure in which ♀'s retain the genetic material of the adult ♂ with whom they mate for the duration of their adult lifespan. We used the same egg-to-adult survival rate for WT and pgSIT eggs. The inheritance pattern of the pgSIT system was modeled within the inheritance module of MGDriVE. This module includes parameters for ♀ pupatory success (as a proxy for viability), and ♂ fertility and mating competitiveness. We simulated a randomly-mixing *Ae. aegypti* population of 10,000 adults and implemented the stochastic version of MGDriVE to capture chance effects that are relevant to suppression and elimination scenarios. Density-independent mortality rates for juvenile life stages were calculated for consistency with the population growth rate in the absence of density-dependent mortality, and density-dependent mortality was applied to the larval stage following Deredec et al. (Deredec et al., 2011 [DOI](#)). Sensitivity analyses were conducted with “window of protection” (mean duration for which the *Ae. aegypti* population is suppressed by at least 90%), probability of *Ae. aegypti* elimination, and reduction in cumulative potential for transmission as the outcomes, and model parameters sampled describing the construct (gRNA cutting rate and maternal deposition frequency), release scheme (number, size and frequency of releases), ♀ viability, and ♂ fertility and mating competitiveness. Model parameters were sampled according to a latin-hypercube scheme with 2^{16} parameter sets and 20 repetitions per parameter set. Regression models were fitted to the sensitivity analysis data using multi-layered perceptrons as emulators of the main simulation in predicting the window of protection outcome. Subsequent simulations focused on the most sensitive parameters from the sensitivity analysis (those describing the release scheme, ♀ viability, and ♂ fertility) and were explored via factorial simulation design. Complete model and intervention parameters are listed in Supplementary Table 12-13.

Transgene detection method in mosquitoes

SENSR assays were performed as described previously (Brogan et al., 2021c) using a two-step nucleic acid detection protocol. Target sequences were first amplified in a 30 min isothermal preamplification reaction using recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA). RPA primers were designed to amplify 30 bp gRNA spacer complement regions flanked by 30 bp priming regions from the transgenic elements (*Cas9* coding sequence or *gRNA* scaffold for *Cas9* or *gRNA*^{*dsx,ix,βTub*} construct, respectively) while simultaneously incorporating a T7 promoter sequence and “GGG” into the 5' end of the dsDNA gene fragment to increase transcription efficiency (Briebe et al., 2002). The RPA primer sequences and synthetic target sequences can be found in Supplementary Table 14. The RPA reaction was performed at 42°C for 30 min by using TwistAmp Basic (TwistDx #TABAS03KIT). The final conditions for the optimized (28.5% sample input) RPA protocol in a 10-μL reaction are as follows: 5.9 μL rehydration buffer (TwistDx), 0.35 μL primer mix (10 μM each), 0.5 μL MgOAc (280 mM), and 50 ng of genomic DNA. The RPA reaction was then transferred to a second reaction, termed the Cas Cleavage Reaction (CCR), which contained a T7 polymerase and the CasRx ribonucleoprotein. In the second reaction, *in vitro* transcription was coupled with the cleavage assay and a fluorescence readout using 6-carboxyfluorescein (6-FAM). A 6-nt poly-U probe (FRU) conjugated to a 5' 6-FAM and a 3' IABlkFQ (Iowa Black Fluorescence Quencher) was designed and custom-ordered from IDT (Brogan et al., 2021d). Detection experiments were performed in 20-μL reactions by adding the following reagents to the 10 μL RPA preamplification reaction: 2.82 μL water, 0.4 μL HEPES pH 7.2 (1 M), 0.18 μL MgCl₂ (1 M), 1 μL rNTPs (25 mM each), 2 μL CasRx (55.4 ng/μL), 1 μL RNase inhibitor (40 U/μL), 0.6 μL T7 Polymerase (50 U/μL), 1 μL gRNA (10 ng/μL), and 1 μL FRU probe (2 μM). Experiments were immediately run on a LightCycler 96 (Roche #05815916001) at 37°C for 60 min with the following acquisition protocol: 5 sec acquisition followed by 5 sec incubation for the first 15 min, followed by 5 sec acquisition and 55 sec incubation for up to 45 min. Fluorescence readouts were analyzed by calculating the background-subtracted fluorescence of each timepoint and by subtracting the initial fluorescence value from the final value. Statistical significance was calculated using a one-way ANOVA followed by specified multiple comparison tests (n = 4). The detection speed of each gRNA was calculated from the Half-Maximum Fluorescence (HMF) (Brogan et al., 2021d). Raw SENSR assays data can be found in Supplementary Table 15.

We generated 12 gRNAs, six target regions within *Cas9* or *gRNA* transgenes, to assess the detection capabilities in a SENSR reaction for each selected gRNA using *Cas9* and *gRNA*^{*dsx,ix,βTub*} plasmids for detection of *Cas9* and *gRNA*^{*dsx,ix,βTub*} transgenic sequences, respectively. After identifying the best gRNA for detection of *Cas9* and *gRNA* sequences, we run SENSR of DNA preps made from *Ae. aegypti* *pgSIT*^{*Cas9*} and WT mosquitoes harboring both *Cas9* and *gRNA*^{*dsx,ix,βTub*} constructs at different ratios of *pgSIT*^{*Cas9*} to WT mosquitoes: 1:0; 1:1; 1:5; 1:10; 1:25; 1:50; 0:1; and No Template Control (NTC). We challenged the best gRNA (A4 and B3) in a SENSR assay and found that both gRNA detected transgenic DNA even at the lowest dilution and no signal was observed in both controls, only WT mosquitoes and NTC.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed in JMP8.0.2 by SAS Institute Inc and Prism9 for macOS by GraphPad Software, LLC. We used at least three biological replicates to generate statistical means for comparisons. P values were calculated using a two-sided Student's t test with equal variance. The departure significance for survival curves was assessed with the Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) tests. Statistical comparisons of WBF for each sex were made using ANOVA on Ranks tests, followed by pairwise Wilcoxon tests with a Bonferroni correction applied for multiple comparisons. Chi-squared test was used to assess significance of departure in phonotaxis assay. All plots were constructed using Prism 9.1 for macOS by GraphPad Software and then organized for the final presentation using Adobe Illustrator.

Ethical conduct of research

All animals were handled in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals as recommended by the National Institutes of Health and approved by the UCSD Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC, Animal Use Protocol #S17187) and UCSD Biological Use Authorization (BUA #R2401).

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

Complete sequence maps assembled in the study are deposited at www.addgene.org under ID# 200252 (*gRNA^{dsx}*, 1067B), 200251 (*gRNA^{ix}*, 1055J) and 200253 (*gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}*, 1067L). Illumina and Nanopore sequencing data have been deposited to the NCBI-SRA under BioProject ID PRJNA942966, SAMN33705824-SAMN33705835; PRJNA942966, SAMN33705934. Data used to generate figures are provided in the Supplementary Materials/Source Data files (Supplementary Tables 1-11). *Aedes* transgenic lines are available upon request. Source data is provided with this paper.

Acknowledgements

We thank Judy Ishikawa for helping with mosquito husbandry and Shih-Che Weng for assistance with DNA/RNA extractions. This work was supported in part by funding from NIH (R01AI151004, R01GM132825, R01AI148300, R01AI175152, DP2AI152071), EPA STAR award (RD84020401), and an Open Philanthropy award (309937-0001) awarded to O.S.A; and the U.S. Army Research Office for the Institute for Collaborative Biotechnologies (W911NF-19-2-0026) and NIH (R01-AI165575) awards to CM; and a NIH (1R01AI143698-01A1) award to JMM. The views, opinions, and/or findings expressed are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the official views or policies of the U.S. government.

Author Contributions


O.S.A, M.L., N.P.K. conceptualized and designed experiments; T.Y., L.A., S.G., E.D.B., D.J.B., performed molecular analyses; M.L., J.R.E., T.W., H.L., M.B. performed genetic experiments; Y.Z., N.D., C.M. performed behavioral experiments; Y.M.L., M.P.S., performed IHC and phonotaxis assays; H.M.S.C, J.M.M performed mathematical modeling; I.A. performed bioinformatics; O.S.A, N.P.K, M.L., R.S. analyzed and compiled the data. All authors contributed to writing and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

O.S.A. is a founder of Agragene, Inc. with equity interest. O.S.A., M.L., and N.P.K are founders of Synvect with equity interest. The terms of this arrangement have been reviewed and approved by the University of California, San Diego in accordance with its conflict of interest policies. All remaining authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information


The online version contains supplementary material available at XX.



Supplementary Figure 1.

Changes in morphological structures induced by disruption of targeted genes.


External and internal structures were measured in mosquitoes of each genotype and sex. The trans-hemizygous mosquitoes harboring the maternal *Cas9* (*Cas9*^Δ) were used for all measurements. The plot shows means and $\pm$ SD over fifteen mosquitoes ($n = 15$) for each morphological structure. All data can be found in Supplementary Table 2. Statistical significance of mean differences was estimated using a two-sided Student's *t* test with equal variance. ($p \geq 0.05$ ^{ns}, $p < 0.05$ ^{*}, $p < 0.01$ ^{**}, and $p < 0.001$ ^{***}). Source data is provided as a Source Data File.



Supplementary Figure 2.

Induced mutations at the targeted sequences in *dsx* and *ix*.


The gene sequences targeted by gRNAs were PCR amplified from pgSIT mosquitoes and Sanger sequenced. Good quality of Sanger read chromatogram indicates the presence of a single consistent template sequence (no cleave) at a targeted region, for example *gRNA^{dsx} #3* (A) or *gRNA^{ix} #2* (B). An active CRISPR/gRNA-mediated cleavage at a particular DNA target results in religation of cut fragments leading to the origin of diverse mutation-bearing alleles. These induced mutations are localized around the gRNA cleavage site and result in the drop of Sanger read quality (cleavage) as indicated for *gRNA^{dsx} #1*, *gRNA^{dsx} #2*, *gRNA^{dsx} #4*, (A) and *gRNA^{ix} #1* (B).



Supplementary Figure 3.

***Ae. aegypti* *dsx* or *ix* disruption does not result in significant alterations in ear anatomy, Wing Beat Frequency (WBF), and male phonotactic behaviors.**


Immunohistochemistry and microanatomic comparison of mosquito Johnston's Organ (cup-like structure) with chordotonal neurons responsible for auditory transduction in females (♀'s, **A**) or males (♂'s, **B**) among different genotypes. Female JO's contained far fewer neurons than males across all genotypes, and distributions of synaptic punctate assessed by Anti-Synapsin (3C11, in green) were restricted to the somata, as opposed to the male-type distribution observable in the gap between the cilia and somata tracked by anti-Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP, in red). WBFs are different between *Ae. aegypti* ♀'s and ♂'s, and are shown on separate plots, (**C**) and (**D**) respectively. Each point represents the estimated frequency of a single fly-by (aka. the frequency of sound produced by one event of a mosquito flying past the microphone). WBF box plots indicate the median (middle bar), and 25% and 75% quartiles (lower/upper boundaries of box). The average ♀ WBF is 468 Hz. No significant differences were observed between ♀ groups, or between ♂ groups, regardless of the genotypes tested (ANOVA on ranks, $P > 0.05$). The male phonotaxis assay was conducted, in which individual flying ♂'s showed attractive or no response (scored as 1 or 0, respectively) toward the female-specific WBF produced for 30 secs by a speaker (**E**). Three batches (aka. 3 replicate groups) of at least 10 ♂'s were examined for each genotype. ♂'s across all genotypes exhibited robust responses to sound. The point plot shows the mean (middle bar) and one Standard Deviation ($\pm$ SD) for the proportion of ♂'s responding to the auditory stimulus. No significant differences were observed across groups (*Chi*-squared test, $P > 0.05$).



Supplementary Figure 4.

Genetic Characterization of independent transgenic strains for CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of *dsx*, *ix*, and *βTub*.


(A) Schematic maps of targeted genes (box) and *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* construct. Relative locations of gRNA target sequences are depicted with red arrows (box). *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* harbors a 3xP3-tdTomato marker and six gRNAs to guide the simultaneous CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of *dsx*, *ix*, and *βTub* genes. (B) A schematic of the reciprocal genetic cross between the homozygous *Cas9*, marked with *Opie2-CFP*, and homozygous *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}#1* to generate the trans-hemizygous F₁ (aka. pgSIT) progeny. Relative positions of *dsx*, *ix*, and *βTub* genes (bar's color corresponds to gRNA's color), and transgene insertions in the *Cas9* (*Nup50-Cas9* strain) and *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}#1* strains are indicated in the three pairs of *Ae. aegypti* chromosomes. (C) Three independent *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* strains were generated and assessed by crossing to the *Cas9* strain and comparing the pgSIT phenotypes induced in each trans-hemizygous progenies. The survival, sex ratio, and fertility of trans-hemizygous and hemizygous *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* mosquitoes were scored for each of three *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* strains and compared to the corresponding values found for *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}#1* strain. The bar plot shows means and $\pm$ SD over triple biological replicates ($n = 3$, all data can be found in Supplementary Table 4). The bars show means and $\pm$ SD over three biologically independent groups of 50 WT φ 's ($n = 3$) for each experimental condition. Statistical significance of mean differences was estimated using a two-sided Student's *t* test with equal variance. ($p \geq 0.05^{ns}$, $p < 0.05^*$, $p < 0.01^{**}$, and $p < 0.001^{***}$). Source data is provided as a Source Data File.



Supplementary Figure 5.

Determination of transgene copy number for the $gRNA^{dsx,ix,\beta Tub\#1}$ strain using Oxford Nanopore genome sequencing.


standard box plot depicting the coverage distributions of the three chromosomes and the *Cas9* and $gRNA^{dsx,ix,\beta Tub}$ transgenes in trans-hemizygous $gRNA^{dsx,ix,\beta Tub\#1}/Cas9$ (aka. pgSIT) mosquitoes. The center line is median, first and third quartiles are the bounds of the box, upper and lower whiskers extend from the box to the largest and lowest observed value, but no further than 1.5* Interquartile Range (IQR) from the box. Sequencing depths for chromosomes 1, 2, 3 and for *Cas9* and $gRNA^{dsx,ix,\beta Tub}$ transgenes were 9.71, 9.47, 9.17, 12.23, 9.50, respectively. Normalized sequencing depths were 1.03, 1.00, 0.97, 1.30, 1.01 consistent with the transgenes being present at single copy. The Nanopore sequencing data have been deposited to the NCBI sequence read archive (SRA) under BioProject ID PRJNA942966 and BioSample ID SAMN33705934.



Supplementary Figure 6.

Oxford Nanopore sequencing results validating disruptions in the target sites.


Integrated genome browser snapshots are zoomed in at genome regions containing gRNA target sites at *dsx* (A), *ix* (B), and β *Tub* (C) genes in pgSIT σ 's and φ 's. The direction of DNA strand and scale is indicated above generated reads. Sampled deletion mutations localize to gRNA target sites (yellow overlay) (A).



Supplementary Figure 7.

Integrative genome browser snapshot of *dsx* validating gRNA target disruption in both the DNA and RNA.


Oxford Nanopore reads are aligned on the top and RNAseq reads for the various genotypes indicated are aligned. On the bottom in blue are the gRNA target sites.



Supplementary Figure 8.

Integrative genome browser snapshot of *ix* validating gRNA target disruption in both the DNA and RNA.


Oxford Nanopore reads are aligned on the top and RNAseq reads for the various genotypes indicated are aligned. On the bottom in blue is the gRNA target site.



Supplementary Figure 9.

Integrative genome browser snapshot of *βTub* validating gRNA target disruption in both the DNA and RNA.


Oxford Nanopore reads are aligned on the top and RNAseq reads for the various genotypes indicated are aligned. On the bottom in blue are the gRNA target sites.



Supplementary Figure 10.

Transcription profiling and expression analysis of *Ae. aegypti* liverpool and pgSIT mosquito samples.


(A) PCA analysis and (B) hierarchical clustering of twelve samples used for RNA sequencing. MA-plots showing the differential expression patterns between: (C) pgSIT ♂'s vs WT ♀'s, and (D) pgSIT ♀'s vs WT ♂'s. Blue dots indicate significantly differentially expressed genes (FDR < 0.05), while non-significantly differentially expressed genes are indicated by gray dots (FDR > 0.05). Targeted and transgenic genes are depicted by the larger blue or gray dots.



Supplementary Figure 11.

Longevity, fecundity, and developmental times of the generated pgSIT mosquitoes.


(A) Survival plots of 20 adult ♀'s or IZ's either cohabitated with ♂'s or not, and (B) 20 adult ♂'s either cohabitated with ♀'s or not over three independent experiments ($n=3$). Survival means $\pm$ standard errors (SE) over days following adult eclosion are plotted. Vertical lines and values present median survivals for each tested group. Mosquito survival curves for each tested group were compared to the curve for WT's mosquitoes of the corresponding sex. The departure significance was assessed with the Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test and is indicated above median values. (C) Female fecundity plots, measured as egg laying and hatching rates, of 60 adult ♀'s or IZ's of each tested group ($n=60$). (D) Plots of larva-to-pupa developmental times were measured in 60 ♂'s and ♀'s or 's for each tested group ($n=60$). Both point plots in panels C and D show mean and $\pm$ SD (Supplemental Table 7). Statistical significance of mean differences was estimated using a two-sided Student's t test with equal variance. ($p \geq 0.05$ ^{ns}, $p < 0.05$ *, $p < 0.01$ **, and $p < 0.001$ ***). Source data is provided as a Source Data File.



Supplementary Figure 12.

Sensitivity of pgSIT population suppression outcomes to model parameters.

A Partial dependency (thin traces) and individual conditional expectation plots³ (magenta dashed lines) are depicted for regression models fitted to three model outcomes: i) probability of elimination (POE), ii) window of protection (WOP) (measured in years), and iii) reduction in cumulative potential for transmission (RPT). These three outcomes were evaluated for several parameters - number of releases, size of releases (as a proportion of the number of wild adults in the environment), release interval (in days), gRNA cutting rate, Cas9 maternal deposition rate, male fertility, male mating competitiveness (relative to wild-type males), and female viability. Population suppression outcomes are most sensitive to release schedule parameters (number, size and interval of releases), male fertility and female viability. **B** Statistical sensitivity analysis metrics on the simulated data are compared to importance metrics for surrogate models. For the first-order statistical sensitivity analysis, we used Delta⁴, Fourier Amplitude Sensitivity Test (FAST)⁵, and High-Dimensional Model Representation (HDMR)⁶; whilst for the emulators we used Permutation feature importance⁷, and SHapley Additive exPlanations (SHAP)⁸. The normalized importance barcharts are in good agreement with the variable-to-outcome rankings. (Supplementary Tables 10-11). Source data are provided as a Source Data File.



Supplementary Figure 13.

Sensitive and rapid detection of transgenic DNA fragments with the Sensi e Enzymatic Nucleic acid Sequence Reporter (SENSR) assay.

(A) Intensity of signal from SENSR assay for both *Cas9* and *gRNA^{dsx,ix,βTub}* constructs along a concentration gradient, as ratio of *pgSIT⁺Cas9* to WT mosquitoes, and No Template Control (NTP). The fluorescence signal represents the background-subtracted signal ($n = 4$). **(B)** Speed of cleavage along a ratio of *pgSIT⁺Cas9* to WT mosquitoes, as target abundance. The speed of collateral activity is represented using an HMF analysis ($n = 4$). (Supplementary Tables 12-13). Source data is provided as a Source Data File.

References

- Akbari OS, Antoshechkin I, Amrhein H, Williams B, Dilorieto R, Sandler J, Hay BA (2013) **The developmental transcriptome of the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*, an invasive species and major arbovirus vector** *G* **3**:1493–1509 <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.113.006742>
- Brady OJ, Gething PW, Bhatt S, Messina JP, Brownstein JS, Hoen AG, Moyes CL, Farlow AW, Scott TW, Hay SI (2012) **Refining the global spatial limits of dengue virus transmission by evidence-based consensus** *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **6** <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001760>
- Briebe LG, Padilla R, Sousa R (2002) **Role of T7 RNA polymerase His784 in start site selection and initial transcription** *Biochemistry* **41**:5144–5149 <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi016057v>
- Brogan DJ *et al.* (2021) **Development of a Rapid and Sensitive CasRx-Based Diagnostic Assay for SARS-CoV-2** *ACS Sens* **6**:3957–3966 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.1c01088>
- Bui M, Li M, Raban RR, Liu N, Akbari OS (2020) **Embryo Microinjection Techniques for Efficient Site-Specific Mutagenesis in *Culex quinquefasciatus*** *J Vis Exp* <https://doi.org/10.3791/61375>
- Carvalho DO, McKemey AR, Garziera L, Lacroix R, Donnelly CA, Alphey L, Malavasi A, Capurro ML (2015) **Suppression of a Field Population of *Aedes aegypti* in Brazil by Sustained Release of Transgenic Male Mosquitoes** *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **9** <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003864>
- Champer J, Buchman A, Akbari OS (2016) **Cheating evolution: engineering gene drives to manipulate the fate of wild populations** *Nat Rev Genet* **17**:146–159 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2015.34>
- Chase BA, Baker BS (1995) **A genetic analysis of intersex, a gene regulating sexual differentiation in *Drosophila melanogaster* females** *Genetics* **139**:1649–1661
- Chen J, Luo J, Wang Y, Gurav AS, Li M, Akbari OS, Montell C (2021) **Suppression of female fertility in *Aedes aegypti* with a CRISPR-targeted male-sterile mutation** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118** <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2105075118>
- Crawford JE *et al.* (2020) **Efficient production of male Wolbachia-infected *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes enables large-scale suppression of wild populations** *Nat Biotechnol* **38**:482–492 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0471-x>
- Dalla Benetta E *et al.* (2023) **Engineered Antiviral Sensor Targets Infected Mosquitoes** *bioRxiv* <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.27.525922>
- Degner EC, Ahmed-Braimah YH, Borziak K, Wolfner MF, Harrington LC, Dorus S (2019) **Proteins, Transcripts, and Genetic Architecture of Seminal Fluid and Sperm in the Mosquito *Aedes aegypti*** *Mol Cell Proteomics* **18**:S6–S22 <https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.RA118.001067>
- Deredec A, Godfray HCJ, Burt A (2011) **Requirements for effective malaria control with homing endonuclease genes** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**:E874–80 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1110717108>

- Gamez S, Antoshechkin I, Mendez-Sanchez SC, Akbari OS (2020) **The Developmental Transcriptome of Aedes albopictus, a Major Worldwide Human Disease Vector** *G3 Genes | Genomes | Genetics* <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.119.401006>
- Garrett-Engle CM, Siegal ML, Manoli DS, Williams BC, Li H, Baker BS (2002) . **intersex, a gene required for female sexual development in Drosophila, is expressed in both sexes and functions together with doublesex to regulate terminal differentiation** *Development* **129**:4661–4675
- Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang R-Y, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, Smith HO (2009) **Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases** *Nat Methods* **6**:343–345 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1318>
- Hemingway J, Field L, Vontas J (2002) **An overview of insecticide resistance** *Science* **298**:96–97 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1078052>
- Kandul NP, Liu J, Akbari OS (2021) **Temperature-Inducible Precision-Guided Sterile Insect Technique** *CRISPR J* **4**:822–835 <https://doi.org/10.1089/crispr.2021.0077>
- Kandul NP, Liu J, Buchman A, Gantz VM, Bier E, Akbari OS (2020) **Assessment of a Split Homing Based Gene Drive for Efficient Knockout of Multiple Genes** *G3* **10**:827–837 <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.119.400985>
- Kandul NP *et al.* (2022) **Precision Guided Sterile Males Suppress Populations of an Invasive Crop Pest** *GEN Biotechnology* <https://doi.org/10.1089/genbio.2022.0019>
- Kandul NP, Liu J, Sanchez C HM, Wu SL, Marshall JM, Akbari OS (2019) **Reply to “Concerns about the feasibility of using ‘precision guided sterile males’ to control insects.”** *Nat Commun* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11617-8>
- Kandul NP, Liu J, Sanchez C HM, Wu SL, Marshall JM, Akbari OS (2019) **Transforming insect population control with precision guided sterile males with demonstration in flies** *Nat Commun* **10** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07964-7>
- Knipling EF (1955) **Possibilities of Insect Control or Eradication Through the Use of Sexually Sterile Males** *J Econ Entomol* **48**:459–462 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/48.4.459>
- Kyrou K, Hammond AM, Galizi R, Kranjc N, Burt A, Beaghton AK, Nolan T, Crisanti A (2018) **A CRISPR–Cas9 gene drive targeting doublesex causes complete population suppression in caged Anopheles gambiae mosquitoes** *Nat Biotechnol* **36**:1062–1066 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4245>
- Laven H (1967) **Eradication of Culex pipiens fatigans through Cytoplasmic Incompatibility** *Nature* <https://doi.org/10.1038/216383a0>
- Li M, Bui M, Yang T, Bowman CS, White BJ, Akbari OS (2017) **Germline Cas9 expression yields highly efficient genome engineering in a major worldwide disease vector, Aedes aegypti** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**:E10540–E10549 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711538114>
- Li M *et al.* (2021) **Suppressing mosquito populations with precision guided sterile males** *Nature Communications* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25421-w>
- Li M *et al.* (2020) **Development of a confinable gene drive system in the human disease vector Aedes aegypti** *Elife* **9** <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.51701>

- Lu C, Hung Y-T, Cheng Q (2020) **A Review of Sub-lethal Neonicotinoid Insecticides Exposure and Effects on Pollinators** *Current Pollution Reports* **6**:137–151 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40726-020-00142-8>
- Marshall JM, Raban RR, Kandul NP, Edula JR, León TM, Akbari OS (2019) **Winning the Tug-of-War Between Effector Gene Design and Pathogen Evolution in Vector Population Replacement Strategies** *Front Genet* **10** <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.01072>
- Matthews BJ *et al.* (2018) **Improved reference genome of Aedes aegypti informs arbovirus vector control** *Nature* **563**:501–507 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0692-z>
- Mordecai EA *et al.* (2019) **Thermal biology of mosquito-borne disease** *Ecol Lett* **22**:1690–1708 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13335>
- Mysore K, Sun L, Tomchaney M, Sullivan G, Adams H, Piscoya AS, Severson DW, Syed Z, Duman-Scheel M (2015) **siRNA-Mediated Silencing of doublesex during Female Development of the Dengue Vector Mosquito Aedes aegypti** *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **9** <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004213>
- Raban R, Akbari OS (2017) **Gene drives may be the next step towards sustainable control of malaria** *Pathog Glob Health* <https://doi.org/10.1080/20477724.2017.1453587>
- Salvemini M, Mauro U, Lombardo F, Milano A, Zazzaro V, Arcà B, Polito LC, Saccone G (2011) **Genomic organization and splicing evolution of the doublesex gene, a Drosophila regulator of sexual differentiation, in the dengue and yellow fever mosquito Aedes aegypti** *BMC Evol Biol* **11** <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-41>
- Sánchez-Bayo F (2021) **Indirect Effect of Pesticides on Insects and Other Arthropods** *Toxics* **9** <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics9080177>
- Sánchez HMC, Wu SL, Bennett JB, Marshall JM (2019) **MGDrivE: A modular simulation framework for the spread of gene drives through spatially explicit mosquito populations** *Methods Ecol Evol* **229** <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13318>
- Scali C, Catteruccia F, Li Q, Crisanti A (2005) **Identification of sex-specific transcripts of the Anopheles gambiae doublesex gene** *J Exp Biol* **208** <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.01819>
- Smidler AL, Pai JJ, Apte RA, Sánchez C HM, Corder RM, Jeffrey Gutiérrez E, Thakre N, Antoshechkin I, Marshall JM, Akbari OS (2023) **A confinable female-lethal population suppression system in the malaria vector, Anopheles gambiae** *Sci Adv* **9** <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.ade8903>
- Somers J *et al.* (2022) **Hitting the right note at the right time: Circadian control of audibility in mosquito mating swarms is mediated by flight tones** *Sci Adv* **8** <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abl4844>
- Spinner SAM *et al.* (2022) **New self-sexing Aedes aegypti strain eliminates barriers to scalable and sustainable vector control for governments and communities in dengue-prone environments** *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* **10** <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2022.975786>
- Su MP, Andrés M, Boyd-Gibbins N, Somers J, Albert JT (2018) **Sex and species specific hearing mechanisms in mosquito flagellar ears** *Nat Commun* **9** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06388-7>

Su MP, Georgiades M, Bagi J, Kyrou K, Crisanti A, Albert JT (2020) **Assessing the acoustic behaviour of *Anopheles gambiae* (s.l.) dsxF mutants: implications for vector control** *Parasit Vectors* **13** <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04382-x>

Trdan S. (2016) **Insecticides Resistance. BoD – Books on Demand**

Trujillo-Rodríguez GJ, Diego Carrillo Gaytan L, de Lourdes Ramirez-Ahuja M, Govea MAG, Suarez AEF, García GP, Rodríguez-Sánchez IP. (2021) **Silencing of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) Doublesex Gene (Dsx) Using iRNAs by Oral Delivery** *swen* **46**:929–936 <https://doi.org/10.3958/059.046.0412>

Wang G-H, Gamez S, Raban RR, Marshall JM, Alphey L, Li M, Rasgon JL, Akbari OS (2021) **Combating mosquito-borne diseases using genetic control technologies** *Nat Commun* **12** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24654-z>

1. Li M., et al. (2021) **Suppressing mosquito populations with precision guided sterile males** *Nature Communications* **12** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25421-w>
2. Sánchez C Héctor M., Wu Sean L., Bennett Jared B., Marshall John M. (2019) **MGDrive: A modular simulation framework for the spread of gene drives through spatially explicit mosquito populations** *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13318>
3. Molnar C (2020) **Interpretable Machine Learning**
4. (2007) **A new uncertainty importance measure** *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.* **92**:771–784
5. Cukier R. I., Fortuin C. M., Shuler K. E., Petschek A. G., Schaibly J. H (2003) **Study of the sensitivity of coupled reaction systems to uncertainties in rate coefficients. I Theory J. Chem. Phys** **59**
6. Saltelli A., Tarantola S., Chan K. P.-S (1999) **A quantitative model-independent method for global sensitivity analysis of model output** *Technometrics* **41**:39–56
7. Breiman L. (2001) **Random Forests** *Mach. Learn.* **45**:5–32
8. Lundberg, Su-In Lee S. **A Unified Approach to Interpreting Model Predictions**

Article and author information

Ming Li

School of Biological Sciences, Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Nikolay P. Kandul

School of Biological Sciences, Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Ruichen Sun

School of Biological Sciences, Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Ting Yang

School of Biological Sciences, Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Elena D. Benetta

School of Biological Sciences, Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Daniel J. Brogan

School of Biological Sciences, Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Igor Antoshechkin

Division of Biology and Biological Engineering (BBE), California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

Héctor M. Sánchez C.

Divisions of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA

Yinpeng Zhan

Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology and the Neuroscience Research Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

Nicolas A. DeBeaubien

Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology and the Neuroscience Research Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

YuMin M. Loh

Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan

Matthew P. Su

Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan, Institute for Advanced Research, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan

Craig Montell

Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology and the Neuroscience Research Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

John M. Marshall

Divisions of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA, Innovative Genomics Institute, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Omar S. Akbari

School of Biological Sciences, Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

For correspondence: oakbari@ucsd.edu

ORCID iD: [0000-0002-6853-9884](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6853-9884)

Copyright

© 2023, Li et al.

This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Editors

Reviewing Editor

Sonia Sen

Tata Institute for Genetics and Society, Bangalore, India

Senior Editor

Claude Desplan

New York University, New York, United States of America

Reviewer #1 (Public Review):

Precision guided sterile insect technology (pgSIT) is a means of mosquito vector control that aims to simultaneously kill females while generating sterile males for field release. These sterile males are expected to mate with 'wild' females resulting in very few eggs being laid or low hatching rates. Repeated releases are expected to result in the suppression of the mosquito population. This method avoids cumbersome sex-sorting while generating the sterile males. Importantly, until release, the two genetic elements that bring about female lethality and male sterility - the Cas9 and the gRNA carrying mosquitoes - are maintained as separate lines. They are crossed only prior to release, and therefore, this approach is considered to be more safe than gene drives.

The authors had made a version of this pgSIT in their 2021 paper where they targeted * β -Tubulin 85D*, which is only expressed in the male testes and its loss-of-function results in male sterility. In that pgSIT, they did not have female lethality, but generated flightless females by simultaneously targeted *myosin heavy chain*, which is expressed only in the female wings. Here the authors argue, that the survival of females is not ideal, and so modify their 2021 approach to achieve female lethality/sterility.

To do this, they target two genes - the female specific isoform of Dsx and intersex. They use multiple gRNAs against these genes and validate their ability to cause female lethality/sterility. Having verified that these do indeed affect female fertility, they combine gRNAs against Dsx and ix to generate female lethality/sterility and use * β -Tubulin 85D* to generate male sterility (previously validated). When these gRNA mosquitoes are crossed to Cas9 and the progeny crossed to WT (the set-up for pgSIT), they find that very few eggs are laid, larval death is high, and what emerges are males or intersex progeny that are sterile.

As this is the requirement for pgSIT, the authors then test if it is able to induce population suppression. To do this, they conduct cage trials and find that only when they use 20:1 or 40:1 ratio of pgSIT:WT cages, does the population crash in 4-5 generations. They model this pgSIT's ability to suppress a population in the wild. Unfortunately, I was not able to assess what parameters from their pgSIT were used in the model and therefore the predicted efficacy of their pgSIT, (though the range of 0-.1 is not great, given that the assessment is between 0-0.15).

Finally, they also develop a SENSR with a rapid fluorescence read-out for detecting the transgene in the field. They show that this sensor is specific and sensitive, detecting low copy numbers of the transgene. This would be important for monitoring any release.

Overall, the data are clear and well presented.

Comments on revised version:

The authors have addressed the major issues raised by reviewers related to off target effects, writing and figures, and comparisons with other vector control methods and claims made in passing.

- <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.90199.2.sa2>

Reviewer #2 (Public Review):

This is a thorough and convincing body of work that represents an incremental but significant improvement on iterations of this method of CRISPR-based Sterile Insect Technique ('pgSIT'). In this version, compared to previous, the authors target more genes than previously, in order to induce both female inviability (targeting the genes *intersex* and *doublesex*, compared to *fem-myo* previously) and male sterility (targeting a *beta-tubulin*, as previously in the release generation).

The characterization of the lines is extensive and this data will be useful to the field. However, what is lacking is some context as to how this formulation compares to the previous iteration. Mention is made of the possible advantage of removing most females, compared to just making them flightless (as previously) but there is no direct comparison, either experimental, or theoretical i.e. imputing the life history traits into a model. For me this is a weakness, yet easily addressed. In a similar vein, much is made in alluding to the 'safety concerns of gene drive' and how this is a more palatable half-way house, just because it has CRISPR component within it; it is not. It would be much more sensible, and more informative, to compare this pgSIT technology to RIDL (release of insects carrying a dominant lethal), which is essentially a transgene-based version of the Sterile Insect Technique, as is the work presented here.

The authors achieve impressive results and show that these strains, under a scenario of high levels of release ratios compared to WT, could achieve significant local suppression of mosquito populations. The sensitivity analysis that examines the effect of changing different biological or release parameters is well performed and very informative.

The authors are honest in acknowledging that there are still challenges in bringing this to field release, namely in developing sexing strains and optimizing release strategies.

- <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.90199.2.sa1>

Reviewer #3 (Public Review):

The manuscript by Li et al. presents an elegant application of sterile insect technology (pgSIT) utilizing a CRISPR-Cas9 system to suppress mosquito vector populations. The pgSIT technique outlined in this paper employs a binary system where Cas9 and gRNA are conjoined in experimental crosses to yield sterile male mosquitoes. Employing a multiplexed strategy, the authors combine multiple gRNA to concurrently target various genes within a single locus. This approach successfully showcases the disruption of three distinct genes at different genomic positions, resulting in the creation of highly effective sterile mosquitoes for population control. The pioneering work of the Akbari lab has been instrumental in developing this technology, previously demonstrating its efficacy in *Drosophila* and *Aedes aegypti*.

By targeting the female-specific splice isoform (exon-5) of doublesex in conjunction with intersex and β -tubulin, the researchers induce female lethality, leading to a predominance of sterile male mosquitoes. This innovation is particularly noteworthy as the deployment of sterile mosquitoes on a large scale typically requires substantial investment in sex sorting. However, this study circumvents this challenge through genetic manipulation.

- <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.90199.2.sa0>

Author Response

The following is the authors' response to the original reviews.

This important paper builds on a method, previously conceptualized and validated, of genetic control for insect populations. The method, called pgSIT, uses integrated CRISPR-Cas9 based constructs to generate, in certain combinations of genotypes, mutations that cause both male sterility and female inviability. Release of such genotypes in sufficiently large numbers can lead to an inundation of a local insect population with sterile males and this can lead to localised population suppression, which represents an important method of control for problematic insect populations. The data are convincing and will be valuable to anyone working on vector control strategies.

Public Reviews:

Reviewer #1 (Public Review):

Precision guided sterile insect technology (pgSIT) is a means of mosquito vector control that aims to simultaneously kill females while generating sterile males for field release. These sterile males are expected to mate with 'wild' females resulting in very few eggs being laid or low hatching rates. Repeated releases are expected to result in the suppression of the mosquito population. This method avoids cumbersome sex-sorting while generating the sterile males. Importantly, until release, the two genetic elements that bring about female lethality and male sterility - the Cas9 and the gRNA carrying mosquitoes - are maintained as separate lines. They are crossed only prior to release, and therefore, this approach is considered to be more safe than gene drives.

The authors had made a version of this pgSIT in their 2021 paper where they targeted β -Tubulin 85D, which is only expressed in the male testes and its loss-of-function results in male sterility. In that pgSIT, they did not have female lethality, but generated flightless females by simultaneously targeted myosin heavy chain, which is expressed only in the female wings. Here the authors argue, that the survival of females is not ideal, and so modify their 2021 approach to achieve female lethality/sterility.

To do this, they target two genes - the female specific isoform of Dsx and intersex. They use multiple gRNAs against these genes and validate their ability to cause female lethality/sterility. Having verified that these do indeed affect female fertility, they combine gRNAs against Dsx and ix to generate female lethality/sterility and use β -Tubulin 85D to generate male sterility (previously validated). When these gRNA mosquitoes are crossed to Cas9 and the progeny crossed to WT (the set-up for pgSIT), they find that very few eggs are laid, larval death is high, and what emerges are males or intersex progeny that are sterile.

As this is the requirement for pgSIT, the authors then test if it is able to induce population suppression. To do this, they conduct cage trials and find that only when they use 20:1 or

eLife assessment

This important paper builds on a method, previously conceptualized and validated, of genetic control for insect populations. The method, called pgSIT, uses integrated CRISPR-Cas9 based constructs to generate, in certain combinations of genotypes, mutations that cause both male sterility and female inviability. Release of such genotypes in sufficiently large numbers can lead to an inundation of a local insect population with sterile males and this can lead to localised population suppression, which represents an important method of control for problematic insect populations. The data are convincing and will be valuable to anyone working on vector control strategies.

Public Reviews:

Reviewer #1 (Public Review):

Precision guided sterile insect technology (pgSIT) is a means of mosquito vector control that aims to simultaneously kill females while generating sterile males for field release. These sterile males are expected to mate with 'wild' females resulting in very few eggs being laid or low hatching rates. Repeated releases are expected to result in the suppression of the mosquito population. This method avoids cumbersome sex-sorting while generating the sterile males. Importantly, until release, the two genetic elements that bring about female lethality and male sterility - the Cas9 and the gRNA carrying mosquitoes - are maintained as separate lines. They are crossed only prior to release, and therefore, this approach is considered to be more safe than gene drives.

The authors had made a version of this pgSIT in their 2021 paper where they targeted β -Tubulin 85D, which is only expressed in the male testes and its loss-of-function results in male sterility. In that pgSIT, they did not have female lethality, but generated flightless females by simultaneously targeted myosin heavy chain, which is expressed only in the female wings. Here the authors argue, that the survival of females is not ideal, and so modify their 2021 approach to achieve female lethality/sterility.

To do this, they target two genes - the female specific isoform of Dsx and intersex. They use multiple gRNAs against these genes and validate their ability to cause female lethality/sterility. Having verified that these do indeed affect female fertility, they combine gRNAs against Dsx and ix to generate female lethality/sterility and use β -Tubulin 85D to generate male sterility (previously validated). When these gRNA mosquitoes are crossed to Cas9 and the progeny crossed to WT (the set-up for pgSIT), they find that very few eggs are laid, larval death is high, and what emerges are males or intersex progeny that are sterile.

As this is the requirement for pgSIT, the authors then test if it is able to induce population suppression. To do this, they conduct cage trials and find that only when they use 20:1 or 40:1 ratio of pgSIT:WT cages, does the population crash in 4-5 generations. They model this pgSIT's ability to suppress a population in the wild. Unfortunately, I was not able to assess what parameters from their pgSIT were used in the model and therefore the predicted efficacy of their pgSIT, (though the range of 0-0.1 is not great, given that the assessment is between 0-0.15).

We express our sincere appreciation for the valuable comments received. A wide range of ♀ viability and ♂ fertility values were explored in the model. The results determined that: "Achieving a $\geq 90\%$ probability of elimination places slightly tighter restrictions on ♀ viability and ♂ fertility - a safe ballpark being ♀ viability and ♂ fertility both in the range 0-0.10, given a release scheme of ~ 26 releases of 250 pgSIT eggs per wild adult (Fig. 4B). These results suggest a target product profile for pgSIT to be ♀ viability and ♂ fertility both in the range 0-

0.10.” A subsequent sentence has been added pointing out how the described pgSIT strain falls within this range: “The pgSIT strain described here falls well within these bounds, with ♀ viability of 0 and ♂ fertility of ~0.01.” The parameters of the described pgSIT strain are also listed throughout the paper and quoted here: “Cas9 in combination with gRNAdsx,ix,βTub induces either the lethality or transformation of pgSIT ♀’s into sterile unfit ♂’s.” And: “Firstly, we determined that pgSIT males were not 100% sterile, with an estimated ~1% still producing some progeny.”

Finally, they also develop a SENSR with a rapid fluorescence read-out for detecting the transgene in the field. They show that this sensor is specific and sensitive, detecting low copy numbers of the transgene. This would be important for monitoring any release.

Overall, the data are clear and well presented. The manuscript is well written (albeit likely dense for the uninitiated!). I had concerns about the efficacy of generating the pgSIT animals - the overall number of eggs hatched from the gRNA (X) Cas9 cross appears to be low, therefore, very large numbers of parental animals would have to be reared and crossed to obtain enough sterile males for the SIT. In addition to this, I was concerned about the intersex progeny that can blood-feed. These could potentially contribute to the population and it would be useful to see the data that suggest that these numbers are low and the animals will not be competent in the field.

Reviewer #2 (Public Review):

This is a thorough and convincing body of work that represents an incremental but significant improvement on iterations of this method of CRISPR-based Sterile Insect Technique ('pgSIT'). In this version, compared to previous, the authors target more genes than previously, in order to induce both female inviability (targeting the genes intersex and doublesex, compared to fem-myo previously) and male sterility (targeting a beta-tubulin, as previously in the release generation. The characterization of the lines is extensive and this data will be useful to the field. However, what is lacking is some context as to how this formulation compares to the previous iteration. Mention is made of the possible advantage of removing most females, compared to just making them flightless (as previously) but there is no direct comparison, either experimental, or theoretical i.e. imputing the life history traits into a model. For me this is a weakness, yet easily addressed. In a similar vein, much is made in alluding to the 'safety concerns of gene drive' and how this is a more palatable half-way house, just because it has CRISPR component within it; it is not. It would be much more sensible, and more informative, to compare this pgSIT technology to RIDL (release of insects carrying a dominant lethal), which is essentially a transgene-based version of the Sterile Insect Technique, as is the work presented here.

We express our sincere appreciation for the valuable comments received. A wide range of ♀ viability and ♂ fertility values were explored in the model. Given the intricate nature of this study and taking into account the recommendations provided by multiple reviewers and the editor, we have eliminated superfluous comparisons among various methodologies.

The authors achieve impressive results and show that these strains, under a scenario of high levels of release ratios compared to WT, could achieve significant local suppression of mosquito populations. The sensitivity analysis that examines the effect of changing different biological or release parameters is well performed and very informative.

The authors are honest in acknowledging that there are still challenges in bringing this to field release, namely in developing sexing strains and optimizing release strategies - a question I have here is how to actually release eggs, and could variability in the efficiency

of this aspect be modelled in the sensitivity analysis? It seems to me like this could be a challenge and inherently very variable.

We really appreciate comments. Several approaches are available to release eggs - either in pre-existing breeding sites in the field, or in artificial breeding sites (e.g., cups). We have added a sentence in the Discussion section to highlight that this is an area requiring further research: “Secondly, studies are required to determine the survival and mating competitiveness of released pgSIT males under field conditions, and to optimize their release protocol.” Regarding the efficiency of egg releases, the following sentence in the modeling results section has been added: “We assume released eggs have the same survival probability as wild-laid eggs; however if released eggs do have higher mortality, this would be equivalent to considering a smaller release.” As stated in the modeling results (and depicted in Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 5): “Suppression outcomes were found to be most sensitive to release schedule parameters (number, size and interval of releases), ♂ fertility and ♀ viability.” It follows that suppression outcomes are equivalently sensitive to the efficiency of an egg release.

Reviewer #3 (Public Review):

Summary and Strengths:

*The manuscript by Li et al. presents an elegant application of sterile insect technology (pgSIT) utilizing a CRISPR-Cas9 system to suppress mosquito vector populations. The pgSIT technique outlined in this paper employs a binary system where Cas9 and gRNA are conjoined in experimental crosses to yield sterile male mosquitoes. Employing a multiplexed strategy, the authors combine multiple gRNA to concurrently target various genes within a single locus. This approach successfully showcases the disruption of three distinct genes at different genomic positions, resulting in the creation of highly effective sterile mosquitoes for population control. The pioneering work of the Akbari lab has been instrumental in developing this technology, previously demonstrating its efficacy in *Drosophila* and *Aedes aegypti*. By targeting the female-specific splice isoform (exon-5) of doublesex in conjunction with intersex and β -tubulin, the researchers induce female lethality, leading to a predominance of sterile male mosquitoes. This innovation is particularly noteworthy as the deployment of sterile mosquitoes on a large scale typically requires substantial investment in sex sorting. However, this study circumvents this challenge through genetic manipulation.*

Weaknesses:

One notable concern arising from this manuscript pertains to the absence of data regarding the potential off-target effects of the gRNA. Given the utilization of multiple gRNA, the risk of unintended mutations in non-target areas of the genome increases. With around 1% of males still capable of producing fertile offspring, understanding the frequency of unintended genome targeting becomes crucial. Such mutations could potentially become fixed within the natural population.

We express our sincere appreciation for the valuable comments received and fully agree with the reviewer regarding the importance of understanding the frequency of unintended genome targeting. However, the likelihood of off-target effects becoming fixed within the population is exceedingly low. To mitigate potential negative impacts, we employed CHOPCHOP V3.0.0 (<https://chopchop.cbu.uib.no>) for the selection of gRNAs, which will specifically minimize the occurrence of genomic off-target cleavage events. Furthermore, our releasing process will be carried out in multiple rounds. In the event that an undesired mutant is introduced into the local population, the mutated gene will either be quickly

eradicated through subsequent rounds of releases or be naturally eliminated through the process of natural selection over time.

The experiments are well-conceived, featuring suitable controls and repeated trials to yield statistically significant data. However, a primary issue with the manuscript lies in its data presentation. The authors' graphical representations are intricate and demand considerable attention to discern the nuances, especially due to the striking similarity between the symbols representing different genotypes. As it stands, the manuscript primarily caters to experts within the field, thereby warranting improvements in data visualization for broader comprehension.

We appreciate the comment. However, as this work is indeed complex and intricate and as there is limitations imposed by the publisher on data visualizations (i.e. number of figures in the main text, etc.) we have tried our best for presenting our data in full.

All three reviewers were appreciative of the work presented in this manuscript. There were some common concerns that we shared, that the authors could consider revising. They are listed below.

Essential revisions:

- 1. Formal comparison with the previous/other methods: The authors make many statements that compare this pgSIT with their previous method, gene drives, or with RIDL. We suggest that they focus their comparisons within the scope of data and avoid comparisons between RIDL, gene drive, and pgSIT that are based on perceptions of these methods. It would be useful if, for example, they could impute life history traits and demonstrate this pgSIT's efficacy over their previous versions.*

We express our sincere appreciation for the valuable comments received. We have removed the unnecessary comparisons between different methods, please review the revised version.

- 1. Writing and presentation of figures: The authors should please take advantage of the eLife format and unpack each sentence/figure so that it's accessible to readers outside this field.*

We appreciate your comment, and we have implemented some necessary changes based on your suggestions.

- 1. Data to support claims made in passing: There are many instances, such as detailed in the reviews (and the entire second paragraph in the discussion) that are not supported by data. The authors should either provide that data or not make these claims.*

Thank you for the comment. We have removed these claims.

- 1. Off target effects: There is the formal possibility that off target effects that might get fixed in the population. Could the authors please address this in the discussion.*

We appreciate the comment and fully agree with the reviewer regarding the importance of understanding the frequency of unintended genome targeting. However, the likelihood of off-target effects becoming fixed within the population is exceedingly low. We have address this in the discussion.

“Even though mutations could potentially become fixed within the natural population, the likelihood of off-target effects becoming fixed within the population is exceedingly low. To mitigate potential negative impacts, we employed CHOPCHOP V3.0.0 (<https://chopchop.cbu.uib.no>) for the selection of gRNAs, specifically to minimize the occurrence of genomic off-target cleavage events. Furthermore, our releasing process will be carried out in multiple rounds. Even in the event that an undesired mutant is introduced into the local population, it will either be completely eradicated through subsequent rounds of releases or be naturally eliminated through the process of natural selection over time.”

Aside from this, we ask that the authors please pay attention to the detailed reviews.

Reviewer #1 (Recommendations For The Authors):

The writing: Each sentence is packed with information and while this is fine for those immersed in the field, it might be dense for those who are not. There are a lot of nuances in such an approach and clearly laying it out for the reader is important. The authors should unpack some of these sentences to make their work more accessible.

Thank you for the comment. We have unpacked some of sentences, please review the revised version.

It will help to have a schematic linked to the introduction about how these mosquitoes are designed to be used. Which strains would be scaled up in the lab, which ones (and what stage) could be released, and in which animal/generation they expect sterility or lethality. This would be useful while interpreting the schematics of the genetic crosses in the rest of the figures (1B, 2B). Li et al 2021 has something to this effect. I say this particularly because in the text, 'pgSIT' is used to refer to both the lab stocks and the F1s.

We really appreciate the suggestion to incorporate a schematic into the introduction to clarify the intended use of these mosquitoes. Taking into account all the suggestions, we would like to keep textual descriptions and context provided within the manuscript, which, together with Figures 1B and 2B, illustrate our intentions. Nevertheless, we value your input and have taken other feedback into account to improve the overall quality of the content.

Because Figure 1A depicts all the gRNAs I thought that's what they were testing in the first results section. But the legends seems to suggest that the individual gRNAs have been tested. Such issues will be sorted with attention to the writing. It would also be nice to have Figure 2A here.

We apologize for any misunderstanding. Figure 1A displays two gRNA constructs: one for dsx (comprising 4 gRNAs) and another for ix (with 2 gRNAs). All of these gRNAs were tested in the initial results section. Subsequently, we engineered the final gRNA construct, denoted as gRNA_{dsx,ix,βTub}, which combines the effective gRNAs described earlier (3 targeting dsx and 1 targeting ix, as illustrated in Supplementary Figure 2).

It wasn't clear to me how egg laying percentages were calculated or what it means.

We appreciate your comment. Female fecundity depends on the egg output (egg laying percentage) and the egg hatching rate, since insect female can lay unfertilized eggs that does not hatch. Egg laying percentages were calculated by dividing the numbers of laid eggs by a test female group by that of the control female group that laid the highest egg number. This procedure is called normalization and enable relative comparison of laid egg number.

How is hatching at times more than laying?

When a female group laid a small egg number but the high percentage of those eggs hatched.

Calling something 'intersex': The authors are assessing intersex by malformed genitalia, maxillary palps and ovaries. But the genitalia defects in Fig1D were not clear to me. Can the authors show better images? While the MP and ovary phenotypes were clear, it would be nice to see these quantified - what proportion of the females have each/some/all of these phenotypes? It would be nice to see this quantified. (They have some of this in the supplementary table).

We express our gratitude for the comment received and acknowledge the issue regarding the clarity of the images. It is important to note that these photographs represent the highest level of clarity achieved thus far. We value your interest in the quantification of the observed phenotypes. However, due to certain constraints, we were unable to quantify the proportions for all the females, and we did not retain all the samples needed for this specific quantification.

It's interesting that 50% of the intersex don't blood-feed - is this because they do not have appropriately formed stylets? It would be important to quantify the number of hatchable eggs. This is particularly important in the context of field application and should ideally be included in the mathematical modelling. In the discussion, the authors mention that they are not able to host-seek and a variety of other behaviours - these data should be presented as it would be important for assessing the efficacy of the pgSIT.

Thank you for the comment. We did not find the mutant stylets from these intersex mosquitoes. We agree with the reviewer that the number of hatchable eggs is particularly important in the context of field application. Indeed, the number of hatchable eggs is what was considered in the mathematical modeling. We did a blood feed assay (small cage and big cage) for host seeking behavior. Data were presented in Supplementary Table 5.

At the end of the first results section, the authors state, "Taken together, these findings reveal that ♀-specific lethality and/or ♂..." But I don't see data that show female-specific lethality until Figure 2C.

Thank you for pointing out this. In order to describe our results clearly, we have deleted “♀-specific lethality and/or”

In the combined gRNA mosquito (the pgSIT), they find that the cross between the gRNA and Cas9 results in very few eggs being laid, high larval death, and what emerges are males. This suggests that it would be a poor pgSIT, right? You'd have to set up huge crosses to get enough males emerging in the wild to mate with WT females to bring about population suppression. Could the authors comment on this?

We appreciate the comment. Even in the presence of imperfections, such as reduced egg production resulting from the gRNA and Cas9 cross and the necessity of extensive mating to obtain an adequate number of males, population suppression is very promising with the pgSIT, both in terms of the potential to eliminate a mosquito population, or to suppress it to an extent that would largely interrupt disease transmission. It's worth noting that our current efforts serve as a validation of the system before its potential large-scale application, because we have demonstrated that removing females by disrupting sex determinate genes is possible with pgSIT, which can inform the development of such systems in other species in the future.

If I'm reading Figure 2C right, the authors have combined the results from two types of crosses in the last two plots: 1) the Cas9 (X) gRNA mosquitoes and 2) the progeny from

these crossed to WT. This is not ideal. I would suggest the authors unpack the text around this data and plot it separately.

We really appreciate the comment here, the panel 2C depicts the phenotypic data of the F1 progeny generated by the cross of the parents indicated below the X axis: egg-to-adult survival, larval death, sex ratios, and fertility. The fertility of F1 progeny is the major phenotypic feature for the project. To assess the fertility of the surviving F1 progeny, we had to cross the F1 females and males to WT males and females, respectively and assess the hatching rate of produced eggs before sacrificing emerged larvae and unhatched eggs. It's important to note that mosquito females can lay unfertilized eggs that fail to hatch.

The text around 2F needs to be more explanatory. There are lots of labels in the figure that are not referred to, making it difficult to follow the data.

We have gone through and expanded many of the figure legends and modified some figures to help make them more understandable.

The supplementary figure numbering is off.

We really appreciate the comment. The supplementary figure numbering have been fixed.

I cannot comment on Figure 4 as this is outside my expertise. However, I do feel that some attention to the writing might help make the approach more accessible to the invested advanced lay-person.

We appreciate the comment, and we re-wrote some of the sentences describing Figure 4.

Reviewer #2 (Recommendations For The Authors):

Line 49 'resistances' is a strange plural.

Corrected. Thank you so much!

the genitive, used with the sex symbols throughout, looks very weird e.g line 60, 66 etc. Also the intersex symbol, on my copy at least, just prints as a square

These have been fixed in the revised version. Thank you so much!

Line 74 syntax (...: the spread of...) seems off

Corrected. Thank you for pointing out this.

Line 80-81 "to address some of the challenges with gene drives, pgSIT also leverages...." this is a straw man/red herring argument, and simply does not follow. It is this element that I raised above in the public review. See also line 84 'gene drive safety concerns'.

Thank you, we have re-wrote the paragraph.

Line 128 "the induced phenotypes were especially strong in intersex individuals" - this is a curious statement since, if intersex, they are by definition already showing a strongly induced phenotype

We apologize for the lack of clarity and have updated the text, we have deleted “the induced phenotypes were especially strong in intersex individuals”, to be more explicit, now stating

“These gRNA^{dsx/+}; Cas9/+ ♀ exhibited multiple malformed morphological features, such as mutant maxillary palps, abnormal genitalia, and malformed ovaries”

The extent and completeness of the supplementary data is appreciated but there needs to be some statistical tests applied to back up statements like 'showed normal fertility' (line 138) or wind lengths 'were a bit larger'. None seem to have been applied.

We appreciate the comment. We've removed these sentences in the new version.

Supp Fig 4 - on left of panel C there is a small blue square at dsx locus that is unexplained. What is this?

Thank you for pointing this. It was a mistake, we have removed the small blue square from Sup Fig4.

Line 182 the reduction in flight activity in release genotype of pgSIT males - is it only those coming with the maternal source of Cas9 that are plotted (only pink dots)?

We appreciate the comment. pgSIT males, regardless of whether they originate from a maternal or paternal source of Cas9, exhibit a similar reduction in flight activity compared to wild-type (WT) males.

Figure 3A legend - I think there is a typo that says males were fed

Corrected. Thank you for pointing this out.

“♂’s” to “♀’s”

On the window of protection (WOP) plots (e.g. supp fig 12) what is the unit on Y-axis for WOP? It goes from 0-1, as if it were probability, but I was expecting some duration.

Thanks for the comment. The y-axis for WOP in Supp Fig 12 had been normalized unnecessarily. It has now been corrected to span from 0 to 5 years.

Fig 4B blue (line) on blue(shading) is impossible to decipher on my copy

Thank you for pointing this out. We have changed the colors of the traces (population dynamics), made the window of protection line thicker, and have made the shading less opaque to make the population dynamics in this figure clearer.

Line 250 and 252: supp Fig 13 (not 12)

Corrected. Thank you for pointing this out.

Line 279 "potentially a more widespread effect of sex determination genes than previously expected" - I simply don't see how this is so, or why there is the need to make such a claim. Dsx is known to underpin almost of somatic determination of sex-specific morphologies, in a range of insects.

We appreciate the comment. We have delete the sentence:

“Taken together, these observations indicate a potentially more widespread effect of sex determination genes than previously expected, though regardless.”

Line 320 "We would expect pgSIT to be regulated similarly to Oxitec's RIDL" because they are similar, which goes to my main point above about more appropriate context, and this warrants some direct attention to a comparison of the efficacy.

We appreciate the comment. We have delete these sentences:

“We would expect pgSIT to be regulated similarly to Oxitec's RIDL technology (Spinner et al., 2022), which has already been successfully deployed in numerous locations, including the United States.”

Was there a minimal performance advantage with strain #1 with the triple locus g-RNA suite, over the other two strains? Am just curious as to why one was chosen over the other

We appreciate the comment. There was no performance advantage with the strain #1 over the other two strains.