

A computational model predicts sex-specific responses to calcium channel blockers in mesenteric vascular smooth muscle

Reviewed Preprint

Published from the original preprint after peer review and assessment by eLife.

About eLife's process

Reviewed preprint posted

September 12, 2023 (this version)

Sent for peer review

July 18, 2023

Posted to bioRxiv

June 26, 2023

Gonzalo Hernandez-Hernandez, Samantha C. O'Dwyer, Collin Matsumoto, Mindy Tieu, Zhihui Fong, Pei-Chi Yang, Timothy J. Lewis, L. Fernando Santana , Colleen E. Clancy 

Department of Physiology & Membrane Biology • Department of Mathematics, University of California, Davis, California, 95616 • Center for Precision Medicine and Data Science, University of California School of Medicine, Davis, California, 95616

 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open_access

 <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Abstract

The function of the smooth muscle cells lining the walls of systemic arteries and arterioles is to regulate the diameter of the vessels to control blood flow and blood pressure. Here, we describe an *in silico* model, which we call the “Hernandez-Hernandez model”, of electrical and Ca^{2+} signaling in arterial myocytes based on new experimental data indicating sex-specific differences in male and female arterial myocytes from resistance arteries. The model suggests the fundamental ionic mechanisms underlying membrane potential and intracellular Ca^{2+} signaling during the development of myogenic tone in arterial blood vessels. Although experimental data suggest that $\text{K}_v1.5$ channel currents have similar amplitudes, kinetics, and voltage dependencies in male and female myocytes, simulations suggest that $\text{K}_v1.5$ current is the dominant current regulating membrane potential in male myocytes. In female cells, which have larger $\text{K}_v2.1$ channel expression and longer time constants for activation than male myocytes, predictions from simulated female myocytes suggest that $\text{K}_v2.1$ plays a primary role in the control of membrane potential. Over the physiological range of membrane potentials, the gating of a small number of voltage-gated K^+ channels and L-type Ca^{2+} channels are predicted to drive sex-specific differences in intracellular Ca^{2+} and excitability. We also show that in an idealized computational model of a vessel, female arterial smooth muscle exhibits heightened sensitivity to commonly used Ca^{2+} channel blockers compared to male. In summary, we present a new model framework to investigate the potential sex-specific impact of anti-hypertensive drugs.

eLife assessment

This **important** study combines experiments and theory to develop a sex-based in silico model of arterial myocytes. The ionic currents of the model and its sex difference based on experimental data and comparisons of model properties with experimental data at the single cell and tissue scale are **convincing**. If the rigor used to assess the model (specifically the simplicity of the 1D vessel model) was improved, and there was an addition of quantitative analysis of the data examining similarity between male/female experimental/simulation, the paper would be improved.

Introduction

Our primary objective was to develop and implement a novel computational model that comprehensively describes the essential mechanisms underlying electrical activity and Ca^{2+} dynamics in arterial myocytes. We aimed to uncover the key components that were necessary and sufficient to fully understand the behavior of arterial vascular smooth muscle myocytes and the cellular response to variations in pressure. The model represents the first-ever integration of sex-specific variations in voltage-gated $\text{K}_v2.1$ and $\text{Ca}_v1.2$ channels, enabling the prediction of sex-specific disparities in membrane potential and the regulation of Ca^{2+} signaling in smooth muscle cells from systemic arteries. To further investigate sex-specific responses to antihypertensive medications, we extended our investigation to include a one-dimensional (1D) representation of tissue. This approach enabled us to simulate and forecast the effects of Ca^{2+} channel blockers within the controlled environment of an idealized mesenteric vessel. It is worth noting that this computational framework can be expanded to predict the consequences of antihypertensive drugs and other perturbations, transitioning seamlessly from single-cell to tissue-level simulations.

Previous mathematical models^{1,2,3,4} of vascular smooth muscle myocytes generated to describe the membrane potential and Ca^{2+} signaling in vascular smooth muscle cells have described the activation of G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) by endogenous or pharmacological vasoactive agents activating inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP_3) and ryanodine (RyR) receptors resulting in the initiation of calcium waves. Earlier models have provided insights into the contraction activation by agonists and the behavior of vasomotion. In a major step forward, the Karlin model⁵ incorporated new cell structure data and electrophysiology experimental data in a computational model that predicted the essential behavior of membrane potential and Ca^{2+} signaling arising from intracellular domains found in arterial myocytes. One notable limitation of earlier models is that they are based entirely on data from male animals. Furthermore, many data used to parameterize the Karlin model were obtained from smooth muscle from cerebral arteries. While cerebral arteries are important for brain blood flow, they do not control systemic blood pressure. Furthermore, they do not take into consideration the role of $\text{K}_v2.1$ channels in the regulation of smooth muscle cell membrane potential.

The function of the smooth muscle cells that wrap around small arteries is to regulate the diameter of these vessels. Arterial myocytes contract in response to increases in the intravascular pressure⁶. Based on work largely done using cerebral arterial smooth muscle, a model has been proposed in which this myogenic response is initiated when membrane stretch activates Na^+ -permeable canonical TRPC^{7,8} and melastatin-type TRPM^{4,9,10}. A recent study in smooth muscle from mesenteric arteries identified two additional TRP channels to the chain of events that link increases in intravascular pressure to arterial myocyte depolarization: TRPP1 (PKD1) and TRPP2 (PKD2) channels^{11,12}. Together, these studies point to an elaborate multiprotein complex that plays a critical role in sensing pressure and initiating the myogenic response by

inducing membrane depolarization and activating voltage-gated, dihydropyridine-sensitive L-type $\text{Ca}_v1.2$ Ca^{2+} channels^{13, 14}. Ca^{2+} entry via a single or small cluster of $\text{Ca}_v1.2$ channels produces a local increase in intracellular free Ca^{2+} ($[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$) called a “ $\text{Ca}_v1.2$ sparklet”^{15–18}. Activation of multiple $\text{Ca}_v1.2$ sparklets produces a global increase in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ that activates myosin light chain kinase, which initiates actin-myosin cross-bridge cycling and thus contraction¹⁹.

Negative feedback regulation of membrane depolarization and Ca^{2+} sparklet activation occurs through the activation of large-conductance, Ca^{2+} -activated K^+ (BK_{Ca}) channels as well as voltage-dependent $\text{K}_v2.1$ and $\text{K}_v1.5/1.2$ K^+ channels^{20–23}. BK_{Ca} channels are organized into clusters along the sarcolemma of arterial myocytes²⁴ and are activated by Ca^{2+} sparks resulting from the simultaneous opening of ryanodine receptors type 2 (RyR2) located in a specialized junctional sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR)^{22, 25–28}. Because the input resistance of arterial myocytes is high^{29, 30} (about 2–10 G Ω), even relatively small currents (10–30 pA) produced by the activation of a small cluster^{22, 31, 32} of 6–12 BK_{Ca} channels by a Ca^{2+} spark can transiently hyperpolarize the membrane potential of these cells by 10–30 mV. Accordingly, decreases in BK_{Ca} , $\text{K}_v1.2$, $\text{K}_v1.5$, and/or $\text{K}_v2.1$ channels depolarize arterial myocytes, increasing $\text{Ca}_v1.2$ channel activity, $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$, and contraction of arterial smooth muscle^{21, 33–36}.

A recent study by O'Dwyer *et al.*²⁰ suggested that $\text{K}_v2.1$ channels have dual conducting and structural roles in mesenteric artery smooth muscle with opposing functional consequences. Conductive $\text{K}_v2.1$ channels oppose vasoconstriction by inducing membrane hyperpolarization. Paradoxically, by promoting the structural clustering of the $\text{Ca}_v1.2$ channel, $\text{K}_v2.1$ enhances Ca^{2+} influx and induces vasoconstriction. Interestingly, $\text{K}_v2.1$ protein is expressed to a larger extent in female than in male arterial smooth muscle. This induced larger $\text{Ca}_v1.2$ clusters and activity in female than in male arterial myocytes.

Here, we describe a new model, which we call the “Hernandez-Hernandez model”, of mesenteric smooth muscle myocytes that incorporates new electrophysiological and Ca^{2+} signaling data suggesting key sex-specific differences in male and female arterial myocytes. The model simulates membrane currents and their impact on membrane potential as well as local and global $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ signaling in male and female myocytes. The Hernandez-Hernandez model predicts that $\text{K}_v2.1$ channels play a critical, unexpectedly large role in the control of membrane potential in female myocytes compared to male myocytes. Importantly, our model predicts that clinically used antihypertensive $\text{Ca}_v1.2$ channel blockers cause larger reductions in $\text{Ca}_v1.2$ currents in female than in male arterial myocytes.

Finally, we present a one-dimensional (1D) vessel representation of electrotonically coupled arterial myocytes connected in series. Predictions from the idealized vessel suggest that Ca^{2+} channel blockers are more potent in females resulting in a more substantial $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ reduction in female arterial smooth muscle compared to male. The Hernandez-Hernandez model demonstrates the importance of sex-specific differences in $\text{Ca}_v1.2$ and $\text{K}_v2.1$ channels and suggests the fundamental electrophysiological and Ca^{2+} -linked mechanisms of the myogenic tone. The model also points to testable hypotheses underlying differential sex-based pathogenesis of hypertension and distinct responses to antihypertensive agents.

Section 1. Experimental

1.1 Animals

We used 8-to 12-week-old male and female C57BL/6J (The Jackson Laboratory, Sacramento, CA) in this study. Animals were euthanized with a single lethal dose of sodium pentobarbital (250 mg/kg) intraperitoneally. All experiments were conducted as approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

1.2 Isolation of arterial myocytes from systemic resistance arterioles

Third and fourth-order mesenteric arteries were carefully cleaned of surrounding adipose and connective tissues, dissected, and held in ice-cold dissecting solution (Mg^{2+} -PSS; 5 mM KCl, 140 mM NaCl, 2mM MgCl_2 , 10 mM glucose, and 10 mM HEPES adjusted to pH 7.4 with NaOH). Arteries were first placed in dissecting solution supplemented with 1.23 mg/ml papain (Worthington Biochemical, Lakewood, NJ) and 1 mg/ml DTT for 14 minutes at 37°C. This was followed by a second 5-minute incubation in dissecting solution supplemented with 1.6 mg/ml collagenase H (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 0.5 mg/ml elastase (Worthington Biochemical, Lakewood, NJ), and 1 mg/ml trypsin inhibitor from *Glycine max* (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at 37°C. Arteries were rinsed three times with dissection solution and single cells were obtained by gentle trituration with a wide-bore glass pipette. Myocytes were maintained at 4°C in dissecting solution until used.

1.3 Patch-clamp electrophysiology

All electrophysiological recordings were acquired at room temperature (22–25°C) with an Axopatch 200B amplifier and Digidata 1440 digitizer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Borosilicate patch pipettes were pulled and polished to resistances of 3–6 M Ω for all experiments using a micropipette puller (model P-97, Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA). Voltage-gated Ca^{2+} currents (I_{Ca}) were measured using conventional whole-cell voltage-clamp sampled at a frequency of 50 kHz and low-pass filtered at 2 kHz. Arterial myocytes were continuously perfused with 115 mM NaCl, 10 mM TEA-Cl, 0.5 mM MgCl_2 , 5.5 mM glucose, 5 mM CsCl, 20 mM CaCl_2 , and 10 mM HEPES, adjusted to pH 7.4 with CsOH. Micropipettes were filled with an internal solution containing 20 mM CsCl, 87 mM Aspartic acid, 1 mM MgCl_2 , 10 mM HEPES, 5 mM MgATP, and 10 mM EGTA adjusted to pH 7.2 using CsOH. Current-voltage relationships were obtained by exposing cells to a series of 300 ms depolarizing pulses from a holding potential of -70 mV to test potentials ranging from -70 to +60 mV. A voltage error of 9.4 mV due to the liquid junction potential of the recording solutions was corrected offline. Voltage dependence of Ca^{2+} channel activation (G/G_{max}) was obtained from the resultant currents by converting them to conductance via the equation $G = I_{\text{Ca}}/(\text{test potential} - \text{reversal potential of } I_{\text{Ca}})$; normalized G/G_{max} was plotted as a function of test potential. Time constants of activation and inactivation of I_{Ca} were fitted with a single exponential function.

I_{Kv} recordings were performed in the whole-cell configuration with myocytes exposed to an external solution containing 130 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl_2 , 10 mM Glucose, and 10 mM HEPES adjusted to 7.4 using NaOH. The internal pipette solution constituted of 87 mM K-Aspartate, 20 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl_2 , 1 mM MgCl_2 , 5 mM MgATP, 10 mM EGTA, and 10 mM HEPES adjusted to 7.2 by KOH. A resultant liquid junction potential of 12.7 mV from these solutions was corrected offline. To obtain current-voltage relationships cells were subjected to a series of 500 ms test pulses increasing from -70 to +70 mV. To isolate the different K^+ channels attributed to composite I_{K} , cells were first bathed in external I_{K} solution, subsequently exposed to 100 nM Iberitoxin (Alomone, Jerusalem, Israel) to eliminate any BK_{Ca} channel activity and finally immersed in an external solution containing both 100 nM Iberitoxin and 100 nM Stromatoxin (Alomone,

Jerusalem, Israel) to block both BK_{Ca} and $K_{V2.1}$ activity. Ionic current was converted to conductance via the equation $G = I/(V - E_K)$. E_K was calculated to be -78 mV. Activation time constants for $K_{V2.1}$ currents were obtained by fitting the rising phase of these currents with a single exponential function.

BK_{Ca} -mediated spontaneous transient outward currents (STOCs) and membrane potential were recorded using the perforated whole-cell configuration. To measure both, myocytes were continuously exposed to a bath solution consisting of 130 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM glucose, and 10 mM HEPES, pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. Pipettes were filled with an internal solution containing 110 mM K-aspartate, 30 mM KCl, 10 mM NaCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5 mM EGTA, and 10 mM HEPES adjusted to a pH of 7.3 with KOH. The internal solution was supplemented with 250 μ g/ml amphotericin B (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). STOCs were measured in the voltage-clamp mode and were analyzed with the threshold detection algorithm in Clampfit 10 (Axon Instruments, Inc). Membrane potential was measured using the current clamp mode.

STOCs were recorded using the perforated whole-cell configuration. The composition of the external bath solution consisted of 134 mM NaCl, 6 mM KCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 10 mM glucose, and 10 mM HEPES adjusted to a pH of 7.4 using NaOH. Pipettes were filled with an internal solution of 110 mM K-aspartate, 10 mM NaCl, 30 mM KCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 160 μ g/ml amphotericin B, and 10 mM HEPES using NaOH to adjust to pH to 7.2. Myocytes were sustained at a holding potential of -70 mV before being exposed to a 400 ms ramp protocol from -140 to +60 mV. A voltage error of 12.8 mV resulting from the liquid junction potential was corrected for offline. K_{IR} channels were blocked using 100 μ M Ba^{2+} .

Section 2. Mathematical Model

2.1 Cell size and structure

The mean capacitance of the cells was experimentally calculated to be 16 ± 3 pF based on all the male and female WT mesenteric C57BL/6J cells utilized in the experiments (N=45). Assuming the cells are roughly cylindrical in shape, the expected radius should be 2.485 μ m and a length of 100 μ m leading to a surface area of 1.6×10^{-5} cm² and a total volume of approximately 1.94×10^{-12} liters. The cell capacitance of excitable membranes is assumed to be 1.0×10^{-6} F/cm², with the calculated surface area the estimated total cell capacitance is $C_m = 16$ pF.

Because the total cell volume is roughly 2×10^{-12} liters, it is assumed that 50% of the total cell volume is occupied by organelles. There are three main compartments in vascular myocytes important to the regulation of membrane potential and calcium signaling; the cytosol, sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR), and specialized junctional domains formed by the SR and the plasma membrane. The cytosol occupies approximately 50% of total cell volume ($V_{cyt} = 1.0 \times 10^{-12}$ L). The sarcoplasmic reticulum occupies approximately 5% of cell cytosolic volume ($V_{SR} = 5.0 \times 10^{-14}$ L), and the junctional domain volume is approximately 1% of the cytosol volume ($V_{Jun} = 0.5 \times 10^{-14}$ L).

2.2 Model development

The male and female *in silico* models are single whole-cell models based on the electrophysiology of isolated mesenteric vascular smooth muscle myocytes. A schematic of the proposed model is shown in **Figure 1**. The membrane electrophysiology can be described by the differential equation:

$$\text{Eq. 1} \quad \frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{-I_{ion}}{C_m}$$

Where V is voltage, t is time, C_m is membrane capacitance I_{ion} is the sum of transmembrane currents. The contribution of each transmembrane current to the total transmembrane ionic current can be described by the following equation:

$$\text{Eq. 2.} \quad I_{ion} = (I_{Kv1.5} + I_{Kv2.1} + I_{BKCa} + I_{K,b} + I_{Cav1.2} + I_{PMCA} + I_{Ca,b} + I_{NCX} + I_{NSC} + I_{NaK} + I_{Na,b})$$

The eleven transmembrane currents are generated by ion channels, pumps, and transporters. Currents from ion channels include the voltage-gated L-type calcium current (I_{Ca}), the nonselective cation current (I_{NSC}), voltage-gated potassium currents ($I_{Kv1.5}$ and $I_{Kv2.1}$), and the large-conductance Ca^{2+} -sensitive potassium current (I_{BKCa}). Additionally, there are three background or leak currents ($I_{K,b}$, $I_{Ca,b}$, and $I_{Na,b}$). Currents from pumps and transporters include the sodium-potassium pump current (I_{NaK}), plasma membrane Ca-ATPase transport current (I_{PMCA}), and sodium-calcium exchanger current (I_{NCX}).

Cytosolic concentrations of sodium and potassium as a function of time are determined by considering the sum of their respective fluxes into the cytosol.

$$\text{Eq. 3} \quad \frac{d[K^+]_{cyt}}{dt} = - \frac{(I_{Kv2.1} + I_{Kv1.5} + I_{BKCa} + I_{K,b} - 2I_{NaK} + I_{NSC} - K)}{z_K Vol_{cyt} F}$$

$$\text{Eq. 4} \quad \frac{d[Na^+]_{cyt}}{dt} = - \frac{(3I_{NCX} + 3I_{NaK} + I_{Na,b} + I_{NSC} - Na)}{z_{Na} Vol_{cyt} F}$$

Where F is the Faraday's constant, Vol_{cyt} is the cytoplasmic volume and z_K and z_{Na} are the valence of potassium and sodium ions, respectively.

The calcium dynamics is compartmentalized into three distinct regions; cytosol $[Ca^{2+}]_i$, the sarcoplasmic reticulum $[Ca^{2+}]_{SR}$, and the junctional region $[Ca^{2+}]_{jun}$. The cytosol includes a calcium buffer which we assume can be described as a first-order dynamics process.

$$\text{Eq. 5} \quad \frac{d[Ca^{2+}]_i}{dt} = - \frac{(I_{NCX} + I_{Cav1.2} + I_{Ca,b} - 2I_{PMCA})}{z_{Ca} F V_{Cyt}} - J_{SERCA} + J_{jun-cyt} - (k_{BUF_{on}} * Ca_i * (BUF_T - BUF_{CAM}) - k_{BUF_{off}} * BUF_{CAM})$$

Cytosolic calcium region ($[Ca^{2+}]_i$): calcium concentration in this region varies between 100-300 nM¹³ and is mainly influenced by the following fluxes; transmembrane pumps and transporters, the sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca-ATPase (J_{SERCA}), diffusion from the junctional domain region ($J_{jun-cyt}$) and the calcium buffer calmodulin (BUF_{CAM}).




Figure 1.

A schematic representation of the Hernandez-Hernandez model.

The components of the model include major ion channel currents shown in purple including the voltage-gated L-type calcium current (I_{Ca}), nonselective cation current (I_{NSC}), voltage-gated potassium currents ($I_{Kv1.5}$ and $I_{Kv2.1}$), and the large-conductance Ca^{2+} -sensitive potassium current (I_{BKCa}). Currents from pumps and transporters are shown in red including the sodium/potassium pump current (I_{NaK}), sodium/calcium exchanger current (I_{NCX}), and plasma membrane ATPase current (I_{PMCA}). Leak currents are indicated in green including the sodium leak current ($I_{Na,b}$), potassium leak current ($I_{K,b}$), and calcium leak current ($I_{Ca,b}$). In addition, two currents in the sarcoplasmic reticulum are shown in orange: the sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca-ATPase current (I_{SERCA}) and ryanodine receptor current (I_{RyR}). Calcium compartments comprise three discrete regions including cytosol ($[Ca]_i$), sarcoplasmic reticulum ($[Ca]_{SR}$), and the junctional region ($[Ca]_{Jun}$). Red stars (*) indicate measured sex-specific differences in ionic currents.

$$\text{Eq. 6} \quad \frac{d[Ca]_{SR}}{dt} = \left[\frac{Vol_{Cyt}}{Vol_{SR}} \right] J_{SERCA} - \left[\frac{Vol_{Cyt}}{Vol_{SR}} \right] [J_{Ryr}]$$

Sarcoplasmic reticulum region ($[Ca^{2+}]_{SR}$): calcium concentration in this region varies between 100-150 μM ⁴⁰ and it is mainly influenced by the sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca-ATPase (J_{SERCA}) and the flux from the ryanodine receptors (J_{Ryr}).

$$\text{Eq. 7} \quad \frac{d[Ca]_{jun}}{dt} = \left[\frac{Vol_{Cyt}}{Vol_{jun}} \right] J_{Ryr} - \left[\frac{Vol_{Cyt}}{Vol_{jun}} \right] J_{jun-cyt}$$

Junctional region ($[Ca^{2+}]_{jun}$): calcium concentration in this region varies between 10-100 μM ^{37, 38} and is mainly influenced by the flux from the ryanodine receptors (J_{Ryr}), the diffusion from the junctional region to the cytoplasm ($J_{jun-cyt}$)

In the model, the flux of J_{SERCA} was adapted from the Lou-Rudy II model⁴¹ and the flux of J_{Ryr} was adapted from previous models of ryanodine receptors activation, originally introduced in the field of cardiac electrophysiology⁴²⁻⁴⁴.

2.3 Parameter optimization and reformulation of the gating ion channel models

The ionic current models of I_{Ca} , $I_{Kv2.1}$, and $I_{Kv1.5}$ were optimized using the approach employed by Kernik *et al.*⁴⁵ (S1-S6). Here, the open probability P_o of each voltage-dependent gating variable “n” was defined by opening- and closing-rate voltage-dependent functions α_n and β_n respectively, and were modeled by simple exponentials of the form:

$$(\text{Eq. 8}) \quad \alpha_n(V) = x_1 e^{\left(\frac{V}{x_2}\right)}$$

$$(\text{Eq. 9}) \quad \beta_n(V) = x_3 e^{\left(\frac{V}{x_4}\right)}$$

$$(\text{Eq. 10}) \quad \tau_n(V) = \frac{1}{\alpha_n(V) + \beta_n(V)} + x_5$$

The steady-state availability remains the same as the classical Hodgkin-Huxley formulations and the time constant values follow a modified version formulation by accommodating an extra parameter x_5 (Eq. 10⁴⁵). (x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , x_4 , x_5) are parameters to be optimized using experimental data. We used the parameter optimization employed by Kernik *et al.*⁴⁵, which minimizes the error between model and experimental data using the Nelder–Mead minimization of the error

function. Random small perturbations (<10%) were applied to find local minima, to improve data fit. The parameter fit with the minimal error function value after 1000 to 10000 perturbations was used as the optimal model fit to the data.

2.4 Cellular simulations with noise

In our simulations to encapsulate the cumulative effect of stochastic ion channel activity on cell voltage dynamics, we introduce a fluctuating current term, $\xi(t)$, into the membrane potential (dV/dt) equation⁴⁶, as shown in Eq. 11. Here it is assumed $\xi(t)$ is only a function of time and it is implemented as Gaussian white noise⁴⁷.

$$(Eq. 11) \quad \frac{dV}{dt} = -\frac{I_{total}(V)}{C_m} + \sigma \xi(t)$$

We use the Euler-Maruyama numerical method for updating Eq. 12 as follows.

$$(Eq. 12) \quad V(t + \Delta t) = V(t) - \frac{I(V(t))}{C_m} \Delta t + \sigma * \text{randN} * \sqrt{\Delta t}$$

Where randN is a random number from a normal distribution (N(0,1)) with mean 0 and variance 1. Δt is the time step and σ is the “diffusion coefficient”, which represents the amplitude of the noise. The numerical method for updating the voltage was forward Euler.

2.5 One-dimensional simulations

We developed an idealized one-dimensional representation of vessel by connecting 400 Hernandez-Hernandez model cells in series via simulated resistances to represent gap junctions. For each cell in the cable, the Hernandez-Hernandez model is used to compute ionic currents and concentration changes. The temporal transmembrane fluxes of the Hernandez-Hernandez model are related to the spatial or current flow by a finite difference approximation of the cable equation⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰.

$$(Eq. 14) \quad \left[C_m \left(\frac{V_i^{(t+1)} - V_i^t}{\Delta t} \right) + I_{ion} + I_{stim} \right] = \frac{a}{4(R_{myo} + R_g)} \frac{(V_{i-1}^t - 2V_i^t + V_{i+1}^t)}{\Delta x \Delta x}$$

where I_{ion} represents the individual membrane ionic current densities (pA/pF) of the Hernandez-Hernandez model, I_{stim} is the stimulus current density (pA/pF) set to zero in our simulation, a is the radius of the fiber (2.5-5 μm), C_m is the membrane capacity (pA/pF), V_i is membrane potential at segment i and time t , Δx is the discretization element (μm), R_{CG} is the ratio between capacitive and geometrical areas ($R_{CG}=2$), and R_i is the axial resistance per unit length (Ωcm). R_i is composed of myoplasmic resistance ($R_{myo}=150 \Omega\text{cm}$) and gap junction resistance ($R_g=71.4 \Omega\text{cm}$ ²), which corresponds to a conductance of $gj=0.011 \mu\text{S}$ ⁵¹.

2.6 Sensitivity Analysis

To analyze the baseline models in male and female vascular smooth muscle cells, we applied a parameter sensitivity assessment using multivariable linear regression, following the methodology introduced by Sobie⁵². The scope of the sensitivity analysis encompassed variations in the maximal conductance and maximal ion transport rates of the transmembrane currents, including $I_{Kv1.5}$, $I_{Kv2.1}$, I_{BKCa} , $I_{K,b}$, $I_{Cav1.2}$, I_{PMCA} , $I_{Ca,b}$, I_{NCX} , I_{NSC} , I_{NaK} , and $I_{Na,b}$. All other parameters, notably those defining model kinetics, remained constant at the values established by the foundational model. Scaling factors were randomly selected from a log-normal distribution characterized by a median value of 1 and a standard deviation of 0.1⁴⁵.

2.7 Simulation protocols

Code for simulations and analysis was written in C++ and MATLAB 2018a. The single vascular smooth muscle code was run on an Apple Mac Pro machine with two 2.7 GHz 12-Core Intel Xeon processors and an HP ProLiant DL585 G7 server with a 2.7 GHz 28-core AMD Opteron processor. Vessel simulations were implemented in C++ and parallelized using OpenMP. The C++ code was compiled with the Intel ICC compiler, version 18.0.3. Numerical results were visualized using MATLAB R2018a by The MathWorks, Inc. All codes and detailed model equations are available on GitHub (<https://github.com/ClancyLabUCD/sex-specific-responses-to-calcium-channel-blockers-in-mesenteric-vascular-smooth-muscle>).

Results

In this study, we developed a computational model of the electrical activity of an isolated vascular smooth muscle cell (**Figure 1**). A key goal was to optimize and validate the model with experimental data and then use the model to predict the effects of measured sex-dependent differences in the electrophysiology of smooth muscle myocytes.

In constructing the model, we first set out to measure the kinetics of the voltage-gated L-type $\text{Ca}_v1.2$ currents (I_{Ca}) in male and female myocytes using Ca^{2+} as the charge carrier as shown in **Figure 2**. These data provide information on the kinetics of Ca^{2+} -dependent activation and inactivation of I_{Ca} . I_{Ca} is critical in determining cytosolic concentration $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ in vascular mesenteric smooth muscle cells and is the predominant pathway for Ca^{2+} entry.^{13, 15, 16, 18, 28, 53} Experiments using whole-cell patch-clamp were undertaken to measure the time constants of activation and deactivation (**panel 2A**) and inactivation (**panel 2B**) in male and female mesenteric artery smooth muscle cells shown as red and black symbols, respectively. While the data from male ($n = 10$) and female ($n = 11$) myocytes showed comparable activation time constants, there was an observable trend of faster inactivation in the female cells in the lower voltage range, but the differences were not statistically significant. Steady-state activation and inactivation were also measured as shown in **panel 2C**, with male data in black symbols and female as red symbols. No differences in the gating characteristics of the male and female I_{Ca} were measured. Finally, the current-voltage relationship is shown from measurements in female (red) and male (black) in **panel 2D**. Measurements at the peak current indicate that female cells exhibit significantly larger I_{Ca} ($P < 0.05$). Together, these data suggest measurable sex-specific differences in I_{Ca} .

We next used the experimental measurements to build and optimize a Hodgkin-Huxley model based on the data described above. The model includes voltage-dependent activation and inactivation gating variables, dL and dF , respectively. We modeled both gates following the approach by Kernik *et al.*⁴⁵ It is important to note that smooth muscle cells operate within a voltage regime defined by the window current, which ranges between -45 mV and -20 mV. Under these conditions, $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ remains below 1 μM .

Therefore, we did not consider the Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation gating mode of the channel.⁵⁴

The model of I_{Ca} is described by:

$$(\text{Eq. 15}) \quad I_{\text{Ca}} = P_{\text{Ca}} * dL * dF * \frac{z_{\text{Ca}}^2 F^2 V}{RT} \left(\frac{[\text{Ca}]_i e^{\frac{z_{\text{Ca}} F V}{RT}} - [\text{Ca}]_{\text{out}}}{e^{\frac{z_{\text{Ca}} F V}{RT}} - 1} \right)$$




Figure 2.

Experimentally measured and modeled L-type calcium currents (I_{Ca}) from male and female vascular smooth muscle cells.

Properties of I_{Ca} are derived from measurements in male and female vascular smooth muscle (VSM) cells isolated from the mouse mesenteric arteries following voltage-clamp steps from -60 to 60 mV in 10 mV steps from a -80 mV holding potential. Experimental data is shown in black circles for male and red squares for female. Model fits to experimental data are shown with black solid lines for male and red solid lines for female. **(A)** Male and female time constants of I_{Ca} activation. **(B)** Male and female time constants of I_{Ca} inactivation. **(C)** Male and female voltage-dependent steady-state activation and inactivation of I_{Ca} . **(D)** Current-voltage ($I-V$) relationship of I_{Ca} from male and female vascular smooth muscle myocytes. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$. Error bars indicated mean $\pm$ SEM.

Where P_{Ca} is the ion permeability, R is the gas constant, F is the Faraday's constant, and z_{Ca} is the valance of the Ca^{2+} ion. Parameters were optimized to male and female experimental data as shown for activation time constants ($\tau_{activation}$) and inactivation time constants ($\tau_{inactivation}$) as solid lines in **Figure 2A** and **Figure 2B**, respectively. Model optimization to male and female activation and inactivation curves are shown in **Figure 2C**. The model was also optimized to the I_{Ca} current-voltage (I-V) relationships shown as lines in **Figure 2D**.

We next set out to determine sex differences in voltage-gated K^+ currents (I_K) in male and female mesenteric smooth muscle cells. I_K is produced by the combined activation of K_V and BK_{Ca} channels. Following the approach previously published by O'Dwyer *et al.*,²⁰ we quantified the contribution of K_V (I_{KV}) and BK_{Ca} (I_{BKCa}) current to I_K . K^+ currents were recorded before and after the application of the channel blocker iberitoxin (IBTX; 100nM). Once identified the contribution I_{BKCa} current, we isolated the voltage-gated potassium currents (I_{KV}) whose contributors include the voltage-gated potassium channels $K_V1.5$ and $K_V2.1$. The presumed function of $K_V1.5$ and $K_V2.1$ channels on membrane potential is to produce delayed rectifier currents to counterbalance the effect of the inward currents.^{19, 20}

Having isolated I_{KV} , $K_V2.1$ currents were identified using the application of the $K_V2.1$ blocker ScTx1 (100 nM). As a result, the remaining ScTx1-insensitive component of the I_{KV} current was attributed to $K_V1.5$ channels. The results are shown in **Figure 3**. Experiments using whole-cell patch-clamp were undertaken to measure the steady-state activation G/G_{max} of the $K_V2.1$ current ($I_{KV2.1}$) as shown in **panel 3A** in female (red) and male (black) myocytes and no significant differences were observed. Measurements of time constants of activation (**panel 3B**) of $I_{KV2.1}$ at -30 mV in female (red, n=10) and male (black, n=7) myocytes exhibited significant differences ($P<0.01$). Notably, activation time constants were faster in male compared to female myocytes. The current-voltage relationship of $I_{KV2.1}$ is shown from measurements in female (red, n=20) and male (black, n=10) myocytes in **panel 3C**. Similarly, we measured the steady-state activation of the $K_V1.5$ current ($I_{KV1.5}$) as shown in **Panel 3D** where male and female experimental data in myocytes are shown with red and black symbols. Properties of $I_{KV1.5}$ steady-state activation G/G_{max} show minimal sex-specific differences. The current-voltage relationship of $I_{KV1.5}$ is shown from measurements in female (red, n=10) and male (black, n=7) myocytes in **panel 3E**. Finally, the current-voltage relationship of the contribution from $I_{KV1.5}$ and $I_{KV2.1}$ to the total voltage-gated current (I_{KVTOT}) is shown in **panel 3F** with male and female data shown with black and red symbols, respectively. The table in **panel 3H** summarizes the sex-dependent maximal conductance and the current response at specific voltages of -50 mV, -40 mV, -30 mV, and -20 mV for both $I_{KV1.5}$ and $I_{KV2.1}$.

To understand the contribution of each K^+ current to the total voltage-gated current (I_{KVTOT}) in mesenteric vascular smooth muscle cells we built and optimized a Hodgkin-Huxley model to the data described above. First, we developed a model to describe the $K_V2.1$ current. The optimized model to $K_V2.1$ experimental data contains only a voltage-dependent activation gating variable ($X_{2.1act}$). Since inactivation time is slow and is well estimated by steady-state³, we did not consider its effects in our model. The model of $I_{KV2.1}$ is described by:

$$(Eq. 16) \quad I_{KV2.1} = G_{KV2.1} * X_{KV2.1act} * (V - E_K)$$

Where $G_{V,1}$ is the maximal conductance of $K_V2.1$ channels and E_K is the Nernst potential for potassium. Parameters were optimized to male and female experimental data as shown for activation curves in **Figure 3A**. Model optimization to male and female time constants of activation ($K_V2.1 \tau_{Activation}$) are shown as solid lines in **Figure 3B**. The model was also optimized to the $I_{KV2.1}$ current-voltage (I-V) relationships shown as solid lines in **Figure 3C**.




Figure 3.

Experimentally measured and modeled potassium currents ($I_{K_{VTOT}}$) from male and female vascular smooth muscle cells.

The properties of $I_{K_{V1.5}}$ and $I_{K_{V2.1}}$ from experimental measurements in male and female vascular smooth muscle cells isolated from the mouse mesenteric arteries were recorded in response to voltage-clamp from -60 to 40 mV in 10 mV steps (holding potential -80 mV). Experimental data is shown as black circles for male and red squares for female. Model fits to experimental data are shown with black solid lines for male and red solid lines for female. **(A)** Male and female voltage-dependent steady-state activation of $I_{K_{V2.1}}$. **(B)** Male and female time constants of $I_{K_{V2.1}}$ activation. **(C)** Current-voltage (I-V) relationship of $I_{K_{V2.1}}$ from male and female myocytes. **(D)** Male and female voltage-dependent steady-state activation of $I_{K_{V1.5}}$. **(E)** Current-voltage (I-V) relationship of $I_{K_{V1.5}}$ from male and female myocytes. **(F)** Male and female total voltage-gated potassium current $I_{K_{VTOT}} = I_{K_{V1.5}} + I_{K_{V2.1}}$. **(G)** Predicted male and female time constants of the $I_{K_{V1.5}}$ activation gate. **(H)** Table showing sex-specific differences in conductance and steady-state total potassium current-voltage dependence. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$. Error bars indicated mean $\pm$ SEM.

Similarly, we developed a model for $K_{V1.5}$. The model was optimized to the $K_{V1.5}$ experimental data and contains only a voltage-dependent activation gating variable ($X_{K_{V1.5}act}$). The model of $I_{K_{V1.5}}$ is described by:

$$(Eq. 17) \quad I_{K_{V1.5}} = G_{K_{V1.5}} * X_{K_{V1.5}act} * (V - E_K)$$

$G_{K_{V1.5}}$ is the maximal conductance of $K_{V1.5}$ channels and E_K is the Nernst potential for potassium. Parameters were optimized to male and female experimental data as shown for activation curves in **Figure 3D**. The model was also optimized to the $I_{K_{V1.5}}$ current-voltage (I-V) relationships shown as solid lines in **Figure 3E**. From experiments, we optimized the model to reproduce the overall time traces of K_V currents. The model predicted that male and female myocytes have comparable time constants of activation in $I_{K_{V1.5}}$ as shown in **Figure 3G**. Finally, the optimized model of the total voltage-gated current ($I_{K_{VTOT}}$) is shown in **Figure 3F**. The total voltage-gated K^+ current ($I_{K_{VTOT}}$) is the sum of $I_{K_{V1.5}}$ and $I_{K_{V2.1}}$ mathematically described as:

$$(Eq. 18) \quad I_{K_{VTOT}} = I_{K_{V2.1}} + I_{K_{V1.5}}$$

Notably, the main specific sex-specific differences observed in the total voltage-gated K^+ current ($I_{K_{VTOT}}$) is attributable to the sex-specific differences in the current produced by $K_{V2.1}$ channels.

We next analyzed the contribution of large-conductance calcium-activated potassium (BK_{Ca}) channels to vascular smooth muscle cell electrophysiology. BK_{Ca} channels are activated by membrane depolarization or increased $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and are expressed in the membrane of vascular smooth muscle cells with α and $\beta 1$ subunits^{22, 55, 56}. In smooth muscle cells, Ca^{2+} sparks are the physiological activators of BK_{Ca} channels. We relied on the assumption by Tong *et al.*⁵⁷ that BK_{Ca} currents ($I_{BK_{Ca}}$) are produced by two current subtypes, one consisting of α subunits ($I_{BK_{Ca}\alpha}$) and the other consisting of α and $\beta 1$ subunits ($I_{BK_{Ca}\beta 1}$). Experimental evidence indicates that BK_{Ca} channels with $\alpha\beta 1$ subunits form clusters in the plasma membrane in specialized junctional domains formed by the sarcoplasmic reticulum and the sarcolemma. BK_{Ca} channels with $\alpha\beta 1$ subunits colocalize with ryanodine receptors (RyRs) to in the junctional domains. During a Ca^{2+} spark, $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ elevations ranging from 10 to 100 μM activate BK_{Ca} channels^{38, 39, 53, 58, 59}. In our model, Ca^{2+} sparks are the physiological activators of BK_{Ca} channels.

The mathematical formulation of the BK_{Ca} with $\alpha\beta 1$ current ($I_{BK_{Ca}\beta 1}$) was optimized to fit the experimental whole-cell electrophysiological data from Bao and Cox⁵⁵ obtained at room temperature with a BK_{Ca} channel α subunit clone from mSlo-mbr5 and a $\beta 1$ subunit clone from bovine expressed in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes⁵⁵. Experimental data for steady-state activation and time constants of activation are shown in **Panel 4A** and **Panel 4B** respectively. The activation gating variable (X_{4V}) depends on both voltage and junctional calcium ($[Ca^{2+}]_{Jun}$). The activation gate was adapted from the Tong-Taggart model⁵⁷. The model of $I_{BK_{Ca}\beta 1}$ is described by:

$$(Eq. 19) \quad I_{BK_{Ca}\beta 1} = P_{BK_{Ca}} * X_{ab}(V, [Ca]_{Jun}) * \frac{z_K^2 F^2 V}{RT} \left(\frac{[K]_{in} e^{\frac{z_K F V}{RT}} - [K]_{out}}{e^{\frac{z_K F V}{RT}} - 1} \right)$$

Where $P_{BK_{Ca}}$ is the BK_{Ca} ion permeability, R is the gas constant, F is Faraday's constant, and z_K is the valance of the potassium ions. Model optimization to activation curves are shown with solid lines in **Figure 4A** at three different $[Ca^{2+}]_{Jun}$ concentrations 1 μM , 10 μM , and 100 μM . The results from the steady-state activation measurements at 10 μM are also in agreement with the experimental data in vascular myocytes in *bufo marinus*⁵⁹ (green symbols) which suggests that BK_{Ca} channels are exposed to a mean junctional Ca^{2+} concentration ($[Ca^{2+}]_{Jun}$) of 10 μM . Time constants of activation were measured experimentally at $[Ca^{2+}]_{Jun} = 0.003 \mu M$, our model was optimized and fit under the same conditions shown in **Figure 4B** as solid lines. Notably when the model was run under predicted $[Ca^{2+}]_{Jun} = 10 \mu M$ conditions as shown in **Figure 4B** dashed

lines, there is no effect of the change in $[Ca^{2+}]_{jun}$ on the time constant. The predicted current-voltage (I-V) relationships of $I_{BK\alpha\beta1}$ are shown in **Figure 4C** using three different $[Ca^{2+}]_{jun}$ concentrations; 1 μ M, 10 μ M, and 100 μ M. We observed that the I-V curves are similar at $[Ca^{2+}]_{jun}$ concentrations of 10 μ M (black trace) and 100 μ M (red trace) but markedly reduced when $[Ca^{2+}]_{jun} = 1$ μ M (blue trace). As expected, the amplitude of the current shown in the I-V curves in **Figure 4D** is sensitively dependent on the number of BK_{Ca} channels as shown, we set $[Ca^{2+}]_{jun} = 10$ μ M and simulated the I-V curves using a BK_{Ca} cluster size of 4, 6, 8 and 10 channels.

In vascular smooth muscle cells, the membrane potential over the physiological range of intravascular pressures is less negative than the equilibrium potential of potassium ($E_K = -84$ mV), suggesting active participation of inward currents regulated by sodium conductance^{19, 60, 61}. It has been postulated that basally activating TRP channels generate nonselective cations currents (I_{NSC}) that depolarize the membrane potential. We built a model for I_{NSC} as linear and time-independent cation current permeable to K^+ and Na^+ with permeability ratios $P_{Na}:P_K = 0.9:1.3$ adapted from Tong-Taggart model with a reversal potential (E_{NSC}) described by:

$$(Eq. 20) \quad E_{NSC} = \left(R * \frac{T}{F} \right) * \log \left(\frac{P_K * K_{out} + P_{Na} * Na_{out}}{P_K * K_{in} + P_{Na} * Na_{in}} \right);$$

where R is the gas constant, F is the Faraday's constant, T is the temperature, Na_{in} and K_{in} are the intracellular sodium and potassium intracellular concentrations. Similarly, Na_{out} and K_{out} are the extracellular sodium and potassium concentrations. The model of I_{NSC} is described by

$$(Eq. 21) \quad I_{NSC} = I_{NaNSC} + I_{KNSC}$$

$$(Eq. 22) \quad I_{NaNSC} = G_{NaNSC} * (V - E_{NSC})$$

$$(Eq. 23) \quad I_{KNSC} = G_{KNSC} * (V - E_{NSC})$$

Where I_{NaNSC} represents sodium current contribution, I_{KNSC} represents potassium current contribution, and G_{NaNSC} and G_{KNSC} are the maximal conductances of the contributing sodium and potassium currents. In addition, we also included models for leak currents of ion i calculated as

$$(Eq. 24) \quad I_{i,b} = G_{i,b} (V - E_i)$$

Where the Nernst potential of ion i with valance z_i is given by:

$$(Eq. 25) \quad E_i = \frac{RT}{z_i F} \ln \left(\frac{[i]_{out}}{[i]_{in}} \right), \quad i = Na^+, K^+ \text{ and } Ca^{2+}$$

Where R is the gas constant, F is the Faraday's constant, T is the temperature and $[i]_{out}$ denotes the extracellular concentration of ion i. The final parameters are detailed in S9.

The remaining ionic currents, pumps, and transporters were optimized to data available in the experimental literature and/or taken from computational models of vascular smooth muscle and cardiac cells. The sodium-potassium pump (I_{NaK}) current was modeled using data from smooth muscle cells from mesenteric resistance arteries of the guinea pig^{57, 62} and the voltage dependency was adapted from the Lou-Rudy II model⁴¹. The sodium-calcium exchanger current




Figure 4.

Experimentally measured and modeled large-conductance Ca^{2+} -activated K^+ currents ($I_{BK\alpha\beta1}$).

The model was optimized to data from Bao and Cox (Bao & Cox, 2005). **(A)** Voltage-dependent activation of $I_{BK\alpha\beta1}$ from experiments performed with three different $[Ca]_{Jun}$ concentrations (1 μM , 10 μM , 100 μM) shown in green circles is the data from (ZhuGe *et al.*, 2002) **(B)** Voltage-dependent activation time constants with $[Ca]_{Jun} = 0.003 \mu M$ and simulations $[Ca]_{Jun} = 10 \mu M$. **(C)** Simulated I-V curve at different peak levels of $[Ca]_{Jun}$ levels. **(D)** Simulated I-V curve with different BK_{Ca} average cluster sizes ($N = 4, 6, 8$, and 10).

(I_{NCX}) was adapted from the formulation in the ten Tusscher model⁶³ and the Lou-Rudy II model⁴¹. Finally, the sarcolemma calcium pump (I_{PMCA}) current was adapted from the Kargacin model⁶⁴. The final parameters are detailed in **S10**.

$$(Eq. 26) \quad N_{pow} = \left(Q 10^{\frac{T-309.2}{10}} \right);$$

$$(Eq. 27) \quad N_1 = \frac{(K_{out}^{1.1})}{K_{out}^{1.1} + KmNaK_K^{1.1}};$$

$$(Eq. 28) \quad N_2 = \frac{(Na_{in}^{1.7})}{Na_{in}^{1.7} + KmNaK_{Na}^{1.7}};$$

$$(Eq. 29) \quad N_0 = \frac{1.0}{1 + \left(0.1245 * \exp\left(-0.1 * V * \frac{F}{R * T}\right) \right) + \left(2.19e-3 * \left(e^{\left(\frac{Na_{out}}{49.71}\right)} \right) * e^{\left(-1.9 * V * \frac{F}{R * T}\right)} \right)};$$

$$(Eq. 30) \quad I_{NaK} = I_{NaK_{max}} * N_1 * N_2 * N_0 * N_{pow}$$

$$(Eq. 31) \quad phi_F = \exp\left(gammax * V * \frac{F}{R * T}\right)$$

$$(Eq. 32) \quad phi_R = \exp\left((gammax - 1) * V * \frac{F}{R * T}\right)$$

$$(Eq. 33) \quad X_{NCX} = \frac{(Na_{in}^3) * Ca_{out} * phi_F - (Na_{out}^3) * Ca_i * phi_R}{1 + 0.0003 * ((Na_{out}^3) * Ca_{in} + (Na_{in}^3) * Ca_{out})};$$

$$(Eq. 34) \quad I_{NCX} = P_{NCX} * X_{NCX}$$

$$(Eq. 35) \quad I_{PMCA} = I_{PMCAbar} * \frac{Ca_i^2}{Ca_i^2 + K_{mPMCA}^2}$$

We next set out to connect the ionic models and models of Ca^{2+} handling to make predictions in the whole cell. In **Figure 5**, experimental data indicate that the electrical activity of isolated mesenteric smooth muscle cells in male and female myocytes recorded in current-clamp mode, is characterized by an oscillating membrane potential under physiological conditions. The membrane potential is marked by repetitive spontaneous transient hyperpolarization (TH), a ubiquitous feature of vascular smooth muscle cells^{58, 65-67} as shown in **panel 5A**. Both male (black trace) and female (red trace) myocytes exhibited membrane hyperpolarizing transients in the potential range of -50 to -20 mV. Notably, we observed that female myocytes always maintained a higher depolarizing state between the hyperpolarization events compared to male myocytes.




Figure 5.

Membrane potential from experiments and simulations in male and female vascular smooth muscle myocytes.

A) Whole-cell membrane potential recordings in male and female myocytes showing spontaneous repeat transient hyperpolarization of the membrane potential. **B)** Simulated whole-cell membrane potential with physiological noise. **C)** Comparison of sensitivity analysis performed around the baseline membrane potential in male and female models using multivariable regression.

We assessed the predictive capacity of our *in silico* model by comparing it to experimental data. We first compared the morphology of the membrane potential in experiments **panel 5A** versus simulations **panel 5B** in male and female myocytes. Over the physiological range in which smooth muscle cells operate (−50 to −20 mV), ionic currents are small and produced by the activation of a small number of ion channels. Local fluctuations in the function of ion channels lead to noisy macroscopic signals that are important to the variability of vascular smooth muscle cells.¹⁹ In addition, smooth muscle cells are subject to high input resistance where small perturbations can lead to large changes in the membrane potential.^{19, 29} To approximate the physiological realities, we applied two sources of noise to our deterministic *in silico* model to simulate the stochastic fluctuations. The first source of the noise was introduced by adding a fluctuating current term to the differential equations describing changes in membrane potential (dV/dt) which represents the combined effect of the stochastic activity of ion channels in the plasma membrane.⁴⁶ Second, we introduced noise into the $[Ca]_{SR}$ to replicate the physiological responses consistent with those observed in experimental studies.⁴⁰ Simulated whole-cell membrane potential with physiological noise is shown in **Figure 5B** in male (black trace) and female (red trace) myocytes.

We conducted a sensitivity analysis to determine which model parameters could underlie the sex-specific differences observed in the experimental data. It is important to note that we have experimental data indicating the amplitude and kinetics for a variety of currents in male and female myocytes. For this reason, those model components were fit to the data, fixed, and were not subject to sensitivity analysis. Our analysis, which focused solely on variations in maximal conductance and maximal ion transport rates of the transmembrane currents, indicated that the non-selective cation currents (I_{NSC}) and delayed rectifier currents ($I_{KVTOT} = I_{Kv2.1} + I_{Kv1.5}$) interact to regulate the baseline membrane potential in both male and female vascular smooth muscle myocytes (**Figure 5C**). Given that I_{KVTOT} responds to depolarization, the primary stimulus that triggers depolarization was determined to be attributable solely to the non-selective cation currents (I_{NSC}). Indeed, when we adjusted the conductance of the non-selective cation currents and implemented an increase in the conductance of I_{NSC} in the female model, we readily reproduced the sex-specific baseline membrane potential observed experimentally (**Figure 5A**).

Next, using the whole-cell vascular smooth muscle myocyte computational model, we investigated the sex-specific differences in the contribution to total voltage-gated current (I_{KVTOT}) in mesenteric vascular smooth muscle cells. An interesting prediction from the *in silico* simulations is that at different depolarizing states (−45, −40, and −35 mV) induced by changing the conductance of nonselective cationic leak currents (I_{NSC}), the contribution of $I_{Kv2.1}$ and $I_{Kv1.5}$ to I_{KVTOT} is different based on sex. In male vascular myocytes, the contribution to total voltage-gated current (I_{KVTOT}) is largely attributable to the current produced by $K_v1.5$ channels as shown in the lower panel in **Figure 6A**. Our results are consistent with previous studies^{35, 68, 69} in animal rodent male models showing the characteristic behavior of $I_{Kv1.5}$ to control membrane potential. However, the model predicts that in female myocytes, the contribution to total voltage-gated current (I_{KVTOT}) is largely provided by the current produced by $K_v2.1$ channels as shown in the upper panel in **Figure 6B**. To illustrate this point quantitatively, at a membrane potential of −40 mV, the contribution of I_{KVTOT} from $I_{Kv1.5}$ and $I_{Kv2.1}$ is 86% and 14%, respectively, in male myocytes compared to female myocytes in which the contribution from $I_{Kv1.5}$ and $I_{Kv2.1}$ is 23% and 77%, respectively. Regardless of the depolarization state at −45, −40, or −35 mV, the profiles for male and female myocytes remain essentially the same as shown in **Figures 6C, 6D, and 6E**. The *in silico* simulations suggest a distinctive sex-based function of $K_v1.5$ and $K_v2.1$ channels that produce the delayed rectifier currents to counterbalance the effect of inward currents causing graded membrane potential depolarizations.

Having explored the regulation of graded membrane potential by the activation of I_{KVTOT} to counterbalance the nonselective cations currents (I_{NSC}), we next explored the effects of steady membrane depolarization in the *in silico* vascular smooth muscle cell myocyte model on I_{Ca} in




Figure 6.

Differential effects of voltage-gated potassium current (I_{KVTOT}) block in male and female myocytes.

A) Simulated time course of male $I_{Kv2.1}$ (top panel, solid traces) and $I_{Kv1.5}$ (lower panel, dashed traces) at three different baseline membrane potentials (-45 mV green, -40 mV blue, and -35 mV black). **B)** Simulated time course of female $I_{Kv2.1}$ (top panel solid traces) and $I_{Kv1.5}$ (lower panel, dashed traces) at three different baseline membrane potentials (-45 mV light blue, -40 mV purple, and -35 mV red). Current contribution to I_{KVTOT} from $Kv1.5$ (indicated by asterisks) and $Kv2.1$ in male and female myocytes at a baseline membrane potential of -45 mV (**C**), -40 mV (**D**), and -35 mV (**E**).

male and female myocytes. We predicted I_{Ca} in our male and female simulations at steady-state membrane depolarization after simulation for 500 seconds. We observed that as the membrane depolarizes from -55 to -35 mV, I_{Ca} in male myocytes increased from 0 to 1.0 pA while in female myocytes I_{Ca} increased from 0 to 1.5 pA as shown in **Figure 7A**, suggesting that I_{Ca} is larger in female compared to those of male myocytes. We recorded the predicted $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and observed that I_{Ca} led to a higher calcium influx in female compared to male simulations as shown in **Figure 7B**. To illustrate in detail, we show in **Figure 7C-D**, time traces of *in silico* predictions of membrane voltage at -40 mV (*top panel*), I_{Ca} (*middle panel*), and $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ (*lower panel*) corresponding to the male and female data points indicated by black and red arrows respectively shown in **Figure 7A-B**. In the male case (**Figure 7C**), at a steady membrane potential of -40 mV, L-type calcium $Ca_v1.2$ channels produced a current of 0.5 pA. However, in female simulations (**Figure 7D**), we observed that at a steady membrane potential of -40 mV, L-type calcium $Ca_v1.2$ channels produced a current of 0.65 pA. We calculated that at -40 mV, two $Ca_v1.2$ channels are needed sustained 0.5 pA of current in male myocytes while three $Ca_v1.2$ channels are needed sustained 0.65 pA of current in female myocytes.

Although the sex-specific differences in male and female simulations at -40 mV are small, a 15 nM difference in the overall response of $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ can have a profound effect on the constriction state of the myocytes. The predictions from the Hernandez-Hernandez model provide a comprehensive picture of physiological conditions and support the idea that a small number of $Ca_v1.2$ channels supply the steady Ca^{2+} influx needed to support a maintained constricted state in small arteries and arterioles^{54, 70}. The differences between males and females are notable in the context of observations indicating varied sex-based responses to antihypertensive agents that target the Ca^{2+} handling system in vascular smooth muscle cells.

Next, we simulated the effects of calcium channel blocker nifedipine on I_{Ca} at a steady membrane potential of -40 mV in male and female simulations. In **Figure 7C-D**, we show the predicted male (blue) and female (green) time course of membrane voltage at -40 mV (*top panel*), I_{Ca} (*middle panel*), and $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ (*lower panel*). First, we observed that in both male and females 0.1 μ M nifedipine modifies the frequency of oscillation in the membrane potential, by causing a reduction in oscillation frequency. Second, both male and female simulations (middle panels) show that 0.1 μ M nifedipine caused a reduction of I_{Ca} to levels that are very similar in male and female myocytes following treatment. Consequently, the reduction of I_{Ca} causes both male and female simulations to reach a very similar baseline $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ of about 85 nM (lower panels). As a result, simulations provide evidence supporting the idea that $Ca_v1.2$ channels are the predominant regulators of intracellular $[Ca^{2+}]$ entry in the physiological range from -40 mV to -20 mV. Importantly, these predictions also suggest that clinically relevant concentrations of nifedipine cause larger overall reductions in Ca^{2+} influx in female than in male arterial myocytes.

Thus far, we have shown the development and application of models of vascular smooth muscle myocytes incorporating measured sex-specific differences in currents from male and female isolated cells. Given that hypertension is essentially a consequence of the spatial organization and function of smooth muscle cells^{71, 72}, we next expanded our study to include a one-dimensional (1D) tissue representation of electrotonically coupled tissue by connecting arterial myocytes in series.

A well-known phenomenon in excitable systems is that electrotonic coupling between cells results in the minimization of individual cellular differences, thereby producing a smoothing effect across the tissue^{73–75}. We simulated 400 female or 400 male vascular smooth muscle myocytes and set the gap junctional resistance to zero. As expected, the uncoupled cells in both male and female cases demonstrated the characteristic behavior of arterial myocytes, exhibiting spontaneous hyperpolarization. Of the 400 cells, we show the simulated representative traces of Cell 1, Cell 50, and Cell 100 for female (**Figure 8A**) and male (**Figure 8B**).




Figure 7.

Simulated L-type calcium currents (I_{Ca}) and calcium influx in male and female vascular smooth muscle cells.

A) Male and female whole-cell I_{Ca} membrane potential relationship. **(B)** Male and female intracellular calcium concentration in the cytosolic compartment at indicated membrane potential. **(C)** Time course of membrane potential in male vascular smooth muscle cells before (black) and after (blue) simulated nifedipine application (*top panel*). Corresponding time course of L-type calcium current I_{Ca} before (black) and after (blue) simulated nifedipine application (*middle panel*) and intracellular calcium $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ concentration before (black) and after (blue) simulated nifedipine application (*lower panel*). **(D)** Time course of membrane potential in female vascular smooth muscle cells before (red) and after (green) simulated nifedipine application (*top panel*). Corresponding time course of L-type calcium current I_{Ca} before (red) and after (green) simulated nifedipine application (*middle panel*) and intracellular calcium $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ concentration before (red) and after (green) simulated nifedipine application (*lower panel*).




Figure 8.

A one-dimensional tissue model representation of vascular smooth muscle cells connected in series.

A) Uncoupled female vessel simulation showing cell 1, cell 50, and cell 100 at a baseline membrane potential of -35 mV. **B)** Uncoupled male vessel simulations showing cell 1, cell 50, and cell 100 at a baseline membrane potential of -45 mV. **C)** Composite female (red trace) and male (black trace) membrane potential of 400 coupled smooth muscle cells connected with gap junctional resistance of $71.4 \Omega \text{cm}^2$ in a one-dimensional tissue representation. **(D)** Sharp-electrode records of the membrane potential of smooth muscle in pressurized (80-mmHg) female and male arteries from O'Dwyer *et al.*, 2020.

Next, we modeled 400 cells but with electrotonic coupling by setting the simulated gap junctional resistance to $71.4 \Omega \text{cm}^2$ ⁵¹. In this case, we observed that the spontaneous hyperpolarizations, previously observed in the uncoupled cells, diminished when cells were coupled. The overall smoothing effect observed in **Figure 8C** is attributed to the electrotonic coupling and consequential influence of neighboring cells. The electrical response is consistent across the spatial domain for both male (**Figure 8C**; *black trace*) and female (**Figure 8C**-*red trace*) one-dimensional tissue representations. Notably, the model predicts a more depolarized female membrane potential in the one-dimensional tissue representations consistent with experimental measurements as shown in **Figure 8D**.

Having developed an idealized model of a vessel, we set out to validate the model predictions of variable $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ between males and females by comparing the computed calcium signaling in vascular smooth muscle with experimental recordings O'Dwyer *et al.*²⁰. Given that membrane potential predominantly governs calcium influx in vascular smooth muscle¹⁴, we varied the conductance of the nonselective cation currents (I_{NSCC}) in our simulations. The adjustment of I_{NSCC} was intended to replicate the effects of pressure-induced membrane depolarization, which consequently activates the voltage-gated L-type Ca^{2+} channels and increases $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$.

Our simulations (lines) are well validated by experimental recordings (symbols) in **Figure 9A**. A distinctive feature from the model prediction, which was validated by experimental recordings is the observation that female (**Figure 9A**, red trace and symbols) vessels accommodate more $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ compared to male (**Figure 9A**, black trace and symbols) vessels. Intriguingly, the mechanism of different $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ in male and female vessels was revealed in single-cell simulations, which showed attributable sex-based differences in L-type Ca^{2+} currents.

Finally, in our simulations, we computed the effects of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ after the application of clinically relevant calcium channel blocker nifedipine. We observed a substantial reduction of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ in both male (**Figure 9A**, *dashed black line*) and female (**Figure 9A**, *dashed red line*). In the summary data (**Figure 9B**), we quantified the relative change of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ in male (*black*) and female (*red*) after the application of 0.1 mM L-type Ca^{2+} channel blocker nifedipine at 80 mmHg and 120 mmHg. Our results show that nifedipine, when applied to male vessels, decreases $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ by 22% and 25% at 80 mmHg and 120 mmHg, respectively. However, the same dose of nifedipine when applied to female vessels decreases $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ by 38% and 45% at 80 mmHg and 120 mmHg. The results suggest that female arterial smooth muscle is more sensitive to clinically used Ca^{2+} channel blockers than male smooth muscle.

Discussion

Here, we describe the development, validation, and application of an *in silico* model to simulate and understand the mechanisms of electrical activity and Ca^{2+} dynamics in a single mesenteric vascular smooth muscle cell. The Hernandez-Hernandez model is the first model to incorporate sex-specific differences in voltage-gated $\text{K}_v2.1$ and $\text{Ca}_v1.2$ channels and predicts sex-specific differences in membrane potential and Ca^{2+} signaling regulation in the smooth muscle of both sexes from systemic arteries. In the pursuit of stratifying sex-specific responses to antihypertensive drugs, we expanded our exploration to encompass a one-dimensional (1D) tissue representation. Such an approach allowed us to simulate and predict the impact of Ca^{2+} channel blockers within a mesenteric vessel. Notably, the computational framework can be expanded to forecast the impact of antihypertensives and other perturbations from single-cell to tissue-level simulations.

To specifically investigate the impact of sex-specific differences measured from ion channel experiments and their impact on membrane potential and $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$, we focused on the isolated myocyte in the absence of complex signaling pathways. We first explored the effects of $\text{Ca}_v1.2$ and




Figure 9.

Experimentally measured and modeled intracellular calcium $[Ca]_i$ in male and female vessels and response to clinically used L-type Ca^{2+} channel blocker.

A) Intracellular calcium $[Ca]_i$ in female (red symbols) and male (black symbols) arteries at intravascular pressures ranging from 20 to 120 mmHg. Simulations showing $[Ca]_i$ in the idealized female and male vessels are shown with red and black solid lines, respectively. Simulated $[Ca]_i$ after the application of clinically used L-type Ca^{2+} channel blocker nifedipine is shown with dashed lines for male (black) and female (red). **B**) Comparison of the percentage change of $[Ca]_i$ in male (black) and female (red) after the application L-type Ca^{2+} channel blocker nifedipine at 80 mmHg and 120 mmHg.

K_V2.1 channels on membrane potential as experimental data suggest key sex-specific differences in channel expression and kinetics. Notably, the peak of the current-voltage (I-V) relationship of L-type Ca_V1.2 current is 40% smaller in male compared to female myocytes (**Figure 2D** [↗](#)).

Similarly, the peak current-voltage (I-V) relationship of the voltage-gated K_V2.1 current ($I_{K_{V2.1}}$) is 70% smaller in male compared to female myocytes at +40 mV (**Figure 3C** [↗](#)). O'Dwyer and coauthors²⁰ [↗](#) showed sex-dependent expression of K_V2.1 in the plasma membrane, where male arterial myocytes have a total of about 75,000 channels compared to 183,000 channels in female myocytes. Notably, less than 0.01% of channels are conducting in male and female myocytes. In the computational model, we found that to reproduce the experimentally measured amplitude of the K_V2.1 I-V curve (**Figure 3C** [↗](#)), a maximum of ~44 male K_V2.1 channels was sufficient to reproduce the peak current (68.8 pA at 40 mV). In contrast, ~143 channels were predicted to be needed in female myocytes to reproduce the experimentally measured peak current (226.42 pA at +40 mV) of the K_V2.1 I-V relationship. Modeling and simulation led to the prediction that in male arterial myocytes, $I_{K_{V_{TOT}}}$ is largely dictated by K_V1.5 channels. In contrast, in female arterial myocytes, K_V2.1 channels dominate $I_{K_{V_{TOT}}}$ (**Figure 3F** [↗](#)).

An important aspect of the Hernandez-Hernandez model is that it includes Ca²⁺-mediated signaling between RyRs in the junctional SR and BK_{Ca} channel clusters in the nearby sarcolemma membrane. This section of the model is similar to the one included in the Karlin model⁵ [↗](#) with some modifications. The Karlin model described how subcellular junctional spaces influence membrane potential and [Ca²⁺]_i in response to intravascular pressure, vasoconstrictors, and vasodilators. In this study, we reduced the complexity of the model representation of subcellular Ca²⁺ signaling spaces to include just three compartments: the cytosol, SR, and the SR-sarcolemma junction. Our model represents on average, the behavior of a single junctional SR unit that is functional in a cell at a time. The model uses a deterministic approach but mimics the process of production of Ca²⁺ sparks that activate BK_{Ca} channel clusters²⁹ [↗](#). We represented the activity of the RyRs in the junctional domain deterministically in the model so that Ca²⁺ spark-BK_{Ca} currents occur at a frequency of about 1 Hz at -40 mV in a space equivalent to 1% of the total cell surface area of the plasma membrane²² [↗](#).

Based on experimental observations, the Hernandez-Hernandez computational model employs three key assumptions: First, Ca²⁺ sparks in the junctional domain are initiated by activation of RyRs, where RyR gating opening probability is correlated with SR load. Second, Ca²⁺ sparks lead to a [Ca²⁺]_{jun} increase between 10-20 mM to match the amplitude measured in experiments (**Figure 4A** [↗](#))⁵⁵ [↗](#), ⁵⁹ [↗](#). Third, activation of BK_{Ca} channels and the resultant current amplitude derives from the experimentally observed spontaneous outward currents (STOCs) in both amplitude and morphology.

Notably, model simulations revealed important mechanisms that may underlie experimental observations in measurements of membrane potential (**Figure 5A** [↗](#)). The model predicts that the mechanism of intrinsic oscillatory behavior in the vascular myocytes results from a delicate balance of currents. Activation of non-selective cation currents (I_{NSC}) likely causes membrane depolarization, but the delayed rectifier currents ($I_{K_{V_{TOT}}}$) oppose them, resulting in membrane potential baseline in the physiological range of -45 to -20 mV. Interestingly, the voltage-gated L-type Ca_V1.2 currents activation threshold sits within this range at ~-45 mV. Therefore, small increases in I_{NSC} can overwhelm $I_{K_{V_{TOT}}}$ below -20 mV and result in sufficient depolarization to bring the membrane potential to the threshold for activation of I_{Ca} . It is important to note that $I_{K_{V_{TOT}}}$ increases sharply upon depolarization from -45 to -20 mV, resulting in tight control of membrane potential and prevention of large transient depolarization resulting from I_{NSC} . Activation of L-type Ca²⁺ channels upon depolarization and subsequent Ca²⁺ release within the small volume junction then activates the BK_{Ca} channels, which results in hyperpolarization. Hyperpolarization reignites the oscillatory cascade as an intrinsic resetting mechanism. Since vascular myocytes are subject to substantial noise from the stochastic opening of ion channels in the plasma membrane, and

fluctuations in the local junctional domain components, such as the SR load, RyR opening, and BK_{Ca} channel activity, we included noise in the simulation. To simulate the physiological noise in the vascular smooth muscle cell (**Figure 5B**), we added Gaussian noise to the dV/dt equations and $[Ca]_{SR}$.

Female mesenteric artery myocytes are more depolarized than male myocytes at physiological intravascular pressures²⁰. Our model suggests that female myocytes are more depolarized than male myocytes due to larger non-selective cation currents in female compared to male myocytes, most likely due to the activation of Na^+ -permeable TRP channels. To our knowledge, the only TRP channels found to regulate the membrane potential of mesenteric artery smooth muscle are TRPP1 and TRPP2^{11, 12}. Future work will have to determine if TRPC6⁸ and TRPM4^{9, 10}, which have been shown to mediate the myogenic response of cerebral artery smooth muscle, and/or other non-selective cation channels also depolarize mesenteric artery smooth muscle⁷⁶.

The Hernandez-Hernandez model predicts that very few channels (based on total current amplitude) are likely to control the baseline fluctuations in membrane potential in the physiological range of -60 to -20 mV. The intrinsic oscillatory properties of the vascular myocyte operating in the low voltage regime under conditions of high resistance membrane are similar to other types of oscillatory electrical cells including cardiac pacemaker cells.

As shown in (**Figure 6**), the model predicts that, at -40 mV, the amplitude of steady-state $K_V2.1$ currents is about 0.8 pA in male and 3.3 pA in female arterial myocytes, indicating that the contribution of $K_V2.1$ and $K_V1.5$ channels to membrane potential is different in males and females. At -30 mV, it is 2.34 pA and 9.2 pA in male and female myocytes respectively. Assuming a single channel current at -40 and -30 mV of 0.7 pA, we calculated that, on average, in male myocytes a single channel is open at -40 mV and 3 channels are open at any particular time at -30 mV. In female myocytes, 6 channels are predicted to be open at -40 mV, while 13 are predicted to be active at -30 mV.

The Hernandez-Hernandez model also allowed us to calculate the number of $Ca_V1.2$ channels needed to sustain the steady-state concentration of $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ in the physiological range from -60 to -20 mV (**Figure 7**). The model predicts that at -40 mV in mouse male myocytes, 2 channels were required to generate 0.5 pA of steady-state $Ca_V1.2$ current. On the other hand, we found that in female myocytes, 3 channels were sufficient to generate 0.65 pA of $Ca_V1.2$ current. These data are consistent with the work of Rubart et al.⁷⁰, which suggested that steady-state Ca^{2+} currents at -40 mV were likely produced by the opening of 2 $Ca_V1.2$ channels in rat cerebral artery smooth muscle cells.

The observation that a very small number of the conducting $K_V2.1$ and $Ca_V1.2$ channels are involved in the regulation of membrane potential and Ca^{2+} influx in male and female arterial myocytes at physiological membrane potentials is important for several reasons. *First*, the analysis suggests that small differences in the number of $K_V2.1$ and $Ca_V1.2$ channels can translate into large, functionally important differences in membrane potential and $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and hence affect and control myogenic tone under physiological and pathological conditions. *Second*, the small number of $K_V2.1$ and $Ca_V1.2$ channels gating between -40 and -30 mV likely makes smooth muscle cells more susceptible to stochastic fluctuations in the number and open probabilities of these channels than in cells where a large number of channels regulate membrane excitability and Ca^{2+} influx (e.g., adult ventricular myocyte⁷⁷). This, at least in part, likely contributes to Ca^{2+} signaling heterogeneity in vascular smooth muscle.

Hypertension fundamentally manifests through the spatial organization of cellular components, particularly evident in the context of the tunica media, the middle layer of vessels is predominantly constituted of smooth muscle cells which play a pivotal role in vessel contraction and relaxation^{71, 72}. Such intricate biological machinery is imperative in orchestrating the

regulation of blood flow and blood pressure. Our approach began with a process of distillation, aiming to shed light on cellular mechanisms within isolated vascular myocytes from small systemic vessels and arterioles, which control blood pressure, of both male and female mice.

Earlier research has confirmed that in mesenteric arteries, the pathogenesis leading to hypertension is largely determined by the downregulation of $K_V2.1$ ³⁶ and/or $K_V1.5$ ^{68, 78} and a concurrent increase in the activity of $Ca_V1.2$ ⁷⁹ channels. Building upon this knowledge, we broadened our study to encompass a one-dimensional (1D) tissue model of electrotonically linked tissue, achieved by connecting arterial myocytes in series. Such an approach allows us to develop a framework aimed at bridging the gap between understanding the combined effects of membrane potential and $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ in isolated cells and in the wider context of small vessels.

Crucially, this step is essential to deciphering and comprehending the behavior of both cells and vessels. These entities operate at different time scales, yet understanding their interactions is essential for creating a comprehensive model that explains how ion channels contribute to the composite electrical activity. This, in turn, provides a foundation for a more detailed understanding of vascular function and dysfunction.

For instance, previous studies have proposed that gap junctions enable vessels to function in a way that is analogous to a large capacitor^{58, 80}. The gap junctions actively filter and transform single-cell electrical activity into sustained responses across the tissue⁸⁰. Recent studies add to this understanding by demonstrating that Connexin 37 (Cx37), a component of these gap junctions, seems to be expressed in the mesenteric arteries⁸¹. In our simulations, we showed (**Figure 8A-B**) that indeed uncoupled cells exhibit a spontaneous oscillatory behavior which studies have confirmed is not an artifact due to isolation from the vessel but rather an intrinsic behavior required to sustain electrical signals. When the cells are connected (**Figure 8C**) the spontaneous hyperpolarization previously observed in the uncoupled cells diminished, the effect is attributed to the electrotonic coupling and consequential influence of neighboring cells. In addition, in our simulations, we found that it is required to have stochastic fluctuations to allow the system to average the membrane potential behavior that dictates the amount of $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ in the vessels.

Regarding, $Ca_V1.2$ channels, simulations forecast the clinically relevant concentrations (0.1 μM) at which common Ca^{2+} channel blockers (e.g., nifedipine) effectively block $Ca_V1.2$ channels in both male and female smooth muscle (**Figure 9**). The Hernandez-Hernandez model provides a computational framework and the first step toward the development of a virtual drug-screening system that can model drug-channel interactions in vascular myocytes.

Limitations

The model presented here describes the necessary and sufficient ion channels, pumps, and transporters to describe the electrical activity and Ca^{2+} signaling of an isolated mesenteric smooth muscle cell in the absence of complex signaling pathways. Such an approach enabled us to perform a component dissection to analyze the sex-specific differences observed in the electrophysiology of male and female myocytes. However, it is well known that vascular smooth muscle cells are subject to a plethora of stimuli from endothelial cells, neurotransmitters, endocrine, and paracrine signals⁵. The next phase of the project includes an expansion of the model to incorporate receptor mediated signaling pathways that are essential for blood pressure control.

Excitation-contraction coupling refers to an electrical stimulus that drives the release of calcium from the sarcoplasmic reticulum and results in the physical translocation of fibers that underlies muscle contraction. In the present model, we did not explicitly consider the mechanical description of muscle contraction. Nevertheless, we can imply its effects by tracking membrane potential and the elevation of $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ as a proxy.

To conclude, we developed and present the Hernandez-Hernandez model of male and female isolated mesenteric vascular myocytes. The model was informed and validated with experimental data from male and female vascular myocytes. We then used the model, to reveal sex-specific mechanisms of $K_v2.1$ and $Ca_v1.2$ channels in controlling membrane potential and Ca^{2+} dynamics. In doing so, we predicted that very few channels are needed to contribute to and sustain the oscillatory behavior of the membrane potential and calcium signaling. We expanded our computational framework to include a one-dimensional (1D) tissue representation, providing a basis for simulating the effect of drugs' effects within a vessel. The model predictions suggested differences in the response of male and female myocytes to drugs and the underlying mechanisms for those differences. These predictions may constitute the first step towards better hypertensive therapy for males and females.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Common Fund Grant OT2OD026580 (to C.E.C., L.F.S.), National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute grants R01HL128537 (C.E.C, L.F.S.), R01HL152681 (to C.E.C., L.F.S.). American Heart. UC Davis Department of Physiology and Membrane Biology Research Partnership Fund (to C.E.C.) as well as UC Davis T32 Predoctoral and Postdoctoral Training in Basic and Translational Cardiovascular Medicine fellowship supported in part by NHLBI Institutional Training Grant T32HL086350 (to C.M., G.H.H.)

References

1. Jacobsen J. C. B., et al. (2007) **Activation of a cGMP-sensitive calcium-dependent chloride channel may cause transition from calcium waves to whole cell oscillations in smooth muscle cells** *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol* **293**:H215–228
2. Kapela A., Bezerianos A., Tsoukias N. M (2008) **A mathematical model of Ca²⁺ dynamics in rat mesenteric smooth muscle cell: agonist and NO stimulation** *J. Theor. Biol* **253**:238–260
3. Yang J., Clark J. W., Bryan R. M., Robertson C (2003) **The myogenic response in isolated rat cerebrovascular arteries: smooth muscle cell model** *Med. Eng. Phys* **25**:691–709
4. Parthimos D., Edwards D. H., Griffith T. M (1999) **Minimal model of arterial chaos generated by coupled intracellular and membrane Ca²⁺ oscillators** *Am. J. Physiol* **277**:H1119–1144
5. Karlin A (2015) **Membrane potential and Ca²⁺ concentration dependence on pressure and vasoactive agents in arterial smooth muscle: A model** *J. Gen. Physiol* **146**:79–96
6. Bayliss W. M (1902) **On the local reactions of the arterial wall to changes of internal pressure** *J. Physiol* **28**:220–231
7. Welsh D. G., Morielli A. D., Nelson M. T., Brayden J. E (2002) **Transient Receptor Potential Channels Regulate Myogenic Tone of Resistance Arteries** *Circ. Res* **90**:248–250
8. Spassova M. A., Hewavitharana T., Xu W., Soboloff J., Gill D. L (2006) **A common mechanism underlies stretch activation and receptor activation of TRPC6 channels** *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **103**:16586–16591
9. Earley S., Waldron B. J., Brayden J. E (2004) **Critical Role for Transient Receptor Potential Channel TRPM4 in Myogenic Constriction of Cerebral Arteries** *Circ. Res* **95**:922–929
10. Earley S., Straub S. V., Brayden J. E (2007) **Protein kinase C regulates vascular myogenic tone through activation of TRPM4** *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol* **292**:H2613–2622
11. Sharif-Naeini R., et al. (2009) **Polycystin-1 and -2 Dosage Regulates Pressure Sensing** *Cell* **139**:587–596
12. Bulley S., et al. (2018) **Arterial smooth muscle cell PKD2 (TRPP1) channels regulate systemic blood pressure** *eLife* **7**
13. Moosmang S., et al. (2003) **Dominant role of smooth muscle L-type calcium channel Cav1.2 for blood pressure regulation** *EMBO J* **22**:6027–6034
14. Knot H. J., Nelson M. T (1998) **Regulation of arterial diameter and wall [Ca²⁺] in cerebral arteries of rat by membrane potential and intravascular pressure** *J. Physiol* **508**:199–209
15. Navedo M. F., Santana L. F (2013) **CaV1.2 sparklets in heart and vascular smooth muscle** *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol* **58**:67–76
16. Navedo M. F., Amberg G. C., Votaw V. S., Santana L. F (2005) **Constitutively active L-type Ca²⁺ channels** *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci* **102**:11112–11117

17. Navedo M. F., Amberg G. C., Nieves M., Molkentin J. D., Santana L. F (2006) **Mechanisms Underlying Heterogeneous Ca²⁺ Sparklet Activity in Arterial Smooth Muscle** *J. Gen. Physiol* **127**:611–622
18. Amberg G. C., Navedo M. F., Nieves-Cintrón M., Molkentin J. D., Santana L. F (2007) **Calcium sparklets regulate local and global calcium in murine arterial smooth muscle** *J. Physiol* **579**:187–201
19. Nelson M. T., Patlak J. B., Worley J. F., Standen N. B (1990) **Calcium channels, potassium channels, and voltage dependence of arterial smooth muscle tone** *Am. J. Physiol* **259**:C3–18
20. O'Dwyer S. C., et al. (2020) **Kv2.1 channels play opposing roles in regulating membrane potential, Ca²⁺ channel function, and myogenic tone in arterial smooth muscle** *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **117**:3858–3866
21. Amberg G. C., Santana L. F (2006) **Kv2 channels oppose myogenic constriction of rat cerebral arteries** *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol* **291**:C348–356
22. Nelson M. T., et al. (1995) **Relaxation of arterial smooth muscle by calcium sparks** *Science* **270**:633–637
23. Plane F., et al. (2005) **Heteromultimeric Kv1 channels contribute to myogenic control of arterial diameter** *Circ. Res* **96**:216–224
24. Sato D., et al. (2019) **A stochastic model of ion channel cluster formation in the plasma membrane** *J. Gen. Physiol* **151**:1116–1134
25. Ledoux J., Werner M. E., Brayden J. E., Nelson M. T (2006) **Calcium-Activated Potassium Channels and the Regulation of Vascular Tone** *Physiology* **21**:69–78
26. Brayden J. E., Nelson M. T (1992) **Regulation of Arterial Tone by Activation of Calcium-Dependent Potassium Channels** *Science* **256**:532–535
27. Jaggar J. H., Stevenson A. S., Nelson M. T (1998) **Voltage dependence of Ca²⁺ sparks in intact cerebral arteries** *Am. J. Physiol.-Cell Physiol* **274**:C1755–C1761
28. Knot H. J., Standen N. B., Nelson M. T (1998) **Ryanodine receptors regulate arterial diameter and wall [Ca²⁺] in cerebral arteries of rat via Ca²⁺-dependent K⁺ channels** *J. Physiol* **508**:211–221
29. Pucovsky V., Bolton T. B (2006) **Localisation, function and composition of primary Ca(2+) spark discharge region in isolated smooth muscle cells from guinea-pig mesenteric arteries** *Cell Calcium* **39**:113–129
30. Yuan X. J., Goldman W. F., Tod M. L., Rubin L. J., Blaustein M. P (1993) **Ionic currents in rat pulmonary and mesenteric arterial myocytes in primary culture and subculture** *Am. J. Physiol* **264**:L107–115
31. Jaggar, et al. (1998) **Ca²⁺ channels, ryanodine receptors and Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channels: a functional unit for regulating arterial tone: Ca²⁺ sparks in vascular smooth muscle** *Acta Physiol. Scand* **164**:577–587
32. Wang S.-Q., et al. (2004) **Imaging Microdomain Ca²⁺ in Muscle Cells** *Circ. Res* **94**:1011–1022

33. Zhong X. Z., et al. (2010) **Stromatoxin-sensitive, heteromultimeric Kv2.1/Kv9.3 channels contribute to myogenic control of cerebral arterial diameter** *J. Physiol* **588**:4519–4537
34. Archer S. L., et al. (1998) **Molecular identification of the role of voltage-gated K⁺ channels, Kv1.5 and Kv2.1, in hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction and control of resting membrane potential in rat pulmonary artery myocytes** *J. Clin. Invest* **101**:2319–2330
35. Lu Y., Hanna S. T., Tang G., Wang R (2002) **Contributions of Kv1.2, Kv1.5 and Kv2.1 subunits to the native delayed rectifier K(+) current in rat mesenteric artery smooth muscle cells** *Life Sci* **71**:1465–1473
36. Amberg G. C., Rossow C. F., Navedo M. F., Santana L. F (2004) **NFATc3 regulates Kv2.1 expression in arterial smooth muscle** *J. Biol. Chem* **279**:47326–47334
37. Pérez G. J., Bonev A. D., Nelson M. T (2001) **Micromolar Ca²⁺ from sparks activates Ca²⁺-sensitive K⁺ channels in rat cerebral artery smooth muscle** *Am. J. Physiol.-Cell Physiol* **281**:C1769–C1775
38. Pérez G. J., Bonev A. D., Patlak J. B., Nelson M. T (1999) **Functional coupling of ryanodine receptors to K_{Ca} channels in smooth muscle cells from rat cerebral arteries** *J. Gen. Physiol* **113**:229–238
39. Kaßmann M., et al. (2019) **Role of Ryanodine Type 2 Receptors in Elementary Ca²⁺ Signaling in Arteries and Vascular Adaptive Responses** *J. Am. Heart Assoc* **8**
40. ZhuGe R., et al. (1999) **The Influence of Sarcoplasmic Reticulum Ca²⁺ Concentration on Ca²⁺ Sparks and Spontaneous Transient Outward Currents in Single Smooth Muscle Cells** *J. Gen. Physiol* **113**:215–228
41. Luo C. H., Rudy Y (1994) **A dynamic model of the cardiac ventricular action potential I. Simulations of ionic currents and concentration changes.** *Circ. Res* **74**:1071–1096
42. Hernandez-Hernandez G., Alvarez-Lacalle E., Shiferaw Y (2015) **Role of connectivity and fluctuations in the nucleation of calcium waves in cardiac cells.** *Phys Rev. E* **92**
43. Greene D., Shiferaw Y (2021) **Mechanistic link between CaM-RyR2 interactions and the genesis of cardiac arrhythmia** *Biophys. J* **120**:1469–1482
44. Shiferaw Y., Watanabe M. A., Garfinkel A., Weiss J. N., Karma A (2003) **Model of Intracellular Calcium Cycling in Ventricular Myocytes** *Biophys. J* **85**:3666–3686
45. Kernik D. C., et al. (2019) **A computational model of induced pluripotent stem-cell derived cardiomyocytes incorporating experimental variability from multiple data sources** *J. Physiol* **597**:4533–4564
46. Goldwyn J. H., Shea-Brown E (2011) **The What and Where of Adding Channel Noise to the Hodgkin-Huxley Equations** *PLOS Comput. Biol* **7**
47. Tanskanen A. J., Alvarez L. H. R (2007) **Voltage noise influences action potential duration in cardiac myocytes** *Math. Biosci* **208**:125–146
48. Shaw R. M., Rudy Y (1997) **Ionic Mechanisms of Propagation in Cardiac Tissue** *Circ. Res* **81**:727–741

49. Jack J., Noble D., Tsien R. (1975) **Electric Current Flow In Excitable Cells** *Clarendon Press Oxf*
50. Hodgkin A. L., Rushton W. A. H., Adrian E. D (1997) **The electrical constants of a crustacean nerve fibre** *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B -Biol. Sci* **133**:444–479
51. Yamamoto Y., Klemm M. F., Edwards F. R., Suzuki H (2001) **Intercellular electrical communication among smooth muscle and endothelial cells in guinea-pig mesenteric arterioles** *J. Physiol* **535**:181–195
52. Sobie E. A (2009) **Parameter Sensitivity Analysis in Electrophysiological Models Using Multivariable Regression** *Biophys. J* **96**:1264–1274
53. Hill-Eubanks D. C., Werner M. E., Heppner T. J., Nelson M. T (2011) **Calcium signaling in smooth muscle** *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol* **3**
54. Fleischmann B. K., Murray R. K., Kotlikoff M. I (1994) **Voltage window for sustained elevation of cytosolic calcium in smooth muscle cells** *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **91**:11914–11918
55. Bao L., Cox D. H (2005) **Gating and ionic currents reveal how the BKCa channel's Ca²⁺ sensitivity is enhanced by its beta1 subunit** *J. Gen. Physiol* **126**:393–412
56. Brenner R., et al. (2000) **Vasoregulation by the $\beta 1$ subunit of the calcium-activated potassium channel** *Nature* **407**:870–876
57. Tong W.-C., et al. (2011) **A computational model of the ionic currents, Ca²⁺ dynamics and action potentials underlying contraction of isolated uterine smooth muscle** *PloS One* **6**
58. Jaggar J. H., Porter V. A., Lederer W. J., Nelson M. T (2000) **Calcium sparks in smooth muscle** *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol* **278**:C235–256
59. Zhuge R., Fogarty K. E., Tuft R. A., Walsh J. V (2002) **Spontaneous transient outward currents arise from microdomains where BK channels are exposed to a mean Ca(2+) concentration on the order of 10 microM during a Ca(2+) spark** *J. Gen. Physiol* **120**:15–27
60. Dwyer L., et al. (2011) **Basally activated nonselective cation currents regulate the resting membrane potential in human and monkey colonic smooth muscle** *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol* **301**:G287–296
61. Setoguchi M., Ohya Y., Abe I., Fujishima M (1997) **Stretch-activated whole-cell currents in smooth muscle cells from mesenteric resistance artery of guinea-pig** *J. Physiol* **501**:343–353
62. Nakamura Y., Ohya Y., Abe I., Fujishima M (1999) **Sodium-potassium pump current in smooth muscle cells from mesenteric resistance arteries of the guinea-pig** *J. Physiol* **519**:203–212
63. ten Tusscher K. H. W. J., Noble D., Noble P. J., Panfilov A. V (2004) **A model for human ventricular tissue** *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol* **286**:H1573–1589
64. Kargacin G. J (1994) **Calcium signaling in restricted diffusion spaces** *Biophys. J* **67**:262–272
65. Désilets M., Driska S. P., Baumgarten C. M (1989) **Current fluctuations and oscillations in smooth muscle cells from hog carotid artery. Role of the sarcoplasmic reticulum** *Circ. Res* **65**:708–722

66. Bychkov R., Gollasch M., Ried C., Luft F. C., Haller H (1997) **Regulation of spontaneous transient outward potassium currents in human coronary arteries** *Circulation* **95**:503–510
67. Bae Y. M., Park M. K., Lee S. H., Ho W. K., Earm Y. E (1999) **Contribution of Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channels and non-selective cation channels to membrane potential of pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells of the rabbit** *J. Physiol* **514**:747–758
68. Sung D. J., et al. (2013) **Serotonin contracts the rat mesenteric artery by inhibiting 4-aminopyridine-sensitive Kv channels via the 5-HT_{2A} receptor and Src tyrosine kinase** *Exp. Mol. Med* **45**
69. Bratz I. N., Swafford A. N., Kanagy N. L., Dick G. M (2005) **Reduced functional expression of K(+) channels in vascular smooth muscle cells from rats made hypertensive with N{omega}-nitro-L-arginine** *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol* **289**:H1284–1290
70. Rubart M., Patlak J. B., Nelson M. T (1996) **Ca²⁺ currents in cerebral artery smooth muscle cells of rat at physiological Ca²⁺ concentrations** *J. Gen. Physiol* **107**:459–472
71. Mulvany M. J., Aalkjaer C (1990) **Structure and function of small arteries** *Physiol. Rev* **70**:921–961
72. Martinez-Lemus L. A (2012) **The Dynamic Structure of Arterioles** *Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol* **110**:5–11
73. Heppner D. B., Plonsey R (1970) **Simulation of electrical interaction of cardiac cells** *Biophys. J* **10**:1057–1075
74. Rudy Y., Quan W. L (1987) **A model study of the effects of the discrete cellular structure on electrical propagation in cardiac tissue** *Circ. Res* **61**:815–823
75. Henriquez C. S., Plonsey R (1987) **Effect of resistive discontinuities on waveshape and velocity in a single cardiac fibre** *Med. Biol. Eng. Comput* **25**:428–438
76. Earley S., Brayden J. E (2015) **Transient Receptor Potential Channels in the Vasculature** *Physiol. Rev* **95**:645–690
77. Guarina L., et al. (2022) **Biological noise is a key determinant of the reproducibility and adaptability of cardiac pacemaking and EC coupling** *J. Gen. Physiol* **154**
78. Bae Y. M., et al. (2006) **Serotonin depolarizes the membrane potential in rat mesenteric artery myocytes by decreasing voltage-gated K⁺ currents** *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun* **347**:468–476
79. Navedo M. F., et al. (2010) **Increased Coupled Gating of L-Type Ca²⁺ Channels During Hypertension and Timothy Syndrome** *Circ. Res* **106**:748–756
80. Diep H. K., Vigmond E. J., Segal S. S., Welsh D. G (2005) **Defining electrical communication in skeletal muscle resistance arteries: a computational approach** *J. Physiol* **568**:267–281
81. Earley S., Resta T. C., Walker B. R (2004) **Disruption of smooth muscle gap junctions attenuates myogenic vasoconstriction of mesenteric resistance arteries** *Am. J. Physiol.-Heart Circ. Physiol* **287**:H2677–H2686

Author information

Gonzalo Hernandez-Hernandez

Department of Physiology & Membrane Biology

ORCID iD: [0000-0001-6940-9005](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6940-9005)

Samantha C. O'Dwyer

Department of Physiology & Membrane Biology

ORCID iD: [0000-0001-5334-9984](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5334-9984)

Collin Matsumoto

Department of Physiology & Membrane Biology

ORCID iD: [0000-0002-5370-1156](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5370-1156)

Mindy Tieu

Department of Physiology & Membrane Biology

Zhihui Fong

Department of Physiology & Membrane Biology

ORCID iD: [0000-0002-0521-0088](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0521-0088)

Pei-Chi Yang

Department of Physiology & Membrane Biology

ORCID iD: [0000-0002-5753-1131](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5753-1131)

Timothy J. Lewis

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Davis, California, 95616

L. Fernando Santana

For correspondence: ceclancy@ucdavis.edu

ORCID iD: [0000-0002-4297-8029](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4297-8029)

Colleen E. Clancy

Center for Precision Medicine and Data Science, University of California School of Medicine, Davis, California, 95616

For correspondence: ceclancy@ucdavis.edu

ORCID iD: [0000-0001-6849-4885](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6849-4885)

Editors

Reviewing Editor

Olujimi Ajijola

University of California, Los Angeles, United States of America

Senior Editor

Olujimi Ajijola

University of California, Los Angeles, United States of America

Reviewer #1 (Public Review):

Summary:

The authors developed computational models that capture the electrical and Ca^{2+} signaling behavior in mesenteric arterial cells from male and female mice. A baseline model was first formulated with eleven transmembrane currents and three calcium compartments. Sex-specific differences in the L-type calcium channel and two voltage-gated potassium channels were then tuned based on experimental measurements. To incorporate the stochastic ion channel openings seen in smooth muscle cells under physiological conditions, noise was added to the membrane potential and the sarcoplasmic Ca^{2+} concentration equations. Finally, the models were assembled into 1D vessel representations and used to investigate the tissue-level electrical response to an L-type calcium channel blocker.

Strengths:

A major strength of the paper is that the modeling studies were performed on three different scales: individual ionic currents, whole-cell, and 1D tissue. This comprehensive computational framework can help provide mechanistic insight into arterial myocyte function that might be difficult to achieve through traditional experimental methods.

The authors aimed to develop sex-specific computational models of mesenteric arterial myocytes and demonstrate their use in drug-testing applications. Throughout the paper, model behavior was both validated by experimental recordings and supported by previously published data. The main findings from the models suggested that sex-specific differences in membrane potential and Ca^{2+} handling are attributable to variability in the gating of a small number of voltage-gated potassium channels and L-type calcium channels. This variability contributes to a higher Ca^{2+} channel blocker sensitivity in female arterial vessels. Overall, the study successfully met the aims of the paper.

Weaknesses:

A main weakness of the paper, as addressed by the authors, is the simplicity of the 1D vessel model; it does not take into account various signaling pathways or interactions with other cell types which could impact smooth muscle electrophysiology. Another potential shortcoming is the use of mouse data for optimizing the model, as there could be discrepancies in signaling behavior that limit the translatability to human myocyte predictions.

Reviewer #2 (Public Review):

In this study, Hernandez-Hernandez et al developed a gender-dependent mathematical model of arterial myocytes based on a previous model and new experimental data. The ionic currents of the model and its sex difference were formulated based on patch-clamp experimental data, and the model properties were compared with single-cell and tissue scale experimental results. This is a study that is of importance for the modeling field as well as for experimental physiology.

Reviewer #3 (Public Review):

Summary:

This hybrid experimental/computational study by Hernandez-Hernandez sheds new light on sex-specific differences between male and female arterial myocytes from resistance arteries. The authors conduct careful experiments in isolated myocytes from male and female mice to obtain the data needed to parameterize sex-specific models of two important ionic currents (i.e., those mediated by $\text{CaV}1.2$ and $\text{KV}2.1$). Available experimental data suggest that $\text{KV}1.5$ channel currents from male and female myocytes are similar, but simulations conducted in the novel Hernandez-Hernandez sex-specific models provide a more nuanced view. This gives rise to the first of the authors' three key scientific claims: (1) In males, $\text{KV}1.5$ is the

dominant current regulating membrane potential; whereas, in females, KV2.1 plays a primary role in voltage regulation. They further show that this (2) the latter distinction drives sex-specific differences in intracellular Ca^{2+} and cellular excitability. Finally, working with one-dimensional models comprising several copies of the male/female myocyte models linked by resistive junctions, they use simulations to (3) predict that the sensitivity of arterial smooth muscle to Ca^{2+} channel-blocking drugs commonly used to treat hypertension is heightened in female compared to male cells.

Strengths:

- The Methodology is described in exquisite detail in straightforward language that will be easy to understand for most if not all peer groups working in computational physiology. The authors have deployed standard protocols (e.g., parameter fitting as described by Kernik et al., sensitivity analysis as described by Sobie et al.) and appropriate brief explanations of these techniques are provided. The manoeuvre used to represent stochastic effects on voltage dynamics is particularly clever and something I have not personally encountered before. Collectively, these strengthen the credibility of the model and greatly enrich the manuscript.
- Broadly speaking, the Results section describes findings that robustly support the three key scientific claims outlined in my summary. While there is certainly room for further discussion of some nuanced points as outlined below, it is evident these experiments were carefully designed and carried out with care and intentionality. In the present version of the manuscript, there are a few figures in which experimental data is shown side-by-side with outputs from the corresponding models. These are an excellent illustration of the power of the authors' novel sex-specific computational simulation platform. I think these figures will benefit from some modest additional quantitative analysis to substantiate the similarities between experimental and computational data, but there is already clear evidence of a good match.

Areas for Improvement:

- The authors used experimental data from a prior publication to calibrate their model of the BKCa current. As indicated in the manuscript, these data are for channel activity measured in a heterologous expression system (*Xenopus* oocytes). A similar principle applies to other major ion channels/pumps/etc. Is it possible there might be relevant sex-specific differences in these players as well? In the context of the present work, this feels like an important potential caveat to highlight, in case male/female differences in the activity of BKCa or other currents might influence model-predicted differences (e.g., the relative importance of KV1.5 and KV2.1). This should be discussed, and, if possible, related to the elegant sensitivity analysis presented in Fig. 5C (which shows, for example, that the models are relatively insensitive to variation in GBK).
- The authors state that their model can be expanded to 2D/3D applications, "transitioning seamlessly from single-cell to tissue-level simulations". I would like to see more discussion of this. For example, given the modest complexity of the cell-scale model, how considerable would the computational burden be to implement a large network model of a subset of the human female or male arterial system? Are there sex-specific differences in vessel and/or network macro-structure that would need to be considered? How would this influence feasibility? Rather than a 1D cable as implemented here, I imagine a multi-scale implementation would involve the representation of myocytes wrapped around vessels. How would the behaviour of such a system differ from the authors' presented work using a 1D representation of 100 myocytes coupled end-to-end? Could these differences partially explain why the traces in Fig. 8D are smoother than those in Fig. 8C? From my standpoint, discussing these points would enrich the paper.
- The nifedipine data presented in Fig. 9 are quite compelling, and a nice demonstration of the potential power of the new models. How does this relate to what is known about the clinical male/female responses to nifedipine? Are there sex differences in drug efficacy?