

Piezo1 mechanosensing regulates integrin-dependent chemotactic migration in human T cells

Reviewed Preprint

Revised by authors after peer review.

About eLife's process

Reviewed preprint version 2
January 4, 2024 (this version)

Reviewed preprint version 1
October 12, 2023

Posted to preprint server
August 22, 2023

Sent for peer review
August 21, 2023

Chinky Shiu Chen Liu, Tithi Mandal, Parijat Biswas, Md. Asmaul Hoque, Purbita Bandopadhyay, Bishnu Prasad Sinha, Jafar Sarif, Ranit D'Rozario, Deepak Kumar Sinha, Bidisha Sinha, Dipyaman Ganguly 

IICB-Translational Research Unit of Excellence, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Kolkata, India • Department of Biological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata, India • Department of Biological Sciences, Indian Association for Cultivation of Science, Kolkata, India • Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research, Ghaziabad, India

 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open_access

 Copyright information

Abstract

T cells are crucial for efficient antigen-specific immune responses and thus their migration within the body, to inflamed tissues from circulating blood or to secondary lymphoid organs, play a very critical role. T cell extravasation in inflamed tissues depends on chemotactic cues and interaction between endothelial adhesion molecules and cellular integrins. A migrating T cell is expected to sense diverse external and membrane-intrinsic mechano-physical cues, but molecular mechanisms of such mechanosensing in cell migration are not established. We explored if the professional mechanosensor Piezo1 play any role during integrin-dependent chemotaxis of human T cells. We found that deficiency of Piezo1 in human T cells interfered with integrin-dependent cellular motility on ICAM-1-coated surface. Piezo1 recruitment at the leading edge of moving T cells is dependent on and follows focal adhesion formation at the leading edge and local increase in membrane tension on chemokine receptor activation. Piezo1 recruitment and activation, followed by calcium influx and calpain activation, in turn are crucial for the integrin LFA-1 (CD11a/CD18) recruitment at the leading edge of the chemotactic human T cells. Thus, we find that Piezo1 activation in response to local mechanical cues constitutes a membrane-intrinsic component of the 'outside-in' signaling in human T cells, migrating in response to chemokines, that mediates integrin recruitment to the leading edge.

eLife assessment

This study provides **useful** insights into the subcellular localization, interaction with integrins, and functional importance of the cell surface receptor Piezo1 in migrating human T-cells. Whether Piezo1 is critically sensing mechano-physical cues during T-cell migration is however not well supported by direct experimental evidence. The data collected is **solid** otherwise.

Introduction

Efficient T cell migration from blood circulation to secondary lymphoid organs and inflamed tissue is paramount for optimal tissue antigen sampling and effector functions during adaptive immune response (1–4). The process is dependent on chemotactic cues, interaction between endothelial adhesion molecules and T cell integrins, and an intricate acto-myosin dynamics (5). Generation of mechanical force is critical to propel migrating cells (6–9). Mechanosensing of the substrate and increase in cellular membrane tension is also intuitively apparent in a migrating T cell, although mechanistic details are not explored to a great extent.

Piezo1 and Piezo2 ion channels are evolutionarily conserved professional mechanosensors that can sense increase in membrane tension mostly independent of other protein-protein interactions (10–12). Mechanosensing by Piezo1 has been demonstrated to play critical role in diverse patho-physiologic contexts given its wide tissue expression including the immunocellular compartment (13–21). We previously identified crucial role of this specialized mechanotransducer in optimal TCR triggering (14, 19). The immunocellular expression of Piezo1 thus makes it a strong candidate for mechanosensing at the plasma membrane to regulate motility of immune cells. Piezo1 shows differential involvement in cellular migration depending on context (22–28). Previous studies point to the possibility of a role of Piezo1 in confinement sensing and integrin activation in moving cells, while it deters integrin-independent cell motility, usually referred to as amoeboid movement (29, 30).

Here we aimed at exploring if Piezo1 mechanosensing plays any role during integrin-dependent chemotactic migration of human T cell migration, a patho-physiologically critical event in an ongoing immune response as well as in the steady-state. We found that deficiency of Piezo1 expression in human CD4⁺ T cells as well as Jurkat T cells interfered with efficient integrin-dependent cellular motility in response to a chemotactic cue. We also found that Piezo1 activation constitutes a hitherto unknown membrane-intrinsic component of the ‘outside-in’ signaling in human T cells in response to chemokine receptor activation, that follows activation of focal adhesion kinase leading to local increase in membrane tension and mediates local recruitment of integrin molecules at the leading edge of the moving human T cells.

Results

Piezo1 deficiency abrogates integrin-dependent chemotactic motility in human T cells

We first assessed the role of Piezo1 on integrin-dependent, non-directional motility of primary human CD4⁺ T cells. We used GFP plasmid and Piezo1 siRNA co-transfected cells to facilitate distinction between potentially transfected and untransfected cells during cell tracking. We compared the motility of GFP⁺ T cells (presuming they had a good transfection efficiency and thus will also have the siRNA) with the GFP⁻ T cells in the same culture. This was confirmed by flow cytometric assessment of Piezo1 protein content on the T cell surface as well as the whole T cells (Supplemental figures 1B, C). Piezo1 deficiency in T cells (potentially GFP⁺) significantly hindered their migration in response to CCL19 in terms of its mean-squared displacement (MSD, **Figure 1A–C**). Representative cell tracks of Piezo1 deficient (GFP⁺) and control (GFP⁻) are shown in **Figure 1B**, supplementary figure 1D and supplementary video 1. These results were further confirmed in a separate experiment where Piezo1 KD cells showed significant reduction in motility compared to control siRNA-transfected cells (Supplementary figure 1E).




Figure 1.

Piezo1 deficiency abrogates integrin-dependent motility in human T cells which is mediated through redistribution of Piezo1 at the leading edge in response to chemokine stimulation.

A. MSD versus time calculated for GFP⁺ (potential Piezo1-knockdown cells) and GFP⁻ (potential control cells) in GFP plasmid and Piezo1 siRNA co-transfected human CD4⁺ T lymphocytes, that were allowed to migrate in presence of recombinant CCL19 on ICAM1-coated dishes. B. Representative tracks of GFP⁺ and GFP⁻ CD4⁺ T lymphocytes. Comparisons of C. % GFP⁺ cells after 72 hours of nucleofection D & E. 3D transwell migration assay of siRNA-transfected primary human CD4⁺ T lymphocytes (D) and Jurkat T cells (E), respectively. Data representative of at least 3 independent experiments. F. Representative confocal images of Piezo1 distribution in fixed untreated and CCL19-treated CD4⁺ T lymphocytes. 63X oil magnification. G. Comparison between Piezo1 polarity index calculated for fixed, stained, human CD4⁺ T lymphocytes with or without 0.5μg/ml CCL19 treatment for 20-30 minutes. N > 510 random cells, each. H. Piezo1 polarity index calculated for Jurkat cells, expressing mCherry-tagged Piezo1 during live-cell tracking in the presence of recombinant SDF1α. Each dot represents polarity index of each cell, averaged over all the time-frames. I. Representative time kinetics of particle image velocimetry (PIV) analysis of Piezo1-mcherry transfected Jurkat cells, allowed to move on ICAM-coated dishes in the presence of recombinant SDF1α. Top panel: No chemokine. Bottom Panel: SDF1α.

ICAM1-coated transwell chemotaxis assay of human CD4⁺ T lymphocytes and Jurkat T cells also showed significant reduction in migration upon siRNA-mediated Piezo1 knockdown, in response to CCL19 and SDF1 α gradients, respectively (**Figure. 1D, E** [↗](#)). Piezo1 inhibition with GsMTx4 also led to significant impairment of transwell chemotaxis (Supplementary figure 1F).

Redistribution of Piezo1 toward the leading edge of migrating T cells in response to chemotactic cue

Cell polarity is a crucial aspect of directional cell motility in response to chemokines. CD4⁺ T cells seeded on ICAM-1 bed showed striking polarity in Piezo1 distribution, upon stimulation with CCL19 for 15 minutes (**Figure 1F, G** [↗](#); Supplemental video 2). Piezo1 m-Cherry expressing Jurkat T cells also showed striking leading edge polarity in the presence of SDF1 α under ICAM1 adhesion (**Figure 1H** [↗](#)). In **Figure 1I** [↗](#), particle image velocimetry (PIV) analysis of a single representative Jurkat cell has been shown to display the dynamically polarized Piezo1 fluorescence upon SDF1 α treatment with time, as opposed to rather uniformly distributed Piezo1 signals in a representative untreated cell. The continuous redistribution of Piezo1 within the motile T cells towards the leading edge can also be appreciated in the representative moving image provided (Supplemental video 3).

Piezo1 redistribution in migrating T cells follow increased membrane tension in the leading edge

Piezo1 is a professional mechanosensor ion channel, which senses increase in plasma membrane tension and responds by driving calcium influx into the cells ([14](#) [↗](#), [19](#) [↗](#), [31](#) [↗](#)). As T cells migrate on substrate by extending membrane processes, it is intuitive to hypothesize that a component of mechanosensing is incorporated in the mechanism of cellular movement. The data above, on a critical role of Piezo1 in migrating human T cells, also led us to hypothesize that Piezo1 mechanosensors may play a role in sensing mechanical cues in a moving T cell. So, first we aimed at confirming that there is polarized increase in tension in the leading edge plasma membrane of human T cells, moving in response to a chemotactic cue.

Cellular membrane tension can be measured by various techniques. However, most of the usual techniques, viz. atomic force microscopy or tension measurement using optical tweezers, are invasive and interfere with the membrane dynamics, as well as are difficult to employ on moving cells. We utilized the non-invasive technique of interference reflection microscopy or IRM for studying potential redistribution of membrane tension following chemokine stimulation ([32](#) [↗](#), [33](#) [↗](#)). In IRM technique, steady-state local fluctuations in the plasma membrane is imaged in cells adhered to a glass coverslip. The interference patterns generated due to continuously changing local distance between the coverslip and the plasma membrane are computed to derive the magnitude of local tension in the membrane – lower temporal fluctuations represent an increase in membrane tension (**Figure. 2A** [↗](#)). After baseline imaging, Piezo1-GFP expressing Jurkat cells were subjected to sequential fluorescence and IRM imaging post SDF1 α addition which was followed over time. Chemokine administration to Jurkat T cells led to a decrease in temporal fluctuations and an increase in membrane tension when computed for the whole cellular contour (**Figure 2B** [↗](#)). The increase in membrane tension was registered within few minutes after chemokine addition and gradually declined over time (**Figure 2C** [↗](#)). Distribution of tension and fluctuation-amplitude in cells was also affected by the action of chemokine (Supplemental figure 2A) which was accompanied by increase in the membrane's degree of confinement and the effective viscosity experienced (Supplemental figure 2C & D). Moreover, the tension maps showed that the lamellipodial structures towards the leading edges of the cells had higher tension magnitudes (**Figure 2D** [↗](#), Supplemental figure 2B). These high-tension edges are usually further emphasized at later time-points.




Figure 2.

Piezo1 redistribution in migrating T cells follow increased membrane tension in the leading edge A.

Schematic illustration of interference reflection microscopy imaging setup. **B.** Probability distribution of amplitude of temporal fluctuations (SD_{time} , left) and tension (right) before and after addition of chemokines. **C.** Temporal trajectory of tension of cells before and after addition of chemokine with ** indicating significant difference from control. **D.** Representative IRM images of Jurkat cells (top), corresponding tension maps (middle) and R^2 maps (bottom), before and after chemokine treatment. **E** Correlation between normalized Piezo intensity, tension magnitudes with time. **F.** Scatter plot to show correlation between normalized pixel count of Piezo1 and normalized tension. Piezo1 intensity at specific time-points were correlated with tension magnitudes at the preceding timepoints. Normalization has been done such that the maximum value is set to 1 and others are accordingly scaled. **G.** Colour-coded Piezo1-GFP intensity map (left), epifluorescence image (middle), and tension map (right) of representative Piezo1-GFP expressing Jurkat cell, after 30 minutes of 0.1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of SDF1 α treatment.

Finally, we explored if local membrane tension increase was linked to Piezo1 redistribution in the chemokine-experienced T cells. Of note here, during acquisition, there is typically a time delay of 1 minute between measurement of tension and Piezo1-GFP fluorescence. As expected, average tension peaked within the first few minutes of chemokine addition, followed by partial decline (**Figure 2E**) as seen for the whole cell. Pixel count of Piezo1-GFP intensity showed a similar pattern, albeit, at a delayed time, following the tension kinetics. In order to account for the delayed kinetics of tension magnitude and Piezo1-GFP pixel count, we performed a correlation between normalised Piezo1 pixel intensity at a particular time-frame, with normalised tension magnitude at the preceding time frame. We observed significant positive correlation between the two parameters (**Figure 2F, G**). Thus, IRM-coupled fluorescence microscopy revealed an increase in local membrane tension in the leading edge of the moving T cells in response to chemokine receptor activation that was associated with Piezo1 redistribution to the leading edges of the cells.

Focal adhesion following chemokine receptor activation does not depend on Piezo1

Focal adhesion formation is a critical step in cellular migration and activated phosphorylated focal adhesion kinases link extracellular physical cues to the cytoskeletal actomyosin scaffold (34, 35). Chemokine signaling induces phosphorylation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK) and membrane recruitment of the FAK complex forming focal adhesions with the extracellular substrate. FAK recruits adapter proteins like paxillin to anchor with the local sub-membrane cytoskeleton made by de novo F-actin polymerization. Membrane-recruited FAK complexes anchored to cytoskeleton increases local membrane tension as well as recruit integrins to the membrane (36, 6, 37). This so-called ‘outside-in’ signaling, whereby membrane recruitment of integrins (e.g.; LFA1 in T cells) is initiated, is followed by integrin signaling (‘inside-out’ signaling) driven primarily by phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-driven phosphorylation of Akt and downstream activation of Rho GTPases which in turn drive extensive F-actin polymerization (38, 39). Retrograde flow of the F-actin to the rear end of the moving cells, through myosin-based contractions, generates the propelling force for cells to move forward following the chemokine gradient (6, 7).

Finding a critical role of Piezo1 in human T cell migration and a membrane tension-dependent redistribution of Piezo1 to the leading edge of the moving cells led us to explore if FAK recruitment to the leading edge in response to chemokine stimulation was dependent on Piezo1. While phosphorylated FAK (pFAK) showed striking polarity upon CCL19 stimulation on ICAM-1 beds (**Figure 3A, B**, Supplementary video 4), Piezo1 knockdown did not have any effect on pFAK polarity under the same conditions (**Figure 3C, D**). We observed similar results upon examining distribution of paxillin which is another critical component of focal adhesions. Paxillin showed increased polarity upon CCL19 stimulation (**Figure 3E, F**) and its polarity remained unaffected upon Piezo1 KD (**Figure 3G, H**). We also did not observe any changes in pFAK and paxillin signal intensity upon Piezo1 knockdown in CCL19-stimulated cells (Supplementary figure 3A, B, respectively). However, pFAK showed striking co-localization with Piezo1 upon CCL19 stimulation (Supplementary figure 3C). Thus, focal adhesion formation following chemokine receptor activation was not dependent on Piezo1. FAK activation recruits adapters like paxillin and vinculin connecting the cortical cytoskeleton to the membrane and the extracellular matrix, thereby increasing local membrane tension (34). Inhibition of FAK activation completely abrogated Piezo1 redistribution to the leading edge, hence the polarity (**Figure 3I, J**). This indicated that mechanistically, FAK activation event precedes Piezo1 redistribution to the leading edge of the migrating human CD4⁺ T cells.




Figure 3.

Focal adhesion following chemokine receptor activation does not depend on Piezo1

A. Representative confocal images of human CD4⁺ T lymphocytes, fixed and stained for phospho-FAK (pFAK) under untreated and CCL19-treated conditions. **B.** Increased polarity of pFAK upon chemokine stimulation of CD4⁺ T lymphocytes as compared to unstimulated controls. $N > 120$ cells, each. **C.** Representative confocal images of pFAK distribution in control and Piezo1 siRNA-transfected CD4⁺ T lymphocytes stimulated with chemokine. **D.** Comparison of pFAK polarity in CCL19-stimulated control and Piezo1-knockdown CD4⁺ T lymphocytes. $n > 450$ random cells, each. **E.** Representative confocal images of paxillin distribution in untreated versus CCL19-stimulated CD4⁺ T lymphocytes. **F.** Increased paxillin polarity in response to CCL19 stimulation as compared to untreated CD4⁺ T lymphocytes. Untreated $n > 70$, CCL19-treated $n > 150$. **G.** Representative confocal images of immunostained paxillin in chemokine-stimulated, control and Piezo1-knockdown CD4⁺ T lymphocytes. **H.** Comparison of paxillin polarity in CCL19-stimulated control and Piezo1-knockdown CD4⁺ T lymphocytes. $n > 550$ random cells, each. **I.** Representative confocal images of stained Piezo1 in CD4⁺ T cells stimulated with CCL19 in the presence or absence of FAK inhibitor 14. **J.** Effect of FAK inhibition on Piezo1 polarity in CD4⁺ T lymphocytes stimulated with recombinant CCL19 versus untreated cells. $n > 600$ random cells, each. Data represented from at least 3 independent experiments.

Membrane recruitment of LFA1 on chemokine receptor activation disrupts with Piezo1 deficiency

Integrin recruitment to the leading edge occurs downstream of FAK activation and local F-actin polymerization. As expected, CCL19 stimulation led to LFA1 recruitment to the leading edge upon CCL19 stimulation on ICAM1 bed (**Figure 4A, B** [↗](#); Supplemental video 5). Moreover, Piezo1 and LFA1 (CD11a) exhibited significant colocalization upon chemokine stimulation (**Figure 4C** [↗](#)). Piezo1 downregulation abrogated LFA-1 polarity (**Figure 4D, E** [↗](#); Supplemental videos 6, 7). Thus, role of Piezo1 in chemotactic migration of T cells was downstream of FAK assembly in response to chemokine receptor signaling, but preceded integrins recruitment. These data together point to a mechanism whereby local increase in membrane tension leading from FAK activation and assembly drives Piezo1 activation, which in turn is linked to LFA1 recruitment to the focal adhesions.

To further confirm this critical role of Piezo1 on LFA1 recruitment, we looked at the major signaling event downstream of LFA1 recruitment to the leading edge, i.e., phosphorylation of Akt downstream of PI3K activation, which in turn is triggered upon integrin activation. On treatment with CCL19, human CD4⁺ T cells moving on ICAM-1-coated plates did show a prominent leading-edge polarity of non-phosphorylated and phosphorylated Akt (pAkt) (**Figure 4F** [↗](#), control panel). But in Piezo1-deficient cells the polarity of pAkt is abrogated (**Figure 4G** [↗](#)), not affecting the polarity of non-phosphorylated AKT (**Figure 4H** [↗](#); Supplemental videos 8, 9), substantiating the cellular signaling defect due to non-recruitment of LFA1 to the leading edge membrane.

Piezo1 deficiency disrupts F-actin retrograde flow in T cells despite chemokine receptor activation

Piezo1-mediated sensing of membrane tension drives calcium ion influx, which in turn has been shown to activate calpain and F-actin polymerization ([14](#) [↗](#), [19](#) [↗](#)). LFA1 recruitment is driven by local actin scaffold formation following FAK assembly, which as our data indicates is perhaps contributed by consolidation local actin scaffold downstream of Piezo1 activation. To confirm this, we performed Ca²⁺ imaging in Piezo1-mCherry transfected Jurkat Cells using total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscope in response to chemokine. We found significant colocalization of the Ca²⁺ signal with the Piezo1 signal on the chemokine treated Jurkat cells (**Figure 5A, B** [↗](#); Supplemental figure 4A, B). Next to confirm that activation of calpain mediates the Piezo1 function, we observed that prior inhibition with calpain inhibitor PD150606 abrogated CCL19-induced LFA1 recruitment to the leading edge of the T cells (**Figure 5C, D** [↗](#)). As expected, the upstream event of pFAK polarity was not affected by the calpain inhibition (Supplemental figure 4C, D).

While F-actin polymerization downstream of FAK is required for LFA1 recruitment, LFA1 in turn drives a more robust F-actin polymerization at the leading edge, through Akt/Rho GTPase activation. Leading edge F-actin undergoes retrograde flow due to myosin contractions, thereby enabling cells to move forward. Thus, both at the leading edge lamellipodia and the rear edge F-actin redistribution can be documented in a motile cell. We observed focal co-localization of LFA1 with F-actin at the leading edge, along with a denser actin-rich protrusion in the rear edge or the uropod (**Figure 5C** [↗](#)), which was abolished upon calpain inhibition (**Figure 5C, E** [↗](#)). Hence, LFA1 recruitment may depend on local F-actin polarization downstream of Piezo1 activation. On the other hand, failure of LFA1 recruitment and activation halts further F-actin polarization feeding the retrograde flow of actin during migration.

Dichotomy of Piezo1 (dense leading edge distribution) and F-actin (more dense at the rear end) distribution was clearly demonstrated in cells stimulated with chemokine (**Figure 6A** [↗](#) and Supplemental video 10). But, no F-actin polarity was seen on downregulating Piezo1 in the T cells (**Figure 6B, C** [↗](#); Supplemental videos 11, 12). Live cell imaging of Jurkat T cells expressing Piezo1-




Figure 4.

Membrane recruitment of LFA1 on chemokine receptor activation disrupts with Piezo1 deficiency.

A. Representative confocal images of fixed, immunostained Piezo1 and LFA1 in unstimulated and CCL19-stimulated CD4⁺ T lymphocytes. **B.** Increased LFA1 polarity in response to recombinant CCL19 stimulation in CD4⁺ T cells. $n > 295$ random cells, each. **C.** Manders' co-localisation analysis of Piezo1 and LFA1 in untreated and CCL19-treated CD4⁺ T lymphocytes. **D.** Comparison of LFA1 polarity in chemokine-treated control and Piezo1 knockdown CD4⁺ T lymphocytes. **E.** Representative confocal stained images of LFA1 polarity of CCL19-treated control and Piezo1-knockdown CD4⁺ T lymphocytes. $n > 490$ random cells, each. **F.** Representative confocal images of phospho-Akt (pAkt) and Akt distribution upon chemokine treatment of control and Piezo1-knockdown cells. **G & H.** Quantitative analyses of pAkt (M) and Akt (N) polarity in control and Piezo1-knockdown cells after CCL19-treatment. $n > 580$ random cells, each. Data represented from at least 3 independent experiments.




Figure 5.

Local Ca^{2+} mobilization with Piezo1 redistribution upon chemokine stimulation.

A. Representative TIRF image of a Jurkat Cell stained with Fluo3AM and transiently expressing with Piezo1 mCherry. Lower panel: zoomed in image and overlap of binary images of objects detected from Calcium and Piezo channels as well as simulated randomly placed punctas having similar total (in the zoomed in section) and average area as that of the Calcium punctas detected in the real images. Overlap RGB image shows the overlap between Real Calcium (Red) and piezo puncta (Green), overlap puncta (Yellow), simulated calcium puncta (blue). **B.** Comparison between percentage calcium colocalization of simulated data and real data. * Denote p value < 0.05 calculated using Mann Whitney U test. N cell= 52 (13 cells x 4 time points). NROIS= 52. **C.** Representative confocal images of LFA1 and actin distribution in CCL19-stimulated CD4⁺ T lymphocytes with or without inhibition of calpain. 100 μM of PD150606 was added to the cells 1 hour prior to addition of chemokine. Quantitative comparisons of **D.** LFA1 and **E.** actin polarity upon chemokine stimulation, in the presence or absence of calpain pre-inhibition. N > 290 random cells, each. Data represented is of at least 3 independent experiments.

mCherry and actin-GFP on ICAM-1 coated dishes was performed in the presence or absence of SDF1 α (Supplemental figure 5A). Chemokine addition showed significant increase in Piezo1 polarity to the front end, as shown in the earlier experiments (**Figure 6E** [↗](#), Supplemental figure 5B, left) upon chemokine stimulation when compared to untreated cells (**Figure 6D** [↗](#), Supplemental figure 5B, left). But F-actin showed a dynamic balance at both ends as expected (**Figure 6E** [↗](#), Supplemental figure 5B, right). Polar plots depicting angular distribution of Piezo1 mCherry and actin-GFP (over all time-points) in the presence or absence of SDF1 α are shown in Supplemental figure 5C. Representative image 6F and supplemental video 13 shows Piezo1-mCherry distribution predominantly at the leading edge and actin-GFP eventually accumulating at the rear end in uropod-like cellular extensions in a migrating Jurkat T cell.

Thus, our data reveals a membrane-intrinsic event, involving a critical role of Piezo1 mechanosensors, within the outside-in signaling module in response to chemokine receptor activation in human T cells. The mechanistic model derived from our data is depicted in **Figure 7** [↗](#). The Piezo1 mechanosensing links the focal adhesion assembly to integrins recruitment at the leading edge of the human T cells migrating in response to chemokine activation and thus play a critical role in chemotactic migration of human T cells.

Discussion

The present study explored the role of membrane-intrinsic tension generation and the crucial role of sensing this physical cue in the context of human T cell migration in response to chemokine receptor activation. Deficiency of Piezo1 inhibited integrin-dependent migration, demonstrating crucial role of these mechanosensors in this mode of T cell migration. As the integrin-dependent chemotactic migration of T cells is a critically implicated in most patho-physiologic contexts, in the present study we focused on the potential role of Piezo1 mechanosensors in this specific context.

Notably, a previous study *in vivo* in mice, using genetic deletion of Piezo1 in T cells, reported no significant effect on tissue recruitment of T cells ([17](#) [↗](#)). Although a functional redundancy in the role of Piezo1-mediated mechanosensing in mouse T cells warrants exclusion, especially in case of gene deletion in developing T cells, given the considerable expression of Piezo2 as well in mouse T cells ([40](#) [↗](#)). On the other hand, a role of Piezo1 activation in fibroblastic reticular cells in Peyer's patches has been shown to regulate lymphocyte migration through tissue conduits ([41](#) [↗](#)). The different tissue distribution of Piezo1 and Piezo2 expression in humans warranted exploring the role of Piezo1 in migrating human T cells, as in humans Piezo2 is hardly expressed in the hematopoietic cells.

Non-invasive interference reflection microscopy allowed us to demonstrate dynamic change in membrane tension at the leading edge of T cells stimulated with chemokine on ICAM1-bed which was closely followed by dynamic recruitment Piezo1 locally. Chemokine receptor activation leads to focal adhesion formation at the leading edge of the migrating T cells ([34](#) [↗](#), [35](#) [↗](#)). Our experiments revealed that Piezo1 recruitment at the leading edge of the cells followed focal adhesion kinase activation. Focal adhesions do increase local membrane tension as they connect the extracellular environment with the cortical cytoskeleton of the cell ([34](#) [↗](#)). Piezo1 recruitment locally seems to be a response to this membrane tension increase at focal adhesions. Piezo1 recruitment to focal adhesions was also shown to play a role in enhancing glioblastoma aggression by activating integrin-FAK signalling upstream of ECM remodelling and tissue stiffening ([42](#) [↗](#)). Interestingly, recent study by Yao et. al., showed that Piezo1 is required for formation of mature focal adhesions. Piezo1 binds to matrix adhesion regions in a force-dependent manner via a linker domain and this binding is abrogated in cancer cells causing transformation to malignant phenotypes ([43](#) [↗](#)).




Figure 6.

Piezo1 deficiency disrupts F-actin retrograde flow in T cells despite chemokine receptor activation.

A. Representative fixed confocal images of actin and Piezo1 distribution in CD4⁺ T lymphocytes after 30 mins of 0.5μg/ml recombinant CCL19 treatment. **B.** Quantitative comparison of actin polarity in control and Piezo1-knockdown CD4⁺ T lymphocytes cells after 30 mins of chemokine treatment. $n > 300$ random cells, each. **C.** Representative fixed confocal images of actin distribution in chemokine-treated, control and Piezo1-knockdown CD4⁺ T lymphocytes. Front-back (F/B) of Piezo1 and actin-GFP in SDF1α treated (**D**) and untreated (**E**) Jurkat cells co-expressing actin-GFP and Piezo1-mCherry. **F.** A snapshot of time-lapse imaging of Piezo1-mCherry and actin-GFP expressing Jurkat cell treated with 0.1μg/ml of SDF1α. Image is maximum Z-projection of the cell at 63X/1.40 magnification. Data represented is of at least 3 independent experiments.




Figure 7.

The mechanistic model depicting involvement of Piezo1 mechanosensing in leading edge events in a migrating T cell.

Proposed model suggests chemokine receptor activation in human T cells lead to focal adhesion kinase activation and focal adhesion formation. Focal adhesions lead to localized increase in membrane tension at the leading edge plasma membrane which leads to Piezo1 recruitment and activation. Piezo1 activation leads to calpain activation which potentially drives further cytoskeletal consolidation to recruit integrin LFA1. LFA1 recruitment and activation lead to phosphorylation of AKT and downstream signaling eventually driving the retrograde actin flow in migrating human T cells.

'Outside-in' signaling downstream of focal adhesion formation recruits integrins to the leading edge of the moving T cells (35 [↗](#), 36 [↗](#)) which was abrogated by Piezo1 downregulation. Piezo1 has been shown to drive Ca^{2+} influx into the T cells leading to calpain activation (14 [↗](#), 19 [↗](#)). As expected, calpain inhibition also interfered with leading edge recruitment of the integrin LFA-1. Plausibly calpain activation drives local F-actin polymerization at the leading edge facilitating LFA-1 recruitment. This was also supported by loss of polarity of AKT phosphorylation with Piezo1 deficiency, which is known to be the major downstream signaling module that succeeds LFA-1 recruitment and activation (39 [↗](#), 44 [↗](#)). These dysregulations in turn abrogates the retrograde flow of actin in the moving cell that is essential for forward propulsion of the cell body (6 [↗](#), 7 [↗](#), 37 [↗](#), 43 [↗](#)). Of note here, a role of ER-resident Piezo1, in driving R-RAS-driven activation of integrins, which was again dependent on calpain activation, was reported even before the mechanosensing function of Piezo1 was established (30 [↗](#)).

Thus, the present study reports a hitherto unexplored role of the professional mechanosensor Piezo1 in integrin dependent chemotactic movement of human T cells. In the cascade of leading edge events in a moving human T cell, following chemokine receptor activation and eventually leading to the retrograde flow of actin, focal adhesion formation precedes Piezo1 activation, while LFA-1 recruitment is dependent on Piezo1 function.

Methods

Human CD4⁺ T cell isolation and transfection

Human CD4⁺ T lymphocytes were isolated from peripheral blood from healthy donors through magnetic immunoselection. Peripheral blood samples from healthy human subjects were collected on obtaining written informed consents. The studies were approved by the Human Ethics Committee of CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, India. Cells were transfected with either EGFP control or Piezo1-specific siRNAs using Lonza 4D nucleofector, as per the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, cells were nucleofected in 100µl of supplemented P3 primary buffer containing 175ng of respective siRNAs. Nucleofection was performed using EO-115 pulse in Lonza 4D nucleofector. Nucleofected cells were incubated at 37°C. 5% CO₂ in RPMI media containing 10% FBS for 3 days before experiments were conducted. Knockdown was checked by qPCR measurement of Piezo1 and Piezo2 transcript levels (Supplementary Figure. 1A).

GFP/Piezo1 siRNA co-nucleofection

Approximately 2×10^6 human CD4⁺ T lymphocytes were nucleofected with 3µg of GFP-expressing plasmid along with 175ng of Piezo1-specific siRNA. Cells were incubated for 3 days before migration studies. EGFP esiRNA was used as control siRNA in experiments involving comparison between control and Piezo1 siRNA-KD cells.

Analysis of Piezo1 knockdown

GFP and Piezo1 siRNA co-transfected CD4⁺ T cells were stained for Piezo1 to measure downregulation. Both surface and intracellular (0.2% triton X-100 permeabilization) Piezo1 were stained. Briefly, cells were collected on day and fixed in 0.5% PFA for 5 minutes on ice. Cells were stained with 1.67µg/ml of rabbit anti-Piezo1 for 45 minutes at 4°C. After washing, 1.5µg/ml of anti-rabbit alexa 568-IgG was added and incubated for 30 minutes at 4°C. Cells were acquired on BD LSR Fortessa III after washing. Piezo1 mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) were measured both for GFP⁺ and GFP⁻ cells.

2-dimensional cell tracking

12-mm confocal dishes were coated with 4µg/ml of recombinant human ICAM-1 overnight at 4°C. Wells were washed with 1X PBS. Tracking of GFP/Piezo1 siRNA-transfected cells were performed after cell trace violet-labelling in the presence of recombinant CCL19 on ICAM1-coated dishes. Stained cells were added to the wells and allowed to settle for 1 hour at 37°C. 2D tracking was performed at 37°C after addition of 0.5µg/ml of recombinant human CCL19 and incubated for 10 minutes before acquisition. Images were acquired at regular intervals at room temperature using Zeiss LSM setup at 20X magnification for a total duration of 10-15 minutes at 30 second interval. CD4⁺ T lymphocytes were RPMI without phenol red supplemented with 10% FBS and 25mM HEPES during the duration of imaging.

2D cell tracking analysis

For tracking analyses of GFP and Piezo1 siRNA co-transfected cells, all cells above a specific threshold area were used to eliminate potential debris and dead cells due to GFP plasmid transfection. Time-based image stacks obtained were analysed using the Particle Tracker 2D/3D plugin of the FIJI Mosaic Suite. Briefly, images were corrected for any shift in alignment during acquisition, using the StackReg plugin. Corrected images were then thresholded to create a 0-255 binary mask which were then used for tracking using the Particle Tracker 2D/3D plugin. Tracking provided frame-wise X-Y co-ordinates of cells which were subsequently used to derive the following parameter to measure motility:

a. Mean-squared displacement

$$MSD(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{i=n} (x_n - x_0)^2 + (y_n - y_0)^2 \text{ where,}$$

i = 0 is the first frame,

i = n is the nth frame.

Tranwell chemotaxis assay

5µm transwell inserts were coated with 4µg/ml of recombinant human ICAM-1. Equal number of primary human CD4⁺ T cells and Jurkat cells nucleofected with control or Piezo1-specific siRNA were added to the ICAM-1-coated inserts. Cells were allowed to migrate in response to recombinant human CCL19 (0.5µg/ml) or SDF1α (0.1µg/ml) in the lower chamber wells for 5 hours and 1.5 hours, respectively, at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Migration was halted by placing the setup on ice. Percent migration was calculated by counting the number of cells in the lower chamber and dividing it by total number of cells that was seeded.

Overexpression of mCherry/GFP-tagged

Piezo1 and Actin-GFP in Jurkat cells

GFP and mCherry-tagged Piezo1 constructs were kindly provided by Dr. Charles Cox at Victor Chang Cardiac Research Laboratory, Darlinghurst, Australia. Approximately 2 million Jurkat cells were nucleofected with 2-3µg of fluorescent Piezo1 construct in 100µl of supplemented SE cell line buffer. Cells were pulsed using CL-120 program in Lonza 4D nucleofector. Cells were cultured in antibiotics-deficient RPMI supplemented with 20% FBS for 36 hours. Overexpression was confirmed using flow cytometry.

Interference Reflection Microscopy and Analysis

Jurkat cells were nucleofected with 2-3µg/ml of Piezo1-GFP construct. Overexpression was confirmed using flow cytometry. Transfected cells were seeded on recombinant human fibronectin glass-based dishes. Cells were allowed to completely adhere for 1 hour to allow IRM

imaging.

An inverted microscope (Nikon, Japan) with 60x 1.2 NA water-immersion objective, Hg arc lamp and necessary interference filter (546 ± 12 nm) were used for the interference reflection microscopy (45, 46) and images were captured at 19.91 frames per second using a EMCCD camera (Evolve 512 Delta; Photometrics, Trenton, NJ). Cells that were significantly adhered to the glass bottom were used for imaging. Regions used for analysis were stringently chosen to avoid parts farther than ~ 100 nm from the coverslip – also called the first branch region (FBR) and the size chosen was 4x4 pixels corresponding to $\sim (720 \text{ nm})^2$. First, cells were imaged by IRM to take baseline reading following which chemokine (SDF1 α) was added. Cells were followed till 30 min with ~ 2 -3 min interval post-chemokine addition.

For stringent selection of subset of regions, extraction of parameters as well as for visualizing the amplitude of fluctuations, the methodology developed previously (46, 47) was followed. 2048 frames corresponding to ~ 102 sec were captured and used for a single measurement of tension. The analysis involved calculation of standard deviation of temporal height fluctuations (SD_{time}) and estimating the power spectral density (PSD) by autoregressive technique (probability of covariance or pcov) where the order is chosen to match best with PSD obtained using fast fourier transform (MATLAB, Mathworks Inc., USA). For deriving mechanical parameters, the PSD is fitted with a Helfrich-based model –

$$PSD(f) = \frac{A\eta_{eff}Ak_B T}{\pi} \int_{q_{min}}^{q_{max}} \frac{dq}{(4\eta_{eff}(2\pi f))^2 + [\kappa q^3 + \sigma q + \frac{\gamma}{q}]^2}$$

with four fitting parameters (46) using fluctuation-tension (σ), effective viscosity (η_{eff}), level of confinement (γ) and the effective active temperature (A). The bending rigidity (κ) was fixed at $15 k_B T$. Membrane fluctuation-tension closely follows membrane frame tension for a large range of tension values (48). Membrane fluctuation-tension closely follows membrane frame tension for a large range of tension values (48). For brevity, membrane fluctuation-tension has been referred to as “tension” in the rest of the manuscript. Tension maps were created from PSDs calculated for every pixel which were subsequently fitted and parameters extracted for every pixel. For analysing correlation between fluorescence and tension, first the fluorescence distribution of cells was plotted. Regions of intermediate intensity (around the first peak of the distribution) of a fixed intensity range was filtered and the pixel count of the filtered area was plotted against the average tension in those pixels. Normalization was done by dividing by the maximum value in the time series. Normalized pixel count, thus, reflects how area with high Piezo1-GFP at the membrane changes while avoiding a small area fraction with very high Piezo1-GFP which might arise from internal structures. To ensure significance of the observed differences, the statistical test undertaken was the Mann-Whitney U test.

Piezo1 and actin distribution in live cell imaging

Jurkat cells expressing mCherry-tagged Piezo1 were seeded on ICAM-1-coated dishes. 0.1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of recombinant human SDF1 α was added to the cells for 15 minutes prior to imaging. Cell tracking was performed in EVOS FL microscope using the PE-Texas red filter, at an interval of 1 frame per minute, at room temperature. Imaging was performed for a total duration of 15 minutes.

For Jurkat cells transfected with Piezo1-mcherry and actin-GFP, cells were treated similarly and acquired on Zeiss LSM confocal setup. Z-stack was obtained at $1 \mu\text{m}$ per stack to capture the entire cell. Time-lapse was imaged at an interval of 30 second per frame for a total duration of 5 minutes. Confocal imaging was performed at 37°C .

For particle image velocimetry (PIV) analysis of Piezo1-mCherry-expressing Jurkat cells was performed using the Iterative PIV plugin of FIJI. Time-lapse image stacks were split and stacks of paired subsequent images were formed. Each of these stacks was then corrected for any shift in

alignment using the StackReg plugin. Aligned stacks were then subjected to iterative FIJI PIV analysis using the conventional cross-correlation method to measure flow of fluorescent Piezo1 in chemokine-treated Jurkat cells. PIV post-processing was done with default parameters and PIV magnitude plots were obtained from the plugin.

Measurement of cell polarity during live-cell imaging

A custom code was written in Fiji (ImageJ) to analyze the cell image time-lapse for the calculation Front/Back (F/B) ratio of actin and Piezo1 expression relative to the direction of cell migration. Briefly, intensity-based thresholding was performed on the actin images to create binary masks of the cells. Individual cell boundaries were identified in the time-lapse, which were stored in the form of polygon ROIs. The cell ROIs enabled the computation of each cell's trajectory and displacement vectors from the geometrical centroid at every frame of the time-lapse video. The computation of cell polarity involved fitting each cell's ROI to an ellipse and bisecting the ellipse perpendicular to the migration direction. The ratio of total intensities in the leading half and the trailing half of the ellipse was calculated for actin and piezo1 images to get the F/B ratio.

For polarity measurement of fixed confocal images, cell boundaries were similarly identified. Stored ROIs were then split into two halves perpendicular to the long axis of the cell. The polarity index was measured by calculating the difference of total intensities of two halves of the cell divided by the sum of their total intensities. A measurement of 1 shows complete skewing of fluorescent signals to one half of the cell, while a value of 0 shows uniform distribution of fluorescent signals in both cell halves. Representative polarity analysis Piezo1 (fixed), Piezo1 mCherry (live), pFAK (fixed), CD11a/LFA-1 (fixed) and actin (fixed) of untreated and CCL19-stimulated CD4⁺ T lymphocytes (Supplemental figure 6A-F).

Generation of Piezo1 mCherry and Actin-GFP polar plots

For analysis of angular/spatial distribution of Piezo1 mCherry and actin-GFP with respect to direction of cell movement, cell ROIs were applied to the actin and piezo1 channels individually, to find the intensity-weighted centre of mass (through all the time-points). Depending on the fluorescence intensity distribution, the intensity-weighted centre of mass is shifted away from the geometric centroid and towards the direction of a higher protein distribution. Thus, a vector connecting the centroid (geometric centre) to the centre of mass gives the direction of polarization of the protein of interest. The relative angle of the polarization vector against the cell displacement vector was calculated for each frame, and a polar histogram of the angles was plotted for actin-GFP and piezo1-mCherry.

Fixed immunostaining for confocal imaging

Untransfected human CD4⁺ T lymphocytes were seeded on 12-mm, ICAM-1-coated glass coverslips at a density of about 0.2 million cells per coverslip. Cells were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 1.5 hours. 0.5 µg/ml of recombinant human CCL19 was added to each coverslip and incubated for 20–30 minutes at 37°C. Post-treatment, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes at room temperature. Blocking was performed in 3% bovine serum albumin in 1X PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100 for 50 minutes at room temperature. Primary rabbit anti-human Piezo1 (Proteintech) was added at a dilution of 1:100 (5 µg/ml) and incubated at room temperature for 1 to 1.5 hours. Alexa 568-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG was added at a dilution of 1:350 (5.7 µg/ml), and incubated for further 45–50 minutes. Nuclear staining was performed for 1 minute with Hoechst 33342 dye at a concentration of 1 µg/ml in 1X PBS. All washes between steps were carried out in 1X PBS. Stained cells were then mounted on Vectashield® mounting media and sealed before confocal imaging. For Piezo1 and CD44 co-staining, rabbit anti-Piezo1 and mouse anti-human CD44 were used at a concentration of 5 µg/ml, each. Alexa 488-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG and alexa 633-conjugated anti-mouse IgG were used at a concentration of 5.7 µg/ml, each.

For actin imaging, LifeAct-TagGFP2 protein was added at a concentration of 0.5 µg/ml, and incubated for 45 minutes at room temperature before washing. For LFA-1 ($\alpha_L\beta_2$) staining, mouse anti-human CD11a (α_L subunit) was added at a concentration of 5 µg/ml, followed by addition of anti-mouse Alexa 594-conjugated IgG. For LFA-1 and Piezo1 co-staining, primary antibodies were added at above concentrations, with Alexa 568-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (for Piezo1) and Alexa 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (for CD11a/LFA-1). Rabbit/mouse anti-phosphorylated FAK (Tyr-397) and mouse Paxillin was used to stain pFAK and paxillin, respectively to detect focal adhesions. These cells were treated with chemokine CCL19 for 10 minutes prior to fixation. While doing confocal microscopy, Z-stacks were captured at 0.5 µm intervals. Confocal images are represented as maximum intensity projections of the Z-slices. 3D projection function of Fiji was used to create a 3D rendering of the Z-slices, using the brightest point method of projection.

TIRF Imaging and Ca^{2+} -Piezo1 colocalization

Ca^{2+} -Piezo1 imaging involved incubating cells with Fluo-3 AM (2.5 µM) in HBSS buffer for 30 minutes at 37°C, followed by washing and imaging in RPMI containing 10% FBS, and 25 mM HEPES media. Jurkat cells were transfected with Piezo1 mCherry plasmid and only those expressing Piezo1 mCherry were selected for the study. Dual-colour sequential TIRF imaging was employed, with Piezo1 mCherry being imaged at 300 ms (100 frames) and Ca^{2+} imaging performed using a 10 ms exposure time (2000 frames). The short exposure for Ca^{2+} was used to capture transient local peaks of fluorescence which would otherwise average out at longer exposure times. The maximum projection of the time series was used to detect local peaks using adaptive local thresholding (MATLAB), and a size filter was used to exclude large objects identified with a relatively low Ca^{2+} gradient. For each specific set of settings, the Ca^{2+} signal objects detected were used to calculate the average cluster size and total area of objects. Uniform random numbers were used to simulate clusters with appropriate probability to ensure that the final area of the simulated Ca^{2+} clusters closely matched the real data. The overlap between the real Ca^{2+} signal and Piezo1 objects was compared with the simulated data to test for deviation from a random placement of Ca^{2+} spots. To assess the robustness of the results, different combinations of size filters of Piezo1 and Ca^{2+} objects were used, ranging from 50 to 350 pixels.

Calpain Inhibition

PD150606 (Merck, India), a non-competitive calpain 1 and 2 inhibitors, was used at a concentration of 100 µM. Untransfected CD4^+ T lymphocytes were treated with PD150606 for 1 hour at 37°C prior to treatment of chemokine. Post-chemokine treatment cells were stained either with pFAK as described.

Focal adhesion kinase Inhibition

FAK inhibitor 14 (1,2,4,5-Benzenetetraamine tetrahydrochloride) was used to selectively inhibit FAK activity. Inhibitor was added at a final concentration 10 µM, 1 hour prior to treatment with recombinant CCL19. Post-treatment with CCL19, CD4^+ T lymphocytes were stained with Piezo1 for confocal imaging.

Piezo1 Inhibition

Primary human CD4^+ T cells were incubated with 10 µM of GsMTx4 for 1 hour prior to transwell chemotaxis assay in response to recombinant CCL19.

Statistics

Student t-test was used to calculate significance.

Acknowledgements

The study was funded by Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India (Grant no. FBR MLP-140). D.G. is a recipient of Swarnajayanti Fellowship from Department of Science and Technology, Government of India. The authors express their gratitude for provision of the Piezo1 constructs by Dr. Charles Cox, Victor Chang Institute of Cardiac Research, Australia.

The authors declare no competing interests

C.S.C.L. designed, performed and analyzed most of the experiments, prepared the figures and took part in writing the manuscript; T.M., B.S. and C.S.C.L. performed the IRM experiments; T.M. and B.S. analyzed IRM data; C.S.C.L., P.B. and D.K.S. performed image analyses; Pu.B., M.A.H., B.P.S., J.S. and R.D. helped with cell culture and confocal microscopy experiments; D.G. conceptualized and supervised the study, acquired funding, designed experiments and wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

References

1. Shechter R, London A, Schwartz M (2013) **Orchestrated leukocyte recruitment to immune-privileged sites: absolute barriers versus educational gates** *Nat Rev Immunol* **13**:206–18 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3391>
2. Krummel MF, Bartumeus F, Gérard A (2016) **T cell migration, search strategies and mechanisms** *Nat Rev Immunol* **16**:193–201 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2015.16>
3. Woodland DL, Kohlmeier JE (2009) **Migration, maintenance and recall of memory T cells in peripheral tissues** *Nat Rev Immunol* **9**:153–61 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2496>
4. Masopust D, Schenkel JM (2013) **The integration of T cell migration, differentiation and function** *Nat Rev Immunol* **13**:309–20 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3442>
5. Fowell DJ, Kim M (2021) **The spatio-temporal control of effector T cell migration** *Nat Rev Immunol* **21**:582–596 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-021-00507-0>
6. Dupré L, Houmadi R, Tang C, Rey-Barroso J (2015) **T Lymphocyte Migration: An Action Movie Starring the Actin and Associated Actors** *Front Immunol* **6** <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00586>
7. Nordenfelt P *et al.* (2017) **Direction of actin flow dictates integrin LFA-1 orientation during leukocyte migration** *Nat Commun* **8** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01848-y>
8. Moreau HD, Piel M, Voituriez R, Lennon-Duménil AM (2018) **Integrating Physical and Molecular Insights on Immune Cell Migration** *Trends Immunol* **39**:632–643 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2018.04.007>
9. Shannon MJ, Pineau J, Griffié J, Aaron J, Peel T, Williamson DJ, Zamoyska R, Cope AP, Cornish GH, Owen DM (2019) **Differential nanoscale organisation of LFA-1 modulates T-cell migration** *J Cell Sci* **133** <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.232991>
10. Coste B, Mathur J, Schmidt M, Earley TJ, Ranade S, Petrus MJ, Dubin AE, Patapoutian A (2010) **Piezo1 and Piezo2 are essential components of distinct mechanically activated cation channels** *Science* **330**:55–60 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1193270>
11. Coste B *et al.* (2012) **Piezo proteins are pore-forming subunits of mechanically activated channels** *Nature* **483**:176–81 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10812>
12. Syeda R, Florendo MN, Cox CD, Kefauver JM, Santos JS, Martinac B, Patapoutian A (2016) **Piezo1 Channels Are Inherently Mechanosensitive** *Cell Rep* **17**:1739–1746 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.10.033>
13. Murthy SE, Dubin AE, Patapoutian A (2017) **Piezos thrive under pressure: mechanically activated ion channels in health and disease** *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **18**:771–783 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.92>
14. Liu CSC, Raychaudhuri D, Paul B, Chakrabarty Y, Ghosh AR, Rahaman O, Talukdar A, Ganguly D (2018) **Cutting Edge: Piezo1 Mechanosensors Optimize Human T Cell Activation** *J Immunol* **200**:1255–1260 <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1701118>

15. Atcha H *et al.* (2021) **Mechanically activated ion channel Piezo1 modulates macrophage polarization and stiffness sensing** *Nat Commun* **12** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23482-5>
16. Geng J *et al.* (2021) **TLR4 signalling via Piezo1 engages and enhances the macrophage mediated host response during bacterial infection** *Nat Commun* **12** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23683-y>
17. Jairaman A *et al.* (2021) **Piezo1 channels restrain regulatory T cells but are dispensable for effector CD4+ T cell responses** *Sci Adv* **7** <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abg5859>
18. Jäntti H *et al.* (2022) **Microglial amyloid beta clearance is driven by PIEZO1 channels** *Neuroinflammation* **19** <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-022-02486-y>
19. Liu CSC, Ganguly D (2019) **Mechanical Cues for T Cell Activation: Role of Piezo1 Mechanosensors** *Crit Rev Immunol* **39**:15–38 <https://doi.org/10.1615/CritRevImmunol.2019029595>
20. Ma S, Dubin AE, Zhang Y, Mousavi SAR, Wang Y, Coombs AM, Loud M, Andolfo I, Patapoutian A (2021) **A role of PIEZO1 in iron metabolism in mice and humans** *Cell* **184**:969–982 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.01.024>
21. He Y *et al.* (2022) **Myeloid Piezo1 Deletion Protects Renal Fibrosis by Restraining Macrophage Infiltration and Activation** *Hypertension* **79**:918–931 <https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.121.18750>
22. Huang Z, Sun Z, Zhang X, Niu K, Wang Y, Zheng J, Li H, Liu Y (2019) **Loss of stretch-activated channels, PIEZO1s, accelerates non-small cell lung cancer progression and cell migration** *Biosci Rep* **39** <https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20181679>
23. Chubinskiy-Nadezhdin VI, Vasileva VY, Vassilieva IO, Sudarikova AV, Morachevskaya EA, Negulyaev YA (2019) **Agonist-induced Piezo1 activation suppresses migration of transformed fibroblasts** *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **514**:173–179 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.04.139>
24. Mousawi F, Peng H, Li J, Ponnambalam S, Roger S, Zhao H, Yang X, Jiang LH (2020) **Chemical activation of the Piezo1 channel drives mesenchymal stem cell migration via inducing ATP release and activation of P2 receptor purinergic signaling** *Stem Cells* **38**:410–421 <https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.3114>
25. Wang X *et al.* (2021) **Piezo type mechanosensitive ion channel component 1 facilitates gastric cancer omentum metastasis** *J Cell Mol Med* **25**:2238–2253 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.16217>
26. Gao L *et al.* (2021) **Suppression of Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma Development by Mechanosensitive Protein Piezo1 Downregulation** *ACS Omega* **6**:10196–10206 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c00505>
27. Holt JR, Zeng WZ, Evans EL, Woo SH, Ma S, Abuwarda H, Loud M, Patapoutian A, Pathak MM (2021) **Spatiotemporal dynamics of PIEZO1 localization controls keratinocyte migration during wound healing** *Elife* **10** <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.65415>

28. Velasco-Estevez M, Koch N, Klejbor I, Caratis F, Rutkowska A (2022) **Mechanoreceptor Piezo1 Is Downregulated in Multiple Sclerosis Brain and Is Involved in the Maturation and Migration of Oligodendrocytes in vitro** *Front Cell Neurosci* **16** <https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2022.914985>
29. Hung WC *et al.* (2016) **Confinement Sensing and Signal Optimization via Piezo1/PKA and Myosin II Pathways** *Cell Rep* **15**:1430–1441 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.04.035>
30. McHugh BJ, BATTERY R, Lad Y, Banks S, Haslett C, Sethi T (2010) **Integrin activation by Fam38A uses a novel mechanism of R-Ras targeting to the endoplasmic reticulum** *J Cell Sci* **123**:51–61 <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.056424>
31. Xiao B (2020) **Levering Mechanically Activated Piezo Channels for Potential Pharmacological Intervention** *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **60**:195–218 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010919-023703>
32. Barr VA, Bunnell SC (2009) **Interference reflection microscopy** *Curr Protoc Cell Biol* **4** <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471143030.cb0423s45>
33. Chakraborty M, Mukherjee B, Nalinakshan N, Biswas A, Nayak RK, Sinha B (2022) **Effect of heterogeneous substrate adhesivity of follower cells on speed and tension profile of leader cells in primary keratocyte collective cell migration** *Biol Open* **11** <https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.058893>
34. Mitra SK, Hanson DA, Schlaepfer DD (2005) **Focal adhesion kinase: in command and control of cell motility** *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **6**:56–68 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm1549>
35. Katoh K (2020) **FAK-Dependent Cell Motility and Cell Elongation** *Cells* **9** <https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9010192>
36. Yamashiro S, Watanabe N (2014) **A new link between the retrograde actin flow and focal adhesions** *J Biochem* **156**:239–48 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jb/mvu053>
37. Martino F, Perestrelo AR, Vinarský V, Pagliari S, Forte G (2018) **Cellular Mechanotransduction: From Tension to Function** *Front Physiol* **9** <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00824>
38. Sánchez-Martín L *et al.* (2004) **Signaling through the leukocyte integrin LFA-1 in T cells induces a transient activation of Rac-1 that is regulated by Vav and PI3K/Akt-1** *J Biol Chem* **279**:16194–205 <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M400905200>
39. Roy NH, Kim SHJ, Buffone A, Blumenthal D, Huang B, Agarwal S, Schwartzberg PL, Hammer DA, Burkhardt JK (2020) **LFA-1 signals to promote actin polymerization and upstream migration in T cells** *J Cell Sci* **133** <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.248328>
40. Ganguly D **Can Piezo2 substitute Piezo1 function in the context of T cell-specific deletion in mice?**
41. Chang JE, Buechler MB, Gressier E, Turley SJ, Carroll MC (2019) **Mechanosensing by Peyer's patch stroma regulates lymphocyte migration and mucosal antibody responses** *Nat Immunol* **20**:1506–1516 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-019-0505-z>
42. Chen X *et al.* (2018) **A Feedforward Mechanism Mediated by Mechanosensitive Ion Channel PIEZO1 and Tissue Mechanics Promotes Glioma Aggression** *Neuron* **100**:799–815

43. Yao M, Tijore A, Cheng D, Li JV, Hariharan A, Martinac B, Van Nhieu G Tran, Cox CD, Sheetz M (2022) **Force- and cell state-dependent recruitment of Piezo1 drives focal adhesion dynamics and calcium entry** *Sci Adv* **8**
44. Roy NH, MacKay JL, Robertson TF, Hammer DA, Burkhardt JK (2018) **Crk adaptor proteins mediate actin-dependent T cell migration and mechanosensing induced by the integrin LFA-1** *Sci Signal* **11** <https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aat3178>
45. Limozin L, Sengupta K (2009) **Quantitative reflection interference contrast microscopy (RICM) in soft matter and cell adhesion** *Chemphyschem* **10**:2752–68
46. Biswas A, Alex A, Sinha B (2017) **Mapping Cell Membrane Fluctuations Reveals Their Active Regulation and Transient Heterogeneities** *Biophys J* **113**:1768–1781 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2017.08.041>
47. Biswas A, Kashyap P, Datta S, Sengupta T, Sinha B (2019) **Cholesterol Depletion by M β CD Enhances Cell Membrane Tension and Its Variations-Reducing Integrity** *Biophys J* **116**:1456–1468
48. Shiba H, Noguchi H, Fournier JB (2016) **Monte Carlo study of the frame, fluctuation and internal tensions of fluctuating membranes with fixed area** *Soft Matter* **12**:2373–80 <https://doi.org/10.1039/c5sm01900a>

Article and author information

Chinky Shiu Chen Liu

IICB-Translational Research Unit of Excellence, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Kolkata, India

Tithi Mandal

Department of Biological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata, India

Parijat Biswas

Department of Biological Sciences, Indian Association for Cultivation of Science, Kolkata, India

Md. Asmaul Hoque

IICB-Translational Research Unit of Excellence, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Kolkata, India, Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research, Ghaziabad, India

Purbita Bandopadhyay

IICB-Translational Research Unit of Excellence, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Kolkata, India, Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research, Ghaziabad, India

Bishnu Prasad Sinha

IICB-Translational Research Unit of Excellence, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Kolkata, India, Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research, Ghaziabad, India

Jafar Sarif

IICB-Translational Research Unit of Excellence, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Kolkata, India, Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research, Ghaziabad, India

Ranit D’Rozario

IICB-Translational Research Unit of Excellence, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Kolkata, India, Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research, Ghaziabad, India

Deepak Kumar Sinha

Department of Biological Sciences, Indian Association for Cultivation of Science, Kolkata, India

Bidisha Sinha

Department of Biological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Kolkata, India

Dipyaman Ganguly

IICB-Translational Research Unit of Excellence, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, Kolkata, India

For correspondence: dipyaman@iicb.res.in

ORCID iD: [0000-0002-7786-1795](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7786-1795)

Copyright

© 2023, Liu et al.

This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Editors

Reviewing Editor

Frederic Bard

Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Marseille, MARSEILLE, France

Senior Editor

Satyajit Rath

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

Joint Public Review:

This work by Liu CSC et al. is an extension of the author's previous work on the role of Piezo1 mechano-sensor in human T cell activation. In this study, the authors address whether Piezo1 plays a role in T-cell chemotactic migration.

The authors used CD4⁺ T cells or Jurkat T cells to test the effects of siRNA-mediated depletion of Piezo1 on chemotactic migration. They establish that Piezo1 is implicated in chemotactic migration, although the effects of depletion are relatively moderate.

They show that Piezo1 is redistributed to the leading edge of T-cells.

They identify that relocation of Piezo1 to the leading edge follows an increase in membrane tension.

In Piezo-1 depleted cells, they observe a moderate reduction of LFA-1 polarity. With the use of specific inhibitors, they propose Piezo1 activation to be downstream of focal adhesion formation and upstream of calpain-mediated LFA-1, integrin alpha L beta 2, or CD11a/CD18 recruitment at the leading edge.

Strengths:

Together with their 2018 paper, this study presents Piezo1 as a regulator of T-cell activation, implicating it as a player in the coordination of the chemotactic immune response.

Weaknesses:

Most of the effects observed are relatively modest. The authors did not challenge the cells with various physico-mechanical conditions to see when Piezo-1 might become really important. For instance, there are no experiments that expose T cells to varying counter-acting forces to see how piezo1 might affect migration.

Technical weaknesses:

The authors state that "these high tension edges are usually further emphasized at later time points", but after ten minutes the median tension and tension (Figure 2C and Supplementary Figure 2C respectively) reduce down to the pretreatment time point. It would be clearer if the author stated within which timeframe the tension edges are "further emphasized".

Figures 3 and 4 - The author states the number of cells quantified from the images, but it is not clear whether the data is actually from 3 biological replicates.

Some of the data has no representative images or videos included. There is no video in the supplementary for Figures 1 A and B. There are no representative images of transwell migration assay in Figures 1 D and E.

- <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.91903.2.sa0>

Author Response

The following is the authors' response to the original reviews.

Response to comments of editor/s:

- With regard to the comments on nonavailability of representative images/videos for Figures 1 A and B, in the revised manuscript we have added a representative video of GFP (-) and GFP (+) tracks in Supplemental video 1.

Response to comments of reviewer 2:

- With respect to the concern on figure 1, we have changed '% CD4+ T cell Migration' to '% Proportion CD4+ T cell migration' in Figures 1D & 1E in the revised manuscript. We also labelled the upper and lower panels of Figure 1I as 'Untreated' and 'SDF1 α ' respectively.

Response to comments of reviewer 1:

- With regard to the concern that 'The transfection alone with siRNA may cause the lack of polarity', we have added comparison of 2D migration MSD between control EGFP siRNA and Piezo1 siRNA-transfected CD4+ T cells as Supplementary Figure 1E.
- We have added new references as ref 42 and 43, with respect to PIEZO1 association with focal adhesions.
- With regard to the concerns around co-localization of Piezo1 and focal adhesions, we have added a representative image of Piezo1 and pFAK co-localization upon treatment of chemokine in revised Supplementary Fig. 3C. We have also used an additional focal adhesion marker, paxillin, to show that focal adhesion formation is not affected by Piezo1 KD (Revised Fig. 3E-3H). Upon comparing the mean pFAK and paxillin intensities, we observed no difference in Control and Piezo1 KD CD4+ T cells (Supplementary Figs. 3A, B).

- All the minor concerns and suggestions have been taken care of in the revised manuscript.