

# Caspase-1 activates gasdermin A in non-mammals

Zachary P. Billman, Stephen B. Kovacs, Bo Wei, Kidong Kang, Ousmane H. Cissé, Edward A. Miao 

Duke University School of Medicine • University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill • National Institutes of Health

## Reviewed Preprint

Revised by authors after peer review.

[About eLife's process](#)

## Reviewed preprint version 3

February 19, 2024 (this version)

## Reviewed preprint version 2

January 8, 2024

## Reviewed preprint version 1

November 22, 2023

## Posted to preprint server

September 28, 2023

## Sent for peer review

September 20, 2023

 [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open\\_access](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open_access) Copyright information

## Abstract

Gasdermins oligomerize to form pores in the cell membrane, causing regulated lytic cell death called pyroptosis. Mammals encode five gasdermins that can trigger pyroptosis: GSDMA, B, C, D, and E. Caspase and granzyme proteases cleave the linker regions of and activate GSDMB, C, D, and E, but no endogenous activation pathways are yet known for GSDMA. Here, we perform a comprehensive evolutionary analysis of the gasdermin family. A gene duplication of GSDMA in the common ancestor of caecilian amphibians, reptiles and birds gave rise to GSDMA-D in mammals. Uniquely in our tree, amphibian, reptile and bird GSDMA group in a separate clade than mammal GSDMA. Remarkably, GSDMA in numerous bird species contain caspase-1 cleavage sites like YVAD or FASD in the linker. We show that GSDMA from birds, amphibians, and reptiles are all cleaved by caspase-1. Thus, GSDMA was originally cleaved by the host-encoded protease caspase-1. In mammals the caspase-1 cleavage site in GSDMA is disrupted; instead, a new protein, GSDMD, is the target of caspase-1. Mammal caspase-1 uses exosite interactions with the GSDMD C-terminal domain to confer the specificity of this interaction, whereas we show that bird caspase-1 uses a stereotypical tetrapeptide sequence to confer specificity for bird GSDMA. Our results reveal an evolutionarily stable association between caspase-1 and the gasdermin family, albeit a shifting one. Caspase-1 repeatedly changes its target gasdermin over evolutionary time at speciation junctures, initially cleaving GSDME in fish, then GSDMA in amphibians/reptiles/birds, and finally GSDMD in mammals.

## One Sentence Summary

We demonstrate that amphibians, reptiles and birds engage pyroptosis using caspase-1 and GS-DMA, filling an evolutionary gap in which caspase-1 cleaves GSDME in fish and GSDMD in mammals.

### eLife assessment

This study presents **valuable** insights into the evolution of the gasdermin family, making a strong case that a GSDMA-like gasdermin activated by caspase-1 cleavage was already present in early land vertebrates. **Convincing** biochemical evidence is provided that extant avian, reptilian, and amphibian GSDMA proteins can still be activated by caspase-1 and upon cleavage induce pyroptosis-like cell death -- at least that they do so in the context of human cell lines. The caspase-1 cleavage site has only been lost in mammals, which use the more recently evolved GSDMD as a caspase-1 cleavable pyroptosis inducer. The presented work will be of considerable interest to scientists working on the evolution of cell death pathways, or on cell death regulation in non-mammalian vertebrates.

## Introduction

Gasdermin family proteins serve a critical role in innate immune defense against intracellular infection. When these proteins are cleaved within the linker region, the N-terminal domain is freed from the autoinhibitory C-terminal domain (Kovacs and Miao, 2017). The liberated N-terminus polymerizes into a ring that inserts into the plasma membrane, forming a pore that causes lytic cell death called pyroptosis. This eliminates infected host cells to clear intracellular infections. The best studied gasdermin is gasdermin D (GSDMD) which is activated by caspase-1 in response to diverse stimuli (Devant and Kagan, 2023).

During infection, pathogens manipulate the host cell, sometimes contaminating the cytosol with pathogen associated molecular patterns, or disrupting aspects of normal cell physiology. These signs can be detected by cytosolic sensors. These sensors oligomerize to form a platform that activates the protease caspase-1 (Nozaki et al., 2022a). In parallel, caspases-4/5 (human) or -11 (mouse) directly detect and activate in response to cytosolic LPS as a proxy for microbial contamination. These activated caspases then cleave GSDMD to trigger pyroptosis (Shi et al., 2015; Kayagaki et al., 2015). This activation of gasdermin D by caspase-1/4/5/11 to engage pyroptosis is well conserved in mammals and is essential for defense against many infections, including environmental pathogens that would otherwise be deadly (Li et al., 2023).

At its discovery, pyroptosis was defined as lytic regulated cell death caused by caspase-1 (Cookson and Brennan, 2001), and later caspases-4/5/11. This original definition was expanded upon the discovery of the gasdermin family to include any gasdermin-driven lytic cell death regardless of the initiating pathway (Shi et al., 2015). Indeed, mammals encode GSDMA, GSDMB, GSDMC, GSDMD, and GSDME, which have all been demonstrated to form pores to trigger pyroptosis (Kovacs and Miao, 2017). A related protein, pejkakin (PJVK), has a similar N-terminal domain but a smaller C-terminal domain, and has not yet been demonstrated to form pores.

Since the discovery of gasdermins as pore-forming proteins (Ding et al., 2016), many have sought to identify the activation mechanisms of these proteins. GSDME was next found to be activated by caspase-3 (Wang et al., 2017; Rogers et al., 2017). GSDMB was shown to be cleaved and activated by granzyme A after cytotoxic lymphocyte attack (Zhou et al., 2020), offloading the death decision to killer cells and activating GSDMB in a cell extrinsic manner. GSDMC was later shown to be activated by caspase-8 (Hou et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021), allowing a cell to attach TNF signaling to pyroptosis. GSDMA remains outlier, because the only known activator is the group A Streptococci secreted protease SpeB rather than a host protease (LaRock et al., 2022; Deng et al., 2022).

Only mammals encode *GSDMC* and *GSDMD* alongside the other four gasdermins. Other clades of animals encode fewer gasdermin genes ([Yuan et al., 2022](#); [De Schutter et al., 2021](#); [Wang et al., 2023b](#); [Angosto-Bazarra et al., 2022](#)). The clades that encode the fewest gasdermins that includes *GSDMA* are birds and amphibians, which express just *GSDMA*, *GSDME*, and *PJVK*. Understanding how these organisms utilize fewer gasdermins, especially the newly emerged *GSDMA*, provides an opportunity to better understand this important gene family.

## Results

### Evolutionary analysis reveals the origins of mammal gasdermins


To understand the evolution of the gasdermin family we reconstructed a phylogeny of gasdermin proteins. We screened a total of 3,443 genomes covering major classes of animal orders from public databases using gasdermin specific hidden Markov models to identify all putative gasdermin genetic loci using trained gene predictors. We uncovered 106 previously unannotated GSDM proteins. Our final catalog included 1,256 gasdermin protein sequences. Gasdermin-like proteins have been reported in bacteria in phage defense islands alongside activating proteases ([Johnson et al., 2022](#); [Wein and Sorek, 2022](#)), and in fungi ([Daskalov et al., 2020](#)). We limited our searches to animals because sequences from other kingdoms are distantly similar, though convergent evolution has not formally been ruled out ([Yuan et al., 2022](#); [Angosto-Bazarra et al., 2022](#)). Our approach extends previous studies by using a more comprehensive dataset, which allows us to more accurately retrace gasdermin gene family evolution with the context of vertebrate radiation.

In comparison to previously published studies, we used different methods to construct our gasdermin phylogenetic tree, with the result that our tree has a different topology. The topology of our tree is likely to be affected by our increased sampling of gasdermin sequences; we included 1,256 gasdermin sequences in comparison to 300 or 97 sequences used in prior studies. Prior studies used maximum likelihood tree building techniques, whereas we used a more comprehensive estimation of gasdermin divergence time using Bayesian method implemented in BEAST with strict molecular clocks using fossil calibrations with soft bounds. We think that this substantially increased sampling paired with time calibration allow us to produce a more accurate phylogeny of the gasdermin protein family. We constructed a well-supported Bayesian tree with 71% of the nodes with posterior probability >0.8 and a minimum age of protogasdermin emergence at 1,100 million years with 95% higher-posterior density intervals (**Fig. 1**).

Similar to other trees, *GSDME* and *PJVK* cluster together in a clade separate from the clade containing *GSDMA-D* and *GSDMEc*. However, the internal order within this second clade was divergent from other trees, described in more detail below.

Near the midpoint of our tree is a gasdermin is preserved in mollusks, coral, hydras and other invertebrates referred to as *GSDM<sub>in</sub>*. For such species, caspase-3 cleaves this gasdermin to execute pyroptosis, much like *GSDME* ([Jiang et al., 2020](#); [Qin et al., 2023](#); [Chen et al., 2023](#)).

The first gene duplication of this gasdermin occurred after the divergence of lancelets and chordates resulting in *GSDME* and *PJVK*. Bony fish encode both caspase-1 and caspase-3, and both cleave *GSDME* to execute pyroptosis ([Jiang et al., 2019](#); [Chen et al., 2021a](#); [Xu et al., 2022](#); [Chen et al., 2021b](#); [Wang et al., 2020b](#); [Li et al., 2020](#)). Similarly, lancelets cleave *GSDME* using caspase-1-like and caspase-3-like ([Wang et al., 2023b](#)). In contrast, *PJVK* developed a new function of promoting peroxisome proliferation in response to excess reactive oxygen species, utilizing a shorter C-terminus that detects ROS ([Delmaghani et al., 2015](#); [Defourny et al., 2019](#)). Although it has not been shown yet, this function is likely related to a pore-forming ability. We also found



**Figure 1.**

### Phylogenetic analysis of GSDM proteins.

Time-calibrated maximum clade credibility coalescent Bayesian phylogenetic tree of all protein sequences in selected superphyla by HMM identification method. Tree was visualized using iTOL v6 ([Letunic and Bork, 2021](#)).

additional ancient gasdermin sequences in bony fish with a GSDM C-terminal domain, but sometimes without the GSDM N-terminal domain, that appeared in a clade that branches off before the divergence of GSDME and PJVK (**Fig. 1** [↗](#), Purple, GSDMEc-like).

An ancient ancestor of *GSDME* was duplicated and gave rise to the second gasdermin clade (sometimes called the GSDMA family). This gasdermin is not universally present in bony fish, but in some it is preserved as *GSDMEc* (Yuan *et al.*, 2022 [↗](#)). This gasdermin was recently identified as a potential ortholog of GSDMA. It was called GSDMEc, following the nomenclature of other duplications of GSDME in bony fish which have been named GSDMEa and GSDMEb. Our method brought to light this gasdermin is present broadly in sharks, which appeared in a separate clade near the root of the GSDMA family proteins (**Fig. 1** [↗](#), Purple, GSDMEc Shark). This discovery places the emergence of *GSDMEc* at the common ancestor of jawed vertebrates. The activating protease for the GSDMEc proteins remains undetermined, but they do not contain apparent caspase cleavage motifs.

*GSDMEc* then gave rise to *GSDMA* in the common ancestor of amphibians, mammals, reptiles, and birds (De Schutter *et al.*, 2021 [↗](#); Angosto-Bazarra *et al.*, 2022 [↗](#); Yuan *et al.*, 2022 [↗](#)). No additional gasdermins are found in amphibians or birds, therefore, these clades encode *GSDME*, *PJVK*, and *GSDMA*. Among these, GSDME is activated by caspase-3 to execute pyroptosis (Li *et al.*, 2022 [↗](#)). Our and other molecular phylogeny analysis identified 3 amphibians in the caecilian order with *GSDMA* genes (Yuan *et al.*, 2022 [↗](#); Wang *et al.*, 2023b). *GSDMA* is absent in frogs and salamanders, including amphibian model organisms with well characterized genomes like *Xenopus tropicalis* (amphibian, Western clawed frog). This observation suggests that *GSDMA* is present in the common ancestor of tetrapods, but was lost in most amphibians. In support of this, caecilian *GSDMA* resides in the same locus as mammal, reptile and bird *GSDMA*. The proteases that activate *GSDMA* in these clades had not been investigated.

We and others identified a poorly characterized gasdermin in the *GSDMB* locus, which is separated by only two genes from *GSDMA* which we have labeled as GSDMB-like, despite little sequence similarity with mammal GSDMB (Wang *et al.*, 2023b). This gasdermin grouped in a clade alongside fish and shark GSDMEc. The presence of this gasdermin in the same locus as mammal *GSDMB* in reptiles before and after the divergence of turtles, crocodiles and birds from lizards and snakes suggests that this gasdermin was present in the common ancestor reptiles and birds.

Previous trees suggest that mammal *GSDMA* and *GSDMB* evolved in a separate clade from *GSDMC* and *GSDMD* (De Schutter *et al.*, 2021 [↗](#); Wang *et al.*, 2023b). Surprisingly, in our tree, amphibian, bird and reptile *GSDMA* are paralogs to mammal *GSDMA* rather than orthologs. Both our Bayesian (**Fig. 1** [↗](#)) and maximum likelihood (**Fig. 1-S3** [↗](#)) trees produced this result, suggesting this placement is due to increased sampling of gasdermin sequences. Importantly, the *GSDMA* gene is found in the same locus in all animals in these clades (**Fig. 1-S4** [↗](#)). This suggests that the common ancestor of amphibians and mammals duplicated *GSDMA* first to give rise to mammal *GSDMB*, then sequentially gave rise to *GSDMC*, and then *GSDMD*, leaving the syntenic *GSDMA* gene at the ancestral locus.

The absence of *GSDMB* and *GSDMC* in the ancient monotreme mammals at first glance appears to be in conflict with this sequence of evolution. Our tree reconciles this observation by suggesting that these gasdermins were lost in the monotreme lineage represented by *Ornithorhynchus anatinus* (monotreme mammal, platypus) in our tree.

Marsupials and placental mammals can encode all of *GSDME*, *PJVK*, *GSDMA*, *GSDMB*, *GSDMC*, and *GSDMD*. Mammals conserved the typical caspase-3 activation of GSDME (Wang *et al.*, 2017 [↗](#); Rogers *et al.*, 2017 [↗](#); Van Rossum *et al.*, 2015 [↗](#)). In mammals, *GSDMA* is cleaved by SpeB (Deng *et al.*, 2022 [↗](#); LaRock *et al.*, 2022 [↗](#)). *GSDMB* is activated by granzyme A delivered by cytotoxic lymphocytes (Wang *et al.*, 2023a; Zhong *et al.*, 2023 [↗](#); Yin *et al.*, 2023 [↗](#)). *GSDMC* is activated by

caspase-8 (Hou et al., 2020). Lastly, GSDMD is activated primarily by caspase-1 and related proteases (Kayagaki et al., 2015; Ding et al., 2016; He et al., 2015; Kambara et al., 2018; Burgener et al., 2019; Sarhan et al., 2018; Orning et al., 2018).

Numerous mammals have lost *GSDMB* and *GSDMC*. Previous studies reported this occurred in the monophyletic group that comprises mice, rats and rabbits. We identified the remnant *GSDMB* in rabbits which is interrupted by an ORM-1 like protein, as well as *GSDMB* in hamsters. This definitively shows the existence of *GSDMB* in this clade and suggests that there are multiple instances of its loss. This captures a snapshot of the rapid evolution of GSDM family proteins in mammals.

Overall, we discovered that the *GSDMA* common ancestor of amphibians, birds, and reptiles gave rise to mammal *GSDMA-D*. This is surprising given that *GSDMA* from both of these clades appear in the same locus (Fig. 1-S3). We speculated that this divergence in grouping reflected a divergence in function.

## Bird *GSDMA* linker contains a likely caspase-1 cleavage site


Unlike other trees (Yuan et al., 2022; De Schutter et al., 2021; Angosto-Bazarra et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023b), we found that amphibian, bird and reptile *GSDMA* are paralogs to mammal *GSDMA*, not orthologs, meaning we found that they appear in separate clades. Using the wealth of gasdermin sequences we assembled for our phylogenetic analysis, a remarkable trend in the bird *GSDMA* sequences became clear: nearly a third of all birds encoded a YVAD-like tetrapeptide in the linker region (Fig. 2A-B). This sequence is famously cleaved by caspase-1 in mammals and is the peptide sequence utilized as an inhibitor and fluorometric substrate for measuring caspase-1 activity (Garcia-Calvo et al., 1998). The remaining bird *GSDMA* sequences contained a similar tetrapeptide also predicted to be cleaved by caspase-1, with a bulky P4 residue and aspartic acid at P1, most commonly FVSD or FASD (Fig. 2B) (Agard et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2010; Timmer and Salvesen, 2007; Thornberry et al., 1997). Amphibians, lizards and snakes have similar tetrapeptides, albeit with more variation (Fig. 2B).

An alignment of the linker regions of *GSDMA* proteins from selected birds, reptiles and amphibians revealed conservation of these tetrapeptide sequences, despite differences in the length and overall lack of conservation within the linker (Fig. 2C). These tetrapeptides appear similar to those commonly seen in the mammal *GSDMD* sequences, for example FLTD (human) and LLSD (mouse). Additionally, the P1' residue in amphibian, bird and reptile *GSDMA* was often a glycine, and the P2' residue was often a proline, especially in birds with FASD/FVSD tetrapeptides (Fig. 2B). A small P1' residue is preferred by all caspases (Stennicke et al., 2000). By using a peptide library, glycine has been determined to be the optimal P1' residue for caspase-1 and caspase-4 (Talanian et al., 1997). Further, in a review of the natural substrates of caspase-1, glycine was the second most common P1' residue, and proline was the most common P2' residue. These preferences were not observed for caspase-9 (Shen et al., 2010).

Amphibians, reptiles and birds all express caspase-1 and have expanded their gasdermin family beyond *GSDME* to include *GSDMA* but notably lack *GSDMD*. We hypothesized that *GSDMA* may functionally mimic mammal *GSDMD*.

## Bird *GSDMA* expression mimics mammal *GSDMD* expression

We focused our studies on birds, where the abundance of available sequences is high, and tissues are readily available. We first evaluated the expression of *GSDMA* and *GSDME* in *Gallus gallus* (chicken, which encodes a FASD tetrapeptide) because it is one of the best studied bird species and tissues are readily available for study. The gasdermin family proteins were so named because of their expression in the gastrointestinal tract (gas-) and the skin (-derm), with *GSDMA* being specifically expressed in the skin and upper GI tract in mice (Tamura et al., 2007). If bird *GSDMA*



**Figure 2.**

### Analysis of bird GSDMA sequences suggests caspase-1 cleavage.

(A.) Cartoons used in this study to represent organisms and their phylogenetic classification. Note that caecilian amphibians morphologically are similar to snakes in their lack of legs and elongated body, however, this is an example of convergent evolution as caecilians are amphibians and are thus more closely related to frogs and salamanders than snakes. (B.) Sequence logo plots derived from the tetrapeptides found in amphibian, bird and reptile GSDMA organized by evolutionary clades. Importantly, “Other birds” is not a monophyletic group. Sequence logos display abundance of amino acids in each position before an aspartic acid in the linker region of each GSDMA protein using WebLogo (Crooks *et al.*, 2004). When more than one aspartic acids were present, multiple tetrapeptides were listed. (C.) Alignment of selected amphibian, reptile, bird and mammal GSDM linker regions by MUSCLE. Linker defined as region between  $\beta$ 11 and  $\alpha$ 5 by SWISS-MODEL. Outlined in a red box are tetrapeptide sequences that precede caspase-1 cleavage sites for mammal, or show similarity in amphibian, reptile and bird sequences. The canonical caspase-1 cleavage site YVAD is emphasized with an arrowhead. “\*” denotes cleavage sites of SpeB for human and mouse GSDMA. Amino acids colorized using Clustal color scheme.

functions similarly to mammal GSDMD, then we would expect their expression profiles to be similar. Mammal GSDMD is primarily expressed broadly in immune cells as well as epithelial cells (De Schutter *et al.*, 2021). qRT-PCR analysis from various chicken tissues revealed that chicken GSDMA was preferentially expressed in the Bursa of Fabricius (bird-specific primary lymphoid organ) and the spleen (Fig. 3A). These data suggest that GSDMA is preferentially expressed in immune cells, similar to the expression of GSDMD in mammals. We additionally evaluated these same tissue sites for expression of CASP1 and GSDME and found that they were expressed similarly across most tissues (Fig. 3-S1). Interestingly, unlike mammal GSDMA, chicken GSDMA was not enriched in the skin. These expression data, together with the caspase-1 cleavage site in the linker region suggest that bird GSDMA may serve as their GSDMD.

## Bird GSDMA is cleaved by caspase-1


In the absence of GSDMD, we hypothesized that caspase-1 may recognize and cleave the linker region of GSDMA in amphibians, reptiles and birds, the gasdermin that gave rise to GSDMD. We next tested whether caspase-1 can cleave bird GSDMA. Caspase-1 is activated upon homodimerization through its CARD domain (Thornberry *et al.*, 1992). To simulate this *in vitro*, we replaced the chicken caspase-1 CARD domain with 2xDmrB domains that can be homodimerized by the addition of the small molecule AP20187 (Fig. 3B) (Burnett *et al.*, 2004; Freeman *et al.*, 2003). We transfected 293T/17 cells with chicken 2xDmrB-caspase-1 and 3xFLAG-GSDMA (contained a FASD tetrapeptide). When we treated these cells with the AP20187 dimerizer, we observed strong intensification of the N-terminal GSDMA band (Fig. 3C) that increased in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 3D). The weak cleavage observed in the absence of dimerizer likely resulted from overexpression-dependent autoactivation. Importantly, mutating the predicted cleavage site residue of chicken GSDMA, D244A, prevented caspase-1-dependent cleavage (Fig. 3E), confirming that chicken GSDMA is cleaved at 240FASD244 by caspase-1.

We then observed that crow GSDMA (containing a YVAD tetrapeptide) was similarly cleaved by chicken caspase-1 (Fig. 3F). This cleavage was abolished when the crow YVAD is mutated to YVAA. Thus, this cleavage appears to be conserved across bird species. Interestingly, we observed two N-terminal GSDMA fragments, both of which were ablated by the YVAA mutation (Fig. 3F). This is similar to how cnidarian and mollusk GSDM release two N-terminal fragments when cleaved by caspase-3. Interestingly, in those species, both fragments are competent to form pores (Jiang *et al.*, 2020; Qin *et al.*, 2023). Alternatively, the second cleavage may be an inactivation site (Xu *et al.*, 2022; Taabazuing *et al.*, 2017).

## Bird GSDMA is activated by mammal caspase-1 and inactivated by caspase-3

We next tested the ability of human and mouse caspase-1 to cleave chicken GSDMA, and observed that both of these mammal caspase-1 proteins cleaved chicken GSDMA (Fig. 3F). This cleavage was again abolished by mutation of the aspartate cleavage site (Fig. 3F). This cleavage was also seen with purified human caspase-1 (Fig. 3-S2). Human and mouse caspase-1 also cleaved crow GSDMA, again dependent upon the YVAD tetrapeptide (Fig. 3E). Chicken caspase-1 is also able to cleave both human and mouse GSDMD at the expected site (Fig. 3G-H). Thus, caspase-1 activity well is conserved in diverse species. None of the tested caspase-1 proteases could cleave human GSDMA (Fig. 3I).

We hypothesized that activating pyroptosis using separate gasdermins for caspase-1 and caspase-3 is a useful adaptation and allows for fine-tuning of these separate pathways. In mammals, this separation depends on the activation of GSDMD by caspase-1 and the activation of GSDME by caspase-3. Birds, too, encode caspases -1 and -3. In species with as distant common ancestors as coral, GDSME is cleaved by caspase-3 (Qin *et al.*, 2023; Chen *et al.*, 2023; Jiang *et al.*, 2020). In mammals, caspase-3 inactivates GSDMD by cleaving within the N-terminal pore forming domain



**Figure 3.**

### Chicken caspase-1 cleaves chicken GSDMA and mammal GSDMD.

(A.) Normalized relative GSDMA abundance by qRT-PCR using RNA derived from various *Gallus gallus domesticus* tissues. The cecal tonsils are a lymphoid aggregate tissue found in the chicken GI tract, akin to Peyer's patches in mammals. \*\*\*\*,  $p < 0.0001$  by 2 way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons correction. (B.) Diagram of constructs used in this study. Note that CARD domain of caspase-1 is not present. (C.) FLAG blot of HEK293T/17 lysates after co-transfection of chicken GSDMA and caspase-1 after addition of DMSO or AP20187 dimerizer. (D.) FLAG blot of time course of dimerizer addition. Samples were harvested at 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4.5, and 6 hours after addition of AP20187 dimerizer. (E-H.) Caspase-1 from chicken, human and mouse cleave bird GSDMA and mammal GSDMD. FLAG blot of HEK293T/17 lysates after co-transfection with indicated caspase-1 and GSDM constructs. P1 refers to the fourth residue of the tetrapeptide sequence, which is cleaved by caspase-1. P1 mutants are chicken D244A, crow D243A, human D275A, and mouse D276A. (I.) FLAG blot of HEK293T/17 lysates after co-transfection with indicated gasdermin and caspase-1. Caspase-1 from chicken, human and mouse cleave human GSDMD but not human GSDMA. (J.) FLAG blot of HEK293T/17 lysates after co-transfection with indicated gasdermin and caspase-9. P1 mutant of chicken GSDME is  $_{270}\text{DAVD}_{273}$  to  $_{270}\text{DAVA}_{273}$ .

to prevent pore formation during apoptosis. Bird GSDMA proteins appear to have a caspase-3 tetrapeptide sequence at a similar location in the N-terminus. Indeed, when we activated human caspase-3 via caspase-9 ([Straathof et al., 2005](#)), we observed the inactivating cleavage event (**Fig. 3J**, **Fig. 3-S2**), demonstrating this crosstalk exists in species that use a gasdermin besides GSDMD for caspase-1 driven pyroptosis. Also conserved in chickens is the activation of GSDME via caspase-3, which was abolished by mutating the P1 aspartic acid (**Fig. 3J**, **Fig. 3-S2**).

## Bird GSDMA cleavage by caspase-1 causes pyroptosis


To confirm that the N-terminal fragment of chicken GSDMA forms a pore and causes pyroptosis, we transfected 293T/17 cells with a plasmid encoding the N-terminal domain of chicken GSDMA or the full length protein. The N-terminal domain resulted in 85% reduction in host cell viability (**Fig. 4A**). To directly demonstrate that caspase-1 cleavage of GSDMA results in cell death, we co-expressed these proteins in 293T/17 cells. Dimerization with AP20187 caused cell death that was abrogated when the FASD cleavage site was mutated to FASA (**Fig. 4B**). HeLa cells displayed pyroptotic morphology with ballooning membrane formations when transfected with the chicken GSDMA N-terminal domain (**Fig. 4C**). In these experiments, 293T/17s were used for their high transfection efficiency, and HeLas were used in microscopy studies for their larger size and improved adherence. Overall, our data suggest that the activation of chicken caspase-1 can lead to the cleavage of GSDMA to trigger pyroptosis.

## Bird GSDMA tetrapeptide sequence is essential for caspase-1 cleavage

Surprisingly counter the basic dogma of caspase enzymatic biology, it was recently discovered that caspase-1 cleaves GSDMD after the P1 aspartic acid independent of the tetrapeptide sequence (Wang et al., 2020a). The specificity is instead mediated by extensive interactions between an exosite in GSDMD that interfaces with caspase-1. Thus, the human GSDMD tetrapeptide FTLD can be mutated to AAAD without compromising caspase-1 cleavage (Wang et al., 2020a; [Liu et al., 2020](#)).

This interaction uses hydrophobic residues found in the region between alpha helix  $\alpha 7'$  and  $\alpha 8$  of the GSDMD C-terminus ([Liu et al., 2019](#)). We found this region is truncated in the AlphaFold prediction of chicken GSDMA (**Fig. 5A-B**) ([Jumper et al., 2021](#)), preventing the interhelix loop from providing a groove for caspase-1. Further, this region of chicken GSDMA does not contain hydrophobic residues (**Fig. 5C**). This suggests that GSDMD inserted hydrophobic residues between the these  $\alpha$  helices to better engage caspase-1. We hypothesized that chicken GSDMA instead fully relied on their tetrapeptide sequence for their activation by caspase-1.


Consistent with previous studies, human caspase-1 cleaves human GSDMD in a tetrapeptide-independent manner (**Fig. 5D-E**). In contrast, activation of chicken GSDMA by chicken caspase-1 was lost when the FASD tetrapeptide was mutated to AAAD (**Fig. 5D-E**). Because nearly a third of birds utilize a YVAD-like tetrapeptide in GSDMA, we modified the native chicken FASD to YVAD to determine if the precise sequence of the tetrapeptide affects cleavage efficiency. Indeed, YVAD was cleaved more efficiently than FASD (**Fig. 5D-E**). We also mutated the human GSDMD tetrapeptide to YVAD but only observed slightly darker cleavage bands (**Fig. 5D-E**). Therefore, the tetrapeptide sequence has a greater effect on cleavage efficiency for bird GSDMA compared to mammal GS-DMD. These observations suggest that caspase-1 originally evolved alongside a gasdermin where cleavage was determined primarily by the tetrapeptide sequence. Because the YVAD-like tetrapeptides appear in a monophyletic group (**Fig. 2B**), these data suggest that it was advantageous for some birds to use this sequence for GSDMA.



**Figure 4.**

#### Cleavage of chicken GSDMA leads to cell lysis.

(A.) Measurement of GFP fluorescence in HEK293T/17 cells co-transfected with GFP and either full length (FL) chicken GSDMA or its N-terminal (NT) pore-forming domain after 48 hours. Each point represents a separate transfection experiment. \*\*\*\*,  $p < 0.0001$  by student's t-test. (B.) Cell lysis measured by LDH release in HEK293T/17 cells co-transfected with chicken caspase-1 and either WT or D244A chicken GSDMA. Gasdermin constructs in this study do not encode the 3xFLAG domain. Each point represents a separate transfection experiment. \*\*,  $p < 0.01$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $p < 0.0001$  by 2 way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons correction. (C.) Images of HeLa cells after transfection with the N-terminal domain of chicken GSDMA. Transfected cells display classic pyroptotic ballooning. SYTOX green staining of the nucleus indicates permeabilization of cell membranes.



**Figure 5.**

### Chicken GSDMA requires a compatible tetrapeptide for activation.

(A.) AlphaFold predictions of the structure of  $\alpha 7'$  and  $\alpha 8$  helices of human GSDMD and chicken GSDMA.  $\alpha 8$  helices were aligned in Pymol. The human interhelix loop is indicated by the arrow. (B.) Gasdermin C-terminus AlphaFold predictions visualized with surface projection. Structures are aligned at the  $\alpha 8$  helix. The arrow indicates the human interhelix loop and the space where human caspase-1 interacts with the C-terminal domain of human GSDMD (PDB: 6KN0, (Wang et al., 2020a)). (C.) Alignment of  $\alpha 7'$ -interhelix- $\alpha 8$  helix regions of human GSDMD (residues 347-380) and chicken GSDMA (residues 314-347). Residues colored with the Kyte and Doolittle scale with red indicating hydrophobic and blue indicating hydrophilic. "\*" indicates residues determined to be critical for the hydrophobic interaction between human GSDMD and caspase-1. (D.) FLAG blot of HEK293T/17 cell lysates after co-transfection with either chicken or human caspase-1 and their cognate GSDM with the tetrapeptide as WT, YVAD, or AAAD. (E.) Quantification of the intensity of the N-terminal band as a ratio of the N-terminal fragment over the full length, with the greatest ratio in a given experiment set to 100% efficiency. Each point is a blot from a separate transfection. a,  $p < 0.05$ ; b,  $p < 0.0001$ ; c,  $p < 0.01$  by 1 way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons correction.

Together, these data suggest that GSDMA in birds does not use exosite-mediated interactions with caspase-1, and that these exosites developed after the gene duplication that gave rise to GS-DMD, resulting in bird GSDMA fully relying on the tetrapeptide for cleavage.

## Reptile and Amphibian GSDMA are cleaved by caspase-1

Birds share a close common ancestor with reptiles. To determine if bird GSDMA is representative of other non-mammal GSDMA, we evaluated the ability of GSDMA from *Alligator mississippiensis* (crocodilian reptile, American alligator) to be cleaved by the same caspase-1 proteins studied above. This reptile was selected as crocodilians share a more recent common ancestor with birds than with lizards and snakes (Fig. 2B). Chicken, human and mouse caspase-1 proteases could all cleave *A. mississippiensis* GSDMA (Fig. 6A), which has a caspase-1 like FAHD tetrapeptide. The GSDMA tetrapeptides from more distantly related reptiles have more diverse tetrapeptide sequences. For example, GSDMA from *Anolis carolinensis* (reptile, Carolina anole) has a VVSD tetrapeptide. Even so, this GSDMA was also cleaved by all of these caspase-1 proteases (Fig. 6B).

To more directly assess the origin of GSDMA, we looked to the clade that has the most ancient GSDMA, the amphibians (Yuan et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023b). Although many amphibians have lost GSDMA, the caecilian order, which separate from frogs and salamanders early in the speciation of amphibians (Upham et al., 2019), including *Microcaecilia unicolor* (amphibian, tiny cayenne caecilian) retains it. All three tested caspase-1 proteins were all able to cleave this amphibian GSDMA (Fig. 6C).


In further support of our hypothesis that GSDMA in all tetrapods except mammals serves as caspase-1 target gasdermin, in amphibians GSDMA is not enriched in the skin, but rather in the kidney (Fig. S4.) (Torres-Sánchez et al., 2019), an organ that caecilians use for hematopoiesis (Bain and Harr, 2022), and also a site where GSDMD is enriched in mammals (Uhlén et al., 2015).

## Platypus GSDMD but not GSDMA is cleaved by caspase-1

*O. anatinus* (monotreme mammal, platypus) GSDMD groups at the root node of mammal GSDMA proteins (Fig. 1). The linker region of this gasdermin contains a FVKD tetrapeptide, but whether this divergent GSDMD was a target of caspase-1 had not been investigated. Again, all the caspase-1 proteases tested were able to cleave platypus GSDMD (Fig. 6D), but not platypus GSDMA (Fig. 6E). These data suggest that the ancestral GSDMA that gave rise to mammal GSDMA was indeed cleaved by caspase-1, and that this function was shifted into mammal GSDMD early in the evolution of mammals. In other words, caspase-1 cleaved GSDMA until GSDMD arose, allowing caspase-1 to free this ancient GSDMA to acquire a new function.

## SpeB broadly cleaves GSDMA proteins

Human and mouse GSDMA are cleaved by a bacterial cysteine protease called SpeB that is secreted by group A Streptococci during invasive skin infection. SpeB cleaves GSDMA and triggers pyroptosis in keratinocytes, where GSDMA is specifically expressed (Deng et al., 2022; LaRock et al., 2022; Tamura et al., 2007). Previously, this was the only known activator of any GSDMA. To determine if this cleavage is conserved in chicken GSDMA, we incubated lysates containing GSDMA with active SpeB and observed an N-terminal fragment that was consistent with cleavage in the GSDMA linker (Fig. 6F). In humans, SpeB cleaves GSDMA in two nearby sites the linker region after VIL/IQ/ASD (LaRock et al., 2022; Deng et al., 2022). This protease preferentially cleaves peptides with a hydrophobic residue at P2 with negatively charged amino acids from P3' to P6'. SpeB demonstrates a preference for aromatic residues at P2 for some substrates (Blöchl et al., 2021), and indeed chicken GSDMA has a phenylalanine in the tetrapeptide.



**Figure 6.**

**GSDMA from non-mammals are cleaved by caspase-1 and SpeB.**

(A-C.) FLAG blot of HEK293T/17 lysates after co-transfection of *A. mississippiensis*, *A. carolinensis*, or *M. unicolor* GSDMA and caspase-1 from chicken, human and mouse. *M. unicolor* is an amphibian despite sharing morphological similarity to a snake. (D.) FLAG blot of HEK293T/17 lysates after co-transfection of platypus GSDMD or GSDMA with caspase-1 from chicken, human and mouse. (E.) FLAG blot of HEK293T/17 lysates after incubation with SpeB. Total protein captured by Ponceau staining for this blot.

We found that SpeB cleavage of chicken GSDMA was abrogated when using a AAAD tetrapeptide mutant (**Fig. 6F**). Conversely, the negative charge from the P1 aspartic acid was dispensable (**Fig. 6F**). These data reveal that the caspase-1 tetrapeptide of GSDMA in birds allows for cleavage by both caspase-1 and SpeB. We observed similar SpeB cleavage of GSDMA from *M. unicolor* and *A. carolinensis*, but not *A. mississippiensis* (**Fig. 6G**). These data suggest that the ancestral GSDMA possessed the capacity to detect SpeB-like proteases within the cytosol of cells.

## Discussion


We discovered that GSDMA in amphibians, birds, and reptiles are paralogs to mammal GSDMA. Surprisingly, the *GSDMA* genes in both the amphibians/reptiles/birds and mammal groups appear in the same locus. Therefore, this *GSDMA* gene was present in the common ancestor of all these animals. In mammals, this *GSDMA* duplicated to form *GSDMB* and *GSDMC*. Finally, a new gene duplicate, *GSDMD*, arose in a different chromosomal location. This *GSDMD* gene then became a superior target for caspase-1 after developing the exosite. Once *GSDMD* had evolved, we speculate that the mammalian *GSDMA* became a pseudogene that was available to evolve a new function. This new function included a new promoter to express mammalian *GSDMA* primarily in the skin, and perhaps acquisition of a new host protease that has yet to be discovered.

We also discovered that the ancestral state of GSDMA is to be cleaved by caspase-1. While bird GSDMA proteins share greater sequence homology with mammal GSDMA, bird GSDMA functionally behaves like mammal GSDMD. Caspase-1 is the activator of GSDMA not only in birds, but also in amphibians and reptiles, creating a continuous evolutionary thread where caspase-1 causes pyroptosis, though the target gasdermin has shifted after gasdermin gene duplication events. As our phylogenetic and activation data show, mammal GSDMA is the one GSDMA that deviates from all others. In future studies, the association of amphibian/bird/reptile GSDMA and caspase-1 should be confirmed in native cells from each of these animals.

Since mammal GSDMA is unusual, the open question is what new function that evolved for mammal GSDMA. Our tree provides a tantalizing clue: mammal GSDMA proteins are remarkably conserved compared to other gasdermins as seen in their branch lengths in our tree, suggesting that their function is similarly conserved, and may have an increased reliance on their precise sequence. This information may someday help reveal aspects of its activation.

Our data demonstrates that it is useful over evolutionary time to have several distinct ways to cause pyroptosis (**Fig. 7**). GSDME in the phylogenetically oldest fish can be activated by either caspase-1 or caspase-3 to cause pyroptosis. This leads to limited options in regulating pyroptosis, where expressing GSDME can convert caspase-3 signaling from an apoptotic outcome into a pyroptotic outcome. With the emergence of a second pore-forming gasdermin in amphibians (GSDMA) that could be activated by caspase-1 but resisted cleavage by caspase-3, these animals gained the ability to create new dedicated caspase-1 signaling pathways that obligatorily cause pyroptosis. This development may enable more elaborate regulation of pyroptosis by enabling constitutive expression of inflammasome sensors, caspase-1, and GSDMA in immune cells without fear of errantly converting apoptosis to pyroptosis. This separation of caspase activation mechanisms is ensured both by the different tetrapeptide specificities of caspase-1 and caspase-3, and by caspase-3 inactivating GSDMA. Separation of caspase-1 and caspase-3 dependent pyroptosis must be of great utility, as the exact same adaptation independently evolved in some teleost fish ([Christoffels et al., 2004](#); [Hoegg et al., 2004](#); [Meyer and Van de Peer, 2005](#)), where GSDMEa is cleaved by caspase-3, and GSDMEb is cleaved by caspase-1.

Throughout most of evolutionary history, these two mechanisms were sufficient to provide specificity. With the expansion of gasdermins in mammals, the complexity of ensuring specificity for gasdermin activation increased. Mammals need to separate five different gasdermin activating



**Figure 7.**

### Caspase-1 targets different gasdermins throughout evolution.

Phylogenetic tree indicating which GSDM caspase-1 cleaves in various clades of life. Branch lengths are not to scale. Coral, mollusks, hydras and other invertebrates do not encode caspase-1 and cleave GSDM<sub>in</sub> with caspase-3. Coral and mollusks are indicated in this diagram. We did not identify any gasdermins in 3,030 genomes from Ecdysozoa, the clade of which *D. melanogaster* and *C. elegans* are members. \*Some teleost fish cleave GSDME with both caspase-1 and caspase-3, however, other teleost fish, like the zebrafish, cleave GSDMEb with caspase-1 and GSDMEa with caspase-3, gasdermins that arose after a whole genome duplication event in teleost fish. Frogs and salamanders do not encode an identifiable GSDMA, but their GSDME contains prototypical caspase-3 cleavage sites. In mammals, GSDMD is cleaved by caspase-1 and GSDME by caspase-3. In caecilian amphibians—which are morphologically similar to snakes—birds, and reptiles, GSDMA is cleaved by caspase-1. We and others have confirmed that GSDME in birds is cleaved by caspase-3 (Fig 3J, Fig 3-S2), and amphibian and reptile GSDME encodes prototypical caspase-3 cleavage sites.

pathways while simultaneously maintaining the efficiency of each pathway. We speculate that this could provide an evolutionary driving force that caused mammals to make significant structural changes that pair caspase-1 more specifically with GSDMD using exosite interactions between the two. The exosite could enhance existing properties of the gasdermin, for example enhancing cleavage by caspase-1 (and caspase-4/5/11) or minimizing cleavage by caspase-3. Alternately, the exosite could prevent GSDMD from being cleaved by the new activating pathways for mammal GSDMA, GSDMB, and GSDMC. Another possibility is that the exosite may have permitted the GSDMD to be cleaved by caspase-8 (Orning *et al.*, 2018; Sarhan *et al.*, 2018), a caspase that typically triggers apoptosis that could not change its tetrapeptide specificity. Overall, we speculate that after the duplication event, the new gene that gave rise to *GSDMD* developed the exosites, and that this was superior to the parental *GSDMA*, which then allowed mammal *GSDMA* to evolve new functions no longer tied to caspase-1.

Sequestering GSDMA, B, C, and D from apoptotic caspases makes intuitive sense. The expansion of the gasdermin family in mammals enabled hosts to fine tune the activation of pyroptosis in ways that necessitated distinct—often cell extrinsic—activation pathways and biochemical properties. For example, GSDME is targeted more specifically to mitochondria in neurons (Neel *et al.*, 2023). Additionally, GSDMD and GSDMA control the release of cellular contents based on their charge (Xia *et al.*, 2021). Some gasdermins may push cells to pyroptosis faster than others, allowing cells more or less time to complete tasks before the cell lyses. In mammals, activating GSDMD in intestinal epithelial cells causes extrusion that must be completed before the cell lyses (Nozaki *et al.*, 2022b). Activating the wrong pyroptotic pathway may not allow a cell adequate time to complete these “bucket list” tasks that promotes appropriate disposal of dying cells (Nozaki *et al.*, 2022a).

The gasdermin family is quickly evolving. Nearly doubling the number of gasdermins provided mammals an opportunity to attach diverse signaling pathways as initiators of pyroptotic cell death, and finely tune their activation. We speculate that seizing this opportunity was a key step in the evolution of the mammalian immune system.

## Materials and methods

### Phylogenetic dataset construction

Putative gasdermins proteins were obtained using three complementary approaches: keyword search on the NCBI website, protein similarity searches in NCBI nr (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>; last accessed 2021), and UniProt (UniProt Consortium, 2021) (last accessed 2021) using human gasdermins sequences as seed using BLASTp (Altschul *et al.*, 1997) (e-value of 0.01 as cut-off). Retrieved sequences were combined with gasdermins sequences used by de Schutter *et al.* (De Schutter *et al.*, 2021). We partitioned sequences according to their type (e.g., A, B, C, D). Redundant proteins were removed by clustering using CD-HIT (Li and Godzik, 2006). We keep only one sequence per sequence (1-to-1 orthologs) using a reciprocal best BLASTp approach (e-value < 10<sup>-5</sup> as threshold). For each set, multiple protein alignments were generated using Clustal Omega (Sievers *et al.*, 2011). Partial sequences were removed using trimAl (Capella-Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2009) (criteria < 50% of alignment length, > 50% Gap) and/or visual inspection in Jalview (Waterhouse *et al.*, 2009). Curated alignments were used to produce for Hidden Markov models and consensus sequences using HMMER (<http://hmmer.org/>), and protein profiles for AUGUSTUS-PPX (Keller *et al.*, 2011).

### Genome annotation

Genome sequences for selected species (Table S1) were downloaded from NCBI. Corresponding raw reads (Illumina fastq files) were downloaded from NCBI SRA when available. For species with annotated protein genes, we searched all gasdermins using BLASTp and if absent we used

TBLASTn with an e-value cut-off of 0.1 against the genome (Altschul et al., 1997). If no hit found, a local de novo assembly from raw reads using Spades (Bankevich et al., 2012) was attempted. This has had a limited success because many genomes were constructed using older versions of Illumina reads (50 nucleotides).

## Screening of genomes to identify gasdermin sequences

The purpose of the initial screening was to flag genomic regions with putative gasdermins for further annotation. All genomes were initially screened with exonerate (Slater and Birney, 2005) using consensus sequences as seed with relaxed parameters (see GitHub). Protein to genome alignments were filtered for spurious alignments and converted in transcripts using gffread utility (Pertea and Pertea, 2020), and protein using Funannotate utility “gff2prot” utility (Palmer and Stajich, 2022).

Once validated, all gasdermin putative loci were annotated using AUGUSTUS-PPX (Keller et al., 2011), and/or BRAKER (Brůna et al., 2021). For species with RNA-seq data were available, RNA-seq reads were mapped to the corresponding genomes using hisat2 (Kim et al., 2019). Alignments were converted in BAM formats using SAMTOOLS (Li et al., 2009) to generate BAM alignments for AUGUSTUS prediction (BAM2hints (Stanke et al., 2006)).

## Phylogenetic placement

Predicted gasdermins were aligned using MAFFT (Katoh, 2002). The resulting alignment was inspected for inconsistencies in Jalview (Waterhouse et al., 2009). Maximum likelihood phylogenies were inferred using FastTree (Price et al., 2009) and raxml-ng (Kozlov et al., 2019). Time calibrated trees were constructed using BEAST (Bouckaert et al., 2014) with log-normal distribution and strict clock using soft calibrations from mammal fossil estimated ages. Species age calibrations from VertLife.org (Upham et al., 2019).

## Cloning and mutagenesis

Chicken *GSDMA*, *GSDME* and *CASP1* were isolated from cDNA from chicken tissue. All animals used for this purpose were treated in accordance to Chicken caspase-1 was previously reported to express a short 283 amino acid protein that is truncated when compared to human caspase-1 (Johnson et al., 1998). We cloned a full-length, 393 amino acid isoform from chicken spleens based on more recent NCBI sequences. CARD domains were removed as determined by NCBI annotations. Chicken, crow, mouse and human GSDM were cloned into pcDNA3 with N-3xFLAG tag. Site based mutagenesis was performed using in vivo assembly (Watson and García-Nafria, 2019; García-Nafria et al., 2016).

Caecilian, alligator, anole and platypus gasdermins were synthesized by TwistBioscience (South San Francisco, CA, USA) and cloned using Gateway technology (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA) into a pCMV-polysite (Agrotis et al., 2019) plasmid modified to include N-terminal 3xFLAG tag.

## qRT-PCR analysis of chicken tissues

The tissue samples were stored in RNeasy RNA Stabilization Solution (AM7020, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA) at -80° C if the experiments were not processed immediately. Approximately 20 ug tissue samples were harvested for RNA extraction with TRIzol reagent (15596026, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA), and 1ug total RNA was used for reverse transcription through SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (18090200, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA). The cDNA samples were diluted 80 times with molecular biology grade water for the PCR experiments. The genes were tested by normal SYBR green method with PowerTrack SYBR Green Master Mix(A46110, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA). qRT-PCR results were analyzed by  $\Delta\Delta CT$  method.

## Cell culture maintenance

HEK293T/17 (CRL-11268, ATCC, VA, USA) and HeLa (CCL-2, ATCC, VA, USA) cell lines were maintained in DMEM (11995-073, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 1% MEM Non-essential amino acids solution (11140-050, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA) and 10% FBS (SH30396.03, Cytiva, Utah, USA). Cell lines were tested regularly for mycoplasma infection by PCR (*Dreolini et al., 2020* [↗](#)). Cell lines were kept under 25 passages after thawing.

## Transfection and dimerization

HEK293T/17 cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 (L3000008, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the user manual. All cells received the same amount of DNA with backbone pcDNA3 making up differences in mass. AP20187 (HY-13992, MedChemExpress, Monmouth Junction, NJ, USA) was added to cells by replacing supernatant with OptiMEM (31985-070, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA) with 500 nM AP20187 or DMSO for Western blot endpoints, or was added to the supernatant for a final concentration of 500 nM in complete DMEM for LDH endpoints.

## Western blotting

HEK293T/17 cells were lysed directly in 1xLSB, boiled for 5 minutes then ran on SDS-PAGE using Bio-Rad TGX gels (Hercules, CA, USA). Total protein was captured after activation with UV for 5 minutes on Azure Biosystems Azure 500 imager (Dublin, CA, USA). Protein was transferred to membrane using a Bio-Rad Trans-Blot Turbo transfer system. Total protein images used in figures were captured on membranes by UV after transfer unless otherwise specified. For densitometric analysis of bands, ImageJ was used (*Schindelin et al., 2012* [↗](#)).

## N-terminal lysis assay

HEK293T/17 cells were transfected with EGFP and either the full length or N-terminal domain of chicken GSDMA without a 3xFLAG tag. After 48 hours, GFP fluorescence was captured on a BioTek Instruments Synergy H1 microplate reader (Winooski, VT, USA). After all experiments were captured, the GFP full length intensity was normalized to 100%. N-terminal lysis data were transformed linearly by the same scale as their accompanying full length data.

## LDH cell death assay

HEK293T/17 cells were transfected with chicken caspase-1 and either wild type or D244A mutant GSDMA without a 3xFLAG tag. After 48 hours, AP20187 dimerizer was added in cell culture media to a final concentration of 500 nM for 5 hours before taking the supernatants for LDH assay (G1780, Promega, Madison, WI). Cell death was measured on a scale with the media without cells set to 0% and cells lysed for 1 hour in 1% Triton X-100 set to 100%.

## Microscopy

HeLa cells were plated on Lab-Tek II chambered coverglass (155360, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA). Cells were transfected with either chicken N-terminal GSDMA domain or equivalent mass of pmCherry. After 18 hours, dyes were added to a final concentration of 0.5 ng/ml Hoechst (H3570, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA) and 160 nM SYTOX Green (S7020, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA) to each well. After 30 minute incubation cells were imaged at 20x and 60x on a Keyence BZ-X810 microscope (Osaka, Japan).

## AlphaFold prediction

C-terminal domains from the following proteins were visualized using the ColabFold notebook (*Mirdita et al., 2022; Mitchell et al., 2019; Mirdita et al., 2017; Berman et al., 2003; Van Kempen et al., 2023; Steinegger et al., 2019; Mirdita et al., 2019*). C-terminal domains processed included all the

protein after the predicted aspartic acid cleavage site in the linker region.

## Pymol visualization

Pymol (Schrödinger, LLC, 2015) version 2.6.0a0 (26d795fe92) was compiled from source.

## SpeB cleavage assays

SpeB (20 Units, ACRO biosystems, SPB-S5115, Newark, DE, USA) was incubated with cell lysates of 293T transfected with FLAG-tagged gasdermin A for 30 minutes at 37 °C. For transient transfection for 293T/17, followed manufacturer's instructions of Lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher, 11668019, Waltham, MA, USA). Cell lysates were run on SDS-PAGE and transferred to NC membrane. Immunoblotted using M2 anti-FLAG antibody. For these experiments, total protein was detected by Ponceau S staining (ThermoFisher, A40000279, Waltham, MA, USA).

## R statistical analysis and visualization

R version 4.3.0 was used in these studies. Plots were generated using ggplot2 version 3.4.2.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Kulkarni from NCSU for graciously providing chicken tissues for qRT-PCR analysis.

We would like to thank Dr. Luke Borst for the generous gift of chicken tissues used for isolating the chicken *GSDMA*, *GSDME* and *CASP1* sequences.

We would like to thank Dr. Jörn Coers for the HeLa cells used in this study.

This work has been funded in whole or in part with federal funds from the Intramural Research Program of the US National Institutes of Health (NIH) Clinical Center. The views expressed in this work are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official positions of the NIH or U.S. Government. This study used the Office of Cyber Infrastructure and Computational Biology (OCICB) High Performance Computing (HPC) cluster at the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), Bethesda, MD. This study also utilized the high-performance computational capabilities of the Biowulf Linux cluster at the NIH, Bethesda, MD (<http://biowulf.nih.gov>).

pDEST-CMV-polysite was a gift from Robin Ketteler (Addgene plasmid # 122843; <http://n2t.net/addgene:122843>; RRID:Addgene\_122843)

pMSCV-F-del Casp9.IRES.GFP was a gift from David Spencer (Addgene plasmid # 15567 ; <http://n2t.net/addgene:15567>; RRID:Addgene\_15567)

Silhouettes in figures are from PhyloPic. All of these cartoons have been donated to the public domain.

## Author contributions

Conceptualization - SK; Formal analysis - ZPB, OC; Investigation - ZPB, OC, KK, BW; Data Curation - OC, ZPB; Writing - original draft - ZPB; Writing - Review & Editing - ZPB, SK, OC, EM; Visualization - ZPB, OC; Funding acquisition - EM

## Competing interests

Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Data and materials availability

All phylogenetic analyses and detailed pipeline are open source and can be found at <https://github.com/ocisse> 

## Funding

- National Institutes of Health grant: AI133236 (EAM)
- National Institutes of Health grant: AI139304 (EAM)
- National Institutes of Health grant: AI136920 (EAM)
- National Institutes of Health grant: AI148302 (EAM)
- National Institutes of Health grant: AI175078 (EAM)
- National Institutes of Health grant: AR072694 (EAM)

## Supplementary Data Files

Figure 1—source data 1. Tree in .tre format.

Figure 1—source data 2. Alignment file of all sequences in nexus format.

Figure 1—figure supplement 1—source data 1. Text file with all sequences with seqID and ID used in nexus alignment file.


Figure 1—figure supplement 3—source data 1. Maximum likelihood tree in .nwk format.

Figure 1—figure supplement 3—source data 2. Maximum likelihood tree with bootstrap values.

Figure 1—figure supplement 3—source data 3. Maximum likelihood tree with node tips labeled.


Figure 1—figure supplement 3—source data 4. Maximum likelihood tree with node tips labeled and bootstrap values

Figure 5—source data 1. AlphaFold prediction files in .pdb format.




**Figure 1-figure supplement 1.**

Tree identical to [Figure 1](#) with individual nodes labeled.




**Figure 1–figure supplement 2.**

Tree identical to **Figure 1** with individual nodes labeled and posterior probabilities displayed at the midpoint of each node.




**Figure 1–figure supplement 3.**

Maximum likelihood tree generated using the same sequences in **Figure 1** Bayesian tree.



**Figure 1-figure supplement 4.**


Visualization of the locus where *GSDMA* is found in the clades of animals that encode this gasdermin. In tetrapods, the genes found in this region are identical, though *ORMDL3* and *LRRC3C* are in opposing positions in some animals. Note that *Microcaecilia unicolor* (Tiny Cayenne caecilian) is an amphibian despite appearing morphologically similar to a snake. Duplications of *GSDMA* are indicated by numbers below *GSDMA*. Though not annotated as *CSF3* in *Anolis carolinensis* (Carolina anole), the protein encoded by this sequence aligns with G-CSF (encoded by *CSF3*) in mammals by BLASTp. It is colored and labeled as *CSF3* here for ease of appreciating synteny. In fish that encode *GSDMEc*, like *Callorhynchus milii* (elephant shark), *GSDMEc* is found in a similar locus near *LRRC3Ca* and *MED24*.



**Figure 3-figure supplement 1.**


The same tissues as in [Figure 3](#) were assayed for *GSDME* and *CASP1* alongside *GSDMA*.

877



**Figure 3-figure supplement 2.**

Incubation of 293T/17 lysates transfected with C-terminally tagged crow GSDMA, crow GSDME, human GSDMA, or human GSDMD then were incubated with human CASP1 or CASP3.



**Figure 6-figure supplement 1.**

### Amphibian expression of CASP1, GSDMA and GSDME.

Not all amphibians had RNA extracted from the same organs. Note that kidney, when isolated, always has the highest expression of GSDMA of non-gonadal tissue.

| Abbreviation | Full name                         | Common name            |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Hs           | <i>Homo sapiens</i>               | Human                  |
| Gg           | <i>Gallus gallus</i>              | Chicken                |
| Am           | <i>Alligator mississippiensis</i> | American alligator     |
| Ac           | <i>Anolis carolinensis</i>        | Carolina anole         |
| Mu           | <i>Microcaecilia unicolor</i>     | Tiny cayenne caecilian |
| Oa           | <i>Ornithorhynchus anatinus</i>   | Platypus               |
| Mm           | <i>Mus musculus</i>               | Mouse                  |

**Table 1.**

**Two letter species abbreviations used in this study.**

| Plasmid ID                 | Source               |
|----------------------------|----------------------|
| pHom-Mem1                  | Takara 635064        |
| pMSCV-F-del Casp9.IRES.GFP | Addgene 15567        |
| pDEST-CMV-polysite         | Addgene 122843       |
| pcDNA3.1(+)                | ThermoFisher V790-20 |
| pEGFP-N1                   | U55762.1             |
| pmCherry-N1                | Takara 632523        |
| 2xDmrB-ΔCARD-GgCASP1       | This study.          |
| 2xDmrB-ΔCARD-HsCASP1       | This study.          |
| 2xDmrB-ΔCARD-MmCASP1       | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-GgGSDMA           | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-GgGSDMA-D244A     | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-GgGSDMA-AAAD      | This study.          |
| NoTag-GgGSDMA              | This study.          |
| NoTag-GgGSDMA-D244A        | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-GgGSDME           | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-GgGSDME-D275A     | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-HsGSDMA           | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-HsGSDMD           | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-HsGSDMD-D275A     | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-HsGSDMD-AAAD      | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-MmGSDMD           | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-MmGSDMD-D276A     | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-MuGSDMA           | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-AmGSDMA           | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-AcGSDMA           | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-OaGSDMA           | This study.          |
| 3xFLAG-N-OaGSDMD           | This study.          |

**Table 2.**

**Plasmids used in this study.**

| Antibody            | Cat #       | Manufacturer                                |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|
| M2 FLAG             | F1804       | SigmaAldrich, Burlington, MA, USA           |
| Goat-anti-mouse HRP | 115-035-062 | Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, USA |

**Table 3.**

**Antibodies used for Western blotting in this study.**

| Primer     | Sequence                                                    |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| GgA-F      | CTCAGACCAAGACCACTTCAGGC                                     |
| GgA-R      | CTGGGAAGGAGGGACTCAACGC                                      |
| GgE-F      | CTCACCTCCTCATCAGTGCTATCT                                    |
| GgE-R      | TGAAGCGATGTAAGGCAAGCAAC                                     |
| GgCasp1-F  | CGAGTACCAGCGCATCAAGGA                                       |
| GgCasp1-R  | TGACTGTGGTCATCTCCTGGGAA                                     |
| Gg18S-F    | TGTGCCGCTAGAGGTGAAATT                                       |
| Gg18S-R    | TGGCAAATGCTTCGCTTT                                          |
| 3xFLAG-for | aaaGGTACCgccaccatgGACTACAAGGACCACGCGGTGACTACAAGGACCACGACATC |
| 3xFLAG-rev | aaaAAGCTTccCTTGTCGTCTCGTCTTGTAGTCGATGTCGTGGTCCTTGTAGTCACC   |

**Table 4.**

**Selected primers used in this study.**

| Species                    | GSDM | Accession      |
|----------------------------|------|----------------|
| <i>G. gallus</i>           | A    | NP_001026532.1 |
| <i>H. sapiens</i>          | D    | NP_001159709.1 |
| <i>O. anatinus</i>         | D    | XP_028917767.1 |
| <i>A. carolinensis</i>     | A    | XP_008111551.1 |
| <i>A. mississippiensis</i> | A    | XP_014451785.1 |
| <i>G. japonicus</i>        | A    | XP_015279010.1 |

**Table 5.**

**Gasdermin sequences analyzed by AlphaFold.**

## References

1. Agard NJ, Maltby D, Wells JA (2010) **Inflammatory Stimuli Regulate Caspase Substrate Profiles** *Molecular & cellular proteomics: MCP* **9**:880–893 <https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M900528-MCP200>
2. Agrotis A, Pengo N, Burden JJ, Ketteler R (2019) **Redundancy of Human ATG4 Protease Isoforms in Autophagy and LC3/GABARAP Processing Revealed in Cells** *Autophagy* **15**:976–997 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2019.1569925>
3. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ (1997) **Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A New Generation of Protein Database Search Programs** *Nucleic Acids Research* **25**:3389–3402 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389>
4. Angosto-Bazarra D, Alarcón-Vila C, Hurtado-Navarro L, Baños MC, Rivers-Auty J, Pelegrín P (2022) **Evolutionary Analyses of the Gasdermin Family Suggest Conserved Roles in Infection Response despite Loss of Pore-Forming Functionality** *BMC biology* **20** <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-021-01220-z>
5. Bain P, Harr KE (2022) **Hematology of Amphibians** *Schalm's Veterinary Hematology* :1228–1232 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119500537.ch135>
6. Bankevich A *et al.* (2012) **SPAdes: A New Genome Assembly Algorithm and Its Applications to Single-Cell Sequencing** *Journal of Computational Biology: A Journal of Computational Molecular Cell Biology* **19**:455–477 <https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021>
7. Berman H, Henrick K, Nakamura H (2003) **Announcing the Worldwide Protein Data Bank** *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* **10**:980–980 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nsb1203-980>
8. Blöchl C, Holzner C, Luciano M, Bauer R, Horejs-Hoeck J, Eckhard U, Brandstetter H, Huber CG (2021) **Proteolytic Profiling of Streptococcal Pyrogenic Exotoxin B (SpeB) by Complementary HPLC-MS Approaches** *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **23** <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23010412>
9. Bouckaert R, Heled J, Kühnert D, Vaughan T, Wu CH, Xie D, Suchard MA, Rambaut A, Drummond AJ (2014) **BEAST 2: A Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis** *PLoS computational biology* **10** <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003537>
10. Brůna T, Hoff KJ, Lomsadze A, Stanke M, Borodovsky M (2021) **BRAKER2: Automatic Eukaryotic Genome Annotation with GeneMark-EP+ and AUGUSTUS Supported by a Protein Database** *NAR genomics and bioinformatics* **3** <https://doi.org/10.1093/nargab/lqaa108>
11. Burgener SS, Leborgne NGF, Snipas SJ, Salvesen GS, Bird PI, Benarafa C (2019) **Cathepsin G Inhibition by Serpinb1 and Serpinb6 Prevents Programmed Necrosis in Neutrophils and Monocytes and Reduces GSDMD-Driven Inflammation** *Cell Reports* **27**:3646–3656 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.05.065>
12. Burnett SH, Kershen EJ, Zhang J, Zeng L, Straley SC, Kaplan AM, Cohen DA (2004) **Conditional Macrophage Ablation in Transgenic Mice Expressing a Fas-based Suicide Gene** *Journal of Leukocyte Biology* **75**:612–623 <https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0903442>

13. Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, Gabaldón T (2009) **trimAl: A Tool for Automated Alignment Trimming in Large-Scale Phylogenetic Analyses** *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **25**:1972–1973 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348>
14. Chen H, Wu X, Gu Z, Chen S, Zhou X, Zhang Y, Liu Q, Wang Z, Yang D (2021) **Zebrafish Gasdermin E Cleavage-Engaged Pyroptosis by Inflammatory and Apoptotic Caspases** *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* **124** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2021.104203>
15. Chen S, Gong Y, Li S, Yang D, Zhang Y, Liu Q (2023) **Hydra Gasdermin-Gated Pyroptosis Signalling Regulates Tissue Regeneration** *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* **104904** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2023.104904>
16. Chen S, Jin P, Chen H, Wu D, Li S, Zhang Y, Liu Q, Yang D (2021) **Dual Function of a Turbot Inflammatory Caspase in Mediating Both Canonical and Non-Canonical Inflammasome Activation** *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* **121** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2021.104078>
17. Christoffels A, Koh EGL, Chia JM, Brenner S, Aparicio S, Venkatesh B (2004) **Fugu Genome Analysis Provides Evidence for a Whole-Genome Duplication Early during the Evolution of Ray-Finned Fishes** *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **21**:1146–1151 <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msh114>
18. Cookson BT, Brennan MA (2001) **Pro-Inflammatory Programmed Cell Death** *Trends in Microbiology* **9**:113–114 [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0966-842x\(00\)01936-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0966-842x(00)01936-3)
19. Crooks GE, Hon G, Chandonia JM, Brenner SE (2004) **WebLogo: A Sequence Logo Generator** *Genome Research* **14**:1188–1190 <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.849004>
20. Daskalov A, Mitchell PS, Sandstrom A, Vance RE, Glass NL (2020) **Molecular Characterization of a Fungal Gasdermin-like Protein** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **117**:18600–18607 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2004876117>
21. De Schutter E, Roelandt R, Riquet FB, Van Camp G, Wullaert A, Vandenabeele P (2021) **Punching Holes in Cellular Membranes: Biology and Evolution of Gasdermins** *Trends in Cell Biology* **31**:500–513 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2021.03.004>
22. Defourny J, Aghaie A, Perfettini I, Avan P, Delmaghani S, Petit C (2019) **Pejvakin-Mediated Pexophagy Protects Auditory Hair Cells against Noise-Induced Damage** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **116**:8010–8017 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1821844116>
23. Delmaghani S *et al.* (2015) **Hypervulnerability to Sound Exposure through Impaired Adaptive Proliferation of Peroxisomes** *Cell* **163**:894–906 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.10.023>
24. Deng W *et al.* (2022) **Streptococcal Pyrogenic Exotoxin B Cleaves GSDMA and Triggers Pyroptosis** *Nature* **602**:496–502 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04384-4>
25. Devant P, Kagan JC (2023) **Molecular Mechanisms of Gasdermin D Pore-Forming Activity** *Nature Immunology* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-023-01526-w>
26. Ding J, Wang K, Liu W, She Y, Sun Q, Shi J, Sun H, Wang DC, Shao F (2016) **Pore-Forming Activity and Structural Autoinhibition of the Gasdermin Family** *Nature* **535**:111–116 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18590>

27. Dreolini L, Cullen M, Yung E, Laird L, Webb JR, Nelson BH, Hay KA, Balasundaram M, Kekre N, Holt RA (2020) **A Rapid and Sensitive Nucleic Acid Amplification Technique for Mycoplasma Screening of Cell Therapy Products** *Molecular Therapy Methods & Clinical Development* **17**:393–399 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2020.01.009>
28. Freeman KW, Welm BE, Gangula RD, Rosen JM, Ittmann M, Greenberg NM, Spencer DM (2003) **Inducible Prostate Intraepithelial Neoplasia with Reversible Hyperplasia in Conditional FGFR1-expressing Mice** *Cancer Research* **63**:8256–8263
29. Garcia-Calvo M, Peterson EP, Leiting B, Ruel R, Nicholson DW, Thornberry NA (1998) **Inhibition of Human Caspases by Peptide-Based and Macromolecular Inhibitors** *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **273**:32608–32613 <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.49.32608>
30. García-Nafria J, Watson JF, Greger IH (2016) **IVA Cloning: A Single-Tube Universal Cloning System Exploiting Bacterial In Vivo Assembly** *Scientific Reports* **6** <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27459>
31. He Wt, Wan H, Hu L, Chen P, Wang X, Huang Z, Yang ZH, Zhong CQ, Han J (2015) **Gasdermin D Is an Executor of Pyroptosis and Required for Interleukin-1 $\beta$  Secretion** *Cell Research* **25**:1285–1298 <https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2015.139>
32. Hoegg S, Brinkmann H, Taylor JS, Meyer A (2004) **Phylogenetic Timing of the Fish-Specific Genome Duplication Correlates with the Diversification of Teleost Fish** *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **59**:190–203 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-004-2613-z>
33. Hou J *et al.* (2020) **PD-L1-mediated Gasdermin C Expression Switches Apoptosis to Pyroptosis in Cancer Cells and Facilitates Tumour Necrosis** *Nature Cell Biology* **22**:1264–1275 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-020-0575-z>
34. Jiang S, Gu H, Zhao Y, Sun L (2019) **Teleost Gasdermin E Is Cleaved by Caspase 1, 3, and 7 and Induces Pyroptosis** *Journal of Immunology (Baltimore, Md: 1950)* **203**:1369–1382 <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1900383>
35. Jiang S, Zhou Z, Sun Y, Zhang T, Sun L (2020) **Coral Gasdermin Triggers Pyroptosis** *Science Immunology* **5** <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abd2591>
36. Johnson AL, Bridgham JT, Munks M, Witty JP (1998) **Characterization of the Chicken Interleukin-1 $\beta$  Converting Enzyme (Caspase-1) cDNA and Expression of Caspase-1 mRNA in the Hen** *Gene* **219**:55–62 [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-1119\(98\)00373-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-1119(98)00373-4)
37. Johnson AG, Wein T, Mayer ML, Duncan-Lowey B, Yirmiya E, Oppenheimer-Shaanan Y, Amitai G, Sorek R, Kranzusch PJ (2022) **Bacterial Gasdermins Reveal an Ancient Mechanism of Cell Death** *Science (New York, NY)* **375**:221–225 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj8432>
38. Jumper J *et al.* (2021) **Highly Accurate Protein Structure Prediction with AlphaFold** *Nature* **596**:583–589 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2>
39. Kambara H *et al.* (2018) **Gasdermin D Exerts Anti-inflammatory Effects by Promoting Neutrophil Death** *Cell Reports* **22**:2924–2936 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.02.067>
40. Katoh K (2002) **MAFFT: A Novel Method for Rapid Multiple Sequence Alignment Based on Fast Fourier Transform** *Nucleic Acids Research* **30**:3059–3066 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkf436>

41. Kayagaki N *et al.* (2015) **Caspase-11 Cleaves Gasdermin D for Non-Canonical Inflammasome Signalling** *Nature* **526**:666–671 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15541>
42. Keller O, Kollmar M, Stanke M, Waack S (2011) **A Novel Hybrid Gene Prediction Method Employing Protein Multiple Sequence Alignments.** *Bioinformatics (Oxford England)* **27**:757–763 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr010>
43. Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL (2019) **Graph-Based Genome Alignment and Genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype** *Nature Biotechnology* **37**:907–915 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0201-4>
44. Kovacs SB, Miao EA (2017) **Gasdermins: Effectors of Pyroptosis** *Trends in Cell Biology* **27**:673–684 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2017.05.005>
45. Kozlov AM, Darriba D, Flouri T, Morel B, Stamatakis A (2019) **RAXML-NG: A Fast, Scalable and User-Friendly Tool for Maximum Likelihood Phylogenetic Inference** *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **35**:4453–4455 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz305>
46. LaRock DL, Johnson AF, Wilde S, Sands JS, Monteiro MP, LaRock CN (2022) **Group A Streptococcus Induces GSDMA-dependent Pyroptosis in Keratinocytes** *Nature* **605**:527–531 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04717-x>
47. Letunic I, Bork P (2021) **Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: An Online Tool for Phylogenetic Tree Display and Annotation** *Nucleic Acids Research* **49**:W293–W296 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab301>
48. Li H, Wang X, Yu L, Wang J, Cao Y, Ma B, Zhang W (2022) **Duck Gasdermin E Is a Substrate of Caspase-3/-7 and an Executioner of Pyroptosis** *Frontiers in Immunology* **13** <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.1078526>
49. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R (2009) **1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. The Sequence Alignment/Map Format and SAMtools** *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **25**:2078–2079 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352>
50. Li JY, Wang YY, Shao T, Fan DD, Lin AF, Xiang LX, Shao JZ (2020) **The Zebrafish NLRP3 Inflammasome Has Functional Roles in ASC-dependent Interleukin-1 $\beta$  Maturation and Gasdermin E-mediated Pyroptosis** *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **295**:1120–1141 <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.011751>
51. Li L, Dickinson MS, Coers J, Miao EA (2023) **Pyroptosis in Defense against Intracellular Bacteria** *Seminars in Immunology* **69** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2023.101805>
52. Li W, Godzik A (2006) **Cd-Hit: A Fast Program for Clustering and Comparing Large Sets of Protein or Nucleotide Sequences.** *Bioinformatics (Oxford England)* **22**:1658–1659 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl158>
53. Liu Z, Wang C, Yang J, Chen Y, Zhou B, Abbott DW, Xiao TS (2020) **Caspase-1 Engages Full-Length Gasdermin D through Two Distinct Interfaces That Mediate Caspase Recruitment and Substrate Cleavage** *Immunity* **53**:106–114 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2020.06.007>

54. Liu Z, Wang C, Yang J, Zhou B, Yang R, Ramachandran R, Abbott DW, Xiao TS (2019) **Crystal Structures of the Full-Length Murine and Human Gasdermin D Reveal Mechanisms of Autoinhibition, Lipid Binding, and Oligomerization** *Immunity* **51**:43–49 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2019.04.017>
55. Meyer A, Van de Peer Y (2005) **From 2R to 3R: Evidence for a Fish-Specific Genome Duplication (FSGD)** *BioEssays: News and Reviews in Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology* **27**:937–945 <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.20293>
56. Mirdita M, Schütze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M (2022) **ColabFold: Making Protein Folding Accessible to All** *Nature Methods* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-022-01488-1>
57. Mirdita M, Steinegger M, Söding J (2019) **MMseqs2 Desktop and Local Web Server App for Fast, Interactive Sequence Searches** *Bioinformatics* **35**:2856–2858 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty1057>
58. Mirdita M, von den Driesch L, Galiez C, Martin MJ, Söding J, Steinegger M (2017) **Uniclust Databases of Clustered and Deeply Annotated Protein Sequences and Alignments** *Nucleic Acids Research* **45**:D170–D176 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1081>
59. Mitchell AL *et al.* (2019) **MGnify: The Microbiome Analysis Resource in 2020** *Nucleic Acids Research* <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz1035>
60. Neel DV *et al.* (2023) **Gasdermin-E Mediates Mitochondrial Damage in Axons and Neurodegeneration** *Neuron* **111**:1222–1240 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2023.02.019>
61. Nozaki K, Li L, Miao EA (2022) **Innate Sensors Trigger Regulated Cell Death to Combat Intracellular Infection** *Annual Review of Immunology* **40**:469–498 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-101320-011235>
62. Nozaki K *et al.* (2022) **Caspase-7 Activates ASM to Repair Gasdermin and Perforin Pores** *Nature* **606**:960–967 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04825-8>
63. Orning P *et al.* (2018) **Pathogen Blockade of TAK1 Triggers Caspase-8-Dependent Cleavage of Gasdermin D and Cell Death** *Science (New York, NY)* **362**:1064–1069 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau2818>
64. Palmer JM, Stajich JE (2022) **Funannotate**
65. Pertea G, Pertea M (2020) **GFF Utilities: GffRead and GffCompare** *F1000Research* **9** <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.23297.2>
66. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP (2009) **FastTree: Computing Large Minimum Evolution Trees with Profiles Instead of a Distance Matrix** *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **26**:1641–1650 <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp077>
67. Qin K, Jiang S, Xu H, Yuan Z, Sun L (2023) **Pyroptotic Gasdermin Exists in Mollusca and Is Vital to Eliminating Bacterial Infection** *Cell Reports* **42** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112414>

68. Rogers C, Fernandes-Alnemri T, Mayes L, Alnemri D, Cingolani G, Alnemri ES (2017) **Cleavage of DFNA5 by Caspase-3 during Apoptosis Mediates Progression to Secondary Necrotic/Pyroptotic Cell Death** *Nature Communications* **8** <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14128>
69. Sarhan J *et al.* (2018) **Caspase-8 Induces Cleavage of Gasdermin D to Elicit Pyroptosis during Yersinia Infection** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **115**:E10888–E10897 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1809548115>
70. Schindelin J *et al.* (2012) **Fiji: An Open-Source Platform for Biological-Image Analysis** *Nature Methods* **9**:676–682 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019>
71. Schrödinger LLC (2015) **The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.8**
72. Shen J, Yin Y, Mai J, Xiong X, Pansuria M, Liu J, Maley E, Saqib NU, Wang H, Yang XF (2010) **Caspase-1 Recognizes Extended Cleavage Sites in Its Natural Substrates** *Atherosclerosis* **210**:422–429 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2009.12.017>
73. Shi J, Zhao Y, Wang K, Shi X, Wang Y, Huang H, Zhuang Y, Cai T, Wang F, Shao F (2015) **Cleavage of GSDMD by Inflammatory Caspases Determines Pyroptotic Cell Death** *Nature* **526**:660–665 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15514>
74. Sievers F *et al.* (2011) **Fast, Scalable Generation of High-Quality Protein Multiple Sequence Alignments Using Clustal Omega** *Molecular Systems Biology* **7** <https://doi.org/10.1038/msb.2011.75>
75. Slater GSC, Birney E (2005) **Automated Generation of Heuristics for Biological Sequence Comparison** *BMC bioinformatics* **6** <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-6-31>
76. Stanke M, Schöffmann O, Morgenstern B, Waack S (2006) **Gene Prediction in Eukaryotes with a Generalized Hidden Markov Model That Uses Hints from External Sources** *BMC bioinformatics* **7** <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-7-62>
77. Steinegger M, Meier M, Mirdita M, Vöhringer H, Haunsberger SJ, Söding J (2019) **HH-suite3 for Fast Remote Homology Detection and Deep Protein Annotation** *BMC Bioinformatics* **20** <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-019-3019-7>
78. Stennicke HR, Renatus M, Meldal M, Salvesen GS (2000) **Internally Quenched Fluorescent Peptide Substrates Disclose the Subsite Preferences of Human Caspases 1, 3, 6, 7 and 8** *The Biochemical Journal* **350**:563–568
79. Straathof KC, Pulè MA, Yotnda P, Dotti G, Vanin EF, Brenner MK, Heslop HE, Spencer DM, Rooney CM (2005) **An Inducible Caspase 9 Safety Switch for T-cell Therapy** *Blood* **105**:4247–4254 <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2004-11-4564>
80. Taabazuing CY, Okondo MC, Bachovchin DA (2017) **Pyroptosis and Apoptosis Pathways Engage in Bidirectional Crosstalk in Monocytes and Macrophages** *Cell Chemical Biology* **24**:507–514 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2017.03.009>
81. Talanian RV, Quinlan C, Trautz S, Hackett MC, Mankovich JA, Banach D, Ghayur T, Brady KD, Wong WW (1997) **Substrate Specificities of Caspase Family Proteases** *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **272**:9677–9682 <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.272.15.9677>

82. Tamura M, Tanaka S, Fujii T, Aoki A, Komiyama H, Ezawa K, Sumiyama K, Sagai T, Shiroishi T (2007) **Members of a Novel Gene Family, Gsdm, Are Expressed Exclusively in the Epithelium of the Skin and Gastrointestinal Tract in a Highly Tissue-Specific Manner** *Genomics* **89**:618–629 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2007.01.003>
83. Thornberry NA, Bull HG, Calaycay JR, Chapman KT, Howard AD, Kostura MJ, Miller DK, Molineaux SM, Weidner JR, Aunins J (1992) **A Novel Heterodimeric Cysteine Protease Is Required for Interleukin-1 Beta Processing in Monocytes** *Nature* **356**:768–774 <https://doi.org/10.1038/356768a0>
84. Thornberry NA *et al.* (1997) **A Combinatorial Approach Defines Specificities of Members of the Caspase Family and Granzyme B. Functional Relationships Established for Key Mediators of Apoptosis** *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **272**:17907–17911 <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.272.29.17907>
85. Timmer JC, Salvesen GS (2007) **Caspase Substrates** *Cell Death and Differentiation* **14**:66–72 <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.cdd.4402059>
86. Torres-Sánchez M, Creevey CJ, Kornobis E, Gower DJ, Wilkinson M, San Mauro D (2019) **Multi-Tissue Transcriptomes of Caecilian Amphibians Highlight Incomplete Knowledge of Vertebrate Gene Families** *DNA research: an international journal for rapid publication of reports on genes and genomes* **26**:13–20 <https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsy034>
87. Uhlén M *et al.* (2015) **Proteomics. Tissue-based Map of the Human Proteome** *Science* **347** <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260419>
88. UniProt Consortium (2021) **UniProt: The Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2021** *Nucleic Acids Research* **49**:D480–D489 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1100>
89. Upham NS, Esselstyn JA, Jetz W (2019) **Inferring the Mammal Tree: Species-level Sets of Phylogenies for Questions in Ecology, Evolution, and Conservation** *PLoS biology* **17** <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000494>
90. Van Kempen M, Kim SS, Tumescheit C, Mirdita M, Lee J, Gilchrist CLM, Söding J, Steinegger M (2023) **Fast and Accurate Protein Structure Search with Foldseek** *Nature Biotechnology* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-01773-0>
91. Van Rossom S, Op de Beeck K, Hristovska V, Winderickx J, Van Camp G (2015) **The Deafness Gene DFNA5 Induces Programmed Cell Death through Mitochondria and MAPK-related Pathways** *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience* **9** <https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2015.00231>
92. Wang C *et al.* (2023) **Structural Basis for GSDMB Pore Formation and Its Targeting by IpaH7.8** *Nature* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-05832-z>
93. Wang K *et al.* (2020) **Structural Mechanism for GSDMD Targeting by Autoprocessed Caspases in Pyroptosis** *Cell* **180**:941–955 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.002>
94. Wang X *et al.* (2023) **Characterization of GSDME in Amphioxus Provides Insights into the Functional Evolution of GSDM-mediated Pyroptosis** *PLoS biology* **21** <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3002062>
95. Wang Y, Gao W, Shi X, Ding J, Liu W, He H, Wang K, Shao F (2017) **Chemotherapy Drugs Induce Pyroptosis through Caspase-3 Cleavage of a Gasdermin** *Nature* **547**:99–103 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22393>

96. Wang Z, Gu Z, Hou Q, Chen W, Mu D, Zhang Y, Liu Q, Liu Z, Yang D (2020) **Zebrafish GSDMEb Cleavage-Gated Pyroptosis Drives Septic Acute Kidney Injury In Vivo** *Journal of Immunology (Baltimore, Md: 1950)* **204**:1929–1942 <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1901456>
97. Waterhouse AM, Procter JB, Martin DMA, Clamp M, Barton GJ (2009) **Jalview Version 2—a Multiple Sequence Alignment Editor and Analysis Workbench** *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **25**:1189–1191 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp033>
98. Watson JF, García-Nafria J (2019) **In Vivo DNA Assembly Using Common Laboratory Bacteria: A Re-Emerging Tool to Simplify Molecular Cloning** *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **294**:15271–15281 <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.REV119.009109>
99. Wein T, Sorek R (2022) **Bacterial Origins of Human Cell-Autonomous Innate Immune Mechanisms** *Nature Reviews Immunology* **22**:629–638 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-022-00705-4>
100. Xia S *et al.* (2021) **Gasdermin D Pore Structure Reveals Preferential Release of Mature Interleukin-1** *Nature* **593**:607–611 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03478-3>
101. Xu H, Jiang S, Yu C, Yuan Z, Sun L (2022) **GSDMEa-mediated Pyroptosis Is Bi-Directionally Regulated by Caspase and Required for Effective Bacterial Clearance in Teleost** *Cell Death & Disease* **13** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-022-04896-5>
102. Yin H *et al.* (2023) **Insights into the GSDMB-mediated Cellular Lysis and Its Targeting by IpaH7.8** *Nature Communications* **14** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-35725-0>
103. Yuan Z, Jiang S, Qin K, Sun L (2022) **New Insights into the Evolutionary Dynamic and Lineage Divergence of Gasdermin E in Metazoa** *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology* **10** <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2022.952015>
104. Zhang Jy *et al.* (2021) **The Metabolite  $\alpha$ -KG Induces GSDMC-dependent Pyroptosis through Death Receptor 6-Activated Caspase-8** *Cell Research* **31**:980–997 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-021-00506-9>
105. Zhong X *et al.* (2023) **Structural Mechanisms for Regulation of GSDMB Pore-Forming Activity** *Nature* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-05872-5>
106. Zhou Z *et al.* (2020) **Granzyme A from Cytotoxic Lymphocytes Cleaves GSDMB to Trigger Pyroptosis in Target Cells** *Science (New York, NY)* **368** <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz7548>

## Article and author information

### Zachary P. Billman

Duke University School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill  
ORCID iD: [0000-0001-7738-5795](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7738-5795)

### Stephen B. Kovacs

Duke University School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

### Bo Wei

Duke University School of Medicine

**Kidong Kang**

Duke University School of Medicine

**Ousmane H. Cissé**

National Institutes of Health

ORCID iD: [0000-0002-2990-2185](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2990-2185)

**Edward A. Miao**

Duke University School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

**For correspondence:** [edward.miao@duke.com](mailto:edward.miao@duke.com)

**Copyright**

This is an open-access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the [Creative Commons CC0 public domain dedication](https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/).

**Editors**

Reviewing Editor

**Kay Hofmann**

University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

Senior Editor

**Tadatsugu Taniguchi**

University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

**Reviewer #1 (Public Review):****Summary:**

In their revised manuscript, the authors analyze the evolution of the gasdermin family and observe that the GSDMA proteins from birds, reptiles and amphibians does not form a clade with the mammalian GSDMAs. Moreover, the non-mammalian GSDMA proteins share a conserved caspase-1 cleavage motif at the predicted activation site. The authors provide several series of experiments showing that the non-mammalian GSDMA proteins can indeed be activated by caspase-1 and that this activation leads to cell death (in human cells). They also investigate the role of the caspase-1 recognition tetrapeptide for cleavage by caspase-1 and for the pathogen-derived protease SpeB.

**Strengths:**

The evolutionary analysis performed in this manuscript appears to use a broader data basis than what has been used in other published work. An interesting result of this analysis is the suggestion that GSDMA is evolutionary older than the main mammalian pyroptotic GSDMD, and that birds, reptiles and amphibians lack GSDMD but use GSDMA for the same purpose. The consequence that bird GSDMA should be activated by an inflammatory caspase (=caspase1) is convincingly supported by the experiments provided in the manuscript.

The changes made by the authors in response to the previous reviewer comments are (in my opinion) sufficient.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.92362.3.sa1>

**Reviewer #2 (Public Review):****Summary:**

The authors investigated the molecular evolution of members of the gasdermin (GSDM) family. By adding the evolutionary time axis of animals, they created a new molecular phylogenetic tree different from previous ones. The analyzed result verified that non-mammalian GSDMAs and mammalian GSDMAs have diverged into completely different and separate clades. Furthermore, by biochemical analyses, the authors demonstrated non-mammalian GSDMA proteins are cleaved by the host-encoded caspase-1. They also showed mammalian GSDMAs have lost the cleavage site recognized by caspase-1. Instead, the authors proposed that the newly appeared GSDMD is now cleaved by caspase-1.

Through this study, we have been able to understand the changes in the molecular evolution of GSDMs, and by presenting the cleavage of GSDMAs through biochemical experiments, we have become able to grasp the comprehensive picture of this family molecules. However, there are some parts where explanations are insufficient, so supplementary explanations and experiments seem to be necessary.

**Strengths:**

It has a strong impact in advancing ideas into the study of pyroptotic cell death and even inflammatory responses involving caspase-1.

**Weaknesses:**

Based on the position of mammalian GSDMA shown in the molecular phylogenetic tree (Figure 1), it may be difficult to completely agree with the authors' explanation of the evolution of GSDMA.

(1) Focusing on mammalian GSDMA, this group and mammalian GSDMD diverged into two clades, and before that, GSDMA/D groups and mammalian GSDMC separated into two, more before that, GSDMB, and further before that, non-mammalian GSDMA, when we checked Figure 1. In the molecular phylogenetic tree, it is impossible that GSDMA appears during evolution again. Mammalian GSDMAs are clearly paralogous molecules to non-mammalian GSDMAs in the figure. If they are bona fide orthologous, the mammalian GSDMA group should show a sub-clade in the non-mammalian GSDMA clade. It is better to describe the plausibility of the divergence in the molecular evolution of mammalian GSDMA in the Discussion section.

(2) Regarding (1), it is recommended that the authors reconsider the validity of estimates of divergence dates by focusing on mammalian species divergence. Because the validity of this estimation requires recheck of the molecular phylogenetic tree, including alignment.

(3) If GSDMB and/or GSDMC between non-mammalian GSDMA and mammalian GSDMD as shown in the molecular phylogenetic tree would be cleaved by caspase-1, the story of this study becomes clearer. The authors should try that possibility.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.92362.3.sa0>

**Author Response**

The following is the authors' response to the previous reviews.

**Recommendations for the authors:****Reviewer #1 (Recommendations For The Authors):**

*The authors have addressed the specific comments made upon the initial submission. In particular, they have now provided an explanation, why their GSDM tree looks different than previously published trees. The authors have also followed my initial suggestion to consider the highly-conserved residue following the cleavage site in bird GSDMA forms. Some of the more general weaknesses remain, since they cannot easily be addressed. I agree with the suggestions made by reviewer #2 to further improve the manuscript.*

We thank the reviewer for their insight which we think has improved our manuscript. We have additionally made the changes requested by this reviewer and reviewer #2 in the next section.

**Reviewer #2 (Recommendations For The Authors):**

*The authors responded sincerely to our reviewers' questions in the revised manuscript and I sufficiently understand. After re-reading it, however, I found two issues that need to be revised, so please consider doing them.*

*(1) New sentences (Page 5, lines 209-212) that the authors have added are better written in the subsection, "Bird GSDMA is activated .." after some modification. Because there is an undeniable sense of suddenness in present position.*

We agree with this evaluation and have moved these sentences to a more natural position in the following section.

*(2) Regarding the chromosomal location of the GSDMA gene, the authors describe that the genes of mammals, birds, and reptiles localize the same genetic locus, but no data are presented. To support their claim, it should also be presented as a supplementary figure.*

We agree with this evaluation and have generated Figure 1 – Supplemental 4 to show the synteny of the GSDMA locus from humans to GSDMEc in sharks.