

Tissue-resident NK cells support survival in pancreatic cancer through promotion of cDC1-CD8T activity

Reviewed Preprint

Published from the original preprint after peer review and assessment by eLife.

About eLife's process

Reviewed preprint version 1

January 8, 2024 (this version)

Posted to preprint server

October 18, 2023

Sent for peer review

October 13, 2023

Simei Go, Constantinos Demetriou, Sophie Hughes, Simone Lanfredini, Giampiero Valenzano, Helen Ferry, Edward Arbe-Barnes, Shivan Sivakumar, Rachael Bashford-Rogers, Mark R. Middleton, Somnath Mukherjee, Jennifer Morton, Keaton Jones, Eric O'Neill 

Department of Oncology, University of Oxford; Oxford, UK • NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford University Hospitals • University of Oxford Medical School, OX3 9DU Oxford, UK • Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, OX1 3QU Oxford, UK • Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK • CRUK Beatson Institute, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road, Glasgow, G61 1BD, UK • School of Cancer Sciences, University of Glasgow, Garscube Estate, Glasgow, G61 1QH, UK • Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford; Oxford, UK

 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open_access

 Copyright information

Abstract

The immunosuppressive microenvironment in PDAC prevents tumor control but strategies to restore anti-cancer immunology, by increasing CD8 T cell activity, have not been successful. Here we demonstrate how inducing localized physical damage using ionizing radiation (IR) unmasks the benefit of immunotherapy by increasing tissue-resident NK (trNK) cells that support CD8 T activity. Our data confirms that targeting mouse orthotopic PDAC tumors with IR together with CCR5 inhibition and PD1 blockade reduces E-cadherin positive tumor cells by recruiting a hypofunctional NKG2C^{ve} NK population that supports CD8 T cell involvement. We show an equivalent population in human PDAC cohorts that represents an adaptive-like immunomodulatory trNK-cell that similarly supports CD8 T cell levels in a cDC1-dependent manner. Importantly, a trNK signature associates with survival in PDAC and solid malignancies revealing a potential beneficial role for trNK in improving adaptive anti-tumor responses and supporting CCR5i/αPD1 and IR-induced damage as a novel therapeutic approach.

eLife assessment:

This **valuable** manuscript by Go et al. provides an interesting account documenting the role of resident CD56(br) NK cells in driving interaction with DCs that attract CD8+ T cells to the pancreas cancer tumor microenvironment (TME). The work **convincingly** illustrates how irradiation combined with CCR5i and PD1 blockade leads to a reduction in pancreatic cancer growth that correlates with a reduction in Tregs and enhancement of NK and CD8 T cells in the TME. The correlation of NKC1 signature with survival in pancreatic cancer patients is indeed of broader interest regarding potential relevance to other types of cancer.

Introduction

Over the past decade, immune checkpoint inhibitors have shown significant success in the treatment of various solid malignancies. Treatment of pancreatic cancer using immunotherapy alone or in combination with radiotherapy or chemotherapy has unfortunately seen limited clinical success over either modality alone (Bockorny et al., 2020 [DOI](#); Doi et al., 2019 [DOI](#); Royal et al., 2010 [DOI](#); Weiss et al., 2018 [DOI](#); Weiss et al., 2017 [DOI](#)) (Mohindra et al., 2015 [DOI](#)). This is likely due to several tumor microenvironmental factors, including the dense stroma that supports an immunosuppressive environment while also obstructing the infiltration of cytotoxic immune cells (Mills et al., 2022 [DOI](#); Piper et al., 2023 [DOI](#)). Novel strategies comprising dual targeting of PD-1/IL-2R β with radiotherapy are beginning to indicate that potential benefits may require a coordinated alteration in the suppressive microenvironment involving loss of regulatory T cells (Tregs) and increased natural killer (NK) cell infiltration, in addition to simply increasing CD8 T infiltration (Piper et al., 2023 [DOI](#)).

We previously identified serum CCL5 as a negative prognostic marker for late-stage advanced pancreatic cancer (Willenbrock et al., 2021 [DOI](#)). CCL5 (RANTES) is pro-inflammatory and an acute response to injury or infection that promotes recruitment of monocytes and lymphoid immune cells through CCR5, CCR3, and CCR1. In cancer, sustained CCL5-mediated inflammation leads to a suppressive environment via attraction of CCR5⁺ immunoregulatory components, including Tregs, tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) normally involved in resolving inflammatory events (Hemmatzad and Berger, 2021 [DOI](#)). Pancreatic tumors that are poorly differentiated produce higher levels of CCL5 and express more of its main receptor, CCR5, compared to well-differentiated and non-cancerous tissue (Monti et al., 2004 [DOI](#); Singh et al., 2018 [DOI](#)). Disrupting this loop by the FDA-approved CCR5 inhibitor maraviroc reduces pancreatic tumor cell migration, invasiveness (Singh et al., 2018 [DOI](#)) and proliferation (Huang et al., 2020 [DOI](#)). Silencing CCL5 expression in Panc02 cells or systemic administration of the CCR5 inhibitor TAK-779 reduces Treg migration and tumor volume in a murine subcutaneous tumor model (Tan et al., 2009 [DOI](#)). These studies suggest that the CCL5/CCR5 axis may be a promising therapeutic target in pancreatic cancer as it has the potential to alter both the intrinsic properties of tumor cells and immune cell migration.

In established pancreatic tumors, the immune suppressive environment promotes more tissue repair/resolution signaling (M2-like macrophages, MDSCs) and prevents pro-inflammatory signaling (M1-like macrophages). Radiotherapy is delivered to localized tumors to stimulate a pro-inflammatory environment and increase the opportunity for tumor neo-antigens to be recognized by infiltrating adaptive response cells. As such, stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (SBRT) delivers high doses of radiotherapy in a minimal number of fractions to maximize an inflammatory cascade but the sensitivity of lymphocytes to DNA damage and the immune suppressive environment prevent benefit or meaningful tumor control (Mills et al., 2022 [DOI](#)). In NSCLC, single cell RNA sequencing (scSeq) of immune cells within tumors identifies a distinct subset of CD49a⁺ CD103⁺ tissue-resident memory (TRM) CD8⁺ T cells that have capacity to respond to neo-antigens but are suppressed (Caushi et al., 2021 [DOI](#)). In this case, the use of an anti-PD1 antibody (α PD1) supports TRM activation but additional disruption of the immune suppressive environment is still required, indicating that additional components are required in addition to simply activating CD8⁺ T cell neo-antigen recognition.

Kirchhammer et al. recently reported that a tissue-resident NK (trNK) cell population is induced in NSCLC in response to viral delivery of IL-12, which crucially supported type I conventional dendritic cell (cDC1) infiltration and increased DC-CD8⁺ T cell interactions (Kirchhammer et al., 2022 [DOI](#)). Together with PD1 blockade, IL-12-mediated recruitment of trNK cells enhances cross-presentation of antigen to CD8 T via cDC1 suggesting this represents a substantial barrier for T cell

focused therapy and that improving the NK/DC/T-cell crosstalk can promote antitumor immunity and tumor control. Notably, this was dependent on CCL5 and points to a positive role for inflammatory CCL5 signaling in the absence of CCR5-mediated suppression (Kirchhammer et al., 2022 [DOI](#)).

Given the negative prognosis associated with high CCL5 serum levels in human patients and its diverse functions in chemokine and paracrine signaling (Aldinucci et al., 2020 [DOI](#)), we hypothesized that inhibiting CCR5 rather than CCL5, in combination with radiotherapy and anti-PD1 immunotherapy may improve tumor control via a multimodal approach. To test this, we employed a murine orthotopic pancreatic cancer model and monitored tumor growth and immune infiltration. We find that while the use of a CCR5 inhibitor (CCR5i) alone restricts Treg involvement, it does not impact tumor viability alone or together with α PD1. However, in combination with radiotherapy, we see a significant alteration in MDSCs, NK and CD8 T cells and better tumor control. Interestingly, we observe that IR/CCR5i/ α PD1 combination treatment induced a trNK population that is the highly correlated immune population with tumor control. Exploration of scRNA-seq datasets from human PDAC studies confirms the presence of trNK cells as immunomodulatory in human PDAC, and directly supports cDC1-CD8 communication. Strikingly, a specific trNK signature indicates that higher levels of this NK subtype are significantly correlated with better PDAC patient overall and disease-free survival. Moreover, pan-cancer analysis reveals trNK cell involvement is associated with better patient survival across a number of solid tumors and supports the potential utility of a combination regimen comprising ionizing radiation (IR) and CCR5i/ α PD1 immunotherapy (IO) as a promising strategy to increase NK/cDC1/CD8 mediated tumor control in solid cancers.

Results

CCL5 is a negative prognostic marker in pancreatic cancer

We previously identified serum CCL5 as a *bona fide* negative prognostic marker for pancreatic cancer (Willenbrock et al., 2021 [DOI](#)), and found that, in two independent PDAC cohorts (CPTAC3 (Cao et al., 2021 [DOI](#)) and TCGA (Uhlen et al., 2017 [DOI](#))), higher CCL5 expression associates with poor overall and disease-free survival, confirming the negative implication of high levels of CCL5 in pancreatic cancer (Figure 1A [DOI](#)). To understand the cause of CCL5-mediated reduced survival in PDAC, we hypothesized that immune cells responsive to a CCL5 chemotactic gradient (through expression of the cognate receptors CCR5, CCR3 or CCR1) could be potential contributors to an adverse tumor immune environment (Figure 1B [DOI](#), figure supplement 1A). Single cell sequencing of human tumors has revealed genetic signatures for myeloid derived suppressor cells of polymorphonuclear (PMN-MDSC) or monocytic (M-MDSC) origin (Alshetaiwi et al., 2020 [DOI](#)), TAMs (Wang et al., 2021 [DOI](#)), CD4 T-regulatory cells (Treg) (Mijnheer et al., 2021 [DOI](#)) and NK cells (Smith et al., 2020 [DOI](#)), but none of these immune populations have yet been implicated as a causative agent in the poor outcome associated with high CCL5 expression in PDAC (Figure 1C [DOI](#)). Notably, exploration of individual genes within these signatures indicated stark opposing correlations within each signature pool – particularly for genes associated with MDSCs (S100P vs ARG2) and NK cells (CD56 vs CD16) (Figure 1C [DOI](#), figure supplement 1B). CD56 (*NCAM1*) is a key marker that represents functionally distinct subpopulations of NK cells where CD56^{bright} NK cells represent naïve states that move to CD56^{dim} upon maturation to full cytotoxic potential, whereas conversely CD16⁺ expression marks activation and CD16⁻ immature or quiescent NK cells (Poli et al., 2009 [DOI](#)). Thus, these distinct subtypes may differentially contribute to survival, e.g., association of CD16 with poor survival may implicate a detrimental role of CD56^{dim}CD16⁺ NK cells, whereas the strong positive prognosis associated with CD56 expression could indicate benefit of CD56^{bright}CD16⁻ NK cells. The benefit of CD56 can be attributed to NK cells over neuronal expression as the neuronal-cell specific homolog *NCAM2* has no prognostic value (figure supplement 1C).




Figure 1.

(A) Overall and disease-free Kaplan-Meier survival plots of PDAC patients segregated into high or low CCL5 gene expression levels within pancreatic tumors. Data are derived from CTPAC3 and TCGA cohorts and optimal cut-off values were calculated using the max-stat method for each respective cohort. (B) Schematic overview of CCL5-responsive immune cells and corresponding CCL5 receptor repertoire expression. (C) Correlation between overall and single cell gene signatures of CCL5-responsive immune cells with overall PDAC prognosis. Colour depicts positive (green), negative (red) or neutral (black) prognostic outcomes (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.005$). Data are derived from the Pathology Dataset of the Human Protein Atlas19 and based on human tissue micro arrays and correlated log-rank p value for Kaplan Meier analysis.

CCR5i modulates Treg infiltration in an orthotopic pancreatic tumor model

We next employed a syngeneic orthotopic pancreatic cancer model where cells derived from *Kras*^{G12D}, *Trp53*^{R172H}, *Pdx1-Cre* C56/BL6 tumor bearing mice are injected into the pancreas of wildtype C56/BL6 mice recapitulating a human pancreatic cancer microenvironment (Matzke-Ogi et al., 2016). From a selection of independently isolated KPC derived cell lines, we first determined the cell line KPC_F as an appropriate model for human PDAC tumor based on expression of epithelial (E-cadherin), mesenchymal (vimentin), stromal (Collagen I, α SMA) markers as well as displaying both growth kinetics (determined by MRI) amenable for the study and expression of high levels of CCL5 (Figure 2A, figure supplement 2A/B). To address which immune subsets are involved in CCL5 signaling in pancreatic cancer, we developed a 17-color spectral flow cytometry panel to monitor the tumor-infiltrating immune microenvironment in parallel, (figure supplement 2C) and employed a specific CCR5 inhibitor (Maraviroc, CCR5i), to block CCR5, the most widespread and highest-affinity receptor for CCL5 expressed on Tregs (figure supplement 1A).

Pilot experiments injecting 500 KPC-F cells yielded robust and replicative growth kinetics (indicated by matching volumes of $\pm 100 \text{ mm}^3$ at the beginning of exponential growth), permitting direct comparisons of infiltrating immune cells across different treatment groups (Figure 2A). Next, tumors were allowed to reach 50 mm^3 (approx. 12 days) before initiation of treatment with daily CCR5i for 7 days. Mice were culled 30 days post-treatment for the characterization of infiltrating immune cells (Figure 2B). Notably, CCR5i significantly reduced Treg infiltration but had no effect on the infiltration of other immune cells, indicating the active recruitment of CCR5⁺ Tregs in PDAC (Figure 2B). Given the limited effect of CCR5i on tumor growth kinetics and immune infiltration, however, standalone inhibition of CCR5 and the resultant lack of recruitment of Tregs alone are unlikely to impact PDAC progression.

Combination immunotherapy following localized damage alters tumor of immune composition. Induction of a localized inflammatory microenvironment with ionising radiation (IR) can drive anti-tumor responses, but radiotherapy has had limited success in treatment of PDAC and combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors (e.g. α PD1) does not increase benefit. Therefore, we next examined whether the addition of CCR5i to tumor-targeted IR could overcome this by further modulating immune cell migration, in particular by reducing Treg involvement (Figure 3A, figure supplement 3A). As expected, radiotherapy (3 x 4Gy) produced a strong effect on gross tumor volume, significantly reducing volumes over standalone treatment with α PD1, CCR5i or CCR5i+ α PD1 (Figure 3A). Responses to combination of IR with CCR5i, α PD1 or CCR5i+ α PD1 (IR+IT) showed larger variations compared to IR alone, implying mixtures of ‘responders’ and ‘non-responders’ to immune-modulatory treatments. Moreover, IR/CCR5i/ α PD1 treated tumor sections had significantly reduced DAPI⁺ and p53⁺ KPC cells compared to all other conditions, suggesting significantly more loss of tumor cells by triple combination treatment (Figure 3B, figure supplement 3B-C). Tumor sections from the triple combination treatment also presented with increased loss of active stroma (α SMA staining, figure supplement 3D) and increased necrotic areas over standalone radiotherapy (Figure 3C). In line with apparent increased tumor control, the triple combination treatment demonstrated infiltration of CD8⁺ T cells (Figure 3D), supporting greater penetration of CD8⁺ T cells into the centre of tumors (figure supplement 3E). These results support improved tumor control with combination of IR+CCR5i+ α PD1 over standalone radiotherapy or IR in combination with α PD1 and CCR5i alone. To elucidate the immune mechanisms behind this control, we analysed the immune infiltrate of pancreatic tumors. In line with the results above, the triple combination reduced Treg infiltration, enhanced CD8⁺ T cell infiltration and supported a moderate increase in CD4⁺ T helper cells (Figure 3E, figure supplement 3F).




Figure 2.

(A) Three different lineages of KPC pancreatic tumor cells (derived from KrasG12Dp53R172HPdx1-Cre mice) were obtained and stained for DAPI (blue; nucleus), E-cadherin (green; epithelial) and Vimentin (red; mesenchymal). Growth curve of orthotopically injected KPC-F cells (500 cells) into the pancreas of wildtype C57BL/6 over time in weeks. Tumor volume was measured using MRI. Representative MRI images over time are displayed, white arrow denotes tumor mass. (B) Timeline of maraviroc (anti-CCR5) treatment regimen. A total of 12 days post-orthotopic injection, tumor-bearing mice were treated daily with maraviroc (10 mg/kg via intraperitoneal injection) for 6 days and followed for up to 30 days after starting treatment. Frequencies of pancreatic tumor-infiltrating immune cells harvested at day 30 with or without maraviroc using Aurora Cytek spectral flow is shown. Data are represented as mean percentage positive cells (of CD45) $\pm$ SD. Significance was tested using the Welch and Brown-Forsythe ANOVA for parametric data or Kruskal-Wallis test for non-parametric data. Mock (n=6), IR (n=3), aPD1 (n=8), aPD1+IR (n=8), CCR5i (n=3), CCR5i+IR (n=8), aPD1+CCR5i (n=5), aPD1+CCR5i+IR (n=8).




Figure 3.

(A) Timeline of triple treatment regimen (maraviroc, aPD1 and radiotherapy) following orthotopic injection of KPC_F cells. A total of 12 days post orthotopic injection of 500 KPC-F cells in the pancreas of wildtype C57BL/6 mice, mice were treated as follows: seven consecutive days of 10 mg/kg intraperitoneal injection of maraviroc and four alternating days of 10 mg/kg intraperitoneal injection of aPD1. Mice were followed for up to 30 days following the start of the treatment regimen. Tumor volumes were measured by MRI and growth curves of individual treatment groups are plotted with or without radiotherapy as measured by MRI. Average growth curves $\pm$ SD are depicted in bold, individual mice are shaded (without IR; dashed, with IR; solid). Insert: expanded view of triple combination to show 'responders' display a significant benefit over RT alone. (B) Quantification of pancreatic tumors derived from (A) stained by IHC for p53. (C) Quantification of necrotic areas in pancreatic tumors derived from (A) based on H&E staining. (D) Quantification of infiltrating CD8+ in pancreatic tumors derived from (A) by flow cytometry. (E) Profiling of infiltrating immune cells in pancreatic tumors derived from (A) by Aurora Cytek as in **Figure 2B**. Single, live cells were included for analysis and are represented as frequencies of CD45+ cells or total CD3+ for Foxp3+ Tregs. Significance was tested using the Welch and Brown-Forsythe ANOVA for parametric data # $p < 0.05$, ## $p < 0.01$ or Kruskal-Wallis test for non-parametric data #w $p < 0.01$; pairwise comparisons (student t test) * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.005$, **** $p < 0.001$.

Interestingly, no alteration was seen in the myeloid compartment, except for a reduced infiltration of PMN-MDSCs, whereas a significant infiltration of NK and NKT cells could be observed in pairwise comparisons between IR+αPD1 vs IR+CCR5i or IR+CCR5i+αPD1 (**Figure 3E** [↗](#), figure supplement 3F). These results collectively support the notion that IR/CCR5i/αPD1 combination treatment alters immune infiltration by reducing Tregs and increasing NK and CD8 T cells, thereby resulting in greater local tumor control.

CD8 and NKG2D⁺ NK cells correlate with increased tumor control

To derive more granularity on the potential roles of NK- and T-cell populations in tumor control, tissue sections were stained using an optimized multiplex immunofluorescence panel and analyzed by HALO AI software to identify tumor cells (E-cadherin⁺-blue), CD8⁺ T cells (CD3⁺CD8⁺ - orange), CD4⁺ T-cells (CD3⁺CD8⁻ - green) and NK cells (CD3⁺CD8⁻NK1.1⁺ - red) (**Figure 4A** [↗](#), figure supplement 4A). As observed with flow cytometric and immunohistochemical analyses, multiplex staining of tumor sections also revealed a significant increase of CD8⁺ T cells per mm³ when IR was used in combination with CCR5i or CCR5i/αPD1 (figure supplement 4B). Notably, despite having responders and non-responders in the combination groups (**Figure 4A** [↗](#)), a significant overall reduction in E-cadherin⁺ tumor cells as a percentage of total DAPI⁺ cells was observed (**Figure 4B** [↗](#)), supporting a decrease in cellularity and an increase in tumor necrosis with the IR/CCR5i/αPD1 combination (**Figure 3B,C** [↗](#)). The increase in CD8⁺ T cells with combination treatment appears independent of tumor area and is matched by a similar increase in NK cells (**Figure 4B** [↗](#), figure supplement 4B). To correlate immune infiltration against loss of tumor cells (a measure of local tumor control) we determined relationships between CD4 T, CD8 T and NK cell populations and E-cadherin⁺ cells across all tumor sections (independent of treatment) and found a significant inverse correlation for both CD8 T and NK cells ($r^2=-0.3$, $p=0.038$ and $r^2=-0.33$, $p=0.026$ respectively), but not CD4 T cells (**Figure 4C** [↗](#)).

We next checked if the association between NK cells and loss of tumor cells was due to killing by functionally active NK cells by focusing our analysis on the NKG2D⁺ NK cell population, given that this is one of the major activating receptors on NK cells and is required for the lysis of target cells (Bryceson and Ljunggren, 2008 [↗](#)). Surprisingly, the proportion of infiltrating CD3⁺CD161⁺NKG2D⁺ cells (NK^{Active}) is reduced under IR/CCR5i/αPD1 combination treatment, implying a decrease in total NK cell cytolytic capacity (figure supplement 4C). The reduced NKG2D expression on NK cells may be a result of the prolonged engagement by ligands expressed on tumor cells, followed by ligand-induced endocytosis and degradation (Quatrini et al., 2015 [↗](#)), or the shedding of NKG2D ligands by tumor cells (Kaiser et al., 2007 [↗](#)). In both instances, receptor down-regulation causes a reduction in cytotoxicity and impairs NK cell responsiveness to tumor cells, potentially contributing to exhaustion (Groh et al., 2002 [↗](#)). Not surprisingly, the reciprocal CD3⁺CD161⁺NKG2D⁻ population increases upon triple combination treatment (figure supplement 4C). Interestingly, circulating NK cells from PDAC patients show reduced NKG2D levels compared to healthy controls (Peng et al., 2013 [↗](#)) supporting the notion that chronic exposure to NKG2D ligands expressed or shed by PDAC cells might cause NKG2D down-modulation and a hyporesponsive phenotype. Surprisingly, the correlation of NK cells with decreased frequency of E-cadherin⁺ cells was completely abrogated with selection for more functionally competent NK cells (NK^{Active}), implying the opposite hypofunctional NKG2D⁻ NK population (NK^{NKG2D-ve}) is responsible for correlation of NK cells with E-cadherin loss and indeed, a superior inverse correlation is observed for NK^{NKG2D-ve} and Ecadherin compared to NK^{Active} or NK^{Total} ($r^2=-0.52$, $p=0.003$) (**Figure 4D** [↗](#)). To explore this association in relation to tumor control, sections were split into either E-cadherin^{high} or E-cadherin^{low} and the extent of immune involvement was represented as a percentage of total DAPI⁺. While CD8 T cells significantly segregated with E-cadherin^{low}, implying a contribution to tumor control, the association of NK^{NKG2D-ve} was vastly more significant (**Figure 4E** [↗](#)).




Figure 4.

(A) Spatial plots of individual cells identified using HALO software of scanned multiplex immunofluorescence murine pancreatic tumor slices. Positive staining is identified as the marker of interest and DAPI+ signal. Responders and non-responders to treatment are based on loss of E-cadherin staining. (B) Percentages of total DAPI+ immune cells (left) and E-cadherin+ tumor cells (right) derived from [Figure 4A](#) following treatment. Significance was tested using two-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple comparison (blue significance lines), or one-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple comparison (yellow significance lines) using a $p < 0.05$. Correlations between %positive immune cells plotted against %positive E-cadherin+ cells, as derived from (A). Symbols and colours represent different treatment groups. (C) Correlation of total CD4 T (CD3+CD8-), CD8 T (CD3+CD8+) and NK cells (CD3-NK1.1+) plotted against %positive E-cadherin+ cells as derived from (A). (D) Correlation of %positive segregated NK cells plotted against %positive E-cadherin+ cells as derived from (A). NK cells were segregated based on expression of NKG2D; NKActive; NK1.1+NKG2D+; NKNKG2D-ve; NK1.1+NKG2D-. (E) Intratumoral immune cells of stratified pancreatic tumors based on low or high E-cadherin percentage (cut-off: 20%). Significance was tested for $p < 0.05$ with a two-tailed student's T-test. * censored non-responder.

Heterogenous subsets of NK cells in PDAC exhibit differential inhibitory and activatory signatures

To extrapolate our findings to the human setting, we explored scRNA-seq data from selected NK and T-cells derived from human pancreatic cancer patients. A total of 51,561 cells were catalogued into 17 distinct cell lineages annotated with canonical gene signatures as described in Steele *et al.* using unsupervised clustering (Steele *et al.*, 2020) (figure supplement 5A). UMAP projection of the lymphocyte compartment does not delineate NK subpopulations and shows overlap with CD8 T cells (figure supplement 5B). Therefore, we focused on UMAP projections of the CD8 and NK compartment alone to reveal three clear NK subpopulations that are distinct from CD8 T cells and clearly separate out in clusters of immature (NK_C3), active (NK_C2) and reduced activation (NK_C1) NK cells from T cells (Figure 5A). In agreement with downregulation of circulating NKG2D⁺ in PDAC patients, NKG2D (KLRK1) expression was below detection across all CD8 and NK subpopulations retrieved from patient tumors (Groh *et al.*, 2002). As expected, CD16^{high} (FCGR3a) cytotoxic NK cells (NK_C3) cluster separately from CD16^{low} NK cells (NK_C1) which are more enriched for genes related to cytokine secretion than cytolytic function (Figure 5D, figure supplement 5C). The NK_C1 cluster correlates best with the hypofunction NK phenotype observed in mice as similarly displayed reduced activation (reduced NKG7, NKp80, GZMA and PRF1) with additional expression of tissue residency markers *CD103*, *CD49a* and, surprisingly, the adaptive activating receptor NKG2C (*KLRC2*) (Figure 5B, C). While adaptive-like NK cells in circulating are associated with a Cd56^{dim}CD16⁺ phenotype, adaptive like (i.e. NKG2C⁺) tissue resident NK cells have been identified in lung tissue (Brownlie *et al.*, 2021) and appear to match our pancreatic tissue NK_C1 cells. Downstream UMAP analysis of the NK cell population distinguishes these 3 subsets of NK cells (NK_C1, NK_C2 and NK_C3) based on 41 differentially expressed genes (Figure 5D, E).

Given that CD56 expression correlates with increased survival in PDAC patients (Figure 1C) we were intrigued to notice that the hypofunctional NK_C1 cluster is enriched for CD56 but not CD16 expression (Figure 5D). These data suggest that NK_C1 cluster represents a subset of NK cells in PDAC that display tissue-residency markers (*ITGAE*, *CD103* and *ITGA1*, *CD49a*) and have an immune-secretory phenotype (XCL1) as opposed to cytolytic phenotype (NK_C2 CD16⁺, NKG7⁺) (Figure 5E). Furthermore, we define a core signature gene set to distinguish NK_C1 from other NK subpopulations (Figure 5F). Verification of the NK_C1 population as NKG2C⁺ and tissue resident (trNK) in a second scRNA pancreatic cancer dataset of Peng *et al.* (Peng *et al.*, 2013) confirmed the existence of these cells in an independent PDAC cohort, again hypofunctional for cytotoxic activity and enriched for the inhibitory receptor NKG2A (*KLRC1*) (figure supplement 5D, E, F).

Tissue resident NK cells in PDAC show differential communication

The NK_C1 population had the highest expression of the chemokines XCL1 and XCL2, which have been demonstrated to attract type-1 conventional dendritic cells (cDC1) (Böttcher *et al.*, 2018) and thereby increase cross presentation to CD8⁺ T cells. We next explored myeloid populations in the Steele *et al.* scPDAC dataset (figure supplement 6A) and identified cDC1 cells as XCR1⁺ enriched compared to other DC subsets (Figure 6A, figure supplement 6B). As XCR1 is the receptor for XCL1/2, this suggests that a main direction of communication is from NK_C1 cells in PDAC to cDC1 (Figure 6B). We next employed the R-package ‘CellChat’ (Jin *et al.*, 2021) to further dissect the crosstalk between NK_C1 and other cells found in the pancreatic tumor microenvironment, and confirmed NK_C1-derived XCL1/2 is the strongest signal to XCR1⁺ cDC1s (Figure 6C). Analysis of specific ligand-receptor pairs between NK_C1 and 24 other cell groups yielded 32 significant interactions, of which the TNFSF14 (LIGHT)-TNFRSF14 pair was the most universal intercellular interaction, whereas CD74 (or MIF) signaling showed the highest communication probability (figure supplement 6C).




Figure 6.

(A) UMAP of the dendritic cell sub-clusters from the Steele dataset. (B) Violin plot showing the expression of XCL1, XCL2, and XCR1 across all cell types. (C) Circle plots showing interactions across all cell types (top left), signals coming from the tissue-resident NK cells (top right), the XCL1-XCR1 interaction (bottom left), and the XCL2-XCR1 interaction (bottom right). The width of edges represents the communication strength. (D) Heatmap showing the summary of secreted signalling communications of outgoing (left) and incoming (right) signals. The colour bar represents the communication strength, and the size of the bars represents the sum of signalling strength for each pathway or cell type. (E) Schematic overview of the trNK to cDC1 and cDC1 to CD8 T cell communication axis (top). Circle plots of all outgoing signals from cDC1 (bottom left) and the CXCL16-CXCR6 signalling (bottom right). (F) Correlation of HALO data on total NKNKG2D-ve/trNK cells (CD3-NK1.1+NKG2D-) with CD8 T (CD3+CD8+) from stained sections of treated KPC_F orthotopic tumors, R2 and p values indicate positive correlation across all tumors (gray, n=15) or limited to mock, CCR5i IR and CCR5i aPD1 IR combination (red, n=9). (G) Correlation of trNK signature with CD8A (left) or CD8B (right) in bulk RNAseq from TCGA_PAAD and binned into quartiles based on extent of cDC1 involvement as assume by cDC1 signature.

Within the immune cell compartment, communication signals between NK_C1 and macrophages were the most abundant and diverse, followed by cDCs (cDC2 and cDC1) and Tregs (figure supplement 6C). Similarly, cDC1 from in these PDAC samples capable of presenting MHC class I peptides to CD8⁺ T cells, but surprisingly also MHC class II to CD4⁺ Tregs (figure supplement 6D). Next, significant receptor-ligand interactions were segregated as ‘outgoing’ or ‘incoming’ signals to understand directionality of communication. Among the outgoing signals from different cell types, NK_C1 cells contributed the highest IL-16, CSF, LIGHT (TNFSF14), FASLG and MIF signals in tumors (**Figure 6D**). IL-16 is mainly known as a chemoattractant for CD4⁺ T cells, however CD4⁺ dendritic cells exist and have also been demonstrated to be recruited by IL-16 (Bialecki et al., 2011; Kaser et al., 1999; Vremec et al., 2000). IL-16 has also been described to increase HLA-DR levels in CD4⁺ T cells and eosinophils, therefore has the potential to induce MHC antigen presentation in CD4⁺ cells (Cruikshank et al., 1987; Rand et al., 1991). Taken together, these interactions support the hypothesis that trNK cells may improve tumor control via recruitment of type 1 dendritic cells via XCR1, while promoting DC maturation via LIGHT-CD86 (Zou and Hu, 2005) signaling and supporting antigen presentation to both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells via MIF-CD74 signaling (Basha et al., 2012) (**Figure 6E**). On the other hand, dendritic cell-secreted BAG6 may promote both survival and cytokine release by NK cells by binding Nkp30 (Simhadri et al., 2008) and directly signal to CD8 T cells via CXCL16-CXCR6 (Di Pilato et al., 2021), thereby generating an anti-tumor feedforward loop (**Figure 6E**). Remarkably, IL-16 also communicates to CD4⁺ Tregs and CD74⁺ fibroblasts, likely supporting tumor growth (**Figure 6D**). However, both cell types may be susceptible to Fas-mediated cell death due to NK_C1 expression of Fas ligand (**Figure 6D**). This could enhance immune cell infiltration and revoke the immunosuppressive environment, ultimately contributing to increased tumor control.

These results so far suggest the presence of immunoregulatory trNK cells in PDAC that are involved in an intricate immune communication network with DCs and CD8 T cells to enhance anti-tumor immunity. To support this hypothesis, we explored the correlation between trNK and CD8 T infiltration in our KPC_F orthotopic tumor model and found a highly significant positive association ($R^2 = 0.6571$, $p = 0.003$) which was strengthened when we focused on untreated (Mock) versus IR+IT combinations where trNK cells are evident ($R^2 = 0.9223$, $p = 0.0004$) (**Figure 6F**). To ascertain if this also holds true in human PDAC, we first specified the NK_C1 signature as a 14-gene signature that was specific for our tissue-resident NK cells over all other cells to distinguish levels in bulk datasets (Table 1). We next explored the CD8 T:trNK cell relationship in PAAD_TCGA and, as the model in **Figure 6E** predicts this interaction to be cDC1 dependent, by binning PAAD_TCGA cohort into quartiles based differential cDC1 signature expression to test dependence of CD8 T:trNK on cDC1 levels (**Figure 6G**). PDAC patient tumors with the lowest evidence for cDC1 involvement have a weak correlation of trNK_C1 with CD8A and CD8B ($p = 0.047$; $p = 0.45$ respectively) which rises to a strong highly significant correlation when the highest levels of cDC1s are present ($R^2 0.3$, trNK_C1 vs CD8A $p = 0.0001$; $R^2 0.34$, trNK_C1 vs CD8B $p = 0.000047$) (**Figure 6G**).

NK cell signature correlates with improved survival

As the presence of trNK and correlation with CD8 T cells appears boosted by IR, we next explored our original finding that CD56 correlates with highly significant survival in PDAC (**Figure 1**, figure supplement 1). We hypothesized that tumors with a high CD56 signature might recruit a high proportion of trNK (CD56^{bright}CD16^{low}), whereas tumors with a low CD56 signature might have a lower proportion of trNK and, therefore, could benefit from IR. Indeed, separating PAAD_TCGA patients into those who received radiotherapy (RTx) versus those that did not, showed that the benefit in overall survival of PDAC patients is only apparent in the CD56^{low} patient group (log rank $p < 0.0001$, **Figure 7A**). We next explored whether CD56-associated survival is specifically due to the presence of trNK cells using our NK_C1 signature (Table 1). Analysis of primary PDAC tumors from the TCGA (TCGA_PAAD) demonstrates that patients with tumors enriched for the trNK cell (NK_C1) gene signature were associated with improved PDAC survival compared to patients without trNK involvement (**Figure 7B**, figure supplement 7A). Similarly to

CD56^{low} patients, we find that patients with trNK^{low} benefit from RTx (log rank $p < 0.005$, **Figure 7C**). Notably, we find that despite an overall poor prognosis, CCL5^{high} patients (TCGA and CPTAC3) are significantly enriched for the trNK_C1 gene signature (**Figure 7D**), potentially due to strong CCR5 or CCR1-mediated recruitment (figure supplement 1A). However, as CCL5 also recruits MDSCs, TAMs, Tregs and conventional NK cells via CCR5, CCR5i prevents a tumor suppressive microenvironment, both directly and indirectly by retaining trNK cells that remove Tregs through FASL (figure supplement 7B). Therefore, we could expect that patients with CCL5^{high} NK_C1^{low} would perform significantly worse than patients with CCL5^{high} NK_C1^{high}. Indeed, CCL5^{high} patients enriched for the NK_C1 signature or CD56 had significantly improved overall and disease-free survival (**Figure 7E, F**). This also supports a model where CCL5 mediated recruitment of NK cells can be beneficial in the absence of CCL5-CCR5 recruitment, via CCR1 (Ajuebor et al., 2007) (figure supplement 7C, 1A). These results suggest that despite high CCL5 levels and an overall poor prognostic outcome, the presence of tissue-resident NK cells significantly improves survival and provides an opportunity for intervention with the IR+IT combination.

Finally, we explored whether the NK_C1 gene signature could be a prognostic marker in solid malignancies other than PDAC by expanding our TCGA analysis. The majority of cancers with enriched expression of our NK_C1 gene signature showed improved survival apart from endometrium and prostate (**Figure 8**), as a continuous variable or as a high vs low in a univariate Cox regression (figure supplement 8A,B). The latter result confirms our hypothesis that enrichment of trNK cells is a protective factor across most solid malignancies. Moreover, the ability to enrich for this population with IR/IO combinatorial strategies supports the idea that trNK cells generally improve overall survival though improving CD8 T cell activity in solid cancers.

Discussion

Novel approaches such as immunotherapies struggle to improve outcome in PDAC as tumors are stromal rich, myeloid-involved immunosuppressive microenvironments devoid of cytotoxic lymphocytes. Most strategies to combat immune suppression, e.g. targeting inhibitory checkpoints such as PD1/PDL1, fail as single therapies because PDAC is largely devoid of the CD8 T cells these agents are designed to reactivate. Combining them with inhibitors of myeloid suppression (e.g. CXCR2) to increase CD8 T penetration also failed to impact survival in clinical trials despite showing promise in pre-clinical studies (Steele et al., 2015), (Siolas et al., 2020). Similarly, high-dose hypo-fractionated ablative radiotherapy has been employed to create an acute localized inflammatory response to stimulate intra-tumoral penetration of CD8 T cells, but even in conjunction with PD1/PDL1 blockade this approach has not shown benefit in PDAC (Parikh et al., 2021). Novel strategies in pre-clinical PDAC models comprising ionizing radiation (IR), α PD1 and BMS-687681 (a dual CCR2/CCR5 inhibitor) have shown promising increases in CD8 T cells (Wang et al., 2022) whereas the combination of IR and the bifunctional agent α PD-1/IL-2R $\beta\gamma$ stimulates tumor penetration of polyfunctional stem-like activated CD8 T cells and DNAM1⁺ cytotoxic natural killer (NK) cells (Piper et al., 2023). Together, these approaches indicate potential benefits of a coordinated alteration of the suppressive microenvironment and checkpoint blockade to reduce regulatory T cells (Tregs) and increase NK cell infiltration in addition to supporting CD8 T cell activity. The utility of RT for localized PDAC has been controversial but innovative technology can now deliver ionizing radiation at higher-doses with greater precision (Mills et al., 2022). Rather than simple ablative radiotherapy, this strategy is being employed to increase localized damage that stimulates an acute damage response in immune-cold tumors or increase tumor neoantigens to stimulate adaptive responses (Sodergren et al., 2020). In support of this, the use of IR alongside α PD-1/IL-2R $\beta\gamma$ or FAKi appeared to induce durable immunity in preclinical models (Lander et al., 2022; Piper et al., 2023), suggesting the induction of immunologic memory against tumor antigens is possible.




Figure 7.

(A) Overall survival analysis correlating CD56 low/high expression with and without radiation therapy in the TCGA dataset. (B) Overall survival analysis correlating the deconvoluted NK C1 signature split into low and high. (C) Overall survival analysis correlating NK C1 low/high enrichment with and without radiation therapy in the TCGA dataset. (D) Boxplot correlating low and high CCL5 expression with NK C1 enrichment score in the TCGA (left) and CPTAC (right) datasets. (E) Overall (left) and disease-free survival (right) analysis of CCL5^{high} patients segregated based on high/low enrichment of trNK (NK_C1) gene signature. (F) Overall survival of CCL5^{high} segregated on CD56 (NCAM1) expression.

trNK C1 signature pan-cancer




Figure 8.

Correlation of tissue-resident NK cells gene signature in human cancer The trNK cell gene signature is a positive prognostic factor across various malignancies using the TCGA_PAAD dataset.

We were led by our previous clinical trial results implicating serum CCL5 levels as a negative prognostic marker for PDAC survival, which we now validate in two independent validation datasets. CCL5 has both pro-inflammatory roles as a chemoattractant for leukocytes and anti-inflammatory activity via recruitment of CCR5⁺ Tregs (Tan et al., 2009). To maintain beneficial signals but limit pro-tumorigenic signaling, we targeted CCR5 alone using maraviroc. Not surprisingly, in an orthotopic KPC model of PDAC we found that Tregs were restricted by CCR5i directly, and the combination of IR and immunotherapy+(IT, CCR5i, αPD1 or CCR5i/αPD1) correlates with a progressive enrichment of CD8 T cells. Intriguingly, CD8 T cells alone were insufficient to explain the loss of cellularity or the increase in necrotic tumors seen with the IR/CCR5i/αPD1 combination, but we do observe a significant increase in total NK and NKT cells that correlates with better local control.

NK infiltration has been associated with positive outcomes in many solid tumors, considered to be due to the positive impact of cytotoxic NK cells in cytotoxic CD8 T-mediated tumor clearance (Nersesian et al., 2021). However, the data supporting the independent contribution of NK activity is difficult to discern due to overlap of expression profiles of cytotoxic cells. Surprisingly, markers of cytotoxic cells or specific CD8/CD4 lymphocyte receptors do not perform as indicators of survival from bulk mRNA datasets (Figure 5). This may be due to sensitivity issues but, more likely, the presence of cytotoxic cells alone does not necessarily indicate beneficial responses in patients (Nersesian et al., 2021). This is supported by the limited efficacy of immunotherapy strategies to tumors with a high mutational burden. NK cells represent a variety of subsets defined by surface markers, found in the periphery (circulating), secondary lymphoid organs (spleen, lymph nodes), and specific tissues (e.g. lung, liver, uterus) where markers of tissue residency increase retention or prevent egress (Hashemi and Malarkannan, 2020). In addition to tissue-resident NK cells, tumors appear to accumulate NK populations that become less cytotoxic through downregulation of activating receptors (NKG2D, NKp40, NKp44) and increased expression of inhibitory receptors (NKG2A) or repression/exhaustion markers (e.g. TIGIT, TIM3) (Hashemi and Malarkannan, 2020) (Marcon et al., 2020). Importantly, NK tissue-resident or hypoactivated subsets commonly display a reduction in cytotoxicity but become highly active immunomodulatory players via expression of XCL1 and XCL2 (de Andrade et al., 2019). In melanoma, NK-mediated expression of XCL1 is crucial for the migration of XCR1⁺ conventional type 1 dendritic cells (cDC1) and therefore the non-cytotoxic NK subsets in tumors may be vital for cDC1-mediated cross-presentation of tumor antigens to CD8 T cells (Böttcher et al., 2018). This may explain why levels of CD8 T cells in tumors or removal of inhibitory signaling is insufficient to gain tumor control. Thus, therapeutic strategies aimed at increasing the presence of cDC1 or NK cells may work in combination to support treatments that induce CD8 T cell activity.

Our data suggest that combination therapy to stimulate an acute inflammatory response (IR) together with CCR5i/αPD1 (IT) sufficiently modulates the tumor immune microenvironment to improve tumor control. As frequently observed in solid tumors, reduction of cancer cells correlates with intra-tumoral infiltration of NK cells (CD56^{bright}CD16⁺) and improved CD8 T cell penetration (Wu et al., 2020). Surprisingly, our tumors were penetrated by NKG2D⁺ NK cells, suggesting a population with reduced cytotoxicity (figure supplement 5C) which we correlated to a similar population (NK_C1) identified from scRNAseq of human PDAC samples. This population was CD56⁺CD16⁺NKG2C⁺, in keeping with adaptive-like tissue resident NK cells (Brownlie et al., 2021; Ruckert et al., 2022) and the apparent beneficial association of CD56 expression with PDAC survival we identified above. NKG2C is a marker of adaptive-like NK cells during viral infections where they offer a potential memory capability to innate immunity (Brownlie et al., 2021; Lopez-Botet et al., 2023). First identified as a subpopulation of blood derived CD16⁺ NK cells in response to viral infection but more recently found to be independent of CD16 expression in tissue (Brownlie et al., 2021). Notably, these were marked with receptors for tissue residency CD103 (*ITGAE*), CD49a (*ITGA1*), chemokine expression (XCL1/2) and lymphocyte exhaustion markers TIM3 (*HAVCR2*), TIGIT, *TNFRSF4*), potentially suggesting conversion of cytotoxic NK cells to an adaptive-like immunomodulatory phenotype that can persist in tissues (Brownlie et al.,

2021 [↗](#); Ruckert et al., 2022 [↗](#)). Single cell RNAseq from PDAC tissue confirm that trNKs are likely to mediate recruitment of cDC1s via XCL1-XCR1, but communicate additional signals, including IL-16, LIGHT (*TNFSF14*) and MIF-CD74 which have the potential to upregulate MHC-I expression, presentation and co-stimulatory molecules to contribute to cross presentation (Basha et al., 2012 [↗](#); Cruikshank et al., 1987 [↗](#); Zou and Hu, 2005 [↗](#)). We also find that trNK may also recruit CD4⁺ Tregs via IL16 but concomitant FAS-signaling would lead to Treg apoptosis and support tumor control (Figure 5E [↗](#), 6D [↗](#)).

Strikingly, using our signature we find that elevated involvement of trNKs in PDAC correlates with CD8 T cell recruitment in a cDC1-dependent manner. Moreover, this supports a model where the inactivation of cytotoxic NK cells in tumors appears to be a conversion to immunomodulatory trNKs and an important mechanistic switch from innate to adaptive immunity. Our therapeutic strategy of short ablative radiation-induced damage (IR) followed by CCR5i/αPD1 (IT) offers a potential regimen to increase disease free survival in PDAC. Finally, trNK-like cells with similar traits are increasingly being observed across a variety of cancers (Brownlie et al., 2023 [↗](#); Kirchhammer et al., 2022 [↗](#); Marquardt et al., 2015 [↗](#)). Finally, our signature identifies patients with a significant survival benefit across 14 tumor types, indicating a universal phenomenon attributable to this hypo-cytotoxic immunomodulatory NK subset.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, S.M., E.O'N. Methodology, S.L., S.H., C.D. Investigation; S.L., S.H., S.G., C.D. E.A.J. Formal analysis, S.G., S.H., C.D., E.A.J. Data curation, S.G., S.L., S.H., C.D., H.F., S.S. Funding acquisition: M.R.M., J.M., E.O'N. Project administration: E.O'N. Supervision: K.J., E.O'N. Writing – original draft, S.G., C.D., E.O'N. Writing – review & editing, S.G., R.B.R., G.V., J.M., K.J., E.O'N. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Authors thank the oncology preclinical imaging core (S.Smart, V.Kersemans, P.D.Allen, M. Hill and JM Thompson) for providing contracted small animal MRI and radiotherapy services, Biomedical Services (K.Watson) and H. Xu for technical support. Funding: Kidani Memorial Trust, Cancer Research UK, Precision Panc (A25233), CRUK Beatson Institute (A31287, A29996) and CRUK Scotland Centre (CTRQQR-2021\100006). Medical Research Council.

References

- Ajuebor M. N., Wondimu Z., Hogaboam C. M., Le T., Proudfoot A. E., Swain M. G (2007) **CCR5 deficiency drives enhanced natural killer cell trafficking to and activation within the liver in murine T cell-mediated hepatitis** *Am J Pathol* **170**:1975–1988
- Aldinucci D., Borghese C., Casagrande N (2020) **The CCL5/CCR5 Axis in Cancer Progression** *Cancers (Basel)* **12**
- Alshetaiwi H. *et al.* (2020) **Defining the emergence of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in breast cancer using single-cell transcriptomics** *Sci Immunol* **5**
- Basha G., Omilusik K., Chavez-Steenbock A., Reinicke A. T., Lack N., Choi K. B., Jefferies W. A. (2012) **A CD74-dependent MHC class I endolysosomal cross-presentation pathway** *Nat Immunol* **13**:237–245
- Bialecki E. *et al.* (2011) **Spleen-resident CD4⁺ and CD4-CD8 α -dendritic cell subsets differ in their ability to prime invariant natural killer T lymphocytes** *PLoS One* **6**
- Bockorny B. *et al.* (2020) **BL-8040, a CXCR4 antagonist, in combination with pembrolizumab and chemotherapy for pancreatic cancer: the COMBAT trial** *Nat Med* **26**:878–885
- Böttcher J. P., Bonavita E., Chakravarty P., Blees H., Cabeza-Cabrerizo M., Sammicheli S., Rogers N. C., Sahai E., Zelenay S., Reis e Sousa C. (2018) **NK Cells Stimulate Recruitment of cDC1 into the Tumor Microenvironment Promoting Cancer Immune Control** *Cell* **172**:1022–1037
- Brownlie D., Scharenberg M., Mold J. E., Hard J., Kekalainen E., Buggert M., Nguyen S., Wilson J. N., *et al.* (2021) **Expansions of adaptive-like NK cells with a tissue-resident phenotype in human lung and blood** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**
- Brownlie D. *et al.* (2023) **Accumulation of tissue-resident natural killer cells, innate lymphoid cells, and CD8(+) T cells towards the center of human lung tumors** *Oncoimmunology* **12**
- Bryceson Y. T., Ljunggren H. G (2008) **Tumor cell recognition by the NK cell activating receptor NKG2D** *Eur J Immunol* **38**:2957–2961
- Cao L. *et al.* (2021) **Proteogenomic characterization of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma** *Cell* **184**
- Caushi J. X. *et al.* (2021) **Transcriptional programs of neoantigen-specific TIL in anti-PD-1-treated lung cancers** *Nature* **596**:126–132
- Cruikshank W. W., Berman J. S., Theodore A. C., Bernardo J., Center D. M (1987) **Lymphokine activation of T4⁺ T lymphocytes and monocytes** *J Immunol* **138**:3817–3823
- de Andrade L. F., Lu Y., Luoma A., Ito Y., Pan D., Pyrdol J. W., Yoon C. H., Yuan G. C., Wucherpfennig K. W. (2019) **Discovery of specialized NK cell populations infiltrating human melanoma metastases** *JCI Insight* **4**

- Di Pilato M. *et al.* (2021) **CXCR6 positions cytotoxic T cells to receive critical survival signals in the tumor microenvironment** *Cell* **184**
- Doi T. *et al.* (2019) **A Phase I Study of the Anti-CC Chemokine Receptor 4 Antibody, Mogamulizumab, in Combination with Nivolumab in Patients with Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors** *Clin Cancer Res* **25**:6614–6622
- Groh V., Wu J., Yee C., Spies T (2002) **Tumour-derived soluble MIC ligands impair expression of NKG2D and T-cell activation** *Nature* **419**:734–738
- Hashemi E., Malarkannan S (2020) **Tissue-Resident NK Cells: Development Maturation, and Clinical Relevance.** *Cancers (Basel)* **12**
- Hemmatazad H., Berger M. D (2021) **CCR5 is a potential therapeutic target for cancer** *Expert Opin Ther Targets* **25**:311–327
- Huang H., Zepp M., Georges R. B., Jarahian M., Kazemi M., Eyol E., Berger M. R (2020) **The CCR5 antagonist maraviroc causes remission of pancreatic cancer liver metastasis in nude rats based on cell cycle inhibition and apoptosis induction** *Cancer Lett* **474**:82–93
- Jin S., Guerrero-Juarez C. F., Zhang L., Chang I., Ramos R., Kuan C. H., Myung P., Plikus M. V., Nie Q. (2021) **Inference and analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat** *Nat Commun* **12**
- Kaiser B. K., Yim D., Chow I. T., Gonzalez S., Dai Z., Mann H. H., Strong R. K., Groh V., Spies T (2007) **Disulphide-isomerase-enabled shedding of tumour-associated NKG2D ligands** *Nature* **447**:482–486
- Kaser A., Dunzendorfer S., Offner F. A., Ryan T., Schwabegger A., Cruikshank W. W., Wiedermann C. J., Tilg H (1999) **A role for IL-16 in the cross-talk between dendritic cells and T cells** *J Immunol* **163**:3232–3238
- Kirchhammer N. *et al.* (2022) **NK cells with tissue-resident traits shape response to immunotherapy by inducing adaptive antitumor immunity** *Sci Transl Med* **14**
- Lander V. E. *et al.* (2022) **Stromal Reprogramming by FAK Inhibition Overcomes Radiation Resistance to Allow for Immune Priming and Response to Checkpoint Blockade** *Cancer Discov* **12**:2774–2799
- Lopez-Botet M., De Maria A., Muntasell A., Della Chiesa M., Vilches C. (2023) **Adaptive NK cell response to human cytomegalovirus: Facts and open issues** *Semin Immunol* **65**
- Marcon F. *et al.* (2020) **NK cells in pancreatic cancer demonstrate impaired cytotoxicity and a regulatory IL-10 phenotype** *Oncoimmunology* **9**
- Marquardt N. *et al.* (2015) **Cutting edge: identification and characterization of human intrahepatic CD49a+ NK cells** *J Immunol* **194**:2467–2471
- Matzke-Ogi A. *et al.* (2016) **Inhibition of Tumor Growth and Metastasis in Pancreatic Cancer Models by Interference With CD44v6 Signaling** *Gastroenterology* **150**:513–525
- Mijnheer G. *et al.* (2021) **Conserved human effector Treg cell transcriptomic and epigenetic signature in arthritic joint inflammation** *Nat Commun* **12**

- Mills B. N. *et al.* (2022) **Modulation of the Human Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma Immune Microenvironment by Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy** *Clin Cancer Res* **28**:150–162
- Mohindra N. A., Kircher S. M., Nimeiri H. S., Benson A. B., Rademaker A., Alonso E., Blatner N., Khazaie K., Mulcahy M. F (2015) **Results of the phase Ib study of ipilimumab and gemcitabine for advanced pancreas cancer** *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **33**:e15281–e15281
- Monti P., Marchesi F., Reni M., Mercalli A., Sordi V., Zerbi A., Balzano G., Di Carlo V., Allavena P., Piemonti L. (2004) **A comprehensive in vitro characterization of pancreatic ductal carcinoma cell line biological behavior and its correlation with the structural and genetic profile** *Virchows Arch* **445**:236–247
- Nersesian S., Schwartz S. L., Grantham S. R., MacLean L. K., Lee S. N., Pugh-Toole M., Boudreau J. E. (2021) **NK cell infiltration is associated with improved overall survival in solid cancers: A systematic review and meta-analysis** *Transl Oncol* **14**
- Parikh A. R. *et al.* (2021) **Radiation therapy enhances immunotherapy response in microsatellite stable colorectal and pancreatic adenocarcinoma in a phase II trial** *Nat Cancer* **2**:1124–1135
- Peng Y. P. *et al.* (2013) **Comprehensive analysis of the percentage of surface receptors and cytotoxic granules positive natural killer cells in patients with pancreatic cancer, gastric cancer, and colorectal cancer** *J Transl Med* **11**
- Piper M. *et al.* (2023) **Simultaneous targeting of PD-1 and IL-2Rbetagamma with radiation therapy inhibits pancreatic cancer growth and metastasis** *Cancer Cell* **41**:950–969
- Poli A., Michel T., Theresine M., Andres E., Hentges F., Zimmer J (2009) **CD56bright natural killer (NK) cells: an important NK cell subset** *Immunology* **126**:458–465
- Quatrini L., Molfetta R., Zitti B., Peruzzi G., Fionda C., Capuano C., Galandrini R., Cippitelli M., Santoni A., Paolini R (2015) **Ubiquitin-dependent endocytosis of NKG2D-DAP10 receptor complexes activates signaling and functions in human NK cells** *Sci Signal* **8**
- Rand T. H., Cruikshank W. W., Center D. M., Weller P. F (1991) **CD4-mediated stimulation of human eosinophils: lymphocyte chemoattractant factor and other CD4-binding ligands elicit eosinophil migration** *J Exp Med* **173**:1521–1528
- Royal R. E. *et al.* (2010) **Phase 2 trial of single agent Ipilimumab (anti-CTLA-4) for locally advanced or metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma** *J Immunother* **33**:828–833
- Ruckert T., Lareau C. A., Mashregi M. F., Ludwig L. S., Romagnani C (2022) **Clonal expansion and epigenetic inheritance of long-lasting NK cell memory** *Nat Immunol* **23**:1551–1563
- Simhadri V. R., Reiners K. S., Hansen H. P., Topolar D., Simhadri V. L., Nohroudi K., Kufer T. A., Engert A., von Strandmann Pogge (2008) **Dendritic cells release HLA-B-associated transcript-3 positive exosomes to regulate natural killer function** *PLoS One* **3**
- Singh S. K., Mishra M. K., Eltoum I. A., Bae S., Lillard J. W., Singh R (2018) **CCR5/CCL5 axis interaction promotes migratory and invasiveness of pancreatic cancer cells** *Sci Rep* **8**
- Siolas D., Morrissey C., Oberstein P. E (2020) **The Achilles' Heel of Pancreatic Cancer: Targeting pancreatic cancer's unique immunologic characteristics and metabolic dependencies in clinical trials** *J Pancreatol* **3**:121–131

Smith S. L. *et al.* (2020) **Diversity of peripheral blood human NK cells identified by single-cell RNA sequencing** *Blood Adv* **4**:1388–1406

Sodergren M. H., Mangal N., Wasan H., Sadanandam A., Balachandran V. P., Jiao L. R., Habib N (2020) **Immunological combination treatment holds the key to improving survival in pancreatic cancer** *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* **146**:2897–2911

Steele C. W. *et al.* (2015) **CXCR2 inhibition suppresses acute and chronic pancreatic inflammation** *J Pathol* **237**:85–97

Steele N. G. *et al.* (2020) **Multimodal Mapping of the Tumor and Peripheral Blood Immune Landscape in Human Pancreatic Cancer** *Nat Cancer* **1**:1097–1112

Tan M. C., Goedegebuure P. S., Belt B. A., Flaherty B., Sankpal N., Gillanders W. E., Eberlein T. J., Hsieh C. S., Linehan D. C (2009) **Disruption of CCR5-dependent homing of regulatory T cells inhibits tumor growth in a murine model of pancreatic cancer** *J Immunol* **182**:1746–1755

Uhlen M. *et al.* (2017) **A pathology atlas of the human cancer transcriptome** *Science* **357**

Vremec D., Pooley J., Hochrein H., Wu L., Shortman K (2000) **CD4 and CD8 expression by dendritic cell subtypes in mouse thymus and spleen** *J Immunol* **164**:2978–2986

Wang J. *et al.* (2022) **CCR2/CCR5 inhibitor permits the radiation-induced effector T cell infiltration in pancreatic adenocarcinoma** *J Exp Med* **219**

Wang L., He T., Liu J., Tai J., Wang B., Chen Z., Quan Z (2021) **Pan-cancer analysis reveals tumor-associated macrophage communication in the tumor microenvironment** *Exp Hematol Oncol* **10**

Weiss G. J., Blaydorn L., Beck J., Bornemann-Kolatzki K., Urnovitz H., Schutz E., Khemka V. (2018) **Phase Ib/II study of gemcitabine, nab-paclitaxel, and pembrolizumab in metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma** *Invest New Drugs* **36**:96–102

Weiss G. J., Waypa J., Blaydorn L., Coats J., McGahey K., Sangal A., Niu J., Lynch C. A., Farley J. H., Khemka V (2017) **A phase Ib study of pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy in patients with advanced cancer (PembroPlus)** *Br J Cancer* **117**:33–40

Willenbrock F. *et al.* (2021) **Circulating biomarkers and outcomes from a randomised phase 2 trial of gemcitabine versus capecitabine-based chemoradiotherapy for pancreatic cancer** *Br J Cancer* **124**:581–586

Wu S. Y., Fu T., Jiang Y. Z., Shao Z. M (2020) **Natural killer cells in cancer biology and therapy** *Mol Cancer* **19**

Zou G. M., Hu W. Y (2005) **LIGHT regulates CD86 expression on dendritic cells through NF-kappaB, but not JNK/AP-1 signal transduction pathway** *J Cell Physiol* **205**:437–443

Article and author information

Simei Go

Department of Oncology, University of Oxford; Oxford, UK

Constantinos Demetriou

Department of Oncology, University of Oxford; Oxford, UK

Sophie Hughes

Department of Oncology, University of Oxford; Oxford, UK

Simone Lanfredini

Department of Oncology, University of Oxford; Oxford, UK

Giampiero Valenzano

Department of Oncology, University of Oxford; Oxford, UK

Helen Ferry

NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford University Hospitals

Edward Arbe-Barnes

University of Oxford Medical School, OX3 9DU Oxford, UK

Shivan Sivakumar

Department of Oncology, University of Oxford; Oxford, UK

Rachael Bashford-Rogers

Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, OX1 3QU Oxford, UK

Mark R. Middleton

Department of Oncology, University of Oxford; Oxford, UK, NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford University Hospitals, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK

ORCID ID: [0000-0003-0167-1685](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0167-1685)

Somnath Mukherjee

Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK

Jennifer Morton

CRUK Beatson Institute, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road, Glasgow, G61 1BD, UK, School of Cancer Sciences, University of Glasgow, Garscube Estate, Glasgow, G61 1QH, UK

Keaton Jones

Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford; Oxford, UK

Eric O'Neill

Department of Oncology, University of Oxford; Oxford, UK

For correspondence: eric.oneill@oncology.ox.ac.uk

ORCID ID: [0000-0002-0060-6278](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0060-6278)

Copyright

© 2024, Go et al.

This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and

source are credited.

Editors

Reviewing Editor

Robert Baiocchi

The Ohio State University, Columbus, United States of America

Senior Editor

Tony Ng

King's College London, London, United Kingdom

Reviewer #1 (Public Review):

Summary:

The authors demonstrate that the immunosuppressive environment in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) can be mitigated by a combination of ionizing radiation (IR), CCR5 inhibition, and PD1 blockade. This combination therapy increases tissue-resident natural killer (trNK) cells that facilitate CD8 T cell activity, resulting in a reduction of E-cadherin positive tumor cells. They identify a specific "hypofunctional" NK cell population in both mouse and human PDAC that supports CD8 T cell involvement. A trNK signature is found to be associated with better survival outcomes in PDAC and other solid tumors.

Strengths:

Overall, I think this is an interesting study that combines testing of therapeutic concepts in mice with bioinformatics analysis of single-cell transcriptome data in primary tumors and exploration of clinical outcomes using signature genes in TCGA data. The key finding is that immunoregulatory properties of tumor-infiltrating/resident CD56-bright NK cells (assumed to be non-cytotoxic) are beneficial for outcome through cross-talk with DC and recruitment of CD8 T cells. The latter is specifically induced by irradiation combined with CCR5i and PD1 blockade.

"These results collectively support the notion that IR/CCR5i/αPD1 combination treatment alters immune infiltration by reducing Tregs and increasing NK and CD8 T cells, thereby resulting in greater local tumor control." I agree with this conclusion.

Weaknesses:

There are a few points to discuss and that the authors may want to address.

1. "Notably, CCR5i significantly reduced Treg infiltration but had no effect on the infiltration of other immune cells, indicating the active recruitment of CCR5+ Tregs in PDAC (Figure 2B)."
CCR5i treatment seems to inhibit infiltration of CD8 T cells and NK cells to a greater extent, in relative terms, compared to Treg, albeit it is not statistically significant. If this visual inspection of the graph does not reflect reality, additional experiments may be needed to verify the selective targeting of Tregs or confirm the fact that also CD8 T cells and NK cells are affected by single agent CCR5i. The reduced recruitment of Treg, NK cells, and CD8T cells was completely reversed when combined with irradiation. In the data shown in Figure 3E it seems as if CCR5i induced infiltration of Tregs along with other immune cells. However, this said, I agree with the conclusion of the authors that this combined treatment leads to an altered immune composition and ratio between Tregs and effector cells (CD8T cells and NK cells). Could this altered composition be displayed more clearly?

2. The definition of active and hypofunctional NK cells based on solely NKG2D expression alone seems like an oversimplification. I realize it is not trivial to test tumor-infiltrating NK cells from these tumors functionally but perhaps scRNAseq of the tumors would allow for characterization of cytotoxicity scores using KEGG or GO analysis or reversed gene set enrichment in responders/non-responders. It seems as if the abstract refers to this phenotype incorrectly since the "hyporesponsive" subset is described as NKG2C-negative.
3. "The NK_C1 cluster correlates best with the hypofunction NK phenotype observed in mice as similarly displayed reduced activation (reduced NKG7, NKp80, GZMA, and PRF1) with additional expression of tissue residency markers CD103, CD49a and, surprisingly, the adaptive activating receptor NKG2C (KLRC2) (Figure 5B, C)."

There is no doubt that NK_C1 represents tumor-infiltrating NK cells with a CD56bright gene signature with a strong tissue resident score. However, the transcriptional expression of KLRC2 on these is not surprising! It is well established that KLRC2 transcripts (but not protein) are highly expressed on conventional CD56bright NK cells. There are several published sources where the authors can find such data for confirmation. Thus, this is not to be confused with adaptive NK cells having an entirely different transcriptional signature and expressing high levels of NKG2C at the cell surface. I strongly recommend re-interpreting the results based on the fact that KLRC2 is expressed at high levels in conventional CD56bright NK cells. If not, it would be important to verify that these tissue-resident NK cells express NKG2C and not NKG2A at the cell surface.

1. NCAM1 transcript alone is not sufficient to deconvolute CD56bright NK cells in TCGA data (Figure 7A). As a single marker, it likely reflects NK cell infiltration without providing further evidence on the contribution of the bright/dim components. Therefore, the use of the bright Tr NK signature described in Table 1 is very important (Figure 7B). Table 1 is not provided. Nor Supplementary Table 1. There is only one supplementary figure in the ppt attached.

- <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.92672.1.sa2>

Reviewer #2 (Public Review):

Summary:

This work elaborates on a combined therapeutic approach comprising ionizing radiation and CCR5i/αPD1 immunotherapy as a promising strategy in pancreatic cancer. Previous research has established that NK cell-derived CCL5 and XCL1 play a crucial role in recruiting cDC1 cells to the tumor microenvironment, contributing to tumor control. In this study, by using a murine pancreatic cancer model, the authors propose that the addition of radiation therapy to CCR5i and αPD1 immunotherapy could upregulate CD8+ T cells and a subgroup of NK cells within the tumor and result in better tumor control. They further analyzed human single-cell sequencing data from pancreatic cancer patients and identified one subgroup of NK cells (NK C1) with tissue-resident features. Subsequent cell-cell contact analysis reveals the NK-cDC1-CD8 cell axis in pancreatic cancer. By analyzing TCGA data, they found that high NK C1 signature levels were associated with better survival in pancreatic cancer patients. Thus, radiotherapy could benefit the outcome of patients bearing low NK C1 signatures. Importantly, the positive correlation between NK C1 score with survival extends beyond pancreatic cancer, showing potential applicability across various solid cancers.

Strengths:

This study could add new insight into the clinical practice by introducing such novel combined therapy and shed light on the underlying immune cell dynamics. These findings hold potential for more effective and targeted treatment in the future. Mouse experiments nicely confirmed that such combined therapy could significantly reduce tumor volume. The elegant use of single-cell sequencing analysis and human database examination enriches the narrative and strengthens the study's foundation. Additionally, the notion that NK C1 signature correlates with patient survival in various solid cancers is of high interest and relevance.

Weaknesses:

1. The role of CCR5i requires further clarification. While the authors demonstrated its capacity to reduce Treg in murine tumors, its impact on other cell populations, including NK cells and CD8⁺ T cells, was not observed. Nevertheless, the effect of CCR5i on tumor growth in Figure 2B should be shown. If the combination of radiotherapy and αPD1 already can achieve good outcomes as shown in Figure 3A, the necessity to include CCR5i is questioned. Overall, a more comprehensive elucidation of the roles of CCL5 and CCR5i in this context would be good.
2. In line with this, spatial plots in Figure 4 did not include the group with only radiotherapy and αPD1. This inclusion would facilitate a clearer comparison and better highlight the essential role of CCR5i.
3. NK C1 cells should be also analyzed in the mouse model. The authors suggest that NKG2D^{-ve} could be the cell population. Staining of inhibitory markers should be considered, for example, TIGIT and TIM3 as presented in Figure 5B.
4. While the cell-cell contact analysis generated from single-cell sequencing data is insightful, extending this analysis to the mouse model under therapy would be highly informative. NK and CD8 cells in the tumor increased upon the combined therapy. However, cDC1 was not characterized. Analysis regarding cDC1 would provide more information on the NK/cDC1/CD8 axis.
5. Human database analysis showed a positive correlation between NK C1 score and CCL5 in pancreatic cancer. Furthermore, radiotherapy could benefit the outcome of patients bearing low NK C1 scores. It would be interesting to test if radiotherapy could also benefit patients with low CCL5 levels in this cohort.

- <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.92672.1.sa1>

Reviewer #3 (Public Review):

Summary:

In the submitted manuscript by Go et al, the authors evaluated the tumor microenvironment in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) and made a number of interesting observations, including the following: 1) CCL5 expression within the tumor microenvironment negatively correlated with clinical outcomes in human patients with PDAC; 2) there were both positive and negative correlations between CCL5 expression and the expression of specific genes (e.g. those encoding CD56 and CD16, respectively) included among gene signature lists for Treg, MDSC, TAM, and NK cells; 3) CCR5 inhibition with the inhibitor, maraviroc, reduced Treg infiltration but not that of other immune cell types in an orthotopic murine model of PDAC; 4) CCR5 inhibition augmented anti-PD1 immunotherapy when combined with ionizing radiation (IR) therapy in the murine model; 5) the above therapy resulted in increased infiltration of CD8⁺ cytotoxic T cells as well as of a subset of NKG2D-negative, tissue-residency (tr) marker

expressing NK cells (deemed Cluster 1 NK in their data sets) that inversely correlated with the number of E-cadherin⁺ cells (i.e. tumor cells) and showed predicted interactions with cDC1 dendritic cells (including XCL1/XCL2 expressed by the NK and XCR1 expressed by the cDC1); 6) the authors identified a number of putative signals stemming from the trNK (e.g. IL-16, TNFSF14, FASLG, CSF, MIF) as well as incoming from cDC1s to NK (e.g. BAG6-NKp30); 7) these trNK cells positively correlated with good outcomes and with CD8⁺ T cell infiltrations in human PDAC as well as in many other solid tumor types; and 8) importantly, the benefit of IR therapy was specific to the subset of PDAC patients (represented in the TCGA dataset) that were predicted to have low amounts of trNK cells. The authors used murine experimental models, multiplexed imaging analyses, and a number of publicly available sequencing data sets from human tumor samples to perform their investigations. Based on their findings, the authors proposed that combining IR with CCR5 inhibition and anti-PD1 immunotherapy is a promising strategy to treat solid cancers.

Strengths:

Overall, the collective analyses and conclusions appear to be novel and could be of high and rapid impact on the field, particularly in terms of directing clinical trials to incorporate IR with CCR5 inhibition and immunotherapy. The manuscript is well written; the figures are for the most part clear; and the Discussion is very thoughtful.

Weaknesses:

There were a number of minor typographical errors, missing references, or minor issues with the figures. In general, while many of the observations provided strong suggestive evidence of relationships, phenotypes, and functions, the authors often used language to indicate that such things were confirmed, validated, or proven. In fact, there was a paucity of such functional/confirmatory experiments. This does not necessarily detract from the overall significance, excitement for, and potential impact of the study; but the language could likely be adjusted to be more in keeping with the true nature of the findings. The main title and running title are a bit different; consider making them more similar.

- <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.92672.1.sa0>