

Full-length direct RNA sequencing uncovers stress-granule dependent RNA decay upon cellular stress

Reviewed Preprint

v2 • November 14, 2024

Revised by authors

Reviewed Preprint

v1 • March 22, 2024

Showkat A Dar, Sulochan Malla, Vlastimil Martinek, Matthew J Payea, Christopher T Lee, Jessica Martin, Aditya J Khandeshi, Jennifer L Martindale, Cedric Belair, Manolis Maragkakis 

Laboratory of Genetics and Genomics, National Institute on Aging, Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, United States • Central European Institute of Technology, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic • National Centre for Biomolecular Research, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic • National Institute on Aging, Baltimore, United States

 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open_access Copyright information

eLife Assessment

This **important** study describes mRNA shortening during cellular stress and interestingly observes that this shortening is dependent on localization in stress granules. Surprisingly, this mRNA shortening does not appear to require the shortening of poly A tails. These are novel, paradigm-shifting findings, using cutting-edge technologies and **convincing** data, that should be of broad interest to the RNA community and beyond.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.96284.2.sa4>

Abstract

Cells react to stress by triggering response pathways, leading to extensive alterations in the transcriptome to restore cellular homeostasis. The role of RNA metabolism in shaping the cellular response to stress is vital, yet the global changes in RNA stability under these conditions remain unclear. In this work, we employ direct RNA sequencing with nanopores, enhanced by 5' end adaptor ligation, to comprehensively interrogate the human transcriptome at single-molecule and nucleotide resolution. By developing a statistical framework to identify robust RNA length variations in nanopore data, we find that cellular stress induces prevalent 5' end RNA decay that is coupled to translation and ribosome occupancy. Unlike typical RNA decay models in normal conditions, we show that stress-induced RNA decay is dependent on XRN1 but does not depend on deadenylation or decapping. We observed that RNAs undergoing decay are predominantly enriched in the stress granule transcriptome while inhibition of stress granule formation via genetic ablation of G3BP1 and G3BP2 rescues RNA length. Our findings reveal RNA decay as a key determinant of RNA metabolism upon cellular stress and dependent on stress-granule formation.

Introduction

Cells respond to stress by triggering extensive transcriptome remodeling to restore cellular homeostasis (Galluzzi et al., 2018 [↗](#); Payea et al., 2023 [↗](#)). Cellular stress responses have been under intense study as the capacity of cells to activate adaptive pathways deteriorates with age (Derisbourg et al., 2021 [↗](#); Haigis and Yankner, 2010 [↗](#); Maragkakis et al., 2023 [↗](#)) and has been associated with many diseases, particularly age-related neurodegeneration (Beckman and Ames, 1998 [↗](#); Stadtman and Berlett, 1997 [↗](#)).

The response to different stressors converges at the induction of the Integrated Stress Response (ISR), a common adaptive molecular pathway (Harding et al., 2003 [↗](#); Pakos-Zebrucka et al., 2016 [↗](#)) that induces phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 alpha (eIF2 α) to inhibit translation initiation and decrease global protein synthesis (Hinnebusch, 1994 [↗](#)). In turn, mRNAs exiting the translational pool get localized in stress granules (SGs), membraneless organelles that are formed upon stress when translation of mRNAs gets arrested (Panas et al., 2016 [↗](#); Protter and Parker, 2016 [↗](#)). SGs comprise several different types of biomolecules, particularly mRNAs and RNA-binding proteins, but their precise functions in mRNA storage or decay are not yet fully understood (Anderson and Kedersha, 2008 [↗](#); Marcelo et al., 2021 [↗](#)).

Short- and long-read sequencing following tagging of RNA 5' ends has revealed that RNA content in cells is a mix of intact RNA molecules and RNA decay intermediates that exist in a transient, partially degraded state (Ibrahim et al., 2021 [↗](#), 2018 [↗](#); Pelechano et al., 2015 [↗](#)). However much less is known about the global transcriptome-wide state of RNA under stress and whether stress leads to RNA decay or stabilization. Evidence have been found in both directions with stress having been associated with mRNA stabilization in a translation-dependent and independent way (Gowrishankar et al., 2006 [↗](#); Hilgers et al., 2006 [↗](#); Horvathova et al., 2017 [↗](#); Kharel et al., 2023 [↗](#)). On the other hand, in yeast, ribosomal and other RNA binding proteins, which under certain physiological conditions contact mRNAs to facilitate translation, show diminished mRNA association under heat shock stress and decreased mRNA abundance mediated by the 5'-3' exoribonuclease Xrn1 (Bresson et al., 2020 [↗](#)). Similarly, under oxidative stress, deletion of Xrn1 can lead to accumulation of oxidized RNAs bearing nucleotide adducts such as 8-oxoguanosine (8-oxoG), in turn inhibiting translation and triggering No-Go decay (Yan et al., 2019 [↗](#)).

Here, we globally profile the integrity of individual RNA molecules in human cells under stress by full-length direct RNA sequencing with nanopores. We develop a new computational framework for statistical interrogation of RNA decay at single molecule and nucleotide resolution. We find that upon cellular stress, RNAs globally exhibit marked shortening at their 5' end. This stress-induced decay is mediated by XRN1, but is not dependent on prior deadenylation or decapping, as classical models would posit (Passmore and Collier, 2021 [↗](#)).

Importantly, we find that the decaying RNA molecules are preferentially enriched in the SG transcriptome. Prevention of SG formation by ablation of cells for G3BP1 and G3BP2 rescues RNA length upon stress, suggesting SG formation as a required step for stress-induced RNA decay. Our results identify 5' RNA decay as a hallmark of cellular stress response that defines the transcriptome and that is dependent on stress-granule formation.

Results

Stress induces widespread decay at the 5' end of RNAs

To explore the state of RNA under stress, we treated HeLa cells with sodium arsenite (0.5 mM) for 60 minutes to induce oxidative stress. We verified the formation of SGs and increased eIF2 α phosphorylation (discussed in subsequent sections) and monitored cell viability up to 4 hours after treatment with no major change observed (**Sup. Fig. 1a**). We collected arsenite-treated and untreated cells and performed direct RNA-Seq with the TERA-Seq protocol (Ibrahim et al., 2021 [↗](#)) (**Fig. 1a** [↗](#)). Direct RNA-Seq profiles RNA at single molecule resolution without cDNA conversion or PCR amplification (Workman et al., 2019 [↗](#)) while TERA-Seq additionally incorporates unique adapters that are ligated to the 5' phosphorylated end of individual RNA molecules. These adapters are then sequenced along with the native RNA sequence. Nanopore-based direct RNA sequencing proceeds in the 3' to 5' direction thus the inclusion, and subsequent computational identification of an adapter, at the 5' end of a read acts as internal control ensuring an RNA molecule has been sequenced in its entirety. Notably, TERA-Seq does not biochemically select for ligated molecules, thus the resultant sequencing datasets comprise both ligated and non-ligated molecules. Ligated molecules can be computationally recognized and separated to be analyzed jointly or independently to non-ligated reads. Samples were prepared in biological triplicates and sequenced on a MinION device, yielding approximately 2 million long reads per library (**Sup. Table 1**). Replicates displayed high expression correlation and high alignment efficiency to the human genome (**Sup. Fig. 1b**, **Sup. Table 1**). Consistent with oxidative stress induction, differential gene expression analysis identified several stress response genes being upregulated in arsenite while gene ontology enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes showed expected associations to cellular response to stress (**Fig. 1b** [↗](#), **Sup. Fig. 1c**, **Sup. Table 3**). These results show that our long-read data reproducibly captured the expression status of the transcriptome upon oxidative stress.

Surprisingly, quantification of read lengths in stressed and unstressed cells revealed a significant difference in read lengths with RNAs from oxidatively stressed cells being significantly shorter than from unstressed cells by an average of 115.3 nucleotides (Mann–Whitney: p-value < 10^{-123} , **Fig. 1c** [↗](#)). To test this finding for individual transcripts (“transcript” hereafter refers to the annotated loci of a gene isoform), we calculated a metric for transcript length corresponding to the average length of reads of each transcript. We again found that RNAs from stressed cells were globally shorter than in unstressed cells, independent of both transcript differential expression (**Fig. 1d** [↗](#)) and coding potential (**Sup. Fig. 1d–e**). To exclude potential library preparation artifacts or failure to capture long transcripts by the sequencing device, we repeated the analysis by computationally selecting only reads with ligated 5' adaptors, ensuring RNA molecules were fully sequenced. The subset of 5' adaptor-ligated reads confirmed our findings and again showed global RNA shortening upon oxidative stress (**Fig. 1e** [↗](#)). Since both ligated and non-ligated reads showed comparable results, all reads were used in subsequent analysis to increase sequencing depth, unless otherwise mentioned.

To test whether the observed stress-induced RNA shortening was independent of preexisting steady-state fragmentation levels, we performed analyses in a length-normalized space (meta-length) that represents read length as a percent of annotated transcript length. We calculated normalized meta-length by splitting each annotated transcript in 20 equal bins, assigning the mapped read ends into individual bins, and defining the transcript meta-length as the difference of the 5' and 3' end bins (**Fig. 1f** [↗](#)). Our data showed a consistent reduction of transcript meta-length upon oxidative stress, independent of steady-state unstressed fragmentation levels (**Fig. 1g** [↗](#), **Sup. Fig. 1f**). This finding remained consistent when only selecting reads with an identified poly(A) tail (**Sup. Fig. 1g**).

To exclude potential confounding effects of arsenite itself, we further tested oxidative stress induction with 0.3 mM hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) for 2 hours followed by TERA-Seq. H₂O₂-induced oxidative stress also resulted in significant transcript shortening (Mann–Whitney: p-value < 10^{-96}),




Fig. 1

RNA shortening upon cellular stress.

a) Schematic of experimental design **b)** Volcano plot for the differential expression of arsenite-treated and unstressed cells. Green color indicates genes with more than 2-fold difference and red indicates statistical significance higher than 10^{-5} . **c)** Cumulative distribution of read length for arsenite-treated and unstressed cells. **d)** Scatter plots of average transcript length for arsenite-treated and unstressed cells stratified by their differential expression change. Down-regulated: $(-\text{Inf}, -0.5)$, Unchanged: $(-0.5, 0.5)$, Up-regulated $(0.5, \text{Inf})$ fold-change. Only transcripts with at least 5 aligned reads are shown. Red dotted indicates the $y=x$ line. Color indicates transcripts below (blue) and above (orange) the diagonal. **e)** Cumulative distribution of transcript length for arsenite-treated and unstressed cells using only reads with adaptor ligated at the 5' end. **f)** Schematic of read meta-length calculation. Each annotated transcript is divided into 20 equally sized bins. Each read is then assigned meta-coordinates depending on the bin in which its 5' and 3' templated ends align. The read meta-length is calculated as the difference of the meta-coordinates and presented as a percentage of full length. **g)** Scatter plot of average transcript meta-length for arsenite-treated and unstressed cells. Coloring is the same as (d) **h)** Scatter plot of average transcript length for heat shock and unstressed cells.

similar to that observed with arsenite, indicating that oxidative stress results in RNA shortening irrespective of inducer (**Sup. Fig. 1h**). To explore whether RNA shortening is unique to oxidative stress we re-examined publicly available direct RNA-Seq data for human K562 cells that were subjected to heat shock at 42°C for 60 min (Maier et al., 2020 [DOI](#)). Our results showed that heat-shocked cells also had significantly shorter RNAs compared to unstressed cells (Mann–Whitney: p-value < 10^{-76}), again independently of differential expression (**Fig. 1h** [DOI](#), **Sup. Fig. 1i, j**). Additionally, we also observed RNA shortening in mouse embryonic fibroblasts 3T3 cells treated with sodium arsenite, suggesting an evolutionary conserved process (**Sup. Fig. 1k, l**).

To identify transcripts with statistically significant RNA length changes, we developed NanopLen, a tool that uses linear mixed models, and modeled the library as a random effect to adjust for variation across replicates. To test the model, we simulated sequencing data over varying RNA shortening proportions and expression counts. The simulated data showed that the model accurately predicted the true length difference for each tested shortening proportion and had a well-controlled false positive rate (**Fig. 2a** [DOI](#)). As expected, NanopLen reported lower significance for smaller length differences while higher expression counts resulted in higher statistical power on simulated data. We subsequently employed NanopLen to compare unstressed and arsenite-treated cells and identified 2730 significantly shortened transcripts (p-value < 0.05) (**Fig. 2b** [DOI](#), **Sup. Table 4**). Gene Ontology analysis of these most highly shortened transcripts showed processes relevant to RNA catabolism, translation initiation, and protein localization to the endoplasmic reticulum (**Fig. 2c** [DOI](#)).

The presence of a poly(A) tail is required for direct RNA-Seq. Therefore, we anticipated that our method would only be able to capture fragmentation occurring at the 5' end of RNAs. As expected, significantly shortened transcripts showed that their 3' templated end was almost identical in oxidative stress and unstressed conditions whereas the corresponding 5' end was heterogeneous (**Fig. 2d** [DOI](#), **Sup. Fig. 2a**). Quantification at the transcriptome-wide level further confirmed this observation (Mann–Whitney p-value < 10^{-256} , **Sup. Fig. 2b**). To validate our sequencing results, we performed RT-qPCR analysis using pairs of primers targeting regions along each transcript. We found that arsenite-treated cells had substantially reduced amplification from primer pairs closer to the 5' end of the transcript than near the 3' end, compared to control cells (**Sup. Fig. 2c**). We reasoned that RNA shortening at the 5' end should also be reflected in short-read RNA-Seq as reduction in read coverage at the 5' end of RNAs. We thus re-analyzed data from HEK293 cells treated with a battery of stressors i.e. 42 °C heat shock for 1 h; 0.6 mM H₂O₂ for 2 h and 300 μM NaAsO₂ for 2 h followed by short-read RNA-Seq (Watkins et al., 2022 [DOI](#)). Our analysis showed that, compared to unstressed, all stress conditions resulted in significantly reduced read coverage at the 5' end (**Fig. 2e** [DOI](#), **Sup. Fig. 2d**).

Finally, we considered the possibility that selection of alternative transcription start sites (TSSs) downstream of annotated TSSs could be contributing to RNA shortening. We have previously established that positions with high read density upstream of coding sequences in TERA-Seq, accurately represent TSSs matching those defined by CAGE-Seq (Ibrahim et al., 2021 [DOI](#)). We used this method to independently calculate TSSs in stress and unstressed conditions. Our results showed non-significant changes between conditions (Mann–Whitney: p-value = 0.35) with no preference towards shorter or longer transcripts (**Fig. 2f** [DOI](#)) indicating that the observed shortening is not affected by TSS selection. Collectively, our results, while not excluding 3' end decay for molecules not sequenced due to lack of poly(A) tails, provide strong evidence for stress-induced decay occurring at the 5' end of RNA molecules that harbor poly(A) tails.




Fig. 2

Characterization of stress-induced shortened RNAs identified by NanopLen:

a) Left: Line plot of average length difference estimate for simulated data of varying read depths. True value is depicted by dashed grey line. Right: Percentage of simulated genes that are detected as significantly different in length. The null simulation of no shortening corresponds to simulated shortening proportion 1.0. **b)** Box plot of average transcript length for statistically significant and non-significantly shortened transcripts identified through differential length analysis in arsenite-treated and unstressed cells using NanopLen. **c)** Gene Ontology analysis for biological processes of significantly shortened transcripts. **d)** IGV screenshot of ASCC3 aligned reads for arsenite-treated (red) and unstressed cells (blue). Libraries were randomly downsampled to maximum 50 reads per window and the libraries were overlaid. All reads were used, irrespective of adaptor ligation status. **e)** Short-read RNA-Seq density at the 5' half of transcripts for unstressed, AsO₂, H₂O₂ and heat shock -treated cells. Shade indicates standard error of the mean for replicates. **f)** Scatter plot of Transcription Start Site position for arsenite-treated and unstressed cells.

Stress-induced RNA decay is XRN1-mediated but independent of deadenylation

XRN1 is the primary 5' to 3' exonuclease in cells, and thus a likely candidate for mediating 5' transcript shortening upon stress following decapping or endonucleolytic fragmentation. We hypothesized that in the absence of XRN1, RNAs would be stabilized, and their observed length would be restored. Silencing of XRN1 with siRNAs (siXRN1) resulted in substantial reduction of protein level compared to non-targeted control (siCTRL) (**Fig. 3a** [↗](#), **Sup. Fig. 3a**). We subsequently performed TERA-Seq for siCTRL- and siXRN1-transfected cells in the presence or absence of oxidative stress. Our results showed that while arsenite and unstressed siCTRL cells had the highest transcript length difference (Mann-Whitney, p -value $< 10^{-307}$), silencing of XRN1 largely abolished this difference (Mann-Whitney, p -value = 0.18) and brought transcript lengths in line with unstressed cells with XRN1 silenced (**Fig. 3b** [↗](#)). Similar results were observed for REL5-ligated transcripts, with XRN1 silencing showing an even stronger effect, suggesting that stress-induced decay depends on XRN1 (**Fig. 3c** [↗](#)). While silencing of *XRN1* might be expected to have a general effect on RNA length, our results showed that RNA length rescue was predominant and specific for the previously identified stress-induced significantly shortened RNAs identified by our NanopLen analysis (**Fig. 3d** [↗](#)). These results strongly implicate XRN1 to transcript shortening during stress.

Under traditional decay models, deadenylation is considered the first and rate-limiting step prior to decapping and subsequent exonucleolytic action from the 5'-end via XRN1 (Borboldis and Syntichaki, 2022 [↗](#); Łabno et al., 2016; Mugridge et al., 2018 [↗](#); Passmore and Collier, 2021 [↗](#)). However, the molecules we sequence and find shortened still have a poly(A), as it is required for dRNA-Seq. This argued that removal of the poly(A) tail was not necessary for stress-induced 5' end shortening. To systematically assess the role of deadenylation and decapping, we first downregulated the CCR4-NOT deadenylation complex by expressing a GFP-fused catalytically inactive form of the CCR4-NOT transcription complex subunit 8 (NOT8* D40A, E42A) (Chang et al., 2019 [↗](#)) in HeLa cells, followed by arsenite treatment and dRNA-Seq. Expression of the dominant negative NOT8* form was confirmed by epifluorescence (**Sup. Fig. 3b**) and resulted in an expected increase in poly(A) tail length compared to control GFP cells (**Sup. Fig. 3c**). As hypothesized, our results identified significant 5' shortening upon stress following expression of the dominant negative NOT8* (Mann-Whitney, p -value $< 10^{-299}$), suggesting that deadenylation by the CCR4-NOT complex was indeed not required for stress-induced 5' RNA decay (**Fig. 3e** [↗](#)).

These results raised the possibility that decapping may also not be required for stress-induced decay. To test this, we expressed a GFP-fused catalytically inactive form of the mRNA-decapping enzyme 2 (DCP2), DCP2* E148Q (Loh et al., 2013 [↗](#)) in HeLa cells followed by dRNA-Seq. Expression of DCP2* was confirmed by epifluorescence, though it was less pronounced than that of NOT8* (**Sup. Fig. 3b**). Interestingly, transcripts from DCP2*-expressing cells presented a similar significant (Mann-Whitney, p -value $< 10^{-127}$) 5' shortening upon oxidative stress as GFP control cells, suggesting that the canonical decapping pathway is also not necessary for stress-induced RNA decay, and thus likely involves an endonucleolytic cleavage event (**Fig. 3f** [↗](#)). In conclusion, our results show that stress-induced RNA decay is mediated by XRN1 but is independent of prior deadenylation or decapping.

Restoring ribosome density inhibits stress-induced RNA decay

The yeast Xrn1 has previously been associated with the decay of oxidized RNAs via the No-Go decay pathway following ribosome stalling at nucleotide adducts, particularly 8-oxoguanosine (8-OxoG), and endonucleolytic cleavage (Yan et al., 2019 [↗](#)). We reasoned that if endonucleolytic cleavage induced by 8-OxoG was the major contributor to transcript shortening during oxidative stress, then an increase in guanine prevalence should be expected at the vicinity of 5' ends of sequenced RNAs upon stress. However, our data did not support this explanation, as no G-




Fig. 3

The effect of XRN1 knockdown on RNA shortening.

a) Immunoblot for XRN1, eIF2α-P and eIF2α for cells transfected with a mock (siCTRL) or *XRN1*-targeting (siXRN1) siRNA. ACTB is used as control. **b**) Cumulative distribution plot of transcript length for XRN1 knockdown (siXRN1) and control (siCTRL) cells with and without arsenite treatment (unstressed). **c**) Same as (b) but only reads with ligated 5' end adaptor are used. **d**) Box plots of differential transcript length in arsenite-treated versus unstressed cells for significantly and non-significantly shortened transcripts upon XRN1 knockdown and control. **e-f**) Cumulative distribution plot of transcript length for NOT8* D40A E42A and GFP-expressing cells (e) or DCP2* E148Q and GFP-expressing cells (f) with or without (unstressed) arsenite treatment.

nucleotide enrichment difference between arsenite and unstressed conditions was observed (**Fig. 4a**). Similarly, no difference was observed upon XRN1 silencing (**Sup. Fig. 4a**). These findings, combined with the presence of shortening under heat shock (**Fig. 1h**), indicate that the stress-induced RNA decay described here is not mediated via 8-OxoG ribosome stalling.

To identify gene features that could be driving stress-induced RNA decay, we tested whether the annotated nucleotide content and transcript length were predictive of transcripts prone to stress-induced 5' shortening. Our results showed a significant association with the annotated length, coding sequence length and GC content, both being significantly lower for significantly shortened transcripts (**Fig. 4b-d**) and only a minor association with the 5' and 3' UTR length (**Sup. Fig. 4b-c**). Since the coding sequence is the primary region of ribosome occupancy, we tested whether pre-stress ribosome density could be defining 5' shortening using publicly available ribosome profiling data from unstressed cells (Park et al., 2016). Our analysis found no association between ribosome density per RNA and stress-induced shortening (**Sup. Fig. 4d, e**) indicating that the pre-stress ribosome levels on RNAs are not linked to RNA decay under stress.

Rather, another possibility could be that stress-induced RNA decay is associated with the rate at which RNAs leave the translation pool following inhibition of translation initiation upon stress. To test the role of translation initiation inhibition and ribosome run-off upon stress on stress-induced decay, we treated cells with the ISRIB Inhibitor (ISRIB) that bypasses the effect of eIF2 α phosphorylation and facilitates translation initiation under stress (Rabouw et al., 2019; Sidrauski et al., 2015). We confirmed that ISRIB had no noticeable effect on the dose-dependent phosphorylation of eIF2 α but inhibited the SG formation under stress, as expected (**Fig. 5a-c**).

Polysome fractionation following ISRIB addition showed a clear reduction of the monosome fraction, indicating partial recovery of translation initiation, as previously described (Sidrauski et al., 2013) (**Fig. 5d**). We then performed TERA-Seq on ISRIB-treated and control cells in the presence or absence of arsenite. Our results showed that compared to cells treated with arsenite only, ISRIB treatment resulted in a significant shift of RNA length towards longer molecules (Mann-Whitney, p -value $< 10^{-156}$) essentially restoring transcript length to the level observed in unstressed cells (**Fig. 5e**). Importantly, previously identified significantly shortened transcripts showed the greatest recovery of their length compared to non-significant ones (**Fig. 5f**). To test whether this could be simply attributed to a possible length imbalance between up- and down-regulated genes, we again plotted the average transcript length stratified by the differential expression status. Our data show that the observed shift in length is independent of differential expression status (**Sup. Fig. 5a, b**). These results indicated that stress-induced RNA decay is associated with RNAs leaving the translation pool following translation initiation inhibition upon stress.

As an alternative to ISRIB which modulates translation initiation, we also treated cells with cycloheximide to interrogate the dynamics of ribosome elongation and to prevent ribosome run-off. Cycloheximide blocks elongation and thus traps ribosomes on RNAs along with translation factors in polysomes, thus decreasing SG formation (Jayabalan et al., 2021; Kedersha and Anderson, 2007; Takahashi et al., 2013). We confirmed that treatment with cycloheximide also reduced the monosome fraction, although to a lower degree than ISRIB (**Sup. Fig. 5c**).

Interestingly, cycloheximide treatment also inhibited RNA decay, particularly for the most significantly shortened RNAs largely rescuing their length (**Fig. 5g-i**). We again did not observe any association between the shift in length and differential expression (**Sup. Fig. 5d, e**).

Combined, our results indicate translation initiation inhibition and the exit of mRNAs from the translational pool as a critical step towards stress-induced decay.




Fig. 4

Association of poly(A) tail length and cis-regulatory elements with RNA shortening.

a) Nucleotide composition around the 5' end of reads in arsenite-treated and unstressed cells. All reads were used, irrespective of adaptor ligation status. **b-d)** Scatter plot of annotated transcript length (b), annotated coding sequence (CDS) length (c) and GC content (d) against transcript differential length in arsenite-treated and unstressed cells. The box plots on the right side summarize the y-axis variable for significant and non-significantly shortened transcripts. The Pearson's correlation coefficient and the Mann-Whitney-U test p-value are shown.




Fig. 5.

Translation and RNA shortening.

a, b) Immunoblot for eIF2α and eIF2α-P upon increasing concentration of arsenite (a) and cell treatment with 200 nM of ISRIB at different arsenite concentrations (b). **c**) SGs visualized by immunofluorescence of HeLa cells treated with indicated concentration of arsenite in the presence or absence of 200 nM ISRIB. A secondary goat anti-rabbit IgG H&L (Alexa Fluor 594) against G3BP1 (SG marker) and DAPI were used for visualization. **d**) Ribosome sedimentation curve following cell treatment with ISRIB (200 nM) for arsenite-treated and unstressed cells. **e**) Cumulative density plot of transcript length for arsenite-treated cells in the presence or absence of ISRIB. **f**) Same as (e) for significantly shortened transcripts only. **g**) Box plots of differential transcript length for comparisons indicated on the x-axis. **h-i**) Same as (e) and (f) for cycloheximide CHX instead of ISRIB.

Inhibition of stress-granule formation in cells devoid of G3BP1/2 rescues RNA decay

During stress, ribosome run-off and the exit of RNAs from the translation pool is accompanied by the formation of SGs (Protter and Parker, 2016). To test whether transcripts subject to stress-induced decay associate with SGs, we used publicly available data representing genes enriched in the SG transcriptome (Khong et al., 2017). As previously described (Khong et al., 2017), we found that SG-enriched genes were generally significantly longer, particularly in their coding and 3' UTR sequences, (Fig. 6a, Sup. Fig. 6a) and less expressed than SG-depleted ones (Sup. Fig. 6b). However, differential gene length analysis showed a significant difference in gene shortening dependent on SG enrichment status. Specifically, SG-enriched genes were found to be shortened at a significantly higher level upon oxidative stress than SG-depleted or non-localized RNAs for both HeLa and U-2 OS cells (Fig. 6b, c, Sup. Fig. 6c, d). Consistent with stress-induced gene shortening being associated with SG enrichment, SG-enriched RNAs harbored lower GC content (Fig. 6d), similar to significantly shortened transcripts (Fig. 4d). To test whether the observed enrichment of shortened genes is specific to the SGs, we also tested for association with processing bodies (P-bodies), membrane-less organelles enriched in de-capping factors and exoribonucleases that are essential for RNA decay under normal, non-stress conditions (Brothers et al., 2023). We re-analyzed previously published data from HEK293 cells (Matheny et al., 2019), but in contrast to SGs, we found no association between P-body localization and gene lengths (Sup. Fig. 6e). We also did not find an association between P-body localization and RNA shortening, which however could be a result of comparing different cell lines (Sup. Fig. 6f). Our data show that shortened RNAs exhibit similar properties to SG-enriched transcripts and are preferentially enriched in the SG transcriptome.

To test the role of stress granules in stress-induced RNA decay, we employed control (WT) U-2 OS and U-2 OS cells genetically ablated for both G3BP1 and G3BP2 ($\Delta\Delta$ G3BP1/2) via CRISPR/Cas9 (gift from Paul Anderson lab) previously developed and characterized in (Kedersha et al., 2016). $\Delta\Delta$ G3BP1/2 cells inhibit SG condensation in response to arsenite and other stressors. Treatment of WT U-2 OS cells with arsenite showed a highly significant and global shortening of RNA upon stress (Mann-Whitney, p -value $< 10^{-307}$) in stark contrast to $\Delta\Delta$ G3BP1/2 cells that showed substantially less shortening (Mann-Whitney, p -value $< 10^{-5}$) (Fig. 6e, Sup. Fig. 6g, h). Further comparison of $\Delta\Delta$ G3BP1/2 and WT U-2 OS showed that inhibition of G3BP1/2-mediated SG formation rescued RNA length to the level of unstressed WT cells (Fig. 6f, Sup. Fig. 6i, j). These results indicate that G3BP1/2-mediated SG formation is required for stress-induced RNA decay. Alternatively, SGs could be protective of shortened RNAs that otherwise would be rapidly eliminated from cells. Under this assumption stress-shortened RNAs identified in WT cells would be expected to be depleted in $\Delta\Delta$ G3BP1/2 cells during stress. Comparison of differentially expressed transcripts upon arsenite treatment in $\Delta\Delta$ G3BP1/2 cells showed no association with WT shortening indicating that in the absence of SGs these RNAs are not rapidly eliminated (Fig. 6g), consistent with previous findings (Bley et al., 2015). Collectively our results show that G3BP1/2-dependent SG formation is required for stress-induced RNA decay.

Discussion

Cellular response to stress is critical for cell recovery or induction of apoptosis if stress cannot be resolved. Recent works have delineated many of the biochemical pathways involved in stress responses. However, the full-length state of RNA under cellular stress remains incompletely characterized. In this work, we used TERA-Seq, a protocol that involves the ligation of unique adaptors at the 5' end of RNAs (Ibrahim et al., 2021) to address a key limitation in nanopore direct RNA sequencing – the inability to consistently capture the 5' end of sequenced RNA molecules. By sequencing RNA molecules end-to-end, we show that stress triggers decay at the 5'




Fig. 6

Inhibition of stress-granule formation in cells devoid of G3BP1/2 rescues RNA decay

a) Scatter plot of average gene length for arsenite-treated and unstressed cells stratified by gene SG localization. **** indicates p -value $< 10^{-172}$. **b-c)** Cumulative distribution and box plots of average gene length difference in arsenite-treated and unstressed cells stratified by gene SG localization. **d)** Box plot of GC content percentage for SG enriched and depleted gene transcripts. **e)** Scatter plot of average transcript length for arsenite-treated and unstressed U-2 OS and $\Delta\Delta$ G3BP1/2 U-2 OS cells. Only transcripts with at least 5 aligned reads are used. Red dotted indicates the $y=x$ line. **f)** Cumulative distribution plot of average transcript length in arsenite-treated and unstressed U-2 OS and $\Delta\Delta$ G3BP1/2 U-2 OS cells. **g)** Scatter plot and box plot of differential transcript expression and shortening in arsenite-treated and unstressed $\Delta\Delta$ G3BP1/2 and WT U-2 OS cells.

end of RNAs. Interestingly our results show that stress-induced 5' end decay leaves “scars” that can also be identified in traditional short-read RNA-Seq as decreased read density at the 5' end of transcripts.

Our findings highlight XRN1 as an essential component for stress-induced decay, in the absence of which RNA length is largely rescued. However, contrary to the traditional decay model, evaluation of the shortened RNAs did not reveal a dependency on deadenylation or decapping. Instead, our data point towards endonucleolytic cleavage as the most likely mechanism for the initial cut on RNA, either creating a 5'P, serving as a direct substrate to XRN1, or a 5'OH, subsequently phosphorylated to become a substrate for XRN1 (Navickas et al., 2020 [↗](#)).

Our analysis showing that transcript shortening is suppressed by treatments that increase ribosome occupancy (ISRIB and cycloheximide) also suggests that this putative endonucleolytic cut is not due to No-Go decay or a related ribosome quality control pathway, since these decay mechanisms are guided to cleavage sites by a stalled ribosome (Doma and Parker, 2006 [↗](#)). However, it is currently unknown whether a mechanistic link exists with other co-translational decay pathways, such as ribothrypsis (Ibrahim et al., 2018 [↗](#)), that also initiate by endonucleolysis. More studies will be needed to dissect the exact molecular events involved in this mechanism. Recent studies have found sporadic fragments of 3' UTRs as products of No-Go decay occurring at sites of translation termination in oxidative stress, development and brain aging (Ji et al., 2021 [↗](#); Sudmant et al., 2018 [↗](#)). While most stress-induced decay fragments are longer than 3' UTRs and are not confined to translation termination sites, it is possible that some shorter fragments could also be contributing to an accumulation of 3' UTRs under these conditions.

Interestingly we find that short coding sequences and low GC content are features of transcripts that are subject to stress-induced decay, which argues there may be a component of structural stability to the specificity of 5' end shortening. The GC content appears to also be a determinant for RNA recruitment to SGs and, as also confirmed in our study, primarily for GC-poor RNAs (Khong et al., 2017 [↗](#)). Consistent with these observations, our data show that the SG transcriptome is significantly enriched for stress-induced shortened RNAs. In fact, our results show that the formation of the SGs is required and indispensable for stress-induced decay as genetic ablation of G3BP1/2 rescues RNA length to the level of non-stressed controls. Inhibition of the ISR to re-initiate translation and dissolve SGs also curtails stress-induced RNA decay, further supporting a critical role for SG formation in stress-induced RNA decay perhaps through tethering with P-bodies that are dynamically linked with SGs under stress (Kedersha et al., 2005 [↗](#); Moon et al., 2019 [↗](#)).

The primary function of ISR activation during stress is to inhibit translation initiation and preserve energy for cell recovery (Pakos-Zebrucka et al., 2016 [↗](#)). While the physiological role of stress-induced RNA decay is currently unknown, it is intriguing to hypothesize that it evolved as a mechanism to further reduce translational load during stress. Endonucleolytic cleavage and removal of RNA 5' ends could provide an orthogonal strategy to dial down translation, offering several benefits: rapid elimination of translation initiation sites, degradation of non-essential RNAs, release of ribosomes for stress response translation, and energy conservation. Future studies to test these hypotheses will be required, especially considering the emerging significance of SGs and RNA metabolism in neurodegenerative diseases and aging (Ferrucci et al., 2022 [↗](#); Ripin and Parker, 2022 [↗](#)).

Materials and Methods

Cell culture, treatments, and RNA isolation

HeLa (ATCC CCL-2) and U-2 OS (gift from Paul Anderson lab, described in (Kedersha et al., 2016 [link](#))) cells were cultured at 37°C, 5% CO₂, 90% humidity in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat # 11965-092) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (GeminiBio #100-106), 2 mM L-Glutamine. NIH3T3 cells (ATCC CRL-1658) were cultured at 37°C, 5% CO₂, 90% humidity in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium supplemented with 10% bovine calf serum (GeminiBio), 1% MEM-nonessential amino acids (Invitrogen), 2 mM L-Glutamine. The cells were tested for mycoplasma contamination using universal mycoplasma detection kit (ATCC, Cat # 30-1012K). Cells were treated with 500 µM of sodium arsenite (Sigma, Cat # S7400-100G) for 60 minutes and total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Cat# 15596-018) following the manufacturer's recommendation. RNA concentration was quantified using a High Sensitivity (HS) RNA qubit assay (Invitrogen, Cat # Q32852) and Nanodrop ND-1000 (ThermoFisher). RNA integrity was assessed on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies) or Qubit RNA IQ assay (ThermoFisher).

siRNA and plasmid transfection

Cells were transfected with control and gene-specific siRNAs at a final concentration of 20 nM using the Neon transfection system 100 µl kit (ThermoFisher, MPK100) according to the manufacturer's protocol. A commercially available siRNA specifically targeting the human XRN1 was used for XRN1 knockdown, and a non-targeting siRNA was used as control (**Sup. Table 2**). Approximately 5×10^5 cells were resuspended in 100 µl of siRNA-R buffer mixture, electroporated (1,005 V, 35 ms, 2 pulses) and immediately transferred to a 100 mm dish containing pre-warmed media. Cells were grown for 72 hours before treatment with indicated reagents and final harvesting for RNA and protein assays.

pT7-EGFP-C1-HsNot8-D40AE42A_AH (Addgene plasmid # 148902; RRID:Addgene_148902) and pT7-EGFP-C1-HsDCP2-E148Q_U (Addgene plasmid # 147650; RRID:Addgene_147650) were a gift from Elisa Izaurralde. Approximately 7.5×10^5 cells were transfected with 3 µg of these plasmids or the pEGFP-C1 control using the Neon transfection system 10 µl kit (ThermoFisher, MPK1096, 1,005 V, 35 ms, 2 pulses). 24-48 hours post-transfection, cells were treated with 500 µM of sodium arsenite for 1 h and harvested for RNA and protein extraction.

Direct RNA sequencing

Library preparation was performed using the direct RNA sequencing kit (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, SQK-RNA002) as previously described (Ibrahim et al. 2021 [link](#)) with modifications. A minimum of 75 µg of total RNA was used as starting material to purify poly(A) RNAs using Oligo d(T)25 Magnetic Beads (NEB, Cat # s1419S). 50 pmoles of linker (REL5) containing a 5'-Biotin-PC group and a 3'-OH (Sup. Table. 2) were ligated to the 5' end of RNAs using T4 RNA ligase (NEB, Cat #M0204S) for 3 hours at 37°C, as previously described (Ibrahim et al., 2021 [link](#)). 500-1000 ng of poly(A) RNA was used for library preparation using SQK-RNA002 sequencing kit (Oxford Nanopore Technologies). The final library was quantified using Qubit 1X dsDNA High Sensitivity (HS) assay kit (ThermoFisher # Q33231) and loaded on FLO-MIN106 or FLO-PRO002 flow cells.

RT-qPCR

Superscript III (Invitrogen, Cat #18080-051) was used to synthesize complementary DNA (cDNA). Briefly, 1 µg of total RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System (ThermoFisher) and oligo-dT primers. One tenth dilution of the cDNA mixture was used to perform qPCR using FastStart SYBR Green Master Mix (KAPA Biosystems, Cat # KK4605/ 07959435001) and run on a QuantStudio3 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Cat # A28567). Primers are listed in **Sup. Table 2**.

MTS assay

MTS assay was performed using CellTiter 96 Aqueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (MTS) (Promega, Cat # G3582). HeLa cells (5×10^3 cells per well) were seeded in a 96-well plate and incubated in humidified 5% CO₂ incubator at 37°C for 24 hours. Following arsenite treatment, the cell viability was examined using MTS assay (100 µl of DMEM and 20 µl MTS incubated for indicated time points) for 10 minutes and incubated in a 5% CO₂ incubator at 37 °C protected from light. Finally, the plate was subjected to shaking for 15 min followed by an optical density measurement (OD) at 590 nm using 1420 Multilabel Counter (Perkin Elmer, VICTOR³V). The viable cells in arsenite treated samples were reported as the percentage of viable cells in control (untreated) samples.

Immunoblotting

Cells were washed with cold PBS and pelleted by centrifugation 5 min at 4 °C 300 x g. Whole cell lysates were prepared by adding 1 volume of SDS lysis buffer (60 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol) supplemented with 1x protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics, Cat # 11836153001) and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (Roche, Cat # 10837091001). The lysate was passed 10 times through a 25-gauge needle, heated at 95°C for 20 minutes and cleared by centrifugation at 16,500 x g for 10 minutes. Protein concentrations were measured using the Qubit protein assay (Invitrogen, Cat # Q33211). 25 µg of proteins was separated on NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris Gel (Invitrogen, Cat #NP0321BOX) and transferred to PVDF membrane (Millipore, Cat # IPVH00010). After blocking in TBS-T 5% milk for 2 hours, membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. Membranes were washed 3 times 5 min in TBS-T and incubated for 2 hours with secondary antibodies. Signals were developed using Chemiluminescence (Azure Biosystems, Cat # AC2204) and acquired on a ChemiDoc MP imaging system (Bio-Rad). For studies of eIF2α phosphorylation (eIF2α-P), the lysis buffer was supplemented with phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma, Cat # Cocktail 2 - P5726 and Cocktail 3-P0044) and 5% BSA was used instead of milk for blocking. Antibodies are listed in Sup. Table 2.

Immunofluorescence

Cells were cultured at 70-80% confluency in Millicell EZ SLIDE 8-well glass (Millipore, Cat # PEZGS0816) in the presence of 50, 100, 250, 500 µM of arsenite +/-200 nM of ISRIB (Sigma, Cat # SML0843). After the indicated time of treatment, cells were washed 3 times with warm PBS, fixed for 10 min at room temperature (RT) in PBS / 3.7% paraformaldehyde and washed with PBS for 5 min with shaking. After permeabilization in PBS 0.5% Triton X-100 for 10 min at RT, cells were blocked in PBS 0.1% Tween-20 (PBS-T) supplemented with 1% BSA for 1 hour at 37°C with gentle shaking. Primary antibody diluted in 1% BSA were added to the cells and incubated at 4°C overnight. Cells were washed in PBS-T three times 5 min with shaking before staining with secondary antibody for 1 hour at RT. Cells were washed four times for 5 min with PBS-T with shaking and nuclei were stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (1:1000 in PBS-T) for 5 min at RT. Slides were mounted with ProLong Glass Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen, Cat # P36982) and images were acquired using a DeltaVision Microscope System (Applied Precision).

Polysome profiling

The polysome profiling was performed as described previously (Panda et al., 2017 [\[1\]](#)) with modifications. Briefly, HeLa cells (80-85% confluency) cultured in 100 mm dishes were treated with 500 µM sodium arsenite, 200 nM ISRIB or 25 µg/ml cycloheximide as indicated. DMSO was used as a control. Immediately before harvesting, cells were treated with 100 µg/ml cycloheximide for 10 minutes. After one wash with ice-cold PBS containing 100 µg/ml cycloheximide, 500 µL of polysome extraction buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1mM DTT, 1 X HALT Protease, 1.0% Triton x-100, and 100 µg/ml cycloheximide) was added directly to the plate and lysates were harvested by scraping. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 14,000 x

g for 10 min. The supernatant was loaded onto the 10-50% sucrose gradient followed by high-speed centrifugation ($260,800 \times g$ for 90 minutes at 4°C). Using a density gradient fractionation system monitored by UV absorbance detector (A254), 12 fractions were collected.

Nanopore sequencing data processing

Nanopore sequencing data were basecalled using Guppy (v3.4.5). The 5' adaptors were identified and removed using cutadapt (v2.8). Reads were first aligned against ribosomal sequences obtained from SILVA (Quast et al., 2013 [DOI](#)). Non-ribosomal reads were subsequently mapped against the human genome hg38 using minimap2 (version 2.17) (Li, 2018 [DOI](#)) and parameters -a -x splice -k 12 -u b -p 1 --secondary=yes. They were also aligned against the human transcriptome using -a -x map-ont -k 12 -u f -p 1 --secondary=yes.

Poly(A) tail length estimation

The poly(A) tail lengths were extracted from sequenced reads using the nanopolish polya package (Workman et al., 2019 [DOI](#)). Only the poly(A) tail lengths which passed the software quality control scores and were tagged as “PASS” were used in our analysis.

Differential gene expression and Gene Ontology

Transcript counts were quantified from the transcriptome alignments as the total number of reads aligning on each transcript. Differential expression analysis was performed using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014 [DOI](#)). EnhancedVolcano (<https://github.com/kevinblighe/EnhancedVolcano> [DOI](#)) was used for visualization. Gene ontology analysis was performed for significantly changed genes (p-value < 0.05) using “clusterProfiler” (Yu et al., 2012 [DOI](#)).

Ribosome profiling data

Ribosome profiling sequencing data were downloaded from GEO GSM2100595 (Park et al., 2016 [DOI](#)). Adaptors were removed using cutadapt (version 2.8) and reads were aligned to the human genome using STAR (2.5.3a). Further processing to calculate counts per transcript was performed with in-house scripts using pysam (v0.15.4) and counts were converted to reads per kilobase of transcript per million (RPKM).

Meta-length calculation

For calculating the bin (meta) lengths, we divided the transcripts into 20 equal bins [0, 19]. Reads were assigned to each of these bins based on the corresponding location of their ends. The binned- (meta-) length is calculated as the difference of the binned 3' end over the binned 5' end.

Transcription start site identification

Transcription start site identification was performed as previously described (Ibrahim et al., 2021 [DOI](#)). Briefly, all reads from all replicates were combined and the distribution of read 5' ends within the 5' UTR was quantified. The TSS was selected as the position with the highest read density within the 5' UTR with minimum 5 supporting reads.

NanopLen

NanopLen reads a file of read lengths with library identifiers and gene or transcript identifiers, and a metadata file describing the experimental design. The latter includes the library identifiers and the corresponding condition for each library. The software supports three models: t-test, Wilcoxon, and linear mixed model (LMM). In the t-test and LMM options, the user can also supply a customized model to use extra variables in the metadata. In this work we have used the LMM to

adjust for putative batch effects across libraries. Given a vector Y of read lengths associated with a gene/transcript, the t-test is functionally equivalent to a linear regression model. The model also supports optional read-specific extra covariates but are not used in this work:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_{cond}cond + \epsilon + (\text{extra covariates}).$$

Similarly, the LMM models Y using the condition as fixed effect and the library identifier as a random effect.

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_{cond}cond + lib + \epsilon + (\text{extra covariates}),$$

where lib is the random effect of each library. By adding the random effect, the analysis is more robust against potential false positives from library variation and prevents deeper libraries from dominating the effect size. A Wald test is used to test for significance of β_{cond} . The Wilcoxon test option is restricted to only testing the condition variable and cannot adjust for additional variables. Each gene/transcript is assigned a statistic corresponding to the test used and a p-value. p-values are adjusted for multiple testing using the Bonferroni-Hochberg method.

To test NanopLen models, transcript length data with known shortening rates were simulated. Each simulation was parameterized with a “true” transcript length, a read count as a proxy for transcript expression, and a shortening proportion. The database of known human genic lengths from Ensembl (release 91) was used and the median was selected as “true” length. Since the simulated variance is in proportion of the true length, using any length will result in similar results so we do not simulate additional true lengths. Expression counts were simulated, ranging from 10 to 200 reads per transcripts to capture the dynamic expression range particularly towards small read depth. Varying shortening percentages were simulated from 50 to 100% of the true length with the 100% corresponding to the null simulation of no-change.

Given the parameters, the simulated lengths were generated based on the mixed model in NanopLen, with the number of sampled lengths being the selected expression counts. The library random effect was simulated as if imitating a fluctuation with standard deviation of 10% of the true length, and the global error having a standard deviation of 20% of the expected length mean. As with the real experiment, six libraries of three control and three condition were simulated, with 1000 genes per scenario. Using the LMM option with the logscale option selected, the resulting log2FCs and p-values were calculated.

NanopLen is available in <https://github.com/maragkakislabs/nanoplen> 

IGV read density plots

Transcripts that were identified as significantly shortened at a false discovery rate of 0.05 by NanopLen, had at least 50 supporting reads in both conditions and had a difference of 200 nt in length were selected. From the list of 32 transcripts, 3 were randomly selected (*ASCC3*, *HNRNPM* and *DSG2*) for visualization. Libraries were randomly downsampled to maximum 50 reads per window and the libraries were overlayed in Affinity Designer. All reads were used, irrespective of adaptor ligation status.

Data availability

Sequencing data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO); accession: GSE204785.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Paul Anderson for his gift of the $\Delta\Delta G3BP1/2$ and WT U-2 OS cells. We thank Dr. David Schlessinger for providing feedback on our manuscript. We thank the NIH Fellows Editorial Board for editorial assistance. This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health and funded by grant NIH ZIA AG000696 to MM.

Contributions

SM performed wet-lab experiments with assistance from MJP, CB and JLM. SAD performed computational analysis with help from VM, CTL, JMS and AK. CTL and SAD developed NanopLen. SAD, SM and MM interpreted the results and wrote the manuscript.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Note

This reviewed preprint has been updated to use the correct text; previously, a version prior to a minor revision was presented here.

References

- Anderson P, Kedersha N. (2008) **Stress granules: the Tao of RNA triage** *Trends Biochem Sci* **33**:141–150
- Beckman KB, Ames BN (1998) **The free radical theory of aging matures** *Physiol Rev* **78**:547–581
- Bley N, Lederer M, Pfalz B, Reinke C, Fuchs T, Glaß M, Möller B, Hüttelmaier S. (2015) **Stress granules are dispensable for mRNA stabilization during cellular stress** *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**
- Borbold F, Syntichaki P. (2022) **Biological implications of decapping: beyond bulk mRNA decay** *FEBS J* **289**:1457–1475
- Bresson S, Shchepachev V, Spanos C, Turowski TW, Rappsilber J, Tollervy D. (2020) **Stress-Induced Translation Inhibition through Rapid Displacement of Scanning Initiation Factors** *Molecular Cell* 0 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2020.09.021>
- Brothers WR, Ali F, Kajjo S, Fabian MR (2023) **The EDC4-XRN1 interaction controls P-body dynamics to link mRNA decapping with decay** *EMBO J*
- Chang C-T, Muthukumar S, Weber R, Leviansky Y, Chen Y, Bhandari D, Igreja C, Wohlbold L, Valkov E, Izaurralde E. (2019) **A low-complexity region in human XRN1 directly recruits deadenylation and decapping factors in 5'-3' messenger RNA decay** *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**:9282–9295
- Derisbourg MJ, Hartman MD, Denzel MS (2021) **Perspective: Modulating the integrated stress response to slow aging and ameliorate age-related pathology** *Nat Aging* **1**:760–768
- Doma MK, Parker R. (2006) **Endonucleolytic cleavage of eukaryotic mRNAs with stalls in translation elongation** *Nature* **440**:561–564
- Ferrucci L, Wilson DM, Donegà S, Gorospe M. (2022) **The energy-splicing resilience axis hypothesis of aging** *Nat Aging* **2**:182–185
- Galluzzi L, Yamazaki T, Kroemer G. (2018) **Linking cellular stress responses to systemic homeostasis** *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **19**:731–745
- Gowrishankar G, Winzen R, Dittrich-Breiholz O, Redich N, Kracht M, Holtmann H. (2006) **Inhibition of mRNA deadenylation and degradation by different types of cell stress** *Biol Chem* **387**:323–327
- Haigis MC, Yankner BA (2010) **The aging stress response** *Mol Cell* **40**:333–344
- Harding HP *et al.* (2003) **An integrated stress response regulates amino acid metabolism and resistance to oxidative stress** *Mol Cell* **11**:619–633
- Hilgers V, Teixeira D, Parker R. (2006) **Translation-independent inhibition of mRNA deadenylation during stress in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*** *RNA* **12**:1835–1845

Hinnebusch AG (1994) **Translational control of GCN4: an in vivo barometer of initiation-factor activity** *Trends Biochem Sci* **19**:409–414

Horvathova I, Voigt F, Kotrys AV, Zhan Y, Artus-Revel CG, Eglinger J, Stadler MB, Giorgetti L, Chao JA (2017) **The Dynamics of mRNA Turnover Revealed by Single-Molecule Imaging in Single Cells** *Mol Cell* **68**:615–625

Ibrahim F, Maragkakis M, Alexiou P, Mourelatos Z. (2018) **Ribothrypsis, a novel process of canonical mRNA decay, mediates ribosome-phased mRNA endonucleolysis** *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **25**:302–310

Ibrahim F, Oppelt J, Maragkakis M, Mourelatos Z. (2021) **TERA-Seq: true end-to-end sequencing of native RNA molecules for transcriptome characterization** *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**

Jayabalan AK, Adivarahan S, Koppula A, Abraham R, Batish M, Zenklusen D, Griffin DE, Leung AKL (2021) **Stress granule formation, disassembly, and composition are regulated by alphavirus ADP-ribosylhydrolase activity** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**

Ji S, Yang Z, Gozali L, Kenney T, Kocabas A, Jinsook Park C, Hynes M (2021) **Distinct expression of select and transcriptome-wide isolated 3'UTRs suggests critical roles in development and transition states** *PLOS One* **16**

Kedersha N, Anderson P. (2007) **Mammalian stress granules and processing bodies** *Methods Enzymol* **431**:61–81

Kedersha N *et al.* (2016) **G3BP-Caprin1-USP10 complexes mediate stress granule condensation and associate with 40S subunits** *J Cell Biol* **212**:845–860

Kedersha N, Stoecklin G, Ayodele M, Yacono P, Lykke-Andersen J, Fritzler MJ, Scheuner D, Kaufman RJ, Golan DE, Anderson P. (2005) **Stress granules and processing bodies are dynamically linked sites of mRNP remodeling** *J Cell Biol* **169**:871–884

Kharel P, Fay M, Manasova EV, Anderson PJ, Kurkin AV, Guo JU, Ivanov P. (2023) **Stress promotes RNA G-quadruplex folding in human cells** *Nat Commun* **14**

Khong A, Matheny T, Jain S, Mitchell SF, Wheeler JR, Parker R. (2017) **The stress granule transcriptome reveals principles of mRNA accumulation in stress granules** *Mol Cell* **68**:808–820

Labno A, Tomecki R, Dziembowski A. (2016) **Cytoplasmic RNA decay pathways -Enzymes and mechanisms** *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1863**:3125–3147

Li H. (2018) **Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences** *Bioinformatics* **34**:3094–3100

Loh B, Jonas S, Izaurralde E. (2013) **The SMG5-SMG7 heterodimer directly recruits the CCR4-NOT deadenylase complex to mRNAs containing nonsense codons via interaction with POP2** *Genes Dev* **27**:2125–2138

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. (2014) **Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2** *Genome Biol* **15**

- Maier KC, Gressel S, Cramer P, Schwalb B. (2020) **Native molecule sequencing by nano-ID reveals synthesis and stability of RNA isoforms** *Genome Res* **30**:1332–1344
- Maragkakis M *et al.* (2023) **Biology of Stress Responses in Aging** *Aging Biol* **1** <https://doi.org/10.59368/agingbio.20230001>
- Marcelo A, Koppenol R, de Almeida LP, Matos CA, Nóbrega C. (2021) **Stress granules, RNA-binding proteins and polyglutamine diseases: too much aggregation?** *Cell Death Dis* **12**
- Matheny T, Rao BS, Parker R. (2019) **Transcriptome-Wide Comparison of Stress Granules and P-Bodies Reveals that Translation Plays a Major Role in RNA Partitioning** *Mol Cell Biol* **39** <https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00313-19>
- Moon SL, Morisaki T, Khong A, Lyon K, Parker R, Stasevich TJ (2019) **Multicolour single-molecule tracking of mRNA interactions with RNP granules** *Nat Cell Biol* **21**:162–168
- Mugridge JS, Collier J, Gross JD (2018) **Structural and molecular mechanisms for the control of eukaryotic 5'-3' mRNA decay** *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **25**:1077–1085
- Navickas A, Chamois S, Saint-Fort R, Henri J, Torchet C, Benard L. (2020) **No-Go Decay mRNA cleavage in the ribosome exit tunnel produces 5'-OH ends phosphorylated by Trl1** *Nat Commun* **11**
- Pakos-Zebrucka K, Koryga I, Mnich K, Lujic M, Samali A, Gorman AM (2016) **The integrated stress response** *EMBO Rep* **17**:1374–1395
- Panas MD, Ivanov P, Anderson P. (2016) **Mechanistic insights into mammalian stress granule dynamics** *J Cell Biol* **215**:313–323
- Panda AC, Martindale JL, Gorospe M. (2017) **Polysome Fractionation to Analyze mRNA Distribution Profiles** *Bio Protoc* **7** <https://doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.2126>
- Park J-E, Yi H, Kim Y, Chang H, Kim VN (2016) **Regulation of poly(A) tail and translation during the somatic cell cycle** *Mol Cell* **62**:462–471
- Passmore LA, Collier J. (2021) **Roles of mRNA poly(A) tails in regulation of eukaryotic gene expression** *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-021-00417-y>
- Payea MJ, Dar SA, Malla S, Maragkakis M. (2023) **Ribonucleic Acid-Mediated Control of Protein Translation Under Stress** *Antioxid Redox Signal* **39**:374–389
- Pelechano V, Wei W, Steinmetz LM (2015) **Widespread Co-translational RNA Decay Reveals Ribosome Dynamics** *Cell* **161**:1400–1412
- Protter DSW, Parker R. (2016) **Principles and Properties of Stress Granules** *Trends Cell Biol* **26**:668–679
- Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glöckner FO (2013) **The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools** *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**:D590–6
- Rabouw HH, Langereis MA, Anand AA, Visser LJ, de Groot RJ, Walter P, van Kuppeveld FJM. (2019) **Small molecule ISRIB suppresses the integrated stress response within a defined window of activation** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**:2097–2102

- Ripin N, Parker R. (2022) **Are stress granules the RNA analogs of misfolded protein aggregates?** *RNA* **28**:67–75
- Sidrauski C *et al.* (2013) **Pharmacological brake-release of mRNA translation enhances cognitive memory** *Elife* **2**
- Sidrauski C, McGeachy AM, Ingolia NT, Walter P. (2015) **The small molecule ISRIB reverses the effects of eIF2 α phosphorylation on translation and stress granule assembly** *Elife* **4** <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.05033>
- Stadtman ER, Berlett BS (1997) **Reactive oxygen-mediated protein oxidation in aging and disease** *Chem Res Toxicol* **10**:485–494
- Sudmant PH, Lee H, Dominguez D, Heiman M, Burge CB (2018) **Widespread Accumulation of Ribosome-Associated Isolated 3' UTRs in Neuronal Cell Populations of the Aging Brain** *Cell Rep* **25**:2447–2456
- Takahashi M, Higuchi M, Matsuki H, Yoshita M, Ohsawa T, Oie M, Fujii M. (2013) **Stress granules inhibit apoptosis by reducing reactive oxygen species production** *Mol Cell Biol* **33**:815–829
- Watkins CP, Zhang W, Wylder AC, Katanski CD, Pan T. (2022) **A multiplex platform for small RNA sequencing elucidates multifaceted tRNA stress response and translational regulation** *Nat Commun* **13**
- Workman RE *et al.* (2019) **Nanopore native RNA sequencing of a human poly(A) transcriptome** *Nat Methods* **16**:1297–1305
- Yan LL, Simms CL, McLoughlin F, Vierstra RD, Zaher HS (2019) **Oxidation and alkylation stresses activate ribosome-quality control** *Nat Commun* **10**
- Yu G, Wang L-G, Han Y, He Q-Y. (2012) **clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters** *OMICS* **16**:284–287

Author information

Showkat A Dar*

Laboratory of Genetics and Genomics, National Institute on Aging, Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, United States
ORCID iD: [0000-0002-5077-1925](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5077-1925)

*Equal contribution

Sulochan Malla*

Laboratory of Genetics and Genomics, National Institute on Aging, Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, United States
ORCID iD: [0000-0001-9957-4597](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9957-4597)

*Equal contribution

Vlastimil Martinek

Laboratory of Genetics and Genomics, National Institute on Aging, Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, United States, Central European Institute of Technology, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic, National Centre for Biomolecular Research, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic
ORCID iD: [0000-0002-3204-1830](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3204-1830)

Matthew J Payea

Laboratory of Genetics and Genomics, National Institute on Aging, Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, United States
ORCID iD: [0000-0002-1960-4563](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1960-4563)

Christopher T Lee

National Institute on Aging, Baltimore, United States
ORCID iD: [0000-0002-8621-256X](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8621-256X)

Jessica Martin

Laboratory of Genetics and Genomics, National Institute on Aging, Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, United States
ORCID iD: [0009-0000-0830-9289](https://orcid.org/0009-0000-0830-9289)

Aditya J Khandeshi

Laboratory of Genetics and Genomics, National Institute on Aging, Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, United States

Jennifer L Martindale

Laboratory of Genetics and Genomics, National Institute on Aging, Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, United States
ORCID iD: [0000-0002-3234-6861](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3234-6861)

Cedric Belair

Laboratory of Genetics and Genomics, National Institute on Aging, Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, United States
ORCID iD: [0000-0003-4007-2060](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4007-2060)

Manolis Maragkakis

Laboratory of Genetics and Genomics, National Institute on Aging, Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, United States
ORCID iD: [0000-0002-3158-1763](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3158-1763)

For correspondence: maragkakis@nih.gov

Editors

Reviewing Editor

Ashish Lal

National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, United States of America

Senior Editor

Detlef Weigel

Max Planck Institute for Biology Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

Reviewer #1 (Public Review):

In this manuscript, the authors employed direct RNA sequencing with nanopores, enhanced by 5' end adaptor ligation, to comprehensively interrogate the human transcriptome at single-molecule and nucleotide resolution. They conclude that cellular stress induces prevalent 5' end RNA decay that is coupled to translation and ribosome occupancy. Contrary to the literature, they found that, unlike typical RNA decay models in normal conditions, stress-induced RNA decay is dependent on XRN1 but does not depend on the removal of the poly(A) tail. The findings presented are interesting and the authors fully established these paradigm-shifting findings using cutting-edge technologies.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.96284.2.sa3>

Reviewer #2 (Public Review):

In the manuscript "Full-length direct RNA sequencing uncovers stress-granule dependent RNA decay upon cellular stress", Dar, Malla, and colleagues use direct RNA sequencing on nanopores to characterize the transcriptome after arsenite and oxidative stress. They observe a population of transcripts that are shortened during stress. The authors hypothesize that this shortening is mediated by the 5'-3' exonuclease XRN1, as XRN1 knockdown results in longer transcripts. Interestingly, the authors do not observe a polyA-tail shortening, which is typically thought to precede decapping and XRN1-mediated transcript decay. Finally, the authors use G3BP1 knockout cells to demonstrate that stress granule formation is required for the observed transcript shortening. The manuscript contains intriguing findings of interest to the mRNA decay community.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.96284.2.sa2>

Reviewer #3 (Public Review):

The work by Dar et al. examines RNA metabolism under cellular stress, focusing on stress-granule-dependent RNA decay. It employs direct RNA sequencing with a Nanopore-based method, revealing that cellular stress induces prevalent 5' end RNA decay that is coupled to translation and ribosome occupancy but is independent of the shortening of the poly(A) tail. This decay, however, is dependent on XRN1 and enriched in the stress granule transcriptome. Notably, inhibiting stress granule formation in G3BP1/2-null cells restores the RNA length to the same level as wild-type. It suppresses stress-induced decay, identifying RNA decay as a critical determinant of RNA metabolism during cellular stress and highlighting its dependence on stress-granule formation. This is an exciting and novel discovery utilizing innovative sequencing methods to studying mRNA decay.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.96284.2.sa1>

Author response:

The following is the authors' response to the original reviews.

Public Reviews:**Reviewer #1 (Public Review):***Summary:*

In this manuscript, the authors employed direct RNA sequencing with nanopores, enhanced by 5' end adaptor ligation, to comprehensively interrogate the human transcriptome at singlemolecule and nucleotide resolution. They conclude that cellular stress induces prevalent 5' end RNA decay that is coupled to translation and ribosome occupancy. Contrary to the literature, they found that, unlike typical RNA decay models in normal conditions, stress-induced RNA decay is dependent on XRN1 but does not depend on the removal of the poly(A) tail. The findings presented are interesting but a substantial amount of work is needed to fully establish these paradigm-shifting findings.

Strengths:

These are paradigm-shifting observations using cutting-edge technologies.

Weaknesses:

The conclusions do not appear to be fully supported by the data presented.

Our response to the reviewer comments is provided at the end of this document in the section "Recommendations For The Authors"

Reviewer #2 (Public Review):

In the manuscript "Full-length direct RNA sequencing uncovers stress-granule dependent RNA decay upon cellular stress", Dar, Malla, and colleagues use direct RNA sequencing on nanopores to characterize the transcriptome after arsenite and oxidative stress. They observe a population of transcripts that are shortened during stress. The authors hypothesize that this shortening is mediated by the 5'-3' exonuclease XRN1, as XRN1 knockdown results in longer transcripts. Interestingly, the authors do not observe a polyA-tail shortening, which is typically thought to precede decapping and XRN1-mediated transcript decay. Finally, the authors use G3BP1 knockout cells to demonstrate that stress granule formation is required for the observed transcript shortening.

The manuscript contains intriguing findings of interest to the mRNA decay community. That said, it appears that the authors at times overinterpret the data they get from a handful of direct RNA sequencing experiments. To bolster some of the statements additional experiments might be desirable.

A selection of comments:

(1) Considering that the authors compare the effects of stress, stress granule formation, and XRN1 loss on transcriptome profiles, it would be desirable to use a single-cell system (and validated in a few more). Most of the direct RNAseq is performed in HeLa cells, but the experiments showing that stress granule formation is required come from U2OS cells, while short RNAseq data showing loss of coverage on mRNA 5'ends is reanalyzed from HEK293 cells. It may be plausible that the same pathways operate in all those cells, but it is not rigorously demonstrated.

We agree with the reviewer that performing all experiments in a single cell system would be desirable. Presently, our core findings on 5' RNA shortening are all performed in HeLa cells: the identification of 5' RNA shortening, the reliance of shortening through XRN1 silencing, suppression of shortening by translation inhibition, and now the relationship between 5' shortening and deadenylation/decapping through experiments described further below. Our use of other cell lines is primarily to show that 5' shortening is a general phenomenon, and we have now done this for U2OS cells, HEK293 cells, and primary 3T3 cells from mouse.

Regarding stress granule formation, we are unfortunately restricted by the lack of available wellcharacterized resources. The DDG3BP1/2 U2OS is a well characterized cell line that has been extensively used for stress granule-related experiments. We have therefore opted to use it and performed experiments to verify both the occurrence of stress-induced RNA shortening as well as the rescue in the absence of stress granules. The reproducibility and breadth of the cell lines used in our analysis makes us confident on the generality of our findings.

(2) An interesting finding of the manuscript is that polyA tail shortening is not observed prior to transcript shortening. The authors would need to demonstrate that their approach is capable of detecting shortened polyA tails. Using polyA purified RNA to look at the status of polyA tail length may not be ideal (as avidity to oligodT beads may increase with polyA tail length and therefore the authors bias themselves to longer tails anyway). At the very least, the use of positive controls would be desirable; e.g. knockdown of CCR4/NOT.

We thank the reviewer for their comment. Previous studies, using in vitro transcribed RNA molecules, have shown that direct RNA sequencing can capture and quantify poly(A) tails of varying lengths (Krause et al. 2019). Specifically, a range of 10 to 150 nt has been tested and a high concordance between known and dRNA-Seq determined values was observed. Both tailfindR and nanopolish (used in this work) showed high poly(A) tail estimation accuracy.

Regardless, we agree with the reviewer that our method depends on poly(A) tail capture and thus may be incomplete for fully quantifying poly(A) length changes. We therefore opted to replace these data and instead follow this and other reviewers' suggestions and perform experiments following knockdown of CCR4/NOT using cells expressing a catalytically inactive CNOT8 (CNOT8*) dominant negative mutant (Chang et al. 2019). Our new data show that stress-induced 5' end decay is indeed not dependent on prior removal of the poly(A) tail. Specifically, we find that transcript shortening is still observed upon oxidative stress in cells expressing CNOT8* compared to control cells. We present these new results in Fig. 3 and Sup. Fig 3.

(3) The authors use a strategy of ligating an adapter to 5' phosphorylated RNA (presumably the breakdown fragments) to be able to distinguish true mRNA fragments from artifacts of abortive nanopore sequencing. This is a fantastic approach to curating a clean dataset. Unfortunately, the authors don't appear to go through with discarding fragments that are not adapter-ligated (presumably to increase the depth of analysis; they do offer Figure 1e that shows similar changes in transcript length for fragments with adapter, compared to Figure 1d). It would be good to know how many reads in total had the adapter. Furthermore, it would be good to know what percentage of reads without adapters are products of abortive sequencing. What percentage of reads had 5'OH ends (could be answered by ligating a different adapter to kinasetreated transcripts). More read curation would also be desirable when building the metagene analysis - why do the authors include every 3'end of sequenced reads (their RNA purification scheme requires a polyA tail, so non-polyadenylated fragments are recovered in a nonquantitative manner and should be discarded).

We thank the reviewer for appreciating our approach. The reviewer is correct that we do not discard reads that are not adapter-ligated. As the reviewer correctly mentions this is to increase the sequencing depth. We have found that the ligation efficiency is very low, ~1-2 % of total reads (now in Sup. Table. 1), across all libraries, and so the percentage of REL5-ligated reads does not directly infer the total amount of non-artifactual 5' ends. Instead, we use these REL5ligated reads as a subset of our data for which we have extremely high confidence in the true 5'end. Our results show that non-ligated reads display the same length distribution as ligated ones, and that the results are reproducible regardless of read selection (e.g. Fig. 1c, e,

Sup. Fig. 1k, l, Fig. 3b, c). This strong concordance between REL5-ligated and non-ligated reads suggests that our conclusions on 5' end shortening are not substantially influenced by abortive sequencing or other artefactual creation of 5' shortening. We have modified the text to clarify these points and have added plots using only ligated molecules for relevant figures that this was not previously done (Sup. Fig 1l, 3c)

We agree with the reviewer that non-polyadenylated reads could be discarded from metagene analysis and we have performed this change in the revised version. Our conclusions following removal of non-polyadenylated reads remain unchanged (Sup. Fig. 1g).

(4) The authors should come to a clear conclusion about what "transcript shortening" means. Is it exonucleolytic shortening from the 5' end? They cannot say much about the 3' ends anyway (see above). Or are we talking about endonucleolytic cuts leaving 5'P that then can be attached by XRN1 (again, what is the ratio of 5'P and 5'OH fragments; also, what is the ratio of shortened to full-length RNA)?

We thank the reviewer for their suggestion. We have performed additional experiments to investigate the role of deadenylation and decapping by expressing dominant negative forms of the NOT8 deadenylase (NOT8*) and DCP2 decapping (DCP2*) enzyme in HeLa cells. Our results show that neither expression of NOT8* nor DCP2* can inhibit stress-induced transcript shortening following arsenite treatment (Fig. 3e-f). These new data suggest that neither deadenylation nor decapping are required for stress-induced RNA decay. Instead, our data are more compatible with endonucleolytic cleavage as the most likely mechanism for stress-induced RNA decay. We have incorporated these results in the text and present them in Fig. 3 and Sup. Fig. 3.

(5) The authors should clearly explain how they think the transcript shortening comes about. They claim it does not need polyA shortening, but then do not explain where the XRN1 substrate comes from. Does their effect require decapping? Or endonucleolytic attacks?

Please also refer to our answer to the previous comment (#4). Collectively, our results from a) the dominant negative expression of NOT8* and DCP2* that show no effect on stress-induced shortening and b) the rescue of transcript length upon translation initiation inhibition, indicate a potential endonucleolytic mechanism as a mediator of stress-induced RNA decay. However, we believe that extensive, further studies currently beyond the scope of this work, will be required to discover the nuclease and to dissect the exact molecular mechanisms that define the 5' ends of mRNAs upon stress-induced decay. We now discuss these points in the discussion.

(6) XRN1 KD results in lengthened transcripts. That is not surprising as XRN1 is an exonuclease - and XRN1 does not merely rescue arsenite stress-mediated transcript shortening, but results in a dramatic transcript lengthening.

The reviewer raises an intriguing point. Additional analysis of data has showed that in fact, in unstressed cells, XRN1 KD leads to modestly significant reduction in overall transcript length (Fig. 3b, c). This could possibly be the result of an accumulation of intermediate cleavage products normally expected to be degraded by XRN1 as previously described (Pelechano, Wei, and Steinmetz 2015; Ibrahim et al. 2018).

Instead, we find that under stress, XRN1 KD shows an almost identical transcript length distribution to unstressed cells and significantly higher than siCTRL stressed cells (Fig. 3b, c). These results indicate that in the absence of XRN1, stress-induced decay is largely abolished. As the reviewer correctly points out, this seems to affect the majority of RNAs which we believe is evidence of the general lack of specificity in the mechanism. Nevertheless, we find

that transcripts that are the primary substrates to stress-induced shortening are substantially more lengthened than all other transcripts (Fig. 3e). This indicates that transcripts primarily affected by stress-induced decay are also lengthened the most in the absence of XRN1 and at an even higher level than expected by general XRN1 KD effects.

Reviewer #3 (Public Review):

The work by Dar et al. examines RNA metabolism under cellular stress, focusing on stressgranule-dependent RNA decay. It employs direct RNA sequencing with a Nanopore-based method, revealing that cellular stress induces prevalent 5' end RNA decay that is coupled to translation and ribosome occupancy but is independent of the shortening of the poly(A) tail. This decay, however, is dependent on XRN1 and enriched in the stress granule transcriptome. Notably, inhibiting stress granule formation in G3BP1/2-null cells restores the RNA length to the same level as wild-type. It suppresses stress-induced decay, identifying RNA decay as a critical determinant of RNA metabolism during cellular stress and highlighting its dependence on stress-granule formation.

This is an exciting and novel discovery. I am not an expert in sequencing technologies or sequencing data analysis, so I will limit my comments purely to biology and not technical points. The PI is a leader in applying innovative sequencing methods to studying mRNA decay.

One aspect that appeared overlooked is that poly(A) tail shortening per se does lead to decapping. It is shortening below a certain threshold of 8-10 As that triggers decapping. Therefore, I found the conclusion that poly(A) tail shortening is not required for stress-induced decay to be somewhat premature. For a robust test of this hypothesis, the authors should consider performing their analysis in conditions where CNOT7/8 is knocked down with siRNA.

We agree with the reviewer. We have now performed experiments in cells expressing a well characterized catalytically inactive dominant negative NOT8 isoform (NOT8*) (Chang et al.

2019). Our new data show that stress-induced decay still occurs in cells expressing NOT8*.

These results confirm our findings that stress-induced decay does not require deadenylation. We present these new results in Fig. 3 and Sup. Fig. 3.

Similarly, as XRN1 requires decapping to take place, it necessitates the experiment where a dominant-negative DCP2 mutant is over-expressed.

We agree with the reviewer and have performed this experiment as requested. Expression of a dominant negative DCP2 (DCP2*) isoform (Loh, Jonas, and Izaurralde 2013) in HeLa cells showed that decapping is also not required for stress-induced decay. We present these new results in Fig. 3 and Sup. Fig. 3.

Are G3BP1/2 stress granules required for stress-induced decay or simply sites for storage? This part seems unclear. A very worthwhile test here would be to assess in XRN1-null background.

We thank the reviewer for their comment. Our data show that stress-induced decay is not observed in DDG3BP1/2 U2OS cells, unable to form stress granules (Fig. 6). This result suggests that G3BP1/2 SGs are either a) required for 5' RNA shortening or b) preserve partially fragmented RNAs that would otherwise be rapidly degraded. We find the second option unlikely for two reasons. First, even if the fragments were rapidly degraded, we would still expect to find evidence of their presence in our data. However, Fig. 6f shows that the length distribution of DDG3BP1/2 U2OS cells, with and without arsenite, are almost identical, thus

arguing against the presence of such a pool of rapidly degrading RNAs. Second, if these RNAs were protected by SGs, then they would be expected to be downregulated in the absence of SGs in DDG3BP1/2 U2OS cells treated with arsenite. Our results contradict this hypothesis as no association is found between the level of downregulation in arsenite-treated DDG3BP1/2 U2OS cells and the observed stress-induced fragmentation in WT. Collectively our results point towards G3BP1/2 stress granules being required for stress-induced decay. We have expanded on these points in the manuscript to clarify.

Finally, the authors speculate that the mechanism of stress-induced decay may have evolved to relieve translational load during stress. But why degrade the 5' end when removing the cap may be sufficient? This returns to the question of assessing the role of decapping in this mechanism.

The reviewer raises a very interesting point. Our new results, following expression of dominant negative DCP2, show that stress-induced decay does not require decapping. It is therefore plausible that a stress-induced co-translational mechanism cleaves mRNAs endonucleolytically to reduce the translational load. Such a mechanism would have many functional benefits as it would acutely reduce the translational load, degrade non-essential RNAs, preserve energy and release ribosomes for translation of the stress response program. We have expanded the discussion to mention these points.

Recommendations for the authors:

Reviewing Editor (Recommendations For The Authors):

As you can see from the comments, although the reviewers appreciate the novelty of your findings, there was a consensus opinion from all reviewers that the authors overinterpreted their data, since they only have one assay and did not fully analyze it, as laid out in one of the reviewer's critiques. Some orthogonal validation of the "groundbreaking" claims is necessary. Examination of the effects of upstream events in 5'-to-3' decay, namely deadenylation, and decapping, would be necessary for a better understanding of the phenomena the authors describe. Many tools and approaches for studying this are described well in the literature (CNOT7-KD, dominant negative DCP2 E148Q, XRN1-null cell lines), so it is well within the authors' reach. Overall, while some of the evidence presented is novel and solid, for some of the claims there is only incomplete evidence.

We thank the reviewers and the editor for their comments and suggestions. We have performed several additional experiments to further support our conclusions. We have notably investigated the role of deadenylation and decapping in the stress-induced decay by expressing dominant negative NOT8 and DCP2, respectively, as suggested. Our results show that neither deadenylation nor decapping is necessary for stress-induced transcript shortening, suggesting an endonucleolytic event. We believe that these additional experiments strengthen the main conclusions of our work.

Reviewer #1 (Recommendations For The Authors):

Major comments:

(1) The experiments were conducted in two unrelated cell lines, HeLa and U2OS. The authors should determine if the 5' end RNA decay in response to stress is also observed in normal human cells such as normal human diploid fibroblasts. Furthermore, it would be important to know if this mechanism is conserved between human and mouse cells. This can be tested in mouse embryonic fibroblasts.

We thank the reviewer for their suggestion. We have now also performed experiments in the mouse embryonic fibroblast NIH 3T3 cell line. Our new results confirm that stress-induced 5' end RNA decay is also observed in this primary cell line and is conserved between human and mouse (Sup. Fig. 1k, I).

(2) The authors state that they monitored cell viability up to 24 hours after Arsenite treatment, but the data is shown up to 240 min (Suppl. 1a). Also, the Y-axis label of this Figure is "Active cells (%)". This should be changed to "Live cells (%)" if this is what they are referring to.

We thank the reviewer for identifying this mistake. Cell viability was monitored up to 4 hours after arsenite treatment. We have corrected the text and modified the figure according to the reviewer's suggestion.

(3) Based on direct Nanopore-based RNA-seq the authors surprisingly found that RNAs in oxidative stress were globally shorter than unstressed cells. Since Nanopore-based RNA-seq will not detect RNAs that lack a poly A-tail, are they not missing out on RNAs that have already started getting degraded due to the loss of a poly A-tail? Also, I am not sure if they used a spikein control which would be critical to claim global changes in RNA expression.

We agree with the reviewer that our strategy does not capture RNA molecules without a poly(A) tail. Nevertheless, our data do identify shortening upon stress at the 5' end of RNAs that include poly(A) tails. We considered this as direct evidence that decay at the 5' end does not require prior removal of the poly(A) tail. Otherwise, these molecules would not have been captured and observed. Indeed, our newly added data from cells expressing a well characterized catalytically inactive dominant negative NOT8 isoform (Chang et al. 2019) show that stress-induced decay occurs even upon silencing of the CCR4-NOT deadenylation complex. We present these results in Fig. 3 and Sup. Fig 3.

We would like to clarify that in our results we did not use a spike-in control and thus refrain from claiming global changes in RNA expression. Instead, we compare relative ratios of groups of molecules within libraries that are internally normalized, we perform correlative comparisons that are invariant to normalization and we perform differential gene expression using established normalization schemes such as DESeq2 (Love, Huber, and Anders 2014).

(4) Many graphs are confusing and inconsistent. For example, samples for Nanopore RNA-seq were prepared in triplicates. Biological or technical? The schematic in Figure 1a shows ISRIB but it appears from Figure 4 onwards. It is missing in the Figure 1 results and the Figure legend. The X-axis labels of many graphs are confusing. For example, Supplementary Figure 1d, 1e, 1g and 1h. It says transcript length but are these nucleotides? P-values are missing from many of these graphs. For some graphs, the authors compared Unstressed vs Arsenite (Figure 1), but in other panels they state No Ars vs 0.5 mM Ars (Fig. 3a) or Control vs Ars (Figure 5c). Likewise, in Figure 1b, Expression change (log2) is unstressed vs Arsenite or Arsenite vs unstressed?

We thank the reviewer identifying these inconsistencies in the presentation of our results. The replicates for nanopore RNA-seq experiments were biological. We have now clarified this point in the text. Furthermore, we have removed "ISRIB" from Fig. 1a to avoid any confusion. We have also made our labelling across all figures more consistent using 'unstressed' for NO arsenite treatment vs "arsenite" or '+ Ars' for arsenite treatment.

(5) The authors transfected cells with siCTRL or siXRN1 using electroporation and treated the cells 72 hours after transfection. Since XRN1 is an essential gene, it would be

important to determine the viability of cells 72 hours after transfection. Along these lines, in Figure 3b, it would be important to determine the effect of XRN1 knockdown in unstressed cells. Currently, there are only 3 comparisons in Figure 3b - unstressed, siCTRL + Ars and siXRN1 + Ars, and this is insufficient to conclude the effects of XRN1 knockdown in the presence of Arsenite.

We thank the reviewer for their suggestion. We have updated Fig. 3b and the text to show the requested conditions: siCTRL and siXRN1 with and without arsenite. While XRN2 is an essential gene for many organisms, XRN1 is not essential in mammalian cells and no increased cell death has been reported for XRN1-KO or -KD cells (Brothers et al. 2023). We have also tested different concentration (up to 40 nM) of siRNA and monitored the cells up to five days after transfection without observing any cell toxicity, as previously reported.

(6) More broadly, the whole study is somewhat descriptive. The biological effect of 5'end mRNA shortening on gene expression is unclear. There is no data indicating how these changes in RNA lengths impact protein expression. Global quantitative proteomics would be critical to determine this.

We thank the reviewer for their suggestion. To address this concern we have performed additional experiments using cells expressing catalytically inactive forms of NOT8 (Chang et al. 2019) and DCP2 (Loh, Jonas, and Izaurralde 2013) to inhibit deadenylation and decapping.

These experiments provide additional mechanistic details for 5' shortening and suggest endonucleolytic cleavage as a critical step (Fig. 3 and Sup. Fig. 3). We agree that it would be interesting to study the fate of these shortened transcripts notably regarding translation. However, given the complexity of the expected proteome changes also following global translation arrest under stress (Harding et al., 2003; Pakos-Zebrucka et al., 2016), we think that this work is beyond the scope of this manuscript and will be the subject of future studies.

Minor comments:

(1) Some of the affected RNAs can be validated in HeLa and other cell lines.

We thank the reviewer for their suggestion. We have performed RT-qPCR on 3 different mRNAs that present 5' shortening upon oxidative stress using different primers located along the mRNA. We hypothesized that the closer the primer set is located to the 5' end, the less abundant the corresponding region would be for arsenite-treated compared to untreated cells. Our results show indeed that the measured level of these mRNAs depends on the location of the primer sets used for the qPCR, the closer to the 5'end it is, the less abundant the mRNA is upon oxidative stress compared to control cells. We present these data as well as a schematic representing the positions of the primers in Sup. Fig. 2d.


(2) The authors should check whether XRN1 also co-localizes in SGs.

We thank the reviewer for their suggestion. We have performed immunofluorescence on U2OS and HeLa upon oxidative stress and did not observe a co-localization of XRN1 with TIA-1, a marker of stress granules (see below). These results are consistent with (Kedersha et al. 2005) that have shown that XRN1 mainly co-localizes to processing bodies and are very weakly detectable in SGs in DU145 cells. We think that this result is beyond the scope of this study and thus decided to only include it for the reviewers.

Author response image 1.

Representative immunofluorescence merged image of HeLa (left panel) and U2OS (right panel) cells treated with sodium arsenite and labelled with anti-TIA1 (red), anti-XRN1 (green)

antibodies and DAPI (blue). Scale bar 50 μ m.



(3) *XRN1 should be knocked down with more than one siRNA.*

We thank the reviewer for this suggestion. Our results show that our XRN1 KD specifically rescues the length of the most shortened mRNAs (Fig. 3e). This is a highly specific effect that makes us confident it is not mediated by non-specific siRNA binding; thus, we do not consider it necessary to repeat the experiment.

(4) *There are typos in the text regarding Figure 6d, e, and f. Also, Supplementary Figure 4a.*

We thank the reviewer for identifying these mistakes. We have corrected the typos.

Reviewer #3 (Recommendations For The Authors):

The authors should consider testing their hypotheses by arresting the decay pathway using the approaches I mentioned previously. As it stands, some conclusions are somewhat speculative.

We have replied to the reviewer comments in the public review section.

References:

- Brothers, William R., Farah Ali, Sam Kajjo, and Marc R. Fabian. 2023. "The EDC4-XRN1 Interaction Controls P-Body Dynamics to Link mRNA Decapping with Decay." *The EMBO Journal*, August, e113933.
- Chang, Chung-Te, Sowndarya Muthukumar, Ramona Weber, Yevgen Leviansky, Ying Chen, Dipankar Bhandari, Catia Igreja, Lara Wohlbold, Eugene Valkov, and Elisa Izaurralde. 2019. "A Low-Complexity Region in Human XRN1 Directly Recruits Deadenylation and Decapping Factors in 5'-3' Messenger RNA Decay." *Nucleic Acids Research* 47 (17): 9282–95.
- Harding, Heather P., Yuhong Zhang, Huiqing Zeng, Isabel Novoa, Phoebe D. Lu, Marcella Calton, Navid Sadri, et al. 2003. "An Integrated Stress Response Regulates Amino Acid Metabolism and Resistance to Oxidative Stress." *Molecular Cell* 11 (3): 619–33.

- Ibrahim, Fadia, Manolis Maragkakis, Panagiotis Alexiou, and Zissimos Mourelatos. 2018. “Ribothrypsis, a Novel Process of Canonical mRNA Decay, Mediates Ribosome-Phased mRNA Endonucleolysis.” *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* 25 (4): 302–10.
- Kedersha, Nancy, Georg Stoecklin, Maranatha Ayodele, Patrick Yacono, Jens Lykke-Andersen, Marvin J. Fritzler, Donalyn Scheuner, Randal J. Kaufman, David E. Golan, and Paul Anderson. 2005. “Stress Granules and Processing Bodies Are Dynamically Linked Sites of MRNP Remodeling.” *The Journal of Cell Biology* 169 (6): 871–84.
- Krause, Maximilian, Adnan M. Niazi, Kornel Labun, Yamila N. Torres Cleuren, Florian S. Müller, and Eivind Valen. 2019. “Tailfindr: Alignment-Free Poly(A) Length Measurement for Oxford Nanopore RNA and DNA Sequencing.” *RNA* 25 (10): 1229–41.
- Loh, Belinda, Stefanie Jonas, and Elisa Izaurralde. 2013. “The SMG5-SMG7 Heterodimer Directly Recruits the CCR4-NOT Deadenylation Complex to mRNAs Containing Nonsense Codons via Interaction with POP2.” *Genes & Development* 27 (19): 2125–38.
- Love, Michael I., Wolfgang Huber, and Simon Anders. 2014. “Moderated Estimation of Fold Change and Dispersion for RNA-Seq Data with DESeq2.” *Genome Biology* 15 (12): 550.
- Pakos-Zebrucka, Karolina, Izabela Koryga, Katarzyna Mnich, Mila Ljubic, Afshin Samali, and Adrienne M. Gorman. 2016. “The Integrated Stress Response.” *EMBO Reports* 17 (10): 1374–95.
- Pelechano, Vicent, Wu Wei, and Lars M. Steinmetz. 2015. “Widespread Co-Translational RNA Decay Reveals Ribosome Dynamics.” *Cell* 161 (6): 1400–1412.

<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.96284.2.sa0>